



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS washington, D.C. 20221 statuspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/435,757	05/09/1995	JOHN C. HARVEY	5634.036	4555	
21967	7590 03/21/2002	(a)			
HUNTON & WILLIAMS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			MEHTA, BHAVESH M		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006-1109	10	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
))	2611	12.70	
5)	6		DATE MAILED: 03/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



MAR 2 6 2002

ببكل

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

, * • •			*	Application	10.	Applicant(s		
	nterview Su	mmarv		08/435757		HARVEY ET AL.		
interview Summ		initiary	Examir			Art Unit		*
. *			1	Andrew Faile		2611	100	
All participants (a	applicant, applic	cant's representa	tive, PTO p	ersonnel):				
(4) Andrew 5-11-		9		(2)				
(1) Andrew Faile.				(3)				
(2) <u>Joseph Guilia</u>	no(Reg. No. 36	5 <u>,539)</u> .		(4)	8	y. 86		
Date of Intervie	ew: <u>05 March 20</u>	<u>002</u> .		X			÷c	
		☐ Video Confer ven to: 1)☐ ap _l		☐ applicant	's representati	ive]		
Exhibit shown or If Yes, brief d	demonstration lescription:	• –	Yes	e) No.			E	
Claim(s) discusse	ed: <u>n/a</u> .) E	
Identification of p	rior art discusse	ed: <u>n/a</u> .		40				
Agreement with r	espect to the cl	aims f)□ was i	eached.	a)∏ was no	t reached. h)	⊠ N/A.		
		,—	*	., _	.,	_	1	
Substance of Intereached, or any o					was agreed to	o if an agreem	ent was	
(A fuller description allowable, if available allowable is available	able, must be a	ttached. Also, w	here no co	by of the ame				aims
i)⊡ It is n checl		or applicant to pro	ovide a sep	arate record	of the substan	ce of the inter	view(if box i	s
Unless the paragr MUST INCLUDE action has already STATEMENT OF reverse side or on	THE SUBSTAN y been filed, AP THE SUBSTAN	ICE OF THE INT PLICANT IS GIV NCE OF THE INT	ERVIEW. EN ONE N	(See MPEP :	Section 713.04 M THIS INTER	4). If a reply to RVIEW DATE	the last Of	fice
	ď	B.	a ü			40	(3)	
	8		4				6	# #/
		#0. Et	Ē.					
ą.		29				4		
	*					T II III III	8	
167	10				e 5 a	:4		
52		0		n e	1	1, 2:0		
	.1 .2	42			4	ampure		
					CHDEDVICO	ndhew faile Pry patent ex	AMINED	
ia .			81	0		LOGY CENTER		
Examiner Note: You Attachment to a sign		orm unless it is an		F		nature, if requi		ā
			- 14		1. 100 13.	-,		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 28.



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items;
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an Interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No.

V8/435,757

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Supervisory Patent Examiner has reviewed the attachments mailed with the Notice of Nonresponsiveness dated September 10, 2001. The attachments appear to contain numerous allegations directed to applicants' and applicants' counsels' conduct in prosecuting the instant and related applications. In accordance with Section 2010 of the MPEP, the USPTO has not conducted any investigation of the allegations set forth in the attachments. Accordingly, the Supervisory Patent Examiner has determined that the allegations made in and the conclusions drawn from the attachments are unrelated to the issue of patentability of the subject matter claimed in applicants' pending applications and were not made pursuant to a duty of the Examiner imposed by law. The September 10, 2001 Notice of Nonresponsiveness is hereby withdrawn.

The Supervisory Patent Examiner acknowledges that papers similar to or the same as the attachments to the September 10, 2001 Notice of Nonresponsiveness were mailed, or may have been referred to, in connection with applicants' copending applications identified below. Any inconvenience to applicants is regretted. Applicants are hereby notified that a copy of this Interview Summary will be made of record in each of the applications noted below. No further action is required to the Notice of Nonresponsiveness in this or any of the application serial numbers noted below.

08/397636		08/437791			08/441701
08/474964		08/452395	101	., 6	08/446431
08/449263		08/449523		*	08/449281
08/488439		08/474146			08/477805
08/485283		08/449532			08/475342
08/487851		08/473484			08/486258
08/435757		08/441880			08/444756
08/470448	F.:	08/474139			08/487408
08/446494		08/459216			08/511491
08/469612		08/488378			08/498002
08/113329		08/437864			