In the Matter of:

MediaTek Inc. et al vs

Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

James T. Geier

July 29, 2021

68 Commercial Wharf • Boston, MA 02110 888.825.3376 - 617.399.0130 Global Coverage court-reporting.com



MediaTek Exhibit 1061 MediaTek v. Nippon IPR2020-01404

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Γ

1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3	CASE NO. IPR2020-01404
4	PATENT NO. 7,280,551
5	
6	
7	******
8	MEDIATEK INC. and MEDIATEK USA INC.
9	Petitioner
10	vs.
11	NIPPON TELEGRAPH AND TELEPHONE
12	CORPORATION,
13	Patent Owner
14	*******
15	
16	DEPOSITION OF: JAMES T. GEIER
17	(Take Remotely)
18	2468 Locust Hill Boulevard
19	Beavercreek, Ohio
20	July 29, 2021 9:12 a.m.
21	
22	Darlene M. Coppola
23	Registered Merit Reporter
24	Certified Realtime Reporter

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

1 **APPEARANCES:** Representing the Petitioner: 2 (Via Zoom) 3 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 4 5 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210 6 7 NATHAN R. SPEED, ESQUIRE BY: 8 GREGORY S. NIEBERG, ESQUIRE 9 т 617.720.3500 E gnieberg@wolfgreenfield.com. 10 nspeed@wolfgreenfield.com 11 12 Representing the Patent Owner: 13 (Via Zoom) 14 15 DICKINSON WRIGHT 16 607 West 3rd Street 17 Suite 2500 Austin, TX 78701 18 19 BY: BRYAN D. ATKINSON, ESQUIRE 20 т 512.770.4200 E batkinson@dickinsonwright.com 21 22 23 24

2

		3
1	Also Present:	
2	Val Luc Bernard, Videographer	
3	Yi Huang, MediaTek	
4	Chingting Chen, MediaTek	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Geier July 29, 2021
1		4
	INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION	
3	Witness Name	Page
4	JAMES T. GEIER	
5	Direct By MR. SPEED	. 7
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8	**None marked	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
24		

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

5

(Deposition commencing at 9:13 a.m.) 1 2 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. we are going on the record. It is Thursday, 4 July 29, 2021, and the time is approximately 5 9:13 a.m. Eastern Standard Time. 6 7 This is the video-recorded deposition of Mr. James T. Geier, in the matter of 8 9 MediaTek Inc. and MediaTek USA Inc., the 10 petitioner, versus Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Company, the patent owner, filed in 11 12 the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office before 13 the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Case No. 14 IPR 2020-01404, Patent No. 7280551. This witness is appearing -- this 15 deposition is being taken remotely, and the 16 17 witness is appearing remotely from this address, 2468 Locust Hill Boulevard, 18 Beavercreek, Ohio. 19 My name is Luc Bernard Val, with 20 21 O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions, 22 and I am the legal video specialist on assignment today. I will be recording the 23 proceedings remotely. The court reporter 24

6

1	today is Diane Darlene Coppola sorry
2	Darlene Coppola with O'Brien & Levine Court
3	Reporting Solutions, and she will be reporting
4	this proceeding remotely and is a notary
5	public in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
6	Neither of us is present physically present
7	with the witness.
8	Now will counsel please state their
9	appearances and whom they represent for the
10	record, and acknowledge that in lieu of an
11	oath administered in person, the witness will
12	verbally declare his testimony in this matter
13	under the pains and penalties of perjury and
14	also that counsel consent to this arrangement
15	and waive any objections to this manner of
16	proceeding, after which our court reporter
17	will swear in the witness and we may begin.
18	MR. SPEED: Nathan Speed from
19	wolf Greenfield & Sacks on behalf of the
20	petitioners. We consent to the remote
21	deposition and waive any objections based on
22	the remote status of the deposition.
23	MR. ATKINSON: Brian Atkinson
24	for patent owner Nippon Telegraph and

7

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Telephone Corporation, and we also consent to 1 the remote deposition and waive any objections 2 based on remote deposition. 3 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Anyone else 5 present who needs to be announced? 6 MR. ATKINSON: NO. 7 MR. SPEED: NO. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okav. You 9 may proceed with the swearing in, Darlene. 10 11 JAMES T. GEIER, 12 a witness called for examination by counsel for the Petitioner and being first 13 14 duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined 15 and testified as follows: 16 Thank you. THE STENOGRAPHER: 17 You may proceed. 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. SPEED: 21 Good morning, Mr. Geier. Can you **0**. 22 please spell your full name for the record? 23 Yeah. My name is James Thomas Geier. Α. 24 J-a-m-e-s, T-h-o-m-a-s, G-e-i-e-r.

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Geier July 29, 2021
		8
1	Q. Have you been deposed before?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. About how many times?	
4	A. I don't know the exact number. I	
5	think around 25 to 30 times.	
6	Q. Have you been deposed in this type of	
7	remote deposition setting before?	
8	A. Yes, I have.	
9	Q. So you understand that today you're	
10	under oath?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. If I ask you a question today and it's	
13	unclear, I just ask if you could please let me	
14	know that you find the question confusing or	
15	unclear in some way so that I can rephrase the	
16	question. Is that okay?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. Okay. And if at any point today you	
19	want to take a break, just let me know, and we	
20	can do that. I just ask that we not take a	
21	break while a question is pending, if that's	
22	okay.	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. And given the remote nature of this	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

9

1	today's deposition, can you confirm that
2	during the course of the deposition you won't
3	text or e-mail or look at web pages while
4	we're on the record?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. What did you do to prepare for
7	today's deposition?
8	A. I reviewed my expert report and the
9	other documents that are listed in my report.
10	Q. Did you meet with counsel?
11	A. We talked over the phone.
12	Q. Do you recall how long you talked over
13	the phone with counsel?
14	A. I think it was a couple of hours, two
15	hours maybe, two or three hours.
16	Q. So I'm going to introduce the first
17	exhibit to the eDepoze thing so we'll And
18	what I'm introducing what's been previously
19	marked as Exhibit 2026 in this proceeding.
20	Were you able to get it on your end?
21	A. Yeah, I see it. It appeared.
22	Q. Okay. Perfect. So this is Exhibit
23	2026 to this proceeding.
24	Do you recognize this as your

10 declaration in this proceeding? 1 Yes. At least I'm looking at the 2 Α. 3 first -- the cover page, it says "Declaration of James T. Geier." 4 5 And you should have -- with eDepoze, 0. 6 you have access to the document so you can 7 flip around and go -- and move around if you 8 need to. In light of that, could you flip to 9 page 92 of the document? 10 Okay. I think I'm there. No, I'm not 11 Α. 12 quite there. I can use the go-to. It didn't quite 13 14 line up right, so... It's page 96 of 104 in the go-to? 15 0. 16 Page 92 of the document looks like the Α. 17 signature page. Can you confirm that that's your 18 Q. signature? 19 20 Yes. Α. 21 And if you could go back in the **0**. 22 document to Paragraph 30, which is on page 9 23 of the document. Okay. I'm there. 24 Α.

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Geier July 29, 2021
		11
1	Q. Perfect. And if you look at	
2	Paragraph 30, you provide a list of documents	
3	that you reference in your declaration; is	
4	that right?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. Looking at this list today, is this an	
7	accurate list of materials you considered in	
8	preparing your declaration?	
9	A. I reviewed these particular references	
10	and anything else that's referred to in my	
11	document or in my report here.	
12	Q. Do you recall if you reviewed the	
13	preliminary patent owner response that the	
14	patent owner submitted in this proceeding?	
15	A. I don't think I did. I don't believe	
16	so, but I don't remember specifically unless	
17	it's referred to in my document here.	
18	Q. Okay. And do you recall if you read	
19	the institution decision from the patent trial	
20	appeal board in this proceeding.	
21	A. I don't recall reading it the	
22	actual institution decision.	
23	Q. Okay. In Paragraph 30, you state that	
24	you reviewed the file history of the '551	

Г

		12
1	patent; is that correct?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Why did you review the file history	
4	for the '551 patent?	
5	A. There's a portion of my report where I	
6	talk about that. I think looking at	
7	references that I believe the the	
8	examiner looked at. I don't remember the	
9	exact details of it, but there's a place in my	
10	report where I indicate that I referred to the	
11	prosecution history.	
12	Q. And what do you understand to be the	
13	prosecution history for a particular patent?	
14	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
15	A. Well, in general, it would include	
16	the the process of when someone applied for	
17	a patent until they get the patent and any of	
18	the letters that were transmitted back and	
19	forth about the patent and what the examiner	
20	would have considered as possibly prior art.	
21	Those sorts of things.	
22	BY MR. SPEED:	
23	Q. Do you are you a named inventor on	
24	any patents?	

James T. Geier MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404) July 29, 2021 13 Yes, I am. I'm a coinventor on one 1 Α. 2 patent. Did you review the file histories for 3 Ο. any European patents related to the '551 4 5 patent? I don't remember. 6 Α. 7 were you compensated hourly for the 0. time you spent on your declaration? 8 9 Α. Yes. And what's your hourly rate? 10 Q. It's 375 per hour. 11 Α. 12 Do you recall how many hours you spent **0**. preparing your declaration? 13 14 I don't remember the specific number Α. 15 of hours. 16 Do you know? Was it more than 10 Ο. 17 hours? I believe it was more than 10, yes. 18 Α. 19 Was it more than 100 hours? Q. That, I don't remember if it was more 20 Α. 21 than 100 or not. 22 And have you previously served as an 0. expert witness in a patent matter? 23 24 Α. Yes.

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

1	MR. ATKINSON: Objection.
2	Form.
3	BY MR. SPEED:
4	Q. How many times have you served as an
5	expert in a patent proceeding?
6	A. I guess I'd have to clarify what you
7	mean by that. You mean the number of times
8	I've been deposed as an expert? Or just
9	worked in general for on patent litigation
10	cases? Or
11	Q. Thanks for the clarification. I guess
12	we'll start with well, how many times have
13	you been deposed sorry. Strike that.
14	How many patent litigation matters
15	have you been involved in in which you were
16	deposed during that matter?
17	A. Yeah, I don't know the exact number.
18	I think overall I've been deposed 25 or 30
19	times, but some of those were trade secret
20	cases and contract issues, that sort of thing.
21	The majority of those were patent cases, but I
22	would estimate maybe 20.
23	Q. And do you have a sense of when in
24	your career you started providing expert

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telepho	ne Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

		15
1	witness services for patent litigations?	
2	A. I do. I would say as an independent	
3	consultant, which I've been doing since the	
4	year 2000, when I started that business, I	
5	started expert witness consulting maybe a few	
6	years after that. I don't remember the exact	
7	dates when I started, but it would be roughly	
8	in that time frame.	
9	Q. And you have served as an active	
10	participant within multiple IEEE standard	
11	organizations; is that correct?	
12	MR. ATKINSON: Objection.	
13	Vague. Form.	
14	A. I've been involved in standards	
15	development in multiple capacities, yes.	
16	BY MR. SPEED:	
17	Q. And which IEEE standard organizations	
18	have you been involved with?	
19	A. I've been involved in some degree with	
20	the IEEE 802.11 working group. I've also been	
21	involved	
22	THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. I	
23	missed that. Which working group?	
24	A. The IEEE 802.11 working group. And	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

16

1	also the Wi-Fi Alliance. I've been involved
2	as a voting member of the Wi-Fi Alliance.
3	BY MR. SPEED:
4	Q. What's the Wi-Fi Alliance?
5	A. Wi-Fi Alliance is a group that
6	provides specifications for interoperability
7	of 802.11-type wireless networks.
8	Q. And what were your responsibilities as
9	a member of the IEEE 802.11 working group?
10	A. That was early in the I'd say in
11	the '90s, when I was active with 802.11. And
12	at that time, I was in the U.S. Air Force and
13	was considering and I was working in a
14	group where we were considering use of
15	wireless LANs for use in military use
16	military applications. So I was asked by my
17	commander to become part of the 802.11 working
18	group in a way that we could voice the
19	requirements that the military would have for
20	developing a standard such as 802.11.
21	So that was my my work with the
22	group, and I also provided leadership and
23	planning conferences around the 802.11 working
24	group activities.

Page 16

17

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Tele	phone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

1 were you active in the 802.11 working 0. group as of 2003? 2 I was no longer working with that 3 Α. group in 2003. At that point, I was working 4 for Wi-Fi Alliance. 5 And since 2003, have you worked with 6 0. the IEEE 802.11 working group? 7 Not as an active member within the 8 Α. 9 group, no. Prior to this proceeding, have you 10 0. ever offered opinions on whether patent claims 11 12 are obvious in light of the prior art? 13 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. 14 Foundation. I've worked on patent litigation cases 15 Α. where -- in a similar capacity where I'm 16 17 reviewing some analysis on invalidity of So I have looked at patents and 18 patents. their claims in terms of the obviousness of --19 of the claim in light of the prior art. 20 21 what is your understanding of the 0. legal test for obviousness? 22 Objection. 23 MR. ATKINSON: Form. 24 Foundation.

