## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

HORIZON PHARMA, INC., HORI- ZON PHARMA USA, INC., and POZEN INC., Plaintiffs,	Civil Action Nos. 15-cv-03324 (MLC) (DEA) 16-cv-04918 (MLC) (DEA) 16-cv-09035 (MLC) (DEA)
v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC. and DR. REDDY'S LABORA- TORIES, Defendants.	
ZON PHARMA USA, INC., and	Civil Action Nos. 15-cv-03327 (MLC) (DEA)
POZEN INC.,	16-cv-04921 (MLC) (DEA)
Plaintiffs,	
v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED, and MYLAN, INC., Defendants.	
HORIZON PHARMA, INC., HORI- ZON PHARMA USA, INC., and POZEN INC., Plaintiffs,	Civil Action Nos. 15-cv-03326 (MLC) (DEA) 16-cv-04920 (MLC) (DEA)
v. LUPIN LTD. and LUPIN PHARMA- CEUTICALS INC.,	
Defendants.	

## [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT UNDER RULE 54(b)

Patent Owner's Ex. 2077 IPR2018-01341 Page 1 of 3 WHEREAS, this matter was raised to the Court by way of DRL's<sup>1</sup> letter motion requesting entry of judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b) ("Motion").

WHEREAS, on April 24, 2017, DRL moved, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(c) and 12(b)(1), to dismiss Counts I and II of Plaintiffs<sup>2</sup> first amended complaint in Case No. 16-cv-04918, which alleged that DRL ANDA Nos. 202461 and 204206 infringed U.S. Patent No. 8,945,621 ("the '621 patent") (ECF No. 53 in No. 16-cv-04918-SRC-CLW), and on August 18, 2017, the Court issued its Opinion ("First Opinion," ECF Nos. 98 (sealed)) and Order ("First Order," ECF 99 in No. 16-cv-04918-SRC-CLW) granting, with prejudice, DRL's motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' claims of infringement under the '621 patent with respect to DRL AN-DA 204206.

WHEREAS, on May 16, 2018 DRL and Plaintiffs entered a Stipulation that applied the Court's First Order and First Opinion to DRL ANDA No. 202461 (ECF No. 106 in 15-3324) ("Stipulation").

WHEREAS, on August 10, 2018, DRL moved, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and 35 U.S.C. § 112, for an order granting summary judgment and invalidating the claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,220,698 ("the '698 patent") and 9,393,208 ("the '208 patent") (ECF No. 118 in No. 15-cv-03324-SRC-CLW), and, on November 19, 2018, the Court issued its Opinion ("Second Opinion") and Order ("Second Order") determining that all claims of Plaintiffs' '698 patent and '208 patent are invalid for indefiniteness pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112. ECF Nos. 162, 163 in No. 15-cv-03324-SRC-CLW.

WHEREAS, to facilitate timely appeal of the Court's First and Second Opinions and First and Second Orders, DRL requests entry of judgment under Rule 54(b) consistent with the First and Second Opinions and First and Second Orders as to Plaintiffs' claims for infringement of the '621, '698, and '208 patents.

WHEREAS, the balance of the equities and interests of judicial administration favor entry of final judgment under Rule 54(b) as requested by DRL.

WHEREAS, there is no just reason for delaying entry of final judgment under Rule 54(b) as requested by DRL.

Patent Owner's Ex. 2077 IPR2018-01341 Page 2 of 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "DRL" refers to Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc. and Dr. Reddy's Laboratories LTD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Plaintiffs" refers to Horizon Pharma, Inc. and Horizon Pharma USA, Inc. ("Horizon"), and Pozen Inc. ("Pozen").

Accordingly, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED:

1. For the reasons stated in the Court's First Opinion and Stipulation, Plaintiffs failed to state a claim of infringement of the '621 patent against DRL.

2. For the reasons stated in the Court's Second Opinion, all claims of the '698 and '208 patents are invalid for indefiniteness.

3. For the reasons stated in the Court's First and Second Opinions, FI-NAL JUDGMENT is hereby entered under Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in favor of DRL as to Plaintiffs' claims for infringement of the '621, '698, and '208 patents.

DATED: \_ 1 / 2 2 / 19

Honorable Stanley R. Chesler United States District Judge

Patent Owner's Ex. 2077 IPR2018-01341 Page 3 of 3