	TED STATES PATENT	and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/553,107	09/03/2009	Brian Ault	POZN.P0026US	5949
108197 Parker Highlan	7590 10/09/2015	EXAMINER		
Parker Highlander PLLC 1120 South Capital of Texas Highway Bldg. 1, Suite 200			JUSTICE, GINA CHIEUN YU	
Austin, TX 78			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2015	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@phiplaw.com

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

HZ213889 Patent Owner Ex. 2005 DRL v. Horizon IPR2018-01341 Application/Control Number: 12/553,107 Art Unit: 1617

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

Continuation of No. 5:

Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:

1) Rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) over Hassan-Alin et al.

(Gastroenterology, 124 (4), 2003) in view of Plachetka (US 6926907 B2), which was indicated in the previous Office action dated March 26, 2015;

2) Obviousness double patenting rejection made in view of claims 1-55 of US
 Pat. No. 6926907 B2 in view of Hassan-Alin; and

3) Obviousness double patenting rejection made in view of claims 57-75 of Application No. 14/045156 (now US Pat. No. 8852636).

Continuation of No. 12:

Claims 19, 29, 33, 34, 40, 42 and 45 remain rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 8945621 B2 (previously cited as Application No. 12/822612). The rejection is deemed proper and maintained for the reasons of record. Although applicant indicated disagreement with the rejection, no specific reasons or explanation was given.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GINA YU JUSTICE whose telephone number is

HZ213890 Patent Owner Ex. 2005 DRL v. Horizon IPR2018-01341 Application/Control Number: 12/553,107 Art Unit: 1617

(571)272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 9:00AM until 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GINA YU JUSTICE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617

> HZ213891 Patent Owner Ex. 2005 DRL v. Horizon IPR2018-01341

Page 3

Advisory Action	Application No. 12/553,107		Applicant(s) AULT ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner GINA YU JUSTICE	Art Unit 1617	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No			
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspo	ndence address			
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2015 FAILS TO PLACE NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED						
1. 🛛 The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of A						
one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance w 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Not the following time periods:	with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for	Continued Exam	nination (RCE) in compliance with			
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the i	mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date In no event, however, will the statutory period for re						
c) A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 m within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejet the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check e FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN TH Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of d appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated appropriate of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may	action. The current period for reply expire e mailing date of the final rejection, whice either box (a), (b) or (c). ONLY CHECK ST AFTER-FINAL REPLY WHICH WAS HE LIMITED SITUATION SET FORTH (a). The date on which the petition un determining the period of extension an ted from: (1) the expiration date of the above, if checked. Any reply received	res month thever is earlier. BOX (b) WHEN S FILED WITHIN UNDER BOX (c) Ider 37 CFR 1.1 ad the correspon e shortened sta d by the Office	hs from the mailing date of THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL . See MPEP 706.07(f). 136(a) and the appropriate nding amount of the fee. The atutory period for reply originally later than three months after the			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	reduce any earned patent term adjus	lineni. See 57	OFR 1.704(b).			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 September 20</u> date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)) a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avo	oid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendments filed after a final rejecti	on, but prior to the date of filing a brie	əf, will <u>not</u> be en	itered because			
a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT c) They are not deemed to place the application 		ly reducing or s	implifying the issues for			
appeal; and/or		, ,				
d) They present additional claims without cance		y rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF		-Compliant Am	endment (PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a separa	te, timely filed a	amendment canceling the non-			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(new or amended claims would be rejected is provide AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE] will be entere	ed, and an explanation of how the			
8. A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b)	was/were filed on					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, applicant failed to provide a showing of good and su presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date or because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not appear to be appeared by the second secon	rcome all rejections under appeal and	d/or appellant fa				
11. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expla <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>	ination of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below	or attached.			
12. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See continuation sheet.</u>						
13. Other:	<i>it</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_				
STATUS OF CLAIMS 15. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 19, 29, 33, 34, 40, 42 and 45. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	/GINA YU JUSTICE/					
	Primary Examiner, Art L	Jnit 1617				
. Patent and Trademark Office						