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Teleph	one Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		J =) =
		18
1	A. Well, it's as I state in my	
2	reports, I refer to that to get to the details	
3	if I was analyzing something. But in terms of	
4	obviousness, it would have it wouldn't	
5	necessarily have one particular prior art	
6	reference that you could base your invalidity	
7	on. So it would be to be obvious, it	
8	would you wouldn't there would the	
9	prior art that predates the patent would not	
10	be available to teach the claims, basically,	
11	in the patent.	
12	BY MR. SPEED:	
13	Q. Are you familiar with the term "a	
14	person of ordinary skill in the art"?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. What do you understand that term to	
17	mean?	
18	A. Just in general, that that person	
19	would be someone who had the experience of a	
20	certain defined level at the time that the	
21	of the basically the invention.	
22	Q. And if we look at Paragraph 45 of your	
23	declaration, you've accepted the level of	
24	skill that the petitioners have proposed,	

	Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	July 29, 20
		19
1	correct?	
2	A. Let me get to that paragraph.	
3	Q. Yes.	
4	A. (Witness reviews document.)	
5	Yes.	
6	Q. I'm going to introduce Exhibit 1001.	
7	Did you did Exhibit 1001 come	
8	across to you?	
9	A. Yeah. I'm still getting used to the	
10	navigation. I think I have it now.	
11	Q. Yes. Just while we're kind of in the	
12	beginning of all of this, when you're looking	
13	at the eDepoze screen, at least on mine, there	
14	should be a back button that's in blue so that	
15	you would click that to go back if you want to	
16	look refer back to your declaration or what	
17	have you. So	
18	A. Yeah, I found that button. So it's	
19	Q. Perfect.	
20	So I've just introduced what's been	
21	previously marked as Exhibit 1001.	
22	Do you recognize this as the '551	
23	patent?	
24	A. Yes, I do.	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Geier July 29, 2021
		20
1	Q. And you've reviewed this patent in	
2	preparing your declaration, correct?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. And you've did you rereview it in	
5	preparation for today's deposition?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. And if we turn to Column 1, lines 15	
8	to 19 of the '551 patent, the patent states	
9	that "The present invention relates to a	
10	wireless packet communication method and a	
11	wireless packet communication apparatus for	
12	simultaneously transmitting a plurality of	
13	wireless packets by using multiple wireless	
14	channels or multiple input, multiple output	
15	(hereinafter MIMO)"; is that correct?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Are you familiar with MIMO?	
18	A. Yes, I am.	
19	Q. How would you describe MIMO?	
20	A. MIMO is a way of using a particular	
21	channel to communicate multiple streams of	
22	data simultaneously.	
23	Q. Have you ever designed a wireless	
24	device that uses MIMO?	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		21
1	A. I've been involved with helping	
2	companies incorporate wireless into their	
3	devices, which has involved MIMO, so I've been	
4	involved in that way with designing products	
5	that use MIMO.	
6	Q. Would you agree that MIMO allows	
7	different wireless packets to be transmitted	
8	from a plurality of antennas at the same time	
9	on the same wireless channel?	
10	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
11	A. Well, MIMO would make use of a single	
12	or a common wireless channel, the frequency	
13	channel, and allow transmission of data	
14	simultaneously by using multiple antennas.	
15	BY MR. SPEED:	
16	Q. And that simultaneous transmission	
17	occurs over that single frequency channel,	
18	correct?	
19	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
20	A. Certainly a use of MIMO is to have a	
21	single channel, single frequency channel to	
22	use to allow simultaneous transmission.	
23	BY MR. SPEED:	
24	Q. And to send simultaneous transmissions	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telepho	one Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

22 over a single channel, would you agree that 1 2 MIMO divides the channel into subchannels? 3 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. well, not quite. I wouldn't say 4 Α. they're subfrequency channels, if that's what 5 It would allow, again, simultaneous 6 you mean. transmission of data over a common frequency 7 channel. 8 9 BY MR. SPEED: If you look at Column 2, lines 1 to 3 10 0. of the '551 patent, it states that "The number 11 12 of MIMOs is determined in accordance with the propagation coefficient and the like." 13 14 Do you see that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 what do you understand MIMOs to be? Q. 17 Objection. Form. MR. ATKINSON: 18 Let me just read around that just a Α. 19 second, just to get the context. BY MR. SPEED: 20 21 Ο. Yes. 22 (Witness reviews document.) Α. It looks like they're referring to a 23 number of MIMOs here as a number of streams, 24

Г

23

1	so you would have over a common channel the
2	number of paths that you could take between
3	one point and another.
4	Q. So using MIMO, a given frequency
5	channel could have two or three MIMO paths
6	over which the packets are transmitted; is
7	that right?
8	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
9	A. Well, certainly, again, over a common
10	frequency channel, MIMO can divide that up,
11	and for two or three different streams, it can
12	be communicated from one point to the other
13	simultaneously.
14	BY MR. SPEED:
15	Q. And the different streams, the patent
16	refers to as MIMOs; is that right?
17	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
18	A. Well, as you asked before, the number
19	of MIMOs is determined. So the number of
20	MIMOs, talking about in Column 2A, appears to
21	be related to the number of streams based on
22	propagation coefficients using different
23	antennas.
24	BY MR. SPEED:

James T. Geier 29, 2021

24

	MediaTek Inc. et al vsVideotapedJames TNippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)July 29
1	Q. And so if we look at Figure 14 of the
2	'551 patent.
3	A. Let me get there first.
4	Okay.
5	Q. And so Figure 14 is depicting a MIMO
6	transmissions using multiple wireless
7	channels; is that correct?
8	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
9	A. Certainly the boxes there are
10	representing different channels or the
11	squares, I guess, the squares in the figure.
12	BY MR. SPEED:
13	Q. If we look at the what I guess is
14	Figure 14(1) on the left-hand side, the three
15	wireless channel boxes have each been divided
16	into two subchannels; is that right?
17	A. I wouldn't necessarily call those
18	subchannels because they're not subfrequency
19	channels. They're not dealing with frequency.
20	Those would be, like, for example, in
21	Figure 14, in the under the parenthetical
22	where 1 is, you know, in the figure, where it
23	says "wireless channels," those squares are
24	channels, wireless channels whereas each

		J =) =
		25
1	square for that one is has a dotted line	
2	which represents I think, if I remember	
3	correctly from the specification, that would	
4	be a MIMO that has that's breaking up	
5	that or at least it's dividing that	
6	wireless frequency channel into two streams.	
7	Q. Right. And those would be the two	
8	so what we see in Figure 14(1) each of those	
9	wireless channels depicted there has been	
10	divided into two, what the patent calls MIMOs,	
11	correct?	
12	A. I would believe so, yeah, based on	
13	what I'm seeing in the specification.	
14	Q. And if you go if we go to Column 1	
15	of the '551 patent	
16	A. Okay.	
17	Q and beginning at line 23, there's	
18	this paragraph that runs down to 42. And in	
19	this paragraph, the '551 patent describes a	
20	conventional wireless packet communication	
21	apparatus; is that correct?	
22	A. Let me just take a minute to read that	
23	paragraph.	
24	Q. Yeah.	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephon	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

26 (Witness reviews document.) 1 Α. 2 well, it says at the very beginning, "in a conventional wireless packet 3 communication apparatus." 4 5 And the patent says in that paragraph 0. that in the conventional wireless packet 6 communication apparatus, "A channel to be used 7 is determined in advance," correct? 8 9 That's right. It says that, that a Α. wireless channel to be used is determined in 10 advance. That's what it says in the -- at 11 12 that particular place. Do you have an understanding of how a 13 0. 14 channel was determined in advance in a conventional wireless packet apparatus as of 15 September of 2003? 16 17 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 18 Foundation. Certainly in -- within the scope of 19 Α. these conventional wireless packet 20 21 communication apparatuses, yes. 22 BY MR. SPEED: What's your understanding of how the 23 0. apparatus would determine a channel to use in 24

	27
1	advance?
2	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
3	A. Well, as it shows here, 802.11 is one
4	example, and it's talking about the example
5	using CSMA, carrier-sense multiple access, and
6	with that, a particular station needing to
7	transmit would check to see if there's a
8	carrier present on that channel, that wireless
9	channel. And if there is, then it's
10	considered to be busy and, therefore, not
11	transmit.
12	And if it's idle, it would in other
13	words, it does not detect a carrier, then that
14	station would determine that that wireless
15	channel is available, and it would transmit
16	the data.
17	BY MR. SPEED:
18	Q. And is the station in that description
19	using carrier sense to look at multiple
20	wireless channels that are available, or is
21	there a particular one that it's been told to
22	look to assess whether it's idle or not?
23	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
24	A. There's going to be a particular one

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		28
1	that it looks at with 802.11 because it's at	
2	that point the station is already associated	
3	with the access point, or in a peer-to-peer	
4	system, there's already a channel that's been	
5	set, and it looks at a particular channel to	
6	see if it's idle or busy before determining	
7	whether it can transmit.	
8	BY MR. SPEED:	
9	Q. And how does the station become	
10	associated with the access point?	
11	A. Well, in 802.11 there's a process a	
12	station goes through to determine whether or	
13	not which access point that particular	
14	station needs to connect to. So there's an	
15	association process.	
16	Q. Can you describe for me how that	
17	association process works?	
18	A. Sure. There's two ways to find an	
19	802.11. One is an active association process	
20	that uses active scanning. And another is a	
21	passive process called passive scanning and	
22	or uses passive scanning.	
23	And in active scanning, a station will	
24	periodically transmit to access points on	

Page 28

29

1	different frequencies to determine or with
2	different channels to determine and access
3	points will respond, and, therefore, the
4	station will then determine which access point
5	is stronger, has a stronger signal, and then
6	possibly use that as a basis for connecting to
7	a particular access point.
8	And with passive scanning, the station
9	will just listen to different transmissions on
10	various channels to determine which access
11	points have a stronger beacon because access
12	points periodically send beacons and can just
13	add information to determine which access
14	point to associate with. But the association
15	process would occur before a packet's able
16	I mean before a station's able to actually
17	transmit packets or transmit information.
18	Q. So by the time a station is
19	attempting to transmit packets or transmit
20	information, it's already been associated with
21	an access point on a particular one of the
22	available frequency channels; is that right?
23	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
24	A. That's close. I don't know if I would

Page 29

•

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		-
		30
1	say exactly in those words but the as I	
2	said, the station that's becoming associated	
3	with a particular access point does not send	
4	any information packets until it's associated.	
5	And when the association takes place, the	
6	station that has become associated with that	
7	access point is then going to use one	
8	particular frequency channel for sending the	
9	information packets.	
10	BY MR. SPEED:	
11	Q. In the conventional 802.11 system	
12	that's described in the paragraph from	
13	Column 1 from lines 23 to 42 of the '551	
14	patent, do you know how many frequency	
15	channels were available for a station to	
16	access to transmit data once it's been	
17	associated with a corresponding access	
18	point?	
19	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
20	A. Well, as I say, once the station's	
21	become associated, there's only one frequency	
22	channel that it will use.	
23	BY MR. SPEED:	
24	Q. But prior to the association, when	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

Page 30

31

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

it's doing the active or passive polling, how 1 2 many frequency channels are there for the station to either transmit its own access 3 4 request or receive beacons from access points? 5 6 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 7 That depends on the frequency Α. In 802.11 the 2.4 gigahertz 8 spectrum. 9 frequency spectrum has -- there's 14 possible 10 channels that are defined in the United States. There's only a certain number of 11 12 those that can be really used because of the bandwidth of those signals, and it's typically 13 14 Channels 1, 6, and 11 would be considered to be separate enough to provide sufficient 15 16 communications. 17 So there's -- there's several channels that these access points can be sent to and 18 then stations can associate with and then just 19 20 use one of those channels at any particular 21 time. 22 BY MR. SPEED: 23 And with the access point, when is the 0. access point set to a particular one of those 24

Page 31

		J -) -
		32
1	14 available channels?	
2	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
3	A. If you're going to set up an access	
4	point, the person setting the access point	
5	I'm sorry setting up the access point would	
6	configure that channel in the access point,	
7	set it to a particular channel, and then	
8	that's the channel, the only channel that that	
9	access point operates on based on the this	
10	particular standard, you know, this version of	
11	the standard.	
12	BY MR. SPEED:	
13	Q. So would that, the setting up of the	
14	access points channel, would that be done by	
15	an administrator who's using who's setting	
16	up a wireless network for their home office or	
17	work environment?	
18	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
19	A. It really depends on how the network	
20	is configured or how it's managed. There may	
21	be a manual setting where that's put into the	
22	access point, someone just logs into the	
23	access point and sets the channel number.	
24	BY MR. SPEED:	

33

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

1 what are the other ways that it would 0. 2 be set beyond a manual setting of the channel 3 by an administrator? 4 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. Foundation. 5 The access point channel that it's 6 Α. 7 going to use could often -- when you receive an access point, it's set -- or at least the 8 9 channel's already configured to be at a particular channel by default. 10 Some access points are shipped that way. Some you have to 11 12 activate the radio before you can set the 13 access point. 14 So it really depends on the maker of the access point and how it was -- how it's 15 16 intended to be managed. 17 BY MR. SPEED: 18 In this same paragraph at lines 29 to Q. 19 32, it states that "This management allows a plurality of stations to share one wireless 20 21 channel in a staggered manner." 22 Do you see that? 23 Α. Yes. 24 what does it mean that the stations Q.

Page 33

34

can share one wireless channel in a staggered 1 2 manner? Objection. Form. 3 MR. ATKINSON: As I've talked about, the stations are 4 Α. going to use one channel to communicate, and 5 it's staggered, meaning that one station will 6 7 transmit at any particular time. And then stations can -- will end up taking turns, not 8 9 necessarily in any particular order, but because of the carrier-sense protocol, one 10 station would transmit at a time. And then if 11 12 another station needs to transmit, they would -- they wait for their medium to become 13 14 idle, then they can transmit. So it will be one at a time, and over a period of time, it 15 16 will be staggered. 17 BY MR. SPEED: So when a station is transmitting on 18 0. the shared wireless channel with the access 19 point, is there a time limit for how long it 20 21 can -- it can use the wireless channel, or can 22 it stay on there for as long as it wants? MR. ATKINSON: Objection. 23 Form. 24 Foundation.

Page 34

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Tele	phone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

		35
1	A. It kind of depends on what you're	
2	meaning by stay on for as long as it wants.	
3	As I've said, you know, each station	
4	will take turns accessing the medium. So	
5	there's the station would use the medium	
6	and transmit its packet, and then at that	
7	point, it becomes idle again. If other	
8	stations need to transmit, they will determine	
9	it's idle and then start transmitting.	
10	In the 802.11, packets are a specific	
11	length. There's a max length, so I imagine	
12	that would depending on the data rate,	
13	would govern the amount of time that it's	
14	going to be transmitting after it has access.	
15	But it's going to be based on data rate and	
16	length of the packet and those sorts of	
17	things those types of things to determine	
18	how long it would actually be transmitting.	
19	BY MR. SPEED:	
20	Q. Would a station, after it's been	
21	associated with an access point, announce that	
22	it's preserving the channel for a particular	
23	period of time so that the other stations in	
24	the system know that the channel is going to	
		36
----	--	----
1	be occupied for a particular period of time?	
2	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
3	Foundation.	
4	A. Kind of depends what you mean by	
5	"announce". There's not necessarily an	
6	announcement packet that's sent out to tell	
7	other stations of a particular length of time.	
8	Maybe if I maybe clarify your question,	
9	what you mean by that, it might help. I can	
10	try to answer it.	
11	BY MR. SPEED:	
12	Q. I'm just wondering if in 802.11, when	
13	the station is first communicating with the	
14	access point, if it says to the access point,	
15	kind of colloquial terms, I need to send data.	
16	Take me ten seconds to send the data, so I	
17	want the line for ten seconds.	
18	Is there something you know, I'm	
19	putting it in colloquial terms, but is there a	
20	more technical implementation that 802.11 does	
21	to accomplish this sort of reserving of the	
22	line for a particular length of time?	
23	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
24	A. I don't know of an announcement from	

		J -) -
		37
1	the station to the access point during	
2	association that would indicate that.	
3	There's no, I during the association	
4	process, I don't know of a reservation type of	
5	communication that takes place during that	
6	during that time period.	
7	BY MR. SPEED:	
8	Q. Is there any type of reservation	
9	process that occurs prior to the association	
10	with the access point?	
11	MR. ATKINSON: Objection	
12	foundation.	
13	A. Was your question whether or not there	
14	was a reservation scheme before association?	
15	Was that what you asked?	
16	BY MR. SPEED:	
17	Q. Yes.	
18	A. Yeah, I don't know of a again, I	
19	don't know of any packets that are sent to	
20	indicate to the access point, as part of the	
21	association, that that station's going to need	
22	the wireless channel for a particular amount	
23	of time. You know, as far as sending	
24	information packets, you know, and doing that	

38

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

ahead of time, I don't know of that process. 1 BY MR. SPEED: 2 Are you familiar with the term 3 Ο. "network allocation vector"? 4 5 Yes, I am. Α. what's a network allocation vector? 6 **Q**. 7 It's a -- it's a vector that's used to Α. be included in packets that are sent to 8 9 indicate these are for sending information and 10 other types of packets that would let other stations know that there's a packet being 11 12 transmitted and to hold off and wait until that packet is -- is done being transmitted. 13 14 It's a virtual sensing mechanism versus a physical sensing mechanism that CSMA 15 16 provides. Are you familiar with the term "clear 17 0. 18 to send" in the 802.11 standard? 19 Α. Yes, I am. what's -- what's the clear to send 20 Q. signal in the 802.11 standard? 21 22 Clear to send is generally used in Α. conjunction with a request to send, so RTS/CTS 23 type of process. And the clear to send would 24

