

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

INVENSAS BONDING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00001 (Patent 7,553,744 B2),
Cases IPR2019-00004 and IPR2019-00005 (Patent 7,807,549 B2)
Case IPR2019-00006 (Patent 7,871,898 B2)
Case IPR2019-00007 (Patent 9,431,368 B2)
Cases IPR2019-00020 and IPR2019-00021 (Patent 9,391,143 B2), and
Cases IPR2019-00022 and IPR2019-00023 (Patent 8,153,505 B2)

Before KEN B. BARRETT, FRANCES L. IPPOLITO, and
CHRISTOPHER C. KENNEDY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BARRETT, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Dismissing Petition Pursuant to Settlement
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71(a), 42.74

IPR2019-00001 (Patent 7,553,744 B2)
IPR2019-00004, IPR2019-00005 (Patent 7,807,549 B2)
IPR2019-00006 (Patent 7,871,898 B2)
IPR2019-00007 (Patent 9,431,368 B2)
IPR2019-00020, IPR2019-00021 (Patent 9,391,143 B2)
IPR2019-00022, IPR2019-00023 (Patent 8,153,505 B2)

The parties filed, in each of the captioned cases, a “Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74” and a “Joint Request to File Settlement Agreement and Consent Letter as Business Confidential Information under 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74.” *E.g.*, IPR2019-00001, Papers 9, 10.¹ The parties also filed under seal a copy of a written settlement agreement and a consent letter. Exhibits 1020, 1021.

Patent Owner has not filed Preliminary Responses in these cases, and the Board has not yet issued institution decisions. The parties represent that they have stipulated to a dismissal with prejudice of the related District Court action and that “[t]he Parties have settled their dispute.” Paper 9, 1. Under these circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to dismiss the Petition. *See* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5(a), 42.71(a). After reviewing the parties’ settlement agreement and associated consent letter, we find that the settlement agreement and consent letter contain confidential business information regarding the terms of settlement and good cause exists to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b).

This paper does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

¹ Unless otherwise noted, citations herein are to papers filed in IPR2019-00001. The same or similar papers were filed in the other captioned cases.

IPR2019-00001 (Patent 7,553,744 B2)
IPR2019-00004, IPR2019-00005 (Patent 7,807,549 B2)
IPR2019-00006 (Patent 7,871,898 B2)
IPR2019-00007 (Patent 9,431,368 B2)
IPR2019-00020, IPR2019-00021 (Patent 9,391,143 B2)
IPR2019-00022, IPR2019-00023 (Patent 8,153,505 B2)

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Petition in each of the captioned cases is
dismissed;

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' settlement agreement and consent letter (IPR2019-00001 and IPR2019-00006, Exhibits 1020, 1021; IPR2019-00004 and IPR2019-00005, Exhibits 1021, 1022; IPR2019-00007, Exhibits 1014, 1015; IPR2019-00020, Exhibits 1015, 1016; IPR2019-00021, Exhibits 1018, 1019; IPR2019-00022, Exhibits 1027, 1028; IPR2019-00023, Exhibits 1026, 1027) shall be treated as business confidential information and kept separate from the files of each subject patent and remain designated as "Parties and Board Only."

IPR2019-00001 (Patent 7,553,744 B2)
IPR2019-00004, IPR2019-00005 (Patent 7,807,549 B2)
IPR2019-00006 (Patent 7,871,898 B2)
IPR2019-00007 (Patent 9,431,368 B2)
IPR2019-00020, IPR2019-00021 (Patent 9,391,143 B2)
IPR2019-00022, IPR2019-00023 (Patent 8,153,505 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Marc Pensabene
mpensabene@omm.com

Nicholas Whilt
nwhilt@omm.com

Laura Bayne
lbayne@omm.com

Clarence Rowland
crowland@omm.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Bob Steinberg
bob.steinberg@lw.com

Jon Strang
jonathan.strang@lw.com

Matthew Moore
matthew.moore@lw.com