UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD HULU, LLC, and NETFLIX, INC., Petitioners, v. UNILOC 2017 LLC, Patent Owner. Case IPR2020-00008

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PETITIONER HULU, LLC PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317

Patent 9,721,273 B2

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Petitioner Hulu, LLC ("Hulu") and Patent Owner Uniloc 2017 LLC ("Patent Owner") jointly move to request the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("Board") to terminate the present *inter* partes review proceeding with respect to Petitioner Hulu.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Hulu and Patent Owner have reached an agreement to settle this *inter partes* review proceeding. A "Joint Request That Settlement Agreement Be Treated as Business Confidential Information and Kept Separate Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74" is being filed concurrently with this Joint Motion to Terminate in reference to sealing of the settlement agreements. See 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) (requiring parties to file agreements in writing with the Office). The Board provided authorization to file this motion in an email dated January 21, 2020. A joint motion to terminate generally must "(1) include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (2) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patents at issue; (3) identify any related proceedings currently before the Office, and (4) discuss specifically the current status of each such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding." Heartland Tanning, Inc. v. Sunless, Inc., IPR2014-00018, Paper 26 at 2 (PTAB July 28, 2014).

(1) **Brief Explanation**. Termination is appropriate in this case because the parties have settled their dispute.

- (2) **Related Litigation**. The following litigations concern the '273 Patent:
 - a) Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Netflix, Inc., Case No. 8:18-cv-2055-GW-DFMx (C.D. Cal.) (filed November 17, 2018); and
 - b) Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Hulu, LLC, Case No. 8:18-cv-2058-GW-DFWx (filed November 17, 2018).

II. ARGUMENT

The Board should terminate this proceeding as to Hulu, as the parties jointly request, for the following reasons.

First, Hulu and Patent Owner have met the statutory requirement that they file a "joint request" to terminate before the Office "has decided the merits of the proceeding." 35 U.S.C. § 317(a). Under section 317(a), an *inter partes* review shall be terminated upon such joint request "unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed." There are no other preconditions of 35 U.S.C. § 317(a).

Second, Hulu and Patent Owner have reached a settlement as to all the disputes in this proceeding and as to the '273 Patent. A true copy of the settlement agreement is filed concurrently herewith. *See* Ex. 1022. Hulu and Patent Owner request that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information, and be kept separate from the files of this proceeding in accordance with 37 C.F.R.

§ 42.74(c). No other such agreements, written or oral, exist between or among the parties.

Accordingly, Hulu and Patent Owner jointly certify that there are no other written or oral agreements or understandings, including any collateral agreements, between them, including but not limited to licenses, covenants not to sue, confidentiality agreements, payment agreements, or other agreements of any kind, that are made in connection with or in contemplation of, the termination of the instant proceeding with respect to Hulu.

In addition, the IPR Petition was accorded a filing date on October 11, 2019 and is thus at a very early stage. Further, the Board has not decided on the merits of the case.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Hulu and Patent Owners respectfully request termination of this *inter partes* review of the '273 Patent with respect to Hulu.

Respectfully submitted, /Babak Tehranchi/

Lead Counsel Babak Tehranchi, Reg. No. 55,937 PERKINS COIE LLP 11452 El Camino Real, Suite 300

San Diego, California 92130-2080 Telephone: (858) 720-5700

Facsimile: (858) 720-5822

Back-up Counsels Matthew C. Bernstein, *Pro Hac Vice* Patrick J. McKeever, Reg. No. 66,019 Martin E. Gilmore, *Pro Hac Vice*

Attorneys for Petitioners

Date: <u>January 23, 2020</u>

Respectfully submitted, /Brett A. Mangrum/

Lead Counsel Ryan Loveless, Reg. No. 51,970 ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP

2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Ste. 120-324

Southlake, Texas 76092 Telephone: (972) 292-8303

Back-up Counsels Brett Mangrum, Reg. No. 64,783 James Etheridge, Reg. No. 37,614 Jeffrey Huang, Reg. No. 68,639

Attorneys for Patent Owner

Date: January 23, 2020

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the following document has been served in its entirety by filing the JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE

PETITIONER HULU, LLC PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317 through the USPTO

Patent Trial and Appeal Board End-to-End System, as well as by causing the aforementioned document to be electronically mailed, pursuant to the parties' agreement, to the following attorneys of record for the Patent Owner listed below:

Ryan Loveless (Reg. No. 51,970) ryan@etheridgelaw.com

Brett Mangrum (Reg. No. 64,783) brett@etheridgelaw.com

James Etheridge (Reg. No. 37,614) jim@etheridgelaw.com

Jeffrey Huang (Reg. No. 68,639) jeff@etheridgelaw.com

ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP 2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Ste. 120-324 Southlake, TX 76092

Dated: January 23, 2020 By: /Amy Candeloro/

Paralegal PERKINS COIE LLP