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

39

1	be in response to a request to send. It would
2	be a clear to send would be sent from a
3	station as a response to the request to send
4	that it received from another station.
5	Q. Would those would the clear to send
6	and ready to send signal exchanges between an
7	access point and a station indicate the
8	duration of the transmission that the station
9	wants to send to the access point?
10	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
11	Misstates testimony.
12	A. Again, the the clear to send would
13	include the duration value that the sending
14	station has included. That would be amount of
15	time that it's indicating to another station,
16	the access point or other stations listening,
17	that the amount of time to hold off to be
18	sufficient enough time for that transmission
19	of the information or packet that's being sent
20	by the station, and any acknowledgments and
21	other activity needed to complete that
22	communication.
23	BY MR. SPEED:
24	Q. In this paragraph that we see in

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telepho	one Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		40
1	Column 1, from lines 23 to 42 of the '551	
2	patent, the conventional system that's	
3	described is not described as using MIMO on	
4	the shared wireless channel; is that right?	
5	A. I don't see any indication of MIMO in	
6	that particular paragraph.	
7	Q. Would was the use of MIMO	
8	standardized in the 802.11 1999 edition	
9	standard?	
10	A. I don't believe it was, no. It wasn't	
11	part of that particular version.	
12	Q. And did I'm sorry. Was MIMO	
13	ultimately incorporated into the 802.11	
14	standard?	
15	A. Yes, it was.	
16	Q. Do you recall what the first 802.11	
17	standard was to incorporate MIMO?	
18	A. I believe it was 802.11n.	
19	Q. And do you recall when 802.11n was	
20	released?	
21	A. I don't remember specifically, but	
22	around the 2016 time frame as I had a book I	
23	wrote about that particular protocol that was	
24	published right about that same time as that	

41

standard, and that's the publication date of 1 2 that book. what's the name of the book? 3 0. It's "Designing and Deploying" 4 Α. wireless networks, or it -- actually, "802.11n 5 Wireless Networks." 6 And so if the conventional system 7 0. described in Column 1, lines 23 to 42 was not 8 9 using MIMO, it would have been using single input single output or SISO transmission 10 technique, correct? 11 12 Objection. Form. MR. ATKINSON: It was certainly using the idea of 13 Α. 14 sending a packet of information over a single channel -- single frequency channel. That is, 15 you transmit one over one frequency channel, 16 17 it's received on one frequency channel. 18 Have you heard the term "SISO," Q. S-I-S-O, before? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 And what is SISO? What is your **0**. understanding of SISO in the wireless 22 23 communication context? It would be single input single 24 Α.

		42
1	output.	
2	Q. And that's distinguishable from MIMO,	
3	which is multiple input multiple output; is	
4	that correct?	
5	A. That's right.	
6	Q. If we look at the next paragraph in	
7	Column 1, it begins at line 43, and it goes to	
8	47. This paragraph describes the use of	
9	multiple wireless channels to transmit data	
10	packets; is that right?	
11	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
12	A. Well, it's certainly talking about the	
13	idea of multiple wireless channels and that	
14	those are found idle or by carrier since then	
15	the plurality of wireless packets are	
16	transmitted simultaneously. It's the idea of	
17	using multiple wireless channels, which are	
18	frequency channels.	
19	BY MR. SPEED:	
20	Q. And the patent at Column 1, lines 43	
21	to 46 describes the use of multiple wireless	
22	channels found idle by carrier since it's to	
23	be a known wireless packet communication	
24	method, right?	

	MediaTek Inc. et al vsVideotapedJames T. GeierNippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)July 29, 2021
	43
1	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
2	A. I'm not sure what you mean by "known
3	wireless packet method."
4	BY MR. SPEED:
5	Q. I'm looking at Column 1, line 42 to
6	43, the very first phrase in that sentence.
7	A. Oh, I see. Right. It says, "Wireless
8	packet communications method is known." So
9	it's talking about this as being a known
10	method.
11	Q. Would you agree that the use of
12	multiple wireless channels increases
13	throughput relative to a single-channel
14	system?
15	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
16	Foundation.
17	A. It depends.
18	BY MR. SPEED:
19	Q. It depends on what?
20	A. Well, just the general idea of sending
21	simultaneous information, it seems as though
22	that that would increase throughput because
23	you're seeing more information in a given
24	amount of time. But there's you have to

44

1	consider the impairments that could occur that
2	might degrade that communications to the point
3	where it's not necessarily as efficient or
4	or maybe you don't have any throughput gain by
5	using that method.
6	Q. So absent the impairments that could
7	occur to degrade the communication, the use of
8	multiple channels would increase throughput in
9	a system as compared to the use of a single
10	channel in that same system, right?
11	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
12	A. Again, as I said, in general,
13	certainly using multiple wireless frequency
14	channels that are completely separate, there's
15	no issues of interference between the channels
16	or within the channels or in any way is any
17	type of degradation, you would have an
18	increase in throughput because you're sending
19	more information in a given amount of time, in
20	general.
21	BY MR. SPEED:
22	Q. And what are the types of impairments
23	that could occur in a multiple wireless
24	channel system that would degrade system

	The second	•••••
		45
1	throughput?	
2	A. Well, certainly one is the basis of	
3	this particular invention in the '551 patent	
4	is power leakage.	
5	Q. And what's power leakage?	
6	A. Power leakage and it's explained in	
7	the specification it's where you're going	
8	to have a transmitter would be transmitting	
9	information. And when it's receiving	
10	information, the received information incurs a	
11	form of degradation, so there's a leakage of	
12	power in that form from what it's transmitting	
13	to what it's receiving.	
14	Q. Are there any other impairments that	
15	would degrade throughput in a multiple	
16	wireless frequency channel system beyond power	
17	leakage?	
18	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form	
19	Foundation.	
20	A. I imagine there is. That's the one	
21	that's closest to my thinking right now	
22	because that's the basis of this particular	
23	patent. There's I'm sure there's other	
24	ways that it could have degradation. It all	

1	depends. Some of these frequency channels may
2	be impacted by interference more since they're
3	different frequency channels. Maybe the
4	frequency channels that are added to this
5	single one to in order to improve the
6	throughput is maybe it suffers more
7	interference at that particular frequency, for
8	whatever reason.
9	There's other ways of impairing a
10	signal than just what the patent here shows.
11	This patent has one particular issue that it's
12	resolving through the invented the
13	invention in the claims.
14	BY MR. SPEED:
15	Q. And earlier this morning you had
16	explained how while there are 14 channels
17	available in the 2.4 gigahertz frequency band,
18	in the United States, Channels 1, 6, and 11
19	are the channels that are conventionally
20	utilized.
21	Do you recall that?
22	A. Yeah, maybe I wouldn't say it in
23	exactly those words. There's 11 channels, and
24	1, 6 and 11 in the United States, and 1, 6

 James T. Geier

 1404)
 July 29, 2021

Г

		47
1	and 11 are the ones that can be used with	
2	without interference between those channels.	
3	If you had multiple wireless LANs in one area,	
4	you know, for example, three access points in	
5	one area, you could best set them to 1, 6 and	
6	11.	
7	If you had four access points, then	
8	you would start running into possibly issues,	
9	you know, where those those different	
10	wireless LANs are going to have interference	
11	with each other.	
12	Q. And what what causes the	
13	interference when you introduce a fourth	
14	access point to that system you're discussing?	
15	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
16	A. Well, the idea is that you have	
17	it's going to whether or not that happens	
18	is going to depend on the scenario, how how	
19	those access points are installed within the	
20	facility and where they're located and the	
21	propagation of each one and that sort of	
22	thing.	
23	But if let's say you had four	
24	access points, and they were really close to	

48

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephor	ne Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

each other, and you set two to Channel 1. 1 The 2 stations that associate with the access point 3 -- let's say Access Point A, which is set to 1, Access Point B, which is set to Channel 1, 4 then if a station that's associated with 5 Access Point 1 is communicating over its 6 7 channel -- that Channel 1. if it communications at the same time that a station 8 9 is communicating with channel -- with Access Point B, then there could be some -- what they 10 call interaccess point interference where 11 12 signals on one wireless network are just causing errors and signals on another wireless 13 14 network. 15 BY MR. SPEED: And are -- the channels 1, 6 and 11 16 0. 17 that are utilized in the United States. are those considered nonoverlapping channels? 18 That's generally the term that's used 19 Α. to describe those, yes. 20 21 And so what -- is there a general term **0**. 22 used to describe channels that are closer 23 together on the frequency spectrum such as, like, Channel 4 and Channel 5? 24

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telep	bhone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		J -) -
		49
1	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
2	A. I don't recall a specific term for	
3	that within the 802.11 channels. They're	
4	just they possibly could be overlapping	
5	channels, you know, the frequencies. The	
6	frequency spectrum of those channels will	
7	start to begin to overlap.	
8	BY MR. SPEED:	
9	Q. And as of 2003, persons of skill in	
10	the art understood that it was better for a	
11	system design to use nonoverlapping channels,	
12	like Channels 1, 6, and 11, right?	
13	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
14	A. It would depend on the scenario	
15	whether or not it was better or not.	
16	BY MR. SPEED:	
17	Q. Can you describe a scenario where it	
18	would where the use of overlapping channels	
19	would be better than the use of nonoverlapping	
20	channels?	
21	A. In some situations, there could be	
22	again, this is just thinking of possible	
23	ways if you wanted to for some	
24	particular reason, you needed to set all of	

		-
		50
1	your channels to one particular channel, let's	
2	say Channel 1, the microwave ovens, when they	
3	operate, they tend to sort of pollute the	
4	middle and upper part of the frequency	
5	spectrum.	
6	So when you turn on a microwave oven	
7	I've done a lot of testing with them	
8	that around Channel 6 and above, there's a lot	
9	of interference from the type of microwaves I	
10	was testing. So if you so it may motivate	
11	you to set them all to Channel 1 in that	
12	situation and just operate with the let's	
13	say three access points in the same area with	
14	all on set on Channel 1 to avoid	
15	interference from the microwave oven.	
16	And that could be better than	
17	operating with those access points separated	
18	by Channels 1, 6, and 11 because the ones on 6	
19	and 11 would be overrun by the interference	
20	from the microwave oven. That's just one	
21	reason and you know, why that could be	
22	better to go with just one channel.	
23	Q. Would the power leakage problem that's	
24	described in the '551 patent occur if the	

		51
1	station is using nonoverlapping channels?	
2	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
3	Foundation.	
4	A. Power leakage described in the patent	
5	is between channels used by a particular user	
6	at the same time. So in the 802.11 sense that	
7	we've been talking about with the these	
8	particular these this version of these	
9	standards, it wouldn't power leakage	
10	wouldn't come into play as defined in the	
11	patent. It doesn't have a chance to because	
12	they're not using multiple channels between	
13	the a particular user and an access point.	
14	BY MR. SPEED:	
15	Q. Outside the context of 802.11, if you	
16	were looking at the conventional multiple	
17	wireless channel system described in Column 1	
18	of the '551 patent at lines 43 to 47, if that	
19	system used non sorry if it used	
20	yeah, nonoverlapping channels, would power	
21	leakage between Channels 1 and 6 be a problem?	
22	A. Well, just to define this in the way I	
23	think you meant was if you had a true multi-	
24	multichannel system where, let's say, User	

52

A is communicating with User B, and it's just 1 between those users, and they had -- User A 2 3 had multiple frequency channels to use simultaneously, those frequency channels were 4 nonoverlapping, meaning -- let's say there's 5 three channels, and they don't overlap at all, 6 I mean, as -- as far as the spectrum mass 7 would indicate, generally, I would think that 8 9 there wouldn't necessarily be as much power 10 leakage, but I think there still could be depending on the transmit power of the user --11 12 of the -- let's say User A is transmitting. It depends on how well made the product is. 13 Ι 14 think -- I think there still could be leakage if you considered it nonoverlapping. 15 16 To some degree, I mean, signals are 17 propagated even beyond what their spectrum mass would be for -- like, for example, in 18 802.11, that's just -- a certain density of 19 20 that signal is considered to be within that 21 area, and at high enough power, things can 22 interfere regardless, or two systems can 23 interfere. So I think it depends. would you agree that the likelihood of 24 Q.

1	power leakage in that scenario increases if,
2	rather than using nonoverlapping Channels 1,
3	6, and 11, the station used overlapping
4	Channels 1, 2 and 3?
5	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
6	A. It depends on the situation, you know.
7	With all things equal, you know, there's no
8	other limitations or issues that would cause
9	any difference or any anything to impact
10	this, and I would have to think about that
11	more to determine if there are any and what
12	they are. But I would think that if the
13	frequencies the closer the frequencies are
14	together, the more interference that might
15	leak or the more power that might leak from
16	one to the other.
17	All that depends on how well that
18	or how the transmitter the receiver section
19	is designed as far as how much the power
20	leakage would impact it. But it is a problem,
21	you know, that the patent brings up as far as
22	an issue, and I've seen the issue before, and
23	that's what the invention is all about,
24	solving it.

