

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DOLBY LABORATORIES, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2020-00660 (Patent 6,785,815 B2)  
IPR2020-01105 (Patent 8,191,157 B2)  
IPR2020-01106 (Patent 8,191,157 B2)  
IPR2020-01209 (Patent 7,694,342 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before MICHAEL R. ZECHER, KIMBERLY McGRAW, and  
CHRISTOPHER L. OGDEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ZECHER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION  
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial  
*35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.72*

---

<sup>1</sup> This Decision addresses an issue that is identical in all four proceedings. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Decision to be filed in each proceeding.

IPR2020-00660 (Patent 6,785,815 B2)  
IPR2020-01105 (Patent 8,191,157 B2)  
IPR2020-01106 (Patent 8,191,157 B2)  
IPR2020-01209 (Patent 7,694,342 B2)

## I. DISCUSSION

On April 15, 2021, the parties filed the following two documents in each of the proceedings identified above: (1) a Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings (Paper 24, “Mot.”)<sup>2</sup>; and (2) what appears to be a true copy of an Order entered in the district court case captioned *Dolby Laboratories, Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.*, No. 3:19-cv-03371-EMC (N.D. Cal.) (Ex. 2031, “Joint Stipulation”) that narrows the disputes between Petitioner, Dolby Laboratories, Inc. (“Dolby”), and Patent Owner, Intertrust Technologies Corporation (“Intertrust”), by, amongst other things, stipulating to terminate the following four proceedings: IPR2020-00660 (involving U.S. Patent No. 6,785,815 B2 (“the ’815 patent”)); IPR2020-01105 (involving U.S. Patent No. 8,191,157 B2 (“the ’157 patent”)); IPR2020-01106 (also involving the ’157 patent); and IPR2020-01209 (involving U.S. Patent No. 7,694,342 B2 (“the ’342 patent”)). For the following reasons, we *grant* the parties’ Joint Motions to Terminate Proceedings.

Each trial is still in its early stages. On October 15, 2020, we entered a decision instituting an *inter partes* review of claims 42 and 43 of the ’815 patent. IPR2020-00660, Paper 14. On January 5, 2021, we entered the following two additional decisions: (1) a decision instituting an *inter partes* review of claims 69–75, 80, 81, and 84 of the ’157 patent (IPR2020-01105, Paper 14); and (2) a decision instituting an *inter partes* review of claims 86–90, 95, 96, and 99 of the ’157 patent (IPR2020-01106, Paper 12). Lastly, on February 16, 2021, we entered a decision instituting an *inter partes* review of claims 1, 7, 8, and 12 of the ’342

---

<sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise noted, all citations are to the papers and exhibits filed in IPR2020-00660. Similar papers and exhibits were filed in IPR2020-01105, IPR2020-01106, and IPR2020-01209.

IPR2020-00660 (Patent 6,785,815 B2)  
IPR2020-01105 (Patent 8,191,157 B2)  
IPR2020-01106 (Patent 8,191,157 B2)  
IPR2020-01209 (Patent 7,694,342 B2)

patent. IPR2020-01209, Paper 9. Since we entered these decisions granting institution, Intertrust has filed a Patent Owner Response in IPR2020-00660, Paper 20, but it has not yet filed a Patent Owner Response in each of IPR2020-01105, IPR2020-01106, and IPR2020-01209. Most importantly, substantive briefing is not yet completed in these proceedings and we have not yet decided the merits.

In the Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings, the parties indicate that they “have reached agreement to narrow disputes between them . . . pursuant to the terms and conditions of [the] Joint Stipulation made in writing.” Mot. 1. The parties represent that the Joint Stipulation was entered as an Order in the district court case on April 14, 2021, and that, “[p]ursuant to the [Joint] Stipulation, the Parties agreed to jointly move to terminate” all four proceedings. *Id.* (citing Ex. 2031 ¶ 4). The parties also represent that “there are no other collateral agreements or understandings, oral or written, between [Dolby and Intertrust] made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of [these] proceeding[s].” *Id.* at 2.

Under these particular circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate the trial in each proceeding without rendering any further decisions. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.72.

## II. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby ORDERED that the Joint Motions to Terminate Proceedings are *granted*, and these proceeding are hereby terminated.

IPR2020-00660 (Patent 6,785,815 B2)  
IPR2020-01105 (Patent 8,191,157 B2)  
IPR2020-01106 (Patent 8,191,157 B2)  
IPR2020-01209 (Patent 7,694,342 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Scott A. McKeown  
Mark D. Rowland  
Keyna Chow (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Victor Cheung  
Shrut Kirti  
ROPES & GRAY LLP  
scott.mckeown@ropesgray.com  
mark.rowland@ropesgray.com  
keyna.chow@ropesgray.com  
victor.cheung@ropesgray.com  
shrut.kirti@ropesgray.com

Leslie Spencer  
DESMARAIS LLP  
lspencer@desmaraisllp.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Christopher Mathews  
Razmig Messerian  
Jim M. Glass  
Tigran Guledjian  
Scott Florance  
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP  
chrismathews@quinnemanuel.com  
razmessengerian@quinnemanuel.com  
jimglass@quinnemanuel.com  
tigranguledjian@quinnemanuel.com  
scottflorance@quinnemanuel.com