

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 7,969,925

Filing Date: July 8, 2010

Issue Date: June 28, 2011

Title: Peer-to-Peer Mobile Data Transfer Method and Device

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2019-00702

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 42.70(a), Petitioner, Apple Inc. requests oral argument on the issues set forth below at a place and time set by the Board. Oral argument is presently scheduled for May 21, 2020 (Paper No. 8, “Scheduling Order”).

Issues to be Presented by Petitioner at Oral Argument:

1. Proper construction of the relevant claims of the ’925 patent;
2. Arguments and evidence that claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, and 17-20 are invalid under Section 103 over the combination of Alos and RFC793;
3. Arguments and evidence that claims 2, 9 and 16 are invalid under Section 103 over the combination of Alos, RFC793, SMS Specification and WMA;
4. Arguments and evidence that claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, 17-20 are invalid under Section 103 over the combination of Cordenier and RFC 793;
5. Arguments and evidence that claims 2, 9 and 16 are invalid under Section 103 over the combination of Cordenier, RFC793 and Dorenbosch;
6. Arguments and evidence that claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, 17-20 are invalid under Section 103 over the combination of Lee, RFC793 and SMS Specification;
7. Arguments and evidence that claims 2, 9 and 16 are invalid under Section 103 over the combination of Lee, RFC793, SMS Specification and WMA;

8. Rebuttal to Patent Owner’s argument and evidence on all matters including the issues listed above.

Per the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office’s notice of March 13, 2020, Petitioner understands that in-person oral hearings have been suspended for the foreseeable future. If this oral hearing cannot be held in person, Petitioner requests that the hearing take place via video conference. Petitioner requests the ability to present demonstratives and exhibits to the Board and the ability to have two of Petitioner’s counsel attend the video conference from separate locations.

If this oral hearing can be held in person, Petitioner requests the ability to use a computer, projector, and screen to display possible demonstratives and exhibits. Petitioner also requests that two attorneys at Petitioner’s counsel’s table be allowed to use computers at the hearing (in addition to the counsel making the argument using his or her computer to show the demonstratives), to avoid the need for the parties to bring entire paper copies of the record into the hearing room and to facilitate efficient answering of panel questions.

Dated: March 31, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

/Brian K. Erickson 48,895/

Brian Erickson
Reg. No. 48,895
DLA Piper LLP (US)
401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2500
Austin, TX 78701

brian.erickson@dlapiper.com
Phone: 512-457-7059
Fax: 512-721-2263

James M. Heintz
Reg. No. 41,828
DLA Piper LLP (US)
11911 Freedom Drive, Suite 300
Reston, VA 20190
Jim.heintz@dlapiper.com
Phone: 703-773-4148
Fax: 703-773-5200

Attorneys for Petitioner Apple Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies service of a copy of this document on the Patent Owner’s counsel of record pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(b) by electronic mail to the following:

Ryan Loveless
Brett Mangrum
James Etheridge
Jeffrey Huang
Etheridge Law Group
2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Suite 120-324
Southlake, TX 76092
ryan@etheridgelaw.com
brett@etheridgelaw.com
jim@etheridgelaw.com
jeff@etheridgelaw.com

Dated: March 31, 2020

/Brian Erickson/

Brian Erickson, Reg. No. 48,895

Attorney for Petitioner Apple Inc.