		54
1	BY MR. SPEED:	
2	Q. If we look at Column 2, lines 26 to 30	
3	of the '551 patent	
4	A. Let me get there.	
5	Q. Yes.	
6	A. Okay. Column 2. What were the line	
7	numbers again.	
8	Q. 26 to 30, that first sentence in that	
9	paragraph.	
10	A. Oh, okay.	
11	Q. And that sentence says that "In the	
12	case where center frequencies of multiple	
13	wireless channels used at the same time are	
14	close to each other, an effect of leakage	
15	power from one wireless channel to a frequency	
16	region used by another wireless channel	
17	becomes large."	
18	Do you see that?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Do you understand the reference to	
21	"close to each other" to mean that the	
22	channels are overlapping in some manner?	
23	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
24	A. I don't think, as I've stated earlier,	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

1	they're I don't think that that necessarily
2	means that they're, quote/unquote, overlapping
3	or nonoverlapping.
4	I think it's going to depend, you
5	know, on the situation. That "close" just
6	means that they're close, you know, that the
7	situation is going to occur when you're using
8	frequency channels that are right next to each
9	other, which tends to be the case because of
10	the way the spectrum as allocated.
11	BY MR. SPEED:
12	Q. When you say "frequency channels right
13	next to each other," do you mean, like,
14	Frequency Channel 1 and 2 or Frequency Channel
15	1 and 6?
16	A. I would say both situations.
17	Q. Is it your opinion that the problem of
18	power leakage that's described in the '551
19	patent only occurs in multichannel systems?
20	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
21	A. I believe the examples and what the
22	specification refers to in the '551 patent is
23	dealing with multichannel systems where,
24	again, between User A and User B, there's

1	multiple channels that are used simultaneously
2	to communicate between those two users.
3	BY MR. SPEED:
4	Q. So your understanding of multichannel
5	system is that there must be multiple channels
6	between two stations for it to be a
7	multichannel system; is that right?
8	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
9	A. I would say as as far as the
10	looking at the '551 patent, within that
11	context, certainly a multichannel system would
12	be where there's a User A and a User B, User A
13	transmitting to User B would have the ability
14	to use or have a system that supports multiple
15	wireless frequency channels and to use those
16	simultaneously.
17	BY MR. SPEED:
18	Q. What about a system in which the
19	access point is a multichannel access point
20	and it uses three channels to send
21	transmissions to three different mobile
22	clients? Is that a multichannel system, in
23	your view?
24	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telepho	ne Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

57 1 within the scope of the '551 patent, I Α. would say no. It's -- it's the case where I 2 3 mentioned between one particular station -- or as a user -- between one user and another 4 user. That user could be a station. 5 It could 6 be an access point. But communications between Point A and Point B, there would be 7 use of multiple channels. But the case where 8 9 vou have different users that are communicating with -- from an access point, 10 even though those are on different channels, 11 12 that each one of those users still is only operating on one channel. 13 14 BY MR. SPEED: So to have a multichannel system in 15 0. the context of the '551 patent, you need to 16 17 have a multichannel access point and a multichannel client that's being served by 18 that access point? 19 I don't know if I would use that 20 Α. terminology to name those, you know, in that 21 22 way. But, again, between one particular point and another point, there would be multiple 23 wireless frequency channels that are used 24

58

simultaneously to communicate information or 1 2 to communicate data. And was there something about the 3 Ο. multichannel access point or multichannel 4 client terminology that wasn't technically 5 accurate? 6 T wouldn't --7 Α. MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 8 9 I wouldn't say it's -- not necessarily Α. It's just putting a name like 10 not accurate. that on something, I just want to be careful 11 12 that I'm explaining what I mean by it or what -- if you call something a name, I want to be 13 14 sure that I'm clarifying what -- how I would see that being used, you know, if those were 15 16 named that way. 17 BY MR. SPEED: Does the problem of power leakage that 18 0. the '551 patent describes occur with a single 19 MIMO implemented channel? 20 21 Objection. MR. ATKINSON: Form. 22 Foundation. 23 I would say it depends on how we look Α. at this within the context of '551 or outside 24

1	of LEF1 inch in nonemal	59
1	of '551 just in general.	
2	I would say, in general, if you have a	
3	single wireless channel, then you have MIMO	
4	streams, multiple MIMO streams, over that	
5	single wireless channel. The way the '551	
6	patent is explaining power leakage, you	
7	wouldn't necessarily have power leakage from	
8	one stream to the other. That's a different	
9	type of interference that occurs there.	
10	BY MR. SPEED:	
11	Q. What is there a name for that	
12	different type of interference that occurs?	
13	A. I think it's it goes by different	
14	names, but it's interference within the	
15	channel, you know, not necessarily it's not	
16	between channels, wireless channels. You	
17	know, it's not not between wireless	
18	frequency channels. It's within a single	
19	wireless frequency channel.	
20	Q. So if you have a system in which an	
21	access point is communicating with a station	
22	using a single MIMO implemented channel, would	
23	that be a multichannel system in the context	
24	of the '551 patent?	

		27,20
		60
1	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
2	Foundation.	
3	A. I would say it depends. The '551	
4	patent is an invention for combating power	
5	leakage in systems that are multichannel,	
6	meaning there's multiple wireless frequency	
7	channels between two points in the system	
8	between, let's say, User A and User B, and	
9	those paths can be used simultaneously.	
10	There are situations where possibly	
11	when the one user is going to communicate	
12	that all of those and may be using MIMO	
13	also where all of those paths are or I'm	
14	sorry all of those wireless frequency	
15	channels are busy, except possibly the	
16	mandatory channel. Maybe it's going to	
17	communicate that way using MIMO.	
18	So it's just there may be	
19	situations where there's a single wireless	
20	channel used, but it would be part of a	
21	multichannel system or system, again,	
22	having multiple wireless paths or wireless	
23	frequency channels between, let's say, User A	
24	and User B.	

		61
1	BY MR. SPEED:	
2	Q. For an access point communicating with	
3	a station using single MIMO-implemented	
4	channel, to be a multichannel system in the	
5	context of the '551 patent, that access point	
6	would have to have the ability to also send	
7	data over a separates wireless another	
8	wireless channel to the same device?	
9	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
10	Foundation.	
11	A. I don't know if I would say it in	
12	exactly those words. But the user or the	
13	station and the access point would need to be	
14	able to communicate simultaneously, you know,	
15	say, from user from station and in place of	
16	the access point over multiple wireless	
17	frequency channels simultaneously. It would	
18	need to have that capability.	
19	BY MR. SPEED:	
20	Q. Can power leakage occur in a	
21	conventional signal channel system?	
22	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
23	A. You know, at least within the context	
24	of the '551 patent, again, it's describing	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

1	power leakage as being an issue between
2	multiple wireless frequency channels. So if
3	you only had an access point that and a
4	station that they're only going to communicate
5	on one channel at a time and that's the only
6	channel after association it's going to
7	communicate with or communicate on, then
8	they're I don't think in that situation,
9	there wouldn't necessarily be any power
10	leakage because there's no other wireless
11	channel that could be causing it that's part
12	of that multichannel configuration.
13	BY MR. SPEED:
14	Q. What about a scenario where you have
15	Access Point A servicing Client A on Channel 1
16	and in that same system you have an Access
17	Point B nearby servicing Client B on an
18	overlapping channel, say Channel 2? Would
19	there be a potential for power leakage to
20	present a problem to that system with two
21	access points and two mobile station clients?
22	A. Within the context of the '551 patent
23	and how power leakage is being defined, I
24	would say no.

Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404) July 29, 2021 63 1 MR. SPEED: We've been going for 2 a little over an hour, do you want to take a ten-minute break? 3 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that would 5 be great. MR. SPEED: Why don't we go off 6 7 the record? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 8 9 record at 10:28 a.m. 10 (Recess taken from 10:28 a.m. 11 12 to 10:44 a.m.) 13 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back 10:44 a.m. 15 on the record. 16 BY MR. SPEED: 17 So going back to the hypothetical we 0. 18 had right before the break where you have Access Point A servicing Client A on Channel 1 19 20 and close by you have an Access Point B 21 servicing Client B on an overlapping or 22 adjacent Channel 2, would there be a potential 23 for interference that could impair either set of transmissions from occurring in their 24

Videotaped

MediaTek Inc. et al vs

James T. Geier

		64
1	intended manner?	
2	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
3	A. May I rephrase that in a way so I can	
4	indicate I understand what you're asking? But	
5	I understand there's a single access point,	
6	and it's transmitting on one frequency channel	
7	to, let say, User A, and that same access	
8	point is communicating with another client or	
9	a station which is, let's say, B, and it's on	
10	a different wireless frequency channel.	
11	It really depends on the how the	
12	system is configured and installed, you know,	
13	whether or not there would be interference	
14	between, let's say, the wireless channel for	
15	User B and the wireless channel for User A.	
16	It depends.	
17	BY MR. SPEED:	
18	Q. And is one one of the factors that	
19	would influence whether there's interference	
20	how close the two frequency channels that are	
21	being used are to one another?	
22	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
23	Foundation.	
24	A. Probably the closer in frequency those	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		65
1	are would be a factor in whether or not	
2	there's interference or it could be a	
3	factor. It depends on other other ways	
4	that the systems configured too whether or not	
5	that's even a factor. But there could be	
6	interference between those two channels just	
7	in general. Yeah, there would be	
8	interference.	
9	BY MR. SPEED:	
10	Q. And would that potential for	
11	interference exist if rather than having a	
12	single access point servicing two clients, you	
13	had two separate access points each servicing	
14	one client on adjacent frequency channels?	
15	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
16	A. Well, again, I don't know if I I'll	
17	restate it in a way so that it's clear how I	
18	think I understand what your question is and	
19	how you're terming things.	
20	But first of all, to clarify that	
21	first situation just so it's easier to	
22	understand the second, it really depends how	
23	that access point is operating. If the access	
24	point is able to communicate on both channels	

66

1	at the same time or it's just communicating
2	with one user on one channel, then it switches
3	and communicates with the other user on the
4	other channel, it may not be communicating
5	simultaneously with both of them. So it
6	depends on how that access point is
7	configured.
8	For your second scenario, it sounds
9	like now you're including a second access
10	point. So Access Point 1 and 2. And Access
11	Point 1's communicating with User A on one
12	particular frequency channel, and Access
13	Point 2 is communicating with User B on a
14	different wireless frequency channel, and
15	that you're saying that those are adjacent
16	channels, I think, is what you said.
17	It depends on how again, how the
18	system is configured and how it's designed to
19	operate, how close those channels are, where
20	the users are in relationship to the access
21	points, and where the access points are in
22	relationship to each other whether or not
23	there would be any degradation in throughput.
24	BY MR. SPEED:

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephon	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

1	Q. And in this proceeding, you've offered
2	an opinion on the proper construction of the
3	term "mandatory channel"; is that correct?
4	A. That's right.
5	Q. And we'll be going to your declaration
6	at this point, if you want to pull that up.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. And in particular, the construction
9	you propose is set forth at page 63, which is
10	on or sorry, Paragraph 63, which spans from
11	page 25 to 26. Is that right? Can you get
12	there?
13	A. I'm just trying to navigate using this
14	since the page numbers don't line up, but I'll
15	be there in a minute.
16	I think you're asking whether or not
17	my explanation of my opinions for claim
18	construction, whether they start on page 25,
19	and that's where I'm at right now, and it
20	appears that's the page number that it does
21	start on.
22	Q. And if you turn to page 26, you
23	propose a construction for mandatory channel
24	that modifies the construction the board

		68
1	adopted, and is that correct?	
2	A. Yeah. As I've stated on in	
3	Paragraph 63 that I and I'm just restating	
4	that what the board provided for construction	
5	with one proposed modification.	
6	Q. And your construction is "The	
7	mandatory channel is one channel and a	
8	wireless multichannel communication system	
9	whose idle state determines whether there can	
10	be a transmission over the other channels"; is	
11	that right?	
12	A. That's correct.	
13	Q. What's your understanding of wireless	
14	multichannel communication system in your	
15	proposed construction?	
16	A. Well, as we've been talking about	
17	already, you know, and I'll just restate	
18	here,is that a multichannel a wireless	
19	multichannel communication system would be	
20	where there's multiple wireless channels	
21	between, let's say, between User A and User B	
22	that can be used simultaneously. So User A	
23	can transmit information or data to User B	
24	simultaneously over multiple channels.	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephon	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		-
		69
1	Q. And a system in which there is a	
2	multichannel access point but it only	
3	communicates with multiple clients on a single	
4	channel would not be a wireless multichannel	
5	communication system, as you've construed it	
6	in this proceeding, right?	
7	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
8	Foundation.	
9	A. I think that kind of it depends in	
10	how the system is configured.	
11	BY MR. SPEED:	
12	Q. So how how could you configure the	
13	system with a multichannel access point that	
14	serves clients only with single-channel	
15	transmissions such that it would be a	
16	wireless multichannel communication system	
17	under your construction?	
18	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
19	A. Well, as I said earlier, if that	
20	access point was able to, let's say, transmit	
21	to one particular station over multiple	
22	wireless frequency channels, it may only have	
23	one of those channels available and could	
24	transmit over that, and but it still has the	

70

1	other ones that were part of the system. You
2	know, it's designed to operate in multiple
3	channels, and sometimes it might. It might
4	use two channels to communicate, two wireless
5	frequency channels. Another time it might use
6	three.
7	But it in that situation, if it
8	there may be some configurations or situations
9	where only one of those was available for that
10	particular or one of those was idle at that
11	time and the other ones were busy, detected
12	busy, that could be possible. It's a possible
13	scenario.
14	But, in general, as I've said, you
15	would need to have the capability at some
16	point to be able to communicate over multiple
17	channels simultaneously between that access
18	point and a user.
19	BY MR. SPEED:
20	Q. And the conventional 802.11 that we
21	were talking about earlier, described in that
22	first paragraph of Column 1 of the '551
23	patent, that system was not capable of sending
24	data packets simultaneously over multiple

	MediaTek Inc. et al vsVideotapedJames T. GeierNippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)July 29, 2021	
	71	
1	wireless channels; is that right?	
2	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
3	Foundation.	
4	A. Well, at least the versions of the	
5	standard of the 802.11 standard mentioned	
6	in the '551 patent that we looked at in Column	
7	1. Those were single-channel systems.	
8	BY MR. SPEED:	
9	Q. So those versions of the standard	
10	802.11 mentioned in the '551 patent that we	
11	looked at earlier in Column 1, those would not	
12	be multichannel communication systems as you	
13	are defining in your construction; is that	
14	right?	
15	A. That's right.	
16	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
17	A. The earlier versions of that standard,	
18	which are noted, again, in the patent in	
19	Column 1, at that time frame, the time frame	
20	of the patent, those would not be a	
21	multichannel communication system as I'm using	
22	in the construction.	
23	BY MR. SPEED:	
24	Q. And that's because while the stations	
		72
----	--	----
1	could select from multiple channels, they	
2	could only transmit over a single channel; is	
3	that right?	
4	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
5	A. Well, the stations don't necessarily	
6	select a channel. They're they're being	
7	assigned a channel. As they connect to a	
8	particular access point, they have then now	
9	then been assigned a channel. That's how the	
10	channel is determine is based on which access	
11	point that that user chooses to connect to,	
12	and then that's the channel that's going to be	
13	used. It's that person who put that channel	
14	in the access point was what determines the	
15	channel.	
16	BY MR. SPEED:	
17	Q. So the access point has a strike	
18	that.	
19	With an access point, an administrator	
20	could choose from one of the 11 possible	
21	802.11d channels to set as the channel for	
22	that access point; is that right?	
23	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
24	Foundation.	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

1	A. That that's one way that that
2	channel and the access point can be
3	configured, as a way to descend.
4	BY MR. SPEED:
5	Q. So even though that access point is
6	selecting one channel out of multiple
7	available channels to use, it's not a wireless
8	multichannel communication system because once
9	the channel is set with the access point, it
10	can only communicate over that single channel;
11	is that right?
12	A. Well, again, not necessarily I
13	don't know if I would say those words. But
14	just to modify a little bit, it's that
15	access point is configured with a channel, and
16	that channel is what the access point is going
17	to use when it communicates with a station.
18	That's the only channel it's going to use.
19	Q. And because it's the only channel
20	that the access point can use, it's not a
21	multichannel communication system under your
22	construction; is that right?
23	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form
24	foundation.

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		, ,
		74
1	A. You know, at least I would, you know,	
2	answer that in a way if that access point	
3	if that were the only channel it could operate	
4	on and there was no other channels it can	
5	operate on simultaneously, like you talked	
6	about before, but within the scope of 802.11,	
7	then that's the only channel, and it wouldn't	
8	necessarily be a multichannel communication	
9	system, as I've stated in the construction.	
10	BY MR. SPEED:	
11	Q. In the latter half of your	
12	construction, in Paragraph 63, you state,	
13	"whose idle state determines whether there can	
14	be a transmission over the other channels."	
15	Do you see that?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Does is the A transmission that	
18	you're referencing in your construction the	
19	transmissions between the access point and the	
20	station it's serving or all transmissions that	
21	the access point performs in the system?	
22	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
23	A. I think it what do you mean I	
24	guess I have to ask you what do you mean by	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

75

"the system"? 1 2 BY MR. SPEED: You've got an access point that has 3 0. the ability to use three channels, and it's 4 communicating with Client A using a mandatory 5 channel and the other three channels. 6 But it 7 also has a Client B that it communicates with 8 but which does not have the mandatory channel 9 assigned to it. 10 Does the idle state of the mandatory channel assigned to Client A dictate whether 11 12 there's transmissions possible to Client B for which no mandatory channel is assigned under 13 14 your construction? 15 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 16 Foundation. Scope. 17 well, from what I understand, in the Α. '551 patent specification is that you apply 18 the mandatory channel -- you use that 19 mandatory channel to determine its idle state, 20 21 and that determines whether or not you can 22 transmit over the other channels. That's done when you're communicating to a station that 23

24 | has a mandatory channel set.

