Paper 3

Tel: 571-272-7822 Entered: May 6, 2020

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, INC., D/B/A TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES POWER EQUIPMENT,
Petitioner,

v.

CHERVON (HK) LIMITED, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-00883 (Patent 9,060,463)

Case IPR2020-00884 (Patent 9,596,806)

Case IPR2020-00885 (Patent 9,648,805)

Case IPR2020-00886 (Patent 9,826,686)

Case IPR2020-00887 (Patent 9,986,686)

Case IPR2020-00888 (Patent 10,070,588)

Case PGR2020-00059 (Patent 10,477,772)

Case PGR2020-00060 (Patent 10,485,176)

Case PGR2020-00061 (Patent 10,524,420)1

PER CURIAM.

DECISION

Accepting as Sufficient Electronic Service of Petitions on Patent Owner $37 C.F.R. \ \S \ 42.6(e)(1), \ 42.105(b)$

¹ The parties are not authorized to utilize this joint caption.

```
Case IPR2020-00883 (Patent 9,060,463)
Case IPR2020-00884 (Patent 9,596,806)
Case IPR2020-00885 (Patent 9,648,805)
Case IPR2020-00886 (Patent 9,826,686)
Case IPR2020-00887 (Patent 9,986,686)
Case IPR2020-00888 (Patent 10,070,588)
Case PGR2020-00059 (Patent 10,477,772)
Case PGR2020-00060 (Patent 10,485,176)
Case PGR2020-00061 (Patent 10,524,420)
```

Each of the Petitions in the referenced matters is accompanied by a certificate of service indicating Patent Owner was served "by electronic copy of this Petition" along with "supporting material." *E.g.* IPR2020-00883, Paper 1, 33. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e)(1), 42.105(b), electronic service is permissible upon agreement of the parties. Petitioner clarifies by email to the Board that counsel for Patent Owner in related litigation agreed to electronic service of these Petitions prior to filing of the Petitions. Ex. 3001. The parties' agreement was aimed at "avoid[ing] printing, shipping, and receiving paper copies" based on "everyone's workfrom-home situation due to Covid-19." *Id.* In light of Petitioner's clarification and the reasoning underpinning the parties' agreement, the Board deems Petitioner's certificate of service acceptable, based on the current record. If Patent Owner disputes sufficiency of service, Patent Owner should notify the Board by email to Trials@uspto.gov within thirty (30) days of this Order.

Case IPR2020-00883 (Patent 9,060,463)

Case IPR2020-00884 (Patent 9,596,806)

Case IPR2020-00885 (Patent 9,648,805)

Case IPR2020-00886 (Patent 9,826,686)

Case IPR2020-00887 (Patent 9,986,686)

Case IPR2020-00888 (Patent 10,070,588)

Case PGR2020-00059 (Patent 10,477,772)

Case PGR2020-00060 (Patent 10,485,176)

Case PGR2020-00061 (Patent 10,524,420)

For PETITIONER:

Edward H. Sikorski Ed.Sikorski@dlapiper.com James M. Heintz James.Heintz@dlapiper.com Tiffany Miller Tiffany.Miller@dlapiper.com

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

For PATENT OWNER (as identified by Petitioner, no appearances yet entered):

Keith R. Jarosik
jarosikk@gtlaw.com
Benjamin J. Schladweiler
SchladweilerB@gtlaw.com
Michael A. Nicodema
NicodemaM@gtlaw.com
James J. Lukas, Jr.
LukasL@gtlaw.com
Matthew S. Levinstein
LevinsteinM@gtlaw.com
Callie J. Sand
SandC@gtlaw.com
Benjamin P. Gilford
GilfordB@gtlaw.com

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP