
From: Taylor, David <dtaylor@exegy.com>
Sent: Monday, January 18, 2021 11:26 AM
To: Thomas, Michael
Cc: Cutler, Matthew; Franklin, Charlie; MTGroup
Subject: RE: '115 IPR [HDP-stl_legal.FID1324768]
Attachments: RTP evidence for 115.docx; XTP2.pdf; Thunderdome_req_email_20080423.pdf; Thunderdome.pdf; OPI_email_20080821.pdf; OPI_email_20080822.pdf; braveheart_email20080909.pdf; braveheart_sprint1.pdf; Order and Price Cache (OPI) - Exegy Engineering Wiki.pdf; DTaylor_notes_20071115.pdf

EXTERNAL EMAIL

Mike, et. al.,

Please find attached a document and supporting evidence for the 115 reduction to practice. As noted, we are still working to restore old backups to provide additional evidence, if necessary.

David

From: Thomas, Michael
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 3:23 PM
To: Taylor, David
Cc: Cutler, Matthew ; Franklin, Charlie ; MTGroup
Subject: RE: '115 IPR [HDP-stl_legal.FID1324768]

David,

This is a follow up to our emails below (regarding item 1 in my initial email).

If Exegy can prove an actual reduction to practice (RTP) of the claimed invention before the Parsons publication date, we can eliminate Parsons as prior art. [REDACTED]

If the claimed invention was not reduced to practice before Oct 2, 2008, we will need evidence proving conception of the claimed invention before Oct 2, 2008. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Types of evidence that may demonstrate conception include lab notebooks, invention disclosure forms, presentation slides, testing results, photographs of prototypes, emails, metadata associated with documents/folders (e.g., showing when the documents/folders were created, accessed, modified, etc.), minutes from meetings (e.g., R&D meetings, team meetings, board meetings, progress meetings, etc.).

Regards,
Mike