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		76
1	If a station does not have a	
2	mandatory state a mandatory channel set,	
3	then it can transmit without that procedure of	
4	checking the mandatory channel because there	
5	is no mandatory channel set.	
6	BY MR. SPEED:	
7	Q. So the idle state of the mandatory	
8	channel determines whether transmissions are	
9	sent to the station for which the mandatory	
10	channel has been assigned; is that right?	
11	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
12	A. There needs to be a mandatory channel	
13	set for there to be the mandatory channel	
14	identified from one of those channels. So it	
15	would need to be set. It's something that's	
16	established.	
17	BY MR. SPEED:	
18	Q. Right. And whether whether or not	
19	the station for which a mandatory channel is	
20	set will ever receive a data transmission is	
21	dependent upon the idle state of the mandatory	
22	channel, right?	
23	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
24	A. Again, in order for this access point,	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telep	ohone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

	Tuppon relegraph and relephone corporation(in K2020-01404)	July 27, 2021
		77
1	for example, to send data to the station	
2	that's using, let's say, three channels and it	
3	fits within the scope of what the '551 patent	
4	explains as a multichannel system and this	
5	is not 802.11 the degree we're talking	
6	about with these versions in the '551 patent,	
7	if there's a mandatory channel set, then it	
8	would check that mandatory channel, and if	
9	it's busy, it won't transmit over those other	
10	channels if some of those were found to be	
11	idle.	
12	BY MR. SPEED:	
13	Q. If the access point determines that	
14	there is no mandatory channel set for that	
15	particular station, it will just send data	
16	packets based on the idle state of the	
17	channels, right?	
18	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
19	Foundation.	
20	A. Well, the access point would would	
21	send information on those wireless channels by	
22	checking to see if they're idle and send data	
23	if they're if they're idle, if there's no	
24	mandatory channel set.	

	(hppon renegruph and renephone corporation(ir relozo or ror)	<i>taly 29</i> , 202
		78
1	BY MR. SPEED:	
2	Q. So if you have an access point that	
3	has is servicing two clients, Client A and	
4	Client B, and it's servicing both clients with	
5	multiple channels so it's a multichannel	
6	communication system, as you described	
7	today Client A is one for which a mandatory	
8	channel has been set and Client B is one for	
9	which a mandatory channel has not been set	
10	are you with me? the transmissions to	
11	Client A will always be determined by the idle	
12	state of the mandatory channel, but	
13	transmissions to Client B will be determined	
14	just based on the carrier sense and the idle	
15	state of the channels themselves. Is that	
16	right?	
17	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
18	A. Yeah, I there's some assumptions	
19	that would probably need to be made there	
20	but for example, the access point is	
21	operating independently and can implement both	
22	those that particular functionality.	
23	But, you know, based on the '551,	
24	within the scope of the construction that I've	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		79
1	stated there, there would need to be a	
2	mandatory channel set if you're going to use	
3	that to limit transmissions on idle channels.	
4	So in the situation going to a user	
5	where there's no mandatory channel set, then a	
6	station or an access point in this matter or	
7	this particular example can transmit if	
8	those those channels are idle.	
9	BY MR. SPEED:	
10	Q. Okay. So if we looked at Claim 1 of	
11	the '551 patent so my apologies, we'll have	
12	to go back to that.	
13	A. Okay. I'm there.	
14	Q. So the preamble Claim 1 recites, "A	
15	wireless packet communication method for	
16	transmitting a plurality of wireless packets	
17	simultaneously by using one of three separate	
18	transmission techniques"; is that right?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
21	BY MR. SPEED:	
22	Q. And the first technique is using	
23	multiple wireless channels determined to be	
24	idle by carrier sense, right?	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

1	A. Well, certainly one of the situations
2	is where it would accommodate multiple
3	wireless channels, right, determined to be
4	idle by carrier sense.
5	Q. And then the second technique that's
6	listed in the preamble is single wireless
7	channel determined to be idle in MIMO; is that
8	right?
9	A. It says a single wireless channel
10	determined to be idle in MIMO. That's
11	correct.
12	Q. Then the third technique that could be
13	used is the multiple wireless channels in the
14	MIMO.
15	Do you see that?
16	A. It says that, yes.
17	Q. So that the preamble is reciting three
18	separate ways of transmitting a plurality of
19	wireless packets simultaneously, correct?
20	A. I would say that these are three
21	different scenarios that are offered within a
22	multichannel system, i.e., where wireless
23	packets can be sent simultaneously, that these
24	are three different scenarios, you know, that

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		-
		81
1	can be accommodated here.	
2	Q. Right. For a device to practice the	
3	preamble recited in Claim 1, it need only	
4	transmit a plurality of wireless packets	
5	simultaneously by using one of the three	
6	transmission techniques, right?	
7	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
8	A. Well, I wouldn't say it in those	
9	words. It's not quite so let me let me	
10	just add some to that so it's you can	
11	understand my answer.	
12	The a multichannel system would	
13	could use one of these particular techniques	
14	to transmit its information, but it's still a	
15	multi multichannel communication system.	
16	So it would be comprised of multiple channels,	
17	but these are different ways it can transmit,	
18	you know, within that system.	
19	BY MR. SPEED:	
20	Q. So to better frame my question, then,	
21	it would be a device that has the ability to	
22	send data over multiple channels, would	
23	practice the preamble if it transmits a	
24	plurality of wireless packets simultaneously	

1	using one of the three techniques recited in
2	the preamble; is that right?
3	A. I might have missed the very first
4	part. I'm sorry. If you could repeat that
5	because I don't recall what you said exactly,
6	the first part of that.
7	Q. Yeah. So a device that has the
8	ability to send data over multiple distinct
9	wireless channels would practice the preamble
10	if it transmits a plurality of wireless
11	packets simultaneously using any of the three
12	recited transmission techniques, right?
13	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
14	
14	A. I could answer that with a little bit
14 15	A. I could answer that with a little bit clarification to I mean, my own
15	clarification to I mean, my own
15 16	clarification to I mean, my own clarification to your question.
15 16 17	clarification to I mean, my own clarification to your question. I would say that a wireless system,
15 16 17 18	clarification to I mean, my own clarification to your question. I would say that a wireless system, that it's first of all, it's a multichannel
15 16 17 18 19	clarification to I mean, my own clarification to your question. I would say that a wireless system, that it's first of all, it's a multichannel wireless system, as the '551 patent has
15 16 17 18 19 20	clarification to I mean, my own clarification to your question. I would say that a wireless system, that it's first of all, it's a multichannel wireless system, as the '551 patent has defined, and it's those multiple wireless
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clarification to I mean, my own clarification to your question. I would say that a wireless system, that it's first of all, it's a multichannel wireless system, as the '551 patent has defined, and it's those multiple wireless channels are between two different users
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clarification to I mean, my own clarification to your question. I would say that a wireless system, that it's first of all, it's a multichannel wireless system, as the '551 patent has defined, and it's those multiple wireless channels are between two different users let's say User A and User B and they can be

system of that type that has that particular 1 architecture, it may implement one of these 2 3 particular techniques when it actually 4 transmits. But it's still a system that's --5 doesn't -- in other words, the way you asked 6 7 the question, it's not just the fact that there's multiple channels available. 8 It's 9 that those multiple channels can be used. They're configured in a way and it operates in 10 a way that more than one of those could be 11 12 used for simultaneous transmission between one point to another point in the system -- for 13 14 example, between a station and an access point -- and you can simultaneously transmit 15 16 on more than one of those wireless frequency 17 channels. It may actually transmit using one 18 of these methods. That's how I see that this 19 is -- what this means. 20 BY MR. SPEED: So if we look at the second 21 Ο. 22 transmission technique, "a single wireless channel determined to be idle in MIMO." 23 24 Do you see that?

		84
1	A. Yes.	
2	Q. So your understanding of the preamble	
3	is that a device for for a device to	
4	perform the method recited in the preamble of	
5	transmitting a plurality of wireless packets	
6	simultaneously by using a single wireless	
7	channel determined to be idle in MIMO, the	
8	device needs to have been configured so that	
9	it could have sent data over a second wireless	
10	channel to the same device that it's	
11	transmitting the MIMO back in?	
12	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
13	A. Yeah, I don't think I would have an	
14	answer to the way that that's been asked. But	
15	maybe I can make my own clarifications and	
16	answer it, it would be helpful.	
17	BY MR. SPEED:	
18	Q. Sure.	
19	A. You know, certainly again, I've	
20	already said this, but, you know, I won't	
21	repeat it again, but the idea is that a	
22	multichannel system, there's multiple wireless	
23	frequency channels that can be used	
24	simultaneously between one point and the	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404) James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		85
1	other. The one you picked out here as single	
2	wireless channel would be, then, one of those	
3	wireless channels that's used, one of those	
4	multiple channels that are part of that	
5	architecture of that multichannel system.	
6	Q. So a system in which you have a single	
7	MIMO-implemented channel is not within the	
8	scope of the preamble if it's if that	
9	single MIMO-implemented channel is the only	
10	channel that can be used between the two	
11	stations, right?	
12	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
13	A. I don't think that again, I	
14	would I'd want to have my own	
15	clarifications on that question because or	
16	make my own clarifications. You said that	
17	were "used."	
18	Certainly, it could be one used, but	
19	there's other ones that were part of the	
20	architecture that could have been used that	
21	might be busy, you know, during that	
22	particular time period and, therefore, can't	
23	be used. So there may be some of those	
24	channels that the multichannel communication	

Page 85

1	systems that are busy, again, within scope of
2	what the '551 patent specifies as multichannel
3	or teaches as multichannel, and, therefore,
4	you would be, at that particular time, using
5	one of the channels, but it's still part of a
6	multichannel system that those other channels
7	could have been used.
8	BY MR. SPEED:
9	Q. Thanks for the clarification.
10	So a system of which you have a single
11	MIMO-implemented channel is not within the
12	scope of the preamble if that single
13	MIMO-implemented channel is the only channel
14	provided between the two stations; is that
15	correct?
16	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
17	A. Then we have a similar problem that
18	we're provided. What would that mean? If
19	that's if that's a single channel between,
20	let's say, an access point and a user station,
21	if that's the only channel that's going to be
22	available for use for that particular
23	architecture, how the architecture supports,
24	then there's no other there's not a time

1	when you would be able to communicate over,
2	let's say, two channels simultaneously between
3	those two, then that would not be considered
4	part of this wouldn't be meeting this
5	particular claim.
6	So in other words, it is just to
7	give you an example it may be helpful to
8	clarify is the version of 802.11 that we're
9	talking about in the we've been talking
10	about in the '551 patent does not meet that
11	that limitation because it it's not a
12	multichannel communication system as taught by
13	the '551 patent. That's one reason. But, you
14	know, we're talking about just the preamble
15	here. That's why that's not.
16	Q. And you would agree that Claim 1's
17	preamble treats single-channel MIMO as an
18	alternative to using multiple wireless
19	channels, correct?
20	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
21	A. I wouldn't necessarily say it's an
22	alternative. I don't know if I would use
23	those words. But it's it's a sort of a
24	scenario, you know, that's this needs to

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

88 1 accommodate, you know, that that particular --2 the system needs to accommodate that 3 particular scenario. BY MR. SPEED: 4 If you could turn to Paragraph 55 of 5 0. your declaration. It's on page 19. 6 Okay. One second. Okay. I'm almost 7 Α. 8 there. No problem. 9 Q. Okay. I'm at -- I'm on page 18 -- oh, 10 Α. page 19. 11 12 It starts at 18. 0. 13 Α. Yeah. 14 And I just wanted to call your 0. 15 attention to the last sentence so that I can make sure your testimony's clear. 16 And that's in Paragraph 55? 17 Α. 18 Q. Yes, sir. Okay. Okay. I'm there. 19 Α. I just -- my question's going to be 20 Q. whether or not you agree that "The '551 patent 21 22 treats single-channel MIMO in using multiple 23 wireless channels as alternatives to each other for transmitting packets 24

		89
1	simultaneously."	
2	A. Give me a second while I read that.	
3	Q. Yes.	
4	A. (Witness reviews document.)	
5	well, I think that, as I said, I think	
6	these are a form of a scenario. In other	
7	words, I guess what I meant by that was that	
8	I'm using the word "alternative" here meaning	
9	to perform simultaneous transmission. It's	
10	using MIMO to get the simultaneous	
11	transmission or multiple wireless channels,	
12	you know, to get simultaneous transmission.	
13	And it's not that it's an alternative	
14	in a way that only one needs to be	
15	implemented. In other words, you never	
16	accomplished a particular thing, only have to	
17	do this one thing. You know, that's not what	
18	that means.	
19	It's that's why I said probably a	
20	way of sort of clarifying what I meant by	
21	alternative here. It's like a scenario. You	
22	know, it's one of the scenarios that may occur	
23	and that you need to accommodate when	
24	implementing the system.	

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Ge July 29, 20
		90
1	Q. So one of the scenarios in the	
2	preamble is sending packets simultaneously	
3	over a single channel using MIMO, right?	
4	A. Right. That would be a scenario that	
5	would need to be or it's a scenario that	
6	would be provided.	
7	Q. Right.	
8	A. Or it could provide, right.	
9	Q. And an alternative scenario would be	
10	sending packets simultaneously over multiple	
11	channels, right?	
12	A. Right. That would be another way to	
13	accommodate the sending of simultaneous	
14	information.	
15	Q. And the preamble of Claim 1	
16	encompasses both of those scenarios, right?	
17	A. Right. It does in the context of a	
18	multichannel system.	
19	Q. If you look at column sorry to make	2
20	you keep jumping around, but Column 9 of the	
21	'551 patent.	
22	A. I don't know if there's a faster way	
23	to navigate through these, but I'm just typing	
24	in a page number. So I can hit the little	

		J
		91
1	arrow key, but then	
2	Q. It should be page 19.	
3	A. Yeah. It would be helpful if you	
4	happen to know the page number. It would be	
5	really good.	
6	Q. Sometimes I do; sometimes I don't.	
7	A. So Column 9?	
8	Q. Yes.	
9	A. Okay.	
10	Q. And starting around line 7 of	
11	Column 9, the patent describes an exemplary	
12	structure of a wireless packet communication	
13	apparatus; is that right?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. And in that apparatus, the patent says	
16	it's depicted in Figure 12?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. And in this particular paragraph, the	
19	patent describes the apparatus as one "capable	
20	of transmitting and receiving three wireless	
21	packets simultaneously by using three wireless	
22	channels, No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3"; is that	
23	right?	
24	A. That's what it says there.	

