

<i>Comcast's Cases</i>	Citation	Distinguishable
<i>Harvey Audio Holding v. 1964</i>	6:16-cv-004909, D.I. 85	The motion to stay was unopposed .
<i>MD Security Solutions LLC v. Protection I, Inc.</i>	6:15-cv-01968, D.I. 38	The motion to stay was unopposed .
<i>Buoy Unlimited Inc. v. Hanesbrands</i>	6:15-cv-00296, D.I. 58	The court order is unpublished, boilerplate, and does not explain why it was granted.
<i>Visual Real Estate, Inc. v. Google</i>	3:14-cv-00274, D.I. 50	The motion to stay was unopposed .
<i>TAS Energy, Inc. v. Stellar Energy Americas</i>	8:14-cv-03145, D.I. 216	The motion was unopposed. The Court stated: “[T]he parties are in substantial agreement that this action should be stayed pending resolution of three IPRs currently pending before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.” (emphasis added)
<i>CANVAS Corp. v. Nivisys, LLC</i>	2:14-cv-00099, D.I. 40; 2014 WL 6883123	The Court makes clear that the case was in the early stages, stating that: “The relative early stage of litigation in this case weighs in favor of granting the stay. . . The parties have more than seven months to complete fact discovery and eight months to complete expert discovery, and the trial is set for the May 2016 term. ” (emphasis added).
<i>CANVAS Corp. v. FLIR Sys.</i>	2;14-CV-00180, D.I. 36; 2014 WL 6883127, *3	The court made clear that the case was in the early stages, stating that: “The early stage of litigation in this case weighs in favor of granting the stay. The parties have more than nine months to complete fact

		discovery and ten months to complete expert discovery, and the trial is set for the May 2016 term. ” (emphasis added) (internal cites omitted).
<i>Automatic Mfg. Sys., Inc. v. Primera Tech</i>	6:12-cv-01727, D.I. 58; 2013 WL 6133763, at *2	The court made clear that the case was in the early stages, stating that: “Finally, this action is still in its early stages. Discovery remains open for another eight months . . . and trial is not set until the March 2015 term.”
<i>U.S. Nutraceuticals v. Cyanotech</i>	5:12-cv-00366, D.I. 127; 2014 WL 12617592, *1	The Court denied the motion to stay.

Full docket text for document 85:

ENDORSED ORDER granting [84] **Unopposed Motion to Stay**. On or before February 26, 2018, and every 180 days thereafter, the parties shall file a report as to the status of the IRP process. Signed by Judge Carlos E. Mendoza on 2/12/2018. (KMS)

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
01/15/2020 17:35:54			
PACER Login:	RGMacs2011:5156734:0	Client Code:	234.3
Description:	History/Documents	Search Criteria:	6:16-cv-00409-CEM-LRH
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO DIVISION

MD SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No: 6:15-cv-1968-Orl-40GJK

PROTECTION 1, INC.,

Defendant.

ORDER

This cause comes before the Court upon review of the **Joint Motion for a Stay** of the Proceedings Pending Outcome of *inter partes* Review (Doc. 37), filed on June 20, 2016. The parties state that on June 6, 2016, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office instituted an *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,864,983¹, the patent asserted in this case.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

1. The **Joint Motion for Stay** of the Proceedings Pending Outcome of *inter partes* Review (Doc. 37) is **GRANTED**.
2. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to administratively close the case.
3. The parties are **DIRECTED** to file a joint status report on September 22, 2016 and every ninety (90) days thereafter.
4. The parties are further **DIRECTED** to notify the Court within fourteen (14) days of the termination of the RPX IPR.

¹ RPX Corporation v. MD Security Solutions, LLC, Case IPR2016-00285 ("RPX IPR").

DONE AND ORDERED in Orlando, Florida on June 24, 2016.



PAUL G. BYRON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies furnished to:

Counsel of Record
Unrepresented Parties

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO DIVISION

BUOY UNLIMITED, INC.,
Plaintiff,

v.