92

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

1 So the exemplary apparatus described 0. in this paragraph is performing the first 2 technique recited in Claim 1's preamble, 3 namely transmitting packets using multiple 4 wireless channels determined to be idle by 5 carrier sense, right? 6 Objection. 7 MR. ATKINSON: Form. Let me spend a minute just reading the 8 Α. 9 context around that --BY MR. SPEED: 10 11 Q. Yes. -- if you don't mind. 12 Α. 13 **0**. Yes, no problem. 14 (Witness reviews document.) Α. well, at least what they're describing 15 16 there, there's three wireless packets 17 simultaneous -- or packets -- well, let me back up again. 18 Transmitting and receiving three 19 wireless packets simultaneously by using the 20 21 three wireless channels, 1, 2, and 3, that is 22 a multichannel system. And I believe in 23 the -- I don't have the patent open right now to see that claim, but that was one of the 24

Page 92

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		93
1	situations or scenarios that I we pointed	
2	to in the in Claim 1 in the preamble.	
3	Q. Great. And if you look at lines 19	
4	to 22 of that in Column 9, the patent	
5	explains that "MIMO will not be taken into	
6	consideration in the following description."	
7	Do you see that?	
8	A. Hold on a second.	
9	Okay. Yeah, that's what it says	
10	there.	
11	Q. So would you agree that the patent's	
12	describing an example of an apparatus that	
13	uses the multichannel transmission technique	
14	and is not describing one that uses the MIMO	
15	techniques that are also recited in the	
16	preamble?	
17	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
18	A. I wouldn't necessarily say it in that	
19	way. The this is just showing that the	
20	example given here isn't using MIMO.	
21	BY MR. SPEED:	
22	Q. Okay. That that works.	
23	A. I mean, right before there, it says	
24	MIMO is used, you know, for each wireless	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Teleph	one Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

94

1	channel. But when they go through this
2	example in Figure 12, it appears that they're
3	not discussing that with MIMO for the purpose
4	of explaining something. They just didn't
5	include it
6	Q. Okay.
7	A for that purpose.
8	Q. And in the in that last sentence,
9	from 19 to 23, I'll say, on Column 9, it says,
10	"The MIMO will not be taken into consideration
11	in the following description as well as a case
12	where multiple wireless channels are
13	independently used."
14	Do you see that?
15	A. Right.
16	Q. Do you understand what the case is
17	where multiple wireless channels are
18	independently used?
19	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
20	A. Let me again, let me spend a minute
21	with this to read it.
22	BY MR. SPEED:
23	Q. Okay.
24	A. (Witness reviews document.)

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telep	hone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		95
1	A. I think from a going about based on	
2	my memory without having to read the whole	
3	specification again but I think that that	
4	refers to not using, let's say, for example, a	
5	mandatory channel, you know, one where it's	
6	independent from that. You know, they can be	
7	used independently where a mandatory channel	
8	might not be set.	
9	Q. Okay.	
10	A. Again, I'm not absolutely certain, but	
11	I think just based on my memory.	
12	Q. And going back to your declaration, at	
13	Paragraph 66.	
14	A. And do you happen to know the page	
15	number of that?	
16	Q. It's 27. So I think it's probably 29.	
17	A. Unfortunately, these aren't staying	
18	open to the same page so I've got to go back.	
19	I haven't figured out a way to make that stay	
20	on the same page we were on before. It goes	
21	back to page 1.	
22	Okay. So which paragraph was that?	
23	Q. It's Paragraph 66. It's actually	
24	page 31 out of 104.	

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Geier July 29, 2021
		96
1	A. Okay. I'm on page 31.	
2	Q. So you see Paragraph 66 there?	
3	A. Actually, I'm looking at my	
4	declaration on page 31. I'm seeing Paragraph	
5	73.	
6	Q. Sorry. It's page 27 of your actual	
7	declaration. I was giving you the page number	
8	of the little blue tab. It's Paragraph 66 on	
9	page 27 of your declaration.	
10	A. So I'm there now.	
11	Q. Okay. In this paragraph, you explain	
12	that the '551 patent specification uses the	
13	phrase "A wireless channel to be used is	
14	determined in advance" when describing	
15	conventional 802.11 systems, right?	
16	A. And I'm trying to be sure I know	
17	where you're in Paragraph 66. Whereabouts	
18	are you reading it, just so I can get there a	
19	little quicker?	
20	Q. It's bolded somewhat in the middle.	
21	But why don't you read the whole paragraph and	
22	then just	
23	A. I see where you're reading from.	
24	Q. Okay. So in Paragraph 66, you're	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		97
1	explaining that the specification uses the	
2	phrase "A wireless channel to be used is	
3	determined in advance" when describing	
4	conventional 802.11 systems, right?	
5	A. Right.	
6	Q. And you contrast that with Claim 1,	
7	which requires setting a mandatory channel,	
8	right?	
9	A. Right.	
10	Q. Right. So what do you understand	
11	setting in Claim 1 to require?	
12	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
13	A. Are you talking about setting a	
14	mandatory channel? What does that require?	
15	BY MR. SPEED:	
16	Q. Yes. How I guess how is setting a	
17	mandatory channel different from determining a	
18	channel in advance?	
19	A. Well, determining a channel in	
20	advance, I'm talking about there is the idea	
21	of determining whether or not you can use a	
22	channel, and that's something that you would	
23	do in advance of communications.	
24	You would need to determine whether or	

not the channels were idle and could idly 1 Whereas, setting the mandatory 2 transmit. channel would be something that's done -- it's 3 a different thing. You're setting the 4 mandatory channel based on a particular user 5 that you're communicating with. 6 7 And how does the '551 patent describe 0. setting a channel for a particular user? 8 9 well, the setting of a mandatory Α. channel would -- you would be identifying a 10 particular channel as the mandatory channel. 11 12 And that's -- that's how you would set that. You know, there would be a way of identifying 13 the channel for a particular user as a 14 mandatory channel for that particular user 15 that you're going to communicate with. 16 would one way of identifying the 17 0. channel for a particular user as a mandatory 18 channel be for an administrator to go in to 19 the access point and say, You're using Channel 20 21 6 for all of your transmissions to clients in 22 this network? MR. ATKINSON: Objection. 23 Form. 24 Foundation.

Page 98

99

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Teleph	one Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

1 Because the 802.11 systems don't Α. NO. implement multichannel systems that a 2 3 mandatory channel would be part of. Do you recall if there's any 4 0. description of how the mandatory channel is 5 set in either the transmitting or receiving 6 station in the '551 patent? 7 8 Objection. MR. ATKINSON: Form. 9 It depends what you mean by "how." Α. what do you mean? Do you mean actually 10 physically how is it done or under what rules? 11 12 Or what -- what do you mean by "how"? 13 BY MR. SPEED: I guess any explanation of how it's 14 0. done beyond just the general concept of you 15 16 need to set a channel. Is there any description in the patent of precisely or an 17 example of how that could be accomplished? 18 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. 19 Form. I don't recall exactly. There could 20 Α. be -- this is going on my memory without 21 22 reading through the whole patent to -- to verify this, but there may have been something 23 about that if there was potential for power 24

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

100 leakage, that that would be a reason to set a 1 2 mandatory channel. That's some, I quess, explanation of how it's set or why -- I guess 3 4 why it's set. But the actual physical setting of it, 5 I don't remember the specification describing 6 7 the process of doing that except for identifying why you need to use it or why 8 9 vou'd want to use it. 10 BY MR. SPEED: Do you think a person of skill in the 11 Q. 12 art would know how to set a mandatory channel for a particular device in view of the 13 14 description in the '551 patent? 15 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 16 Scope. I think certainly an engineer who's 17 Α. designing this type of system would -- would 18 know situations where this power leakage could 19 occur whether they're building their own 20 products and have done testing or they've --21 looking at this more from a theoretical 22 standpoint, could -- could determine whether 23 or not a mandatory channel, if there would be 24

Γ

1	a benefit of setting a mandatory channel.
2	BY MR. SPEED:
3	Q. And if they identified that there
4	would be a benefit to setting a mandatory
5	channel, can you give an example of how they
6	would go about actually setting the mandatory
7	channel for a particular device?
8	A. Well, with any type of system, there's
9	multiple ways of setting particular
10	characteristics of the system, you know,
11	parameters that let's say for this case,
12	it's the mandatory channel whether or not
13	there's a mandatory channel set and what
14	channel it is.
15	Some ways are could be where
16	it's it's designed in to the system, that
17	if there's a product that someone's developing
18	and there's it's a multichannel system, so
19	they're going to have multiple channels, they
20	look into this issue and, based on the
21	frequencies that they're using, determine
22	and maybe based on testing that they do in a
23	lab and out in the field, determine that
24	mandatory channels are going to have to be set

102

1	in certain circumstances for certain products.
2	And then that could be done in the factory,
3	you know, when the device is built, at that
4	part of it. That's sort of a static way.
5	Another way could be to make it a
6	configuration parameter that's available on
7	each device to maybe that device gathers
8	statistics or maybe, as an engineer, you do
9	testing and out in the field determine that
10	there needs to be mandatory channels set for
11	particular users to improve throughput. They
12	could be set and used.
13	And then maybe do more testing to see
14	what the outcome is, and you could activate it
15	or not. There could be dynamic means of of
16	providing a mandatory channel or at least
17	identifying whether a mandatory channel needs
18	to be set and communicating back to some other
19	device to tell them to set it, if it's having
20	trouble if that device receiving is
21	noticing a lot of retransmissions because of
22	the power leakage.
23	There's a variety of ways that a
24	person of ordinary skill in the art would

Page 102

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephon	ne Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

103 know, you know, how to first determine whether 1 2 or not a mandatory channel needs to be set and 3 then ways of setting that, you know, within 4 the systems. BY MR. SPEED: 5 6 0. Thanks. I'm going to introduce the Shpak 7 reference, which was previously identified as 8 9 Exhibit 1005. 10 I have it. Α. Okav. And do you recognize Exhibit 1005 as 11 Q. 12 the Shpak application publication that you discuss in your declaration? 13 14 Yes. Α. And you would agree that Shpak teaches 15 0. a method by which multiple access points can 16 17 arbitrate amongst themselves to determine which access point should transmit to a mobile 18 station, right? 19 MR. ATKINSON: Objection to 20 21 form. Foundation. 22 well, at least you know there's a Α. station out there that communicates with an 23 access point, and then the access points 24

1	negotiate or arbitrate who's going to
2	communicate with it, and then that access
3	point some access point wins, and then that
4	other access point will then communicate with
5	that client. So that's, I believe, what this
6	Shpak is about.
7	BY MR. SPEED:
8	Q. And the winning access point transmits
9	wireless packets to a mobile station over what
10	Shpak calls a common frequency channel; is
11	that right?
12	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
13	Foundation.
14	A. Well, I know that the stations this
15	is by my memory, but I believe the stations
16	communicate over a common frequency channel
17	with the access point and then the winning
18	access point, I don't remember what it was
19	called, you know, the actual channel, what
20	that was called, but there's there's a
21	single channel that it communicates over.
22	BY MR. SPEED:
23	Q. And the access points in Shpak are
24	described as 802.11 compliant, right?

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Gei July 29, 202
		105
1	A. I believe so, because in Paragraph 16,	
2	it's referring to 802.11, that these are	
3	802.11-type access points.	
4	Q. If we look at Paragraph 6 of Shpak,	
5	Shpak confirms that with respect to 802.11b	
6	and 802.11g, there were four frequency	
7	channels defined in the 2.4 gigahertz band,	
8	right?	
9	A. You're referring to Paragraph 6?	
10	Q. Yes.	
11	A. Let me read that.	
12	(Witness reviews document.)	
13	Okay. You said something about that	
14	there's four channels? Maybe I misunderstood	
15	what you said.	
16	Q. well, if I I might have misspoke.	
17	Shpak, in Paragraph 6, states that 802.11b and	
18	802.11g standards define 14 frequency	
19	channels, correct?	
20	A. That's what it says there, and that's	
21	accurate.	
22	Q. And then it goes on to say, "But	
23	because of bandwidth and regulatory	
24	limitations, WLANS operating according to	

106

1	these standards in the United States actually	
2	only have three different frequency channels	
3	from which to choose."	
4	Do you see that?	
5	A. I see that it says that. That's not	
6	necessarily completely accurate. But it's	
7	it's the three nonoverlapping channels. They	
8	still they can in the United States, you	
9	can choose among eleven channels, not three,	
10	but there's three different frequency channels	
11	that are not overlapping that you can choose	
12	from. I think that's what that's certainly	
13	what they meant. They just didn't say it that	
14	way.	
15	Q. Right. So Shpak is consistent with	
16	what we were discussing earlier, that there's	
17	multiple frequency channels, but there's three	
18	nonoverlapping ones used in the United States,	
19	typically, 1, 6, and 11; is that right?	
20	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
21	A. Just to clarify my answer, that those	
22	three channels are not used at the same time	
23	between one point and another, so, in other	
24	words, between a station and an access point.	

Page 106

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telepho	one Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		107
1	There's three available that could be used,	
2	and only one is set and then used, or at least	
3	configured, at an access point, and that's the	
4	only one used.	
5	There's never a second channel that's	
6	used between, let's say, a station and a	
7	access point of those two two channels, at	
8	least within 802.11.	
9	BY MR. SPEED:	
10	Q. If you turn to sorry to do this	
11	back to your declaration, Paragraph 80.	
12	A. Do you have approximately the page	
13	number?	
14	Q. Yes, I have to do the same thing you	
15	do. Sorry.	
16	A. Okay.	
17	Q. Looks like it is page 36 of your	
18	actual declaration, and it's 40 of 104 in the	
19	eDepoze interface.	
20	A. Which paragraph was that again,	
21	please?	
22	Q. Paragraph 80.	
23	A. 80. Okay.	
24	Okay. I'm there.	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com
MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephon	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

108 1 And I just wanted to confirm that, in 0. your opinion, Shpak teaches using carrier 2 sense to its common frequency channel to 3 assess whether it's idle or busy, right? 4 Objection. 5 MR. ATKINSON: Form. I think what you're meaning -- and 6 Α. 7 I'll restate this just so I know I'm answering the question I think it is -- is that whether 8 9 or not Shpak is using carrier sense to access the channels communicating with between, like, 10 say, an access point and a station, is 11 12 that --13 BY MR. SPEED: 14 0. Yes. Okay. It's talking about the idea of 15 Α. using 802.11, so that's carrier sense was 16 17 what -- is what would be used --Right. 18 Q. -- for that single channel. 19 Α. 20 And in Paragraph 81 of your Q. declaration, so the next one, you say, "At the 21 time of Shpak, 802.11 standards did not 22 address multichannel communication systems." 23 Do you see that? 24

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		J - J -
		109
1	A. Yes.	
2	Q. And then in the third sentence in	
3	Paragraph 81, you say, as of the "At the	
4	time of Shpak and at the priority date of the	
5	'551 patent, there were no standardized	
6	multichannel systems."	
7	Do you see that?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And you bolded and italicized	
10	"standardized."	
11	Do you see that?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. And so what did you mean by there were	
14	no standardized multichannel communication	
15	systems at the time of Shpak or the priority	
16	date of the '551 patent?	
17	A. Well, what I mean there is there were	
18	no multichannel communication systems that	
19	were part of standards. So, in other words,	
20	like 802.11.	
21	Q. Okay. But as we saw in Column 1 of	
22	the '551 patent, there it was known to use	
23	multiple channels to transmit packets	
24	simultaneously, right?	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telep	bhone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

	110
1	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
2	A. I don't remember exactly what the
3	how the '551 patent phrased that, so I think
4	if you're referring to that, I wouldn't
5	mind looking at that just to confirm it. If
6	there's a statement you're you need to
7	BY MR. SPEED:
8	Q. Yeah, you should have the '551 patent
9	in your
10	A. If you don't mind, I wouldn't mind
11	seeing what you're pointing to there.
12	Q. It's Column 1, line 43 to 48, I
13	believe.
14	A. Column 1. Column 1. Which lines are
15	you referring to?
16	Q. 43-ish to 48. It's the paragraph that
17	begins "on the other hand."
18	A. Okay. Yes, I see that, and I agree
19	that that's what that says.
20	Q. So it was multichannel systems, as
21	you've defined multichannel systems, were
22	known as of the priority date of the '551
23	patent. They just weren't standardized; is
24	that right?