Case No: 6:15-cv-296-Orl-28KRS

HANESBRANDS, INC., and
HANESBRANDS DIRECT, LLC,
Defendants.

ORDER

This case is before the Court on Defendants' Renewed Motion to Stay Pending Resolution of *Inter Partes* Reviews (Doc. 55). Having considered the motion and Plaintiff's Opposition (Doc. 57), the Court concludes that the motion is due to be granted.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** as follows:

1. Defendants' Renewed Motion to Stay Pending Resolution of *Inter Partes* Reviews (Doc. 55) is **GRANTED**.

2. This case is **STAYED** in its entirety pending Final Written Decisions by the USPTO in the IPR proceedings. All deadlines are **suspended** and all scheduled hearings are **cancelled**.

3. Defendants shall file a status report regarding the IPR proceedings every ninety days from the date of this Order.

4. The Clerk is directed to administratively close this file.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Orlando, Florida, on December 3, 2015.


JOHN ANTOON II
United States District Judge

Copies furnished to:
Counsel of Record

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

VISUAL REAL ESTATE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 3:14-cv-274-J-32JRK

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

ORDER

This case is before the Court on the parties' Second **Joint Stipulated Motion to Stay** (Doc. 49). On September 5, 2014, the Court granted the parties' first stipulated motion to stay the case pending the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's ("PTO") decision on four petitions for administrative inter partes review of the patents asserted in this case. (Doc. 46.) The parties advise that the PTO has now granted all four petitions and ask that the case remain stayed pending final determinations in the four inter partes reviews, which the PTO is statutorily bound to make within a year of instituting review unless good cause is shown to extend that deadline for not more than six months. (Doc. 49.) Upon review of the stipulated motion, it is hereby


ORDERED:

1. The **Second Joint Stipulated Motion to Stay** (Doc. 49) is **GRANTED**.
2. The case remains stayed until further order of the Court.
3. The parties shall promptly notify the Court of the issuance of any final determination by the Patent and Trial Appeal Board in any inter partes review of a

patent asserted in this case.

4. By the earlier of **March 18, 2016** or **thirty days from the last-issued Patent and Trial Appeal Board determination**, the parties shall meet and confer and shall file a joint notice informing the Court of the results of the final determinations and the parties' positions regarding whether the case should remain stayed and for how long.

DONE AND ORDERED at Jacksonville, Florida this 19th day of March, 2015.



TIMOTHY J. CORRIGAN
United States District Judge

bjb
Copies:

Counsel of record

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION

TAS ENERGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No: 8:14-cv-3145-T-30MAP

STELLAR ENERGY AMERICAS, INC.,
AMEC FOSTER WHEELER
KAMTECH, INC. and DUKE ENERGY
FLORIDA, INC.,

Defendants.

ORDER

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on Defendants' Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") (Dkt. 210), Plaintiff's Partial Objection to the Motion (Dkt. 214), and Defendants' Notice of Supplemental Local Rule 3.01(g) Certification (Dkt. 215).


In this patent infringement action, the parties are in substantial agreement that this action should be stayed pending the resolution of three IPR's currently pending before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board—specifically IPR Nos. IPR2015-00882, IPR2015-00886, and IPR2015-01655. Having reviewed the filings and the applicable law, the Court agrees that a stay is appropriate.

It is therefore ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that:

1. Defendants' Motion to Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review is GRANTED in part.

2. The Clerk is directed to STAY this case pending the resolution of IPR Nos. IPR2015-00882, IPR2015-00886, and IPR2015-01655, including any appeals.
3. The parties shall file a joint report within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the *inter partes* review and exhaustion of appeals, informing the Court of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's decision and, if applicable, requesting the Court to lift the stay.
4. In light of this Order, all pending motions are DENIED as moot. Should any of those motions again become ripe, the parties may re-file.
5. The Clerk is further directed to administratively close this file.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Tampa, Florida, this 21st day of October, 2015.



JAMES S. MOODY, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies furnished to:
Counsel/Parties of Record