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		•••••
		111
1	A. Well, it's the '551 patent is	
2	explained in Column 1 "Wireless packet	
3	communication method is known in which when	
4	multiple wireless channels are found idle by	
5	carrier sense, a plurality of wireless packets	
6	are transmitted simultaneously using the	
7	wireless channel."	
8	So what that's saying is that	
9	there's it was known that there were	
10	systems that have multiple wireless channels	
11	and that use carrier sense to determine if	
12	packets should be sent. But that's as far	
13	as that's the that's the basically	
14	the what was known.	
15	Q. Right. Is that a multichannel system	
16	in your understanding of multichannel	
17	system?	
18	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
19	A. It would be a multichannel system by	
20	the fact that it supports multiple wireless	
21	frequency channels.	
22	BY MR. SPEED:	
23	Q. Are multichannel systems standardized	
24	as of today in 802.11?	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and T	elephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

112 1 I haven't analyzed that as part of my Α. opinions here. 2 MR. SPEED: Okay. I'd like to 3 4 introduce the Ho records. 5 It's been about an THE WITNESS: If we're going to change gears, 6 hour so far. do you mind if we take another guick break? 7 8 MR. SPEED: Yeah, actually, I 9 probably have five minutes of questions, and then I thought maybe we could break for lunch, 10 if that works. 11 12 THE WITNESS: That's fine, if 13 you want to do that. 14 MR. SPEED: Yeah, I appreciate you raising it. 15 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 BY MR. SPEED: So I'm introducing what's been 18 Q. previously marked as Exhibit 1006. 19 I'm sorry. I've got a slight problem. 20 Α. I -- somehow my browser is gone that had 21 22 the -- the documents in it. I don't know what happened here. I still see my window in Zoom, 23 but I'm not seeing the browser. 24

James T. Geier MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404) July 29, 2021 113 MR. SPEED: Why don't we go off 1 2 the record. 3 (Off-the-record discussion.) 4 5 MR. SPEED: Let's get off the 6 7 record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off 8 9 the record at 11:49 a.m. 10 (Recess taken from 11:49 a.m. 11 12 to 11:50 a.m.) 13 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 11:50 a.m. 15 16 BY MR. SPEED: I just introduced what is previously 17 0. been identified as Exhibit 1006. 18 Do you recognize Exhibit 1006 as the 19 Ho patent application that you discussed in 20 vour declaration? 21 22 Α. Yes. And you would agree that Ho discloses 23 0. the use of MIMO over a single wireless 24

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

114 channel, right? 1 Just give me a minute just to review 2 Α. Ho, just to skim it really quick. I'm going 3 4 to look at something. Yes, I believe Ho is just -- it's 5 MIMO, describing MIMO over a single channel. 6 7 All right. And if you look at 0. Paragraph 9 of Ho. 8 9 Okay. Paragraph 9. Okay. Α. 10 And just -- my question was just going 0. 11 to be, there's a reference to Communication 12 Links 48 and 50 within Paragraph 9. Just let me know when you've read the paragraph, and 13 14 I'll ask you a question. 15 Α. Okay. (Witness reviews document.) 16 17 Okay. I'm sorry. I had to reposition things here. So I'm back to Paragraph 9. 18 SO you want me to read the whole paragraph? 19 20 Yes, you might as well. Q. 21 (Witness reviews document.) Α. 22 Okay. I've read Paragraph 9. Paragraph 9 references Communication 23 0. 24 Links 48 and 50 between Stations 10 and 12.

> O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

		115
1	Do you see that?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And so those communication links are,	
4	I believe, what we were talking about earlier	
5	as the MIMO paths that are used to subdivide	
6	the single wireless channel over which the	
7	packets are being transmitted; is that	
8	right?	
9	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
10	A. Yeah, they're the MIMO streams that	
11	are being communicated over the same wireless	
12	frequency channel.	
13	BY MR. SPEED:	
14	Q. And you see that there's a reference	
15	to 2-by-2 MIMO and 3-by-3 MIMO.	
16	Do you see that?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. What do you understand 2-by-2 MIMO	
19	configuration to be?	
20	A. That generally represents the number	
21	of antennas.	
22	Q. So if you have two antennas, you can	
23	send you can create two MIMO data streams	
24	over a single channel, right?	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

116 Yeah. 1 Α. MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 2 That's -- that's possible to --3 Α. 4 BY MR. SPEED: And if you have three antennas, you 5 0. 6 could do three MIMO data streams over that 7 single channel? MR. ATKINSON: Objection. 8 Form. 9 Foundation. Yeah. At least with MIMO, if you have 10 Α. a 3-by-3 system, you could configure that for 11 12 operating with three streams of data, again, over a common frequency channel. 13 14 BY MR. SPEED: All right. This is probably a good 15 Ο. 16 spot to break for lunch. 17 Α. Okay. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let's go off 18 the record. We are off the record at 19 20 11:54 a.m. 21 (Recess taken from 11:54 a.m. 22 to 12:43 p.m.) 23 24

Videotaped

Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

MediaTek Inc. et al vs

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped J Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	ames T. Geier July 29, 2021
		117
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on	
2	the record at 12:43 p.m.	
3	BY MR. SPEED:	
4	Q. Mr. Geier, at Paragraph 139 in your	
5	declaration through to Paragraph 150, that's	
6	where you discuss Ground 1 from the petition;	
7	is that right?	
8	A. Okay. So Paragraph 139, let me go to	
9	that.	
10	Q. Yes. It's page 73 of your actual	
11	declaration and page 77 of 104 in the eDepoze	
12	exhibit.	
13	A. I'm just opening my my declaration.	
14	Okay. I'm there.	
15	Q. And just confirming that between	
16	Paragraphs 139 and 150, you address Ground 1	
17	from the petition, correct?	
18	A. Yes. That looks to that's correct.	
19	Q. Okay. And in Ground 1 from the	
20	petition, you understand the petitioners	
21	propose that a person of skill in the art	
22	would have modified the Shpak system in view	
23	of Ho such that the common frequency channel	
24	used by the Shpak access points would have	

	MediaTek Inc. et al vsVideotapedJames T. GeierNippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)July 29, 2021
	118
1	<pre>implemented Ho's MIMO technique, correct?</pre>
2	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
3	A. I understand that they were using
4	those two references together for Ground 1.
5	BY MR. SPEED:
6	Q. And in your discussion of Ground 1,
7	you do not dispute that persons of skill in
8	the art as of September 2003 had reasons to
9	implement Shpak's wireless system such that
10	its access points used MIMO transmissions over
11	the common frequency channel, right?
12	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
13	Scope.
14	A. Certainly I would think a person of
15	ordinary skill in the art would look at Shpak
16	and understand it implements MIMO over a
17	common frequency channel, and that's something
18	that could be implemented.
19	BY MR. SPEED:
20	Q. And you don't dispute that if a person
21	of skill in the art was motivated to add MIMO
22	functionality to Shpak, they would have a
23	reasonable expectation of success in doing so
24	in 2003, right?

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		119
1	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
2	Scope.	
3	A. I don't remember all the details that	
4	Shpak gave about MIMO over over the common	
5	frequency channel. I'd probably have to	
6	review that to really answer that fully. But	
7	they certainly bring up the notion of using	
8	MIMO over a single channel, which was done	
9	during that time.	
10	BY MR. SPEED:	
11	Q. And it was at that time, it didn't	
12	require undue experimentations for persons of	
13	skill in the art to implement MIMO-based	
14	single-channel systems, right?	
15	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
16	Foundation.	
17	A. It certainly was understood, in theory	
18	at least. I know for certain that MIMO could	
19	be used over a single channel. I don't recall	
20	the numbers of the systems that were	
21	implemented with that, with MIMO over a single	
22	channel at the time. But I know in papers I	
23	read, it was known it was something that could	
24	be done.	

Page 119

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telepho	one Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		120
1	BY MR. SPEED:	
2	Q. So you don't dispute that a person of	
3	skill in the art started with Shpak and	
4	motivated to take its single transmission	
5	access point and make those multiple input,	
6	multiple output access points would have been	
7	able to do so in 2003, right?	
8	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
9	Scope.	
10	A. You said something about maybe I	
11	didn't understand the question something	
12	about using multiple access points with a	
13	common channel. Is that what you meant?	
14	BY MR. SPEED:	
15	Q. No, sorry.	
16	A. Okay.	
17	Q. So with Shpak, unmodified has access	
18	points that communicate on the common	
19	frequency channel, right?	
20	A. Right.	
21	Q. Right. And I'm just asking in 2003	
22	would a person of skill in the art have had a	
23	reasonable expectation of success that they	
24	could modify those access points so that they	

		121
1	could use MIMO technology?	
2	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
3	Scope. Lacks foundation.	
4	A. Yeah, I'm really not so certain of	
5	that. I I know that MIMO was certainly a	
6	technology that I knew in theory from reading	
7	papers.	
8	As far as actually implementing them,	
9	implementing MIMO in access points to provide	
10	multiple streams of data, I don't recall the	
11	systems that supported that to know, you know,	
12	how reasonable that would be. I just don't	
13	recall.	
14	BY MR. SPEED:	
15	Q. And if you look at, for example,	
16	Paragraph 143 in your declaration, it's your	
17	opinion the Shpak-Ho combination proposed in	
18	the petition does not disclose the mandatory	
19	channel element of Claim 1 because Shpak-Ho's	
20	common frequency channel is not one of	
21	multiple channels provided for transmission	
22	but rather the only channel available,	
23	right?	
24	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	

		122
1	A. Let me just look at that section of my	
2	declaration real quick.	
3	(Witness reviews document.)	
4	Well, that's certainly what I've	
5	stated there, you know, that the it's in	
6	Paragraph 143, but starting on page 74 is	
7	where I explain that because Shpak's	
8	Shpak-Ho's common frequency channel is not one	
9	of multiple channels provided for transmission	
10	but rather the only channel available, so	
11	meaning that it's the only channel that can be	
12	used for that communications or	
13	communications between those two devices.	
14	BY MR. SPEED:	
15	Q. Right. So your opinion that the	
16	Shpak-Ho combination doesn't render obvious	
17	Claim 1, for example, is based on your	
18	understanding that the claims require a	
19	multichannel system; is that correct?	
20	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
21	A. Well, that's certainly part of it, as	
22	I've said in my statement that I just read	
23	from my report.	
24	BY MR. SPEED:	

	MediaTek Inc. et al vsVideotapedJamesNippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)July 2
1	Q. Is there any other part of your
2	opinion of why Shpak-Ho doesn't render obvious
3	Claim 1 that's independent from your
4	understanding that the claims require a
5	multichannel communication system?
6	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
7	A. Let me just glance at my report real
8	quick.
9	BY MR. SPEED:
10	Q. Yeah.
11	A. (Witness reviews document.)
12	Well, at least I'm reading through
13	the rest of 143 that's what I was talking
14	about there was the idea that it's a common
15	channel and not one of multiple channels, you
16	know, that can be used.
17	Q. I guess what I'm trying to understand
18	is if the board were to disagree with you and
19	construe mandatory channel, as the petitioners
20	have proposed, such that the mandatory channel
21	could be the only channel provided between two
22	stations, would you agree that Shpak-Ho at
23	that point would teach all elements of
24	Claim 1?

James T. Geier 29, 2021

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

		124
1	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
2	Scope. Lacks foundation.	
3	A. I guess I kind of see it as a	
4	hypothetical with that. I mean, it would	
5	depend on certain things.	
6	But what I understand from what you	
7	just told me, if that were the construction,	
8	as I've talked about, you could have the	
9	mandatory channel be the only one that's	
10	actually being transmitted on and being used	
11	at that moment. But it's still part of if	
12	it's a part of a multicommunication system, it	
13	would need to have other channels that are	
14	associated with it, that they can used, but	
15	they just happen to be busy at that time, and	
16	they're not idle.	
17	BY MR. SPEED:	
18	Q. Right. I'm just asking hypothetical	
19	scenario where the board agreed with	
20	Petitioners that the mandatory channel can be	
21	the only channel provided between one station	
22	and another, would you agree that Shpak-Ho, in	
23	that hypothetical interpretation of the	
24	claims, would render the claims obvious?	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

125 1 Objection. MR. ATKINSON: Form. 2 Lacks foundation. Scope. Again, you're offering a hypothetical 3 Α. 4 of the claim construction I haven't analyzed. That's something that, you know, I would take 5 time with. 6 I know that, based on how I understand 7 the claim construction to be for this is, you 8 9 know, for a mandatory channel, this does not satisfy that. If there's some other claim 10 construction that's rendered. that it would 11 12 depend. I would have to see and analyze what is meant by that and how that fits into the 13 14 '551 patent. 15 BY MR. SPEED: So you didn't analyze whether Shpak-Ho 16 0. meets, for example, Claim 1 under Petitioners' 17 proposed construction; is that right? 18 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. 19 Form. 20 Lacks foundation. Scope. 21 what I analyzed is what's in my Α. declaration. So that -- that's what I'm 22 23 providing opinions on. I don't remember if that's in my declaration. I don't think it 24

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephon	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		-
		126
1	is, but I actually don't remember. I don't	
2	think I did offer that opinion.	
3	But, you know, it would depend. You	
4	know, I would have to I could look at that	
5	further, you know, if that's something I	
6	didn't cover in my report.	
7	BY MR. SPEED:	
8	Q. And I just strike that.	
9	So when you assessed Shpak-Ho, you	
10	assessed it from the perspective strike	
11	that.	
12	When you analyzed Shpak-Ho, you were	
13	analyzing whether it met Claim 1 under your	
14	construction of mandatory channel, correct?	
15	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
16	Misstates testimony.	
17	A. Well, then, certainly I've offered my	
18	suggestions on what that construction should	
19	be. You know, we talked about that earlier.	
20	It's it doesn't meet that construction.	
21	You know, the idea that it's it's just a	
22	if that's the only channel that's ever	
23	available that can be sent data can be sent	
24	on and there can never be a chance of having	

127 multiple communication channels that are based 1 on frequency, just being that common channel 2 and that's the only channel available, it 3 4 doesn't meet that. BY MR. SPEED: 5 I'd like to introduce the Bugeja 6 0. 7 reference. Okay. I have it. 8 Α. 9 I've just introduced what's been 0. marked as exhibit -- previously as 10 Exhibit 1007. 11 12 Do you recognize Exhibit 1007 as the Bugeja patent application publication that you 13 14 discuss in your declaration? Yes, it appears to be that. 15 Α. 16 And looking at the abstract, you would 0. 17 agree Bugeja discloses a system that uses 18 multichannel wireless access points, right? That's certainly what it says. 19 Α. And if we look at, for example, at 20 Q. 21 Figure 4 --22 Okay. I'm there. Α. -- Figure 4 depicts three access 23 0. 24 points, 402.

	Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	July 29, 2
		128
1	Do you see that?	
2	A. You said 402?	
3	Q. Element 402 is an access point,	
4	correct?	
5	A. Yes, it appears to be.	
6	Q. And so we've got so we've got three	
7	access points with and each access point is	
8	a multichannel access point that can transmit	
9	on Channels 1, 6, or 11, right?	
10	A. If I remember from the specification	
11	describing that, that it does have those three	
12	channels that it could transmit on, that's	
13	correct.	
14	Q. And in what we see in Figure 4, each	
15	access point picks one channel to be its	
16	primary channel that it uses at full power,	
17	right?	
18	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
19	A. Well, at least one channel is going to	
20	be used by an access point for that outer	
21	region, which would be its I would say	
22	normal power of operation.	
23	BY MR. SPEED:	
24	Q. Okay. And then sorry. Go ahead.	

Videotaped

MediaTek Inc. et al vs

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephor	ne Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		J -) -
		129
1	A. I can't remember if the specification	
2	called that full power or just normal power.	
3	It's it's a particular power setting for	
4	the access point.	
5	Q. And then the access points each use	
6	the other two channels at half power and	
7	consider the and the Bugeja calls those	
8	secondary channels, right?	
9	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
10	A. I do remember where they're called	
11	secondary channels. I can't remember if it's	
12	operating at half power. It's some reduced	
13	power from what the primary channel would be.	
14	BY MR. SPEED:	
15	Q. If you look at Paragraph 30 of	
16	Bugeja	
17	A. Let's see. Almost there.	
18	Okay.	
19	Q and in Paragraph 30, it explains	
20	that the access points transmit on full power	
21	on a primary channel and on less power on a	
22	secondary channel, right?	
23	A. Yes, that's right.	
24	Q. So, for example, if we're looking back	

		1
1	on Figure 4 again and if we look at the access	
2	point on the top left of the of the figure,	
3	that access point's transmitting at full power	
4	on Channel 11, its primary channel, and it's	
5	transmitting at half power on Channels 1 and	
6	6; is that correct?	
7	A. I think the specification said "less	
8	power." I'm not certain it's half power or	
9	not, but I would say less power.	
10	Q. So it's Channel 11 is this access	
11	point's primary channel. Channels 1 and 6 are	
12	that access point's secondary channels, right?	
13	A. At least in the diagram, they're	
14	depicting that scenario, yes.	
15	Q. And so when a client such as what we	
16	see here, 408, enters the outer region, it's	
17	assigned to primary channel for that	
18	particular access point, right?	
19	A. It's going to use the primary access	
20	point since it's in the outer region.	
21	Q. So all outer region clients are	
22	assigned to the primary channel of whatever	
23	access point is serving them in the outer	
24	region, right?	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telepho	one Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

	The second	<i>c urf _ > , _ c</i>
		131
1	A. I can't remember if the specification	
2	actually used the word "assigned," but they're	
3	going to be using the primary channel in that	
4	outer region.	
5	Q. Okay. If we go to Paragraph 35 of	
6	Bugeja.	
7	A. Okay.	
8	Q. And just in this paragraph, just to	
9	confirm, Bugeja is saying Bugeja uses the	
10	word "assigned"; is that right?	
11	A. Give me a minute. I'll read that	
12	paragraph.	
13	Q. Yeah.	
14	A. (Witness reviews document.)	
15	Okay. It does use the word	
16	"assigned."	
17	Q. So a client in an outer region is	
18	assigned to the primary channel, and clients	
19	in the inner region are assigned to secondary	
20	channels, correct?	
21	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
22	A. At least I remember, I believe, from	
23	the specification that certainly the ones on	
24	the inner channel may be assigned to secondary	

		-
		132
1	channels, and it may be one or two. I believe	
2	also could also be assigned to it as a primary	
3	channel. So it's not definite it's going to	
4	be assigned to a secondary channel, from what	
5	I understand.	
6	BY MR. SPEED:	
7	Q. And if we're looking at Paragraph 35	
8	of Bugeja, it picks up on that point where it	
9	says that "An inner region client is likely	
10	assigned to Channel 1 or 6 in the inner region	
11	to give more bandwidth on Channel 11 to the	
12	outer region, 404." Do you see that?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. So the inner region clients can be	
15	assigned any of the three, but Bugeja suggests	
16	that it's more likely that they're assigned	
17	one of the secondary channels to provide more	
18	bandwidth on the primary channel to the outer	
19	region clients, right?	
20	A. If they're assigned secondary	
21	channels, right. But, again, I don't believe	
22	it has to. It could still be a primary	
23	channel.	
24	Q. Right. So it's it's whether	

Page 132

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

1	it's an inner client receives or is
2	assigned to the primary channel is
3	implementation specific, right?
4	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
5	A. I think it kind of depends on what you
6	mean by that. I'm not really sure, you know,
7	based on the way you asked it.
8	BY MR. SPEED:
9	Q. So Bugeja in Paragraph 35 is saying
10	that an inner region client could be assigned
11	to any of Channels 1, 6, or 11 in this
12	environment, right?
13	A. I believe those are valid channels,
14	that they're explained in their example, yes.
15	Q. And then but then Bugeja says to
16	give the benefit of more bandwidth on
17	Channel 11 for outer region clients, it's
18	likely that the inner region clients are going
19	to be on either Channel 1 or 6, which is the
20	secondary channel for this access point,
21	right?
22	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
23	A. I think if you know, in an actual
24	implementation, it would that would depend.

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and	Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

	134
I think that it's going to be most likely	
it would choose if you're going to use this	
to try to improve throughput, if you have a	
frequency reuse issue, and you might not, but	
if you do, then those would be the channels	
you would likely choose. It doesn't mean you	
have to, but you might. You could choose	
those channels that differ from the primary	
channel.	
BY MR. SPEED:	
Q. And you said "if you have a frequency	
reuse issue, and you might not." What is a	
frequency reuse issue?	
A. I'm saying that fairly generally. But	
the idea of Bugeja "Bugeja," I believe, is	
how you pronounce that is that there's only	
three of nonoverlapping channels. So to try	
to make it more efficient in some situations	
where you might have an issue of frequency	
reuse in other words, there's an overlap	
somewhere in frequencies that are being	
assigned there's only three that you can do	
with nonoverlapping channels, then this	
particular approach can help.	
	<pre>it would choose if you're going to use this to try to improve throughput, if you have a frequency reuse issue, and you might not, but if you do, then those would be the channels you would likely choose. It doesn't mean you have to, but you might. You could choose those channels that differ from the primary channel. BY MR. SPEED: Q. And you said "if you have a frequency reuse issue, and you might not." What is a frequency reuse issue? A. I'm saying that fairly generally. But the idea of Bugeja "Bugeja," I believe, is how you pronounce that is that there's only three of nonoverlapping channels. So to try to make it more efficient in some situations where you might have an issue of frequency reuse in other words, there's an overlap somewhere in frequencies that are being assigned there's only three that you can do with nonoverlapping channels, then this</pre>

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		135
1	But there's some situations where it	
2	might not, you know, it might not be necessary	
3	to do. That's why I said that it they may	
4	be assigned to secondary channel, but maybe	
5	not. You know, it depends.	
6	Q. And how would assigning an inner	
7	region client to Channel 1 or 6 in the inner	
8	region give more bandwidth to on Channel 11	
9	to an outer region client?	
10	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
11	A. Well, maybe being more specific. Are	
12	you talking about the diagram that we're	
13	seeing there, I think, in Figure 4, was it?	
14	So one of those access points, if you	
15	set to, let's say the outer region is on	
16	Channel 6 maybe, and so you're asking, what	
17	would be the how would it help to set the	
18	inner region ones to 1 and 11? Would that be	
19	a fair example or	
20	BY MR. SPEED:	
21	Q. Right. How would what Bugeja at	
22	least as I'm understanding Bugeja, it's	
23	suggesting that there's a benefit to	
24	restricting inner region clients to the	

		13
1	secondary channels to allow for more bandwidth	
2	for the outer region clients on the primary	
3	channel. And I was just hoping you could	
4	explain	
5	A. Okay.	
6	Q if that's true.	
7	A. I think it's true, but it depends on	
8	the situation. In some situations, you might	
9	not see any of any benefit or any change in	
10	doing that. In some situations, you might see	
11	a benefit, you know, see some efficiency	
12	gains, higher throughputs, that sort of thing	
13	by adopting different channels for the	
14	secondary the secondary channels in the	
15	inner region. It depends on how the network	
16	is laid out in a facility, how it's what	
17	the utilization is.	
18	For example, if the facility only has	
19	one access point, you know, it doesn't make	
20	any difference. There are well, I'm not	
21	going to say it wouldn't make any difference,	
22	but it wouldn't be as much of a problem	
23	because you don't have those other channels	
24	out there being used. You might not have as	

much conflict, then, you know, with other 1 2 channels. If you've got 50 access points in a 3 very small space, then maybe that would 4 warrant this type of system or at least 5 provide a reasoning to consider using it 6 7 because you might have a lot of overlap, and it might make more sense to assign channels in 8 9 this wav. But then, in general, if you assign on 10 the -- in the -- for the secondary channels 11 12 for that inner region, if you sign those to channels that are different and nonoverlapping 13 14 with the outer region, then they can communicate in the same area for -- without --15 without a conflict, you know, between the 16 17 channels. So your throughput would increase because it's, as I explained earlier in my 18 report and we talked about earlier with CSMA, 19 20 it's one station can transmit one time on a 21 given frequency. So if they're on different frequencies you can utilize those independent 22 23 from each other, at least without -- without having as much interference. 24

Page 137

138

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and	Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

lot of situations where it may not make sense to do even, like, with utilization. If utilization is really low among all of your clients, they may not ever really need to transmit at the same time, and it might not be as benefit -- as much of a benefit to implement a more complex system like that because they're -- there's not going to be as much conflict. They could put them all on Channel 1. T've had situations where T've deployed networks in a facility with one channel, and that's all, and there may be eight access points but all on the same channel for various reasons to do that. But it wouldn't have done any good to set those to

But there's a lot of reasons why -- a

18 different channels because the utilization was19 so low on the system.

20 Q. Okay. So by restricting an inner 21 region client to the secondary channels, does 22 that reduce competition, if you will, for the 23 primary channel that the outer region clients 24 are attempting to access?

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephon	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		139
1	A. I didn't quite fully understand your	
2	question, but maybe I'll rephrase it in a way	
3	so I can answer it. I think I know how you	
4	meant how you meant the question.	
5	I think you're asking whether or not	
6	the selection of channels for the inner region	
7	is done in a way to avoid interference with	
8	the communications on the primary channel for	
9	the outer region, I think, is what you're	
10	asking; is that correct?	
11	Q. Right, right. Is that one way the	
12	throughput would be increased to the outer	
13	region clients?	
14	A. I think, as I was talking about	
15	earlier, it's kind of that same idea is that	
16	if the outer region, let's say, is operating	
17	on Channel 6, that's the primary channel, so	
18	the outer region that's the primary channel	
19	of that particular system and whether they're	
20	operating in an inner area or the outer area,	
21	that's the channel they would use.	
22	There are situations where it may make	
23	sense to assign a secondary channel or the	
24	secondary channel or a set of secondary	

		140
1	channels to the inner region stations so that	
2	they can communicate in a way so that it	
3	won't those frequencies won't overlap with	
4	the frequencies of the outer region and,	
5	therefore, have less interference.	
6	BY MR. SPEED:	
7	Q. And if a client in Bugeja is a	
8	multichannel client, then it can be assigned	
9	multiple channels; is that right?	
10	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
11	A. I think in the situation where you're	
12	going to be implementing multiple channels,	
13	you know, from in the inner region, which we	
14	read, you know, certainly something that's	
15	considered in this reference, then that client	
16	would need to be able to communicate on those	
17	multiple channels. So it would need to have	
18	the capability of communicating on two	
19	separate distinct frequencies.	
20	BY MR. SPEED:	
21	Q. And Bugeja describes that at	
22	Paragraph 37, right, where he talks about	
23	multichannel clients can take advantage of up	
24	to three times speed downloads and uploads to	

	MediaTek Inc. et al vsVideotapedJames T. GeierNippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)July 29, 2021
	141
1	the access point; is that right?
2	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
3	A. Let me look at that that paragraph
4	really quick.
5	Well, I think what Paragraph 37 is
6	saying is that the idea of using multiple
7	channels, frequency channels, you can send
8	information simultaneously and, therefore,
9	increase the throughput from the from
10	particular users or stations that are
11	operating within that inner region on and
12	only within the scope of the inner region
13	because their power is going to be less so
14	they have less range.
15	BY MR. SPEED:
16	Q. So an inner region client, rather than
17	just having Channel 1 assigned to it, could
18	have Channels 1 and 6 assigned to it, right?
19	MR. ATKINSON: Objection.
20	Form.
21	A. Well, certainly the this reference
22	teaches that these particular devices in the
23	inner region can have multiple channels that
24	they're using at the same time simultaneously.

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		142
1	So they would be again, I think we saw one	
2	place where it said that these were assigned.	
3	I believe that that's the terminology that was	
4	used. If that's the same location, well,	
5	that's defined as well, but it's where they're	
6	assigned those channels and they're going to	
7	be operating on, perhaps. It doesn't have to	
8	be, but perhaps they could use multiple	
9	channels.	
10	BY MR. SPEED:	
11	Q. And if they're using multiple	
12	channels, they would transmit on those	
13	channels based on the idle state of the	
14	channel using carrier sense, right?	
15	A. I don't recall in here in this	
16	reference if that was limited to carrier	
17	sense. I believe it is in 802.11, but I'm	
18	pretty certain it is. That would certainly be	
19	a way to do that.	
20	Q. All right. And in so in your	
21	declaration at you address Ground 3 in	
22	Paragraphs 151 to 171.	
23	A. Okay. I'm sorry. I have to look at	
24	that real quick.	

James T. Geier 21

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Geie July 29, 202
		143
1	Q. Yes. It's page 79 of the declaration,	
2	which should be 83 of the eDepoze document.	
3	A. Okay. On page 79. Okay. I'm sorry.	
4	If you could repeat what you're asking.	
5	Q. So the petition had a Ground 3, which	
6	was a combination of Bugeja plus plus Ho.	
7	Do you recall that?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And the at a high level, if the	
10	combination was a person of skill in the art	
11	would implement Bugeja's access points such	
12	that they on each channel, they were able	
13	to use MIMO transmissions.	
14	Do you recall that?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. Okay. And so in Paragraphs 151 to	
17	171, that's where you provide your opinion of	
18	why you don't think that proposed combination	
19	would render the claims obvious, right?	
20	A. Let me just glance through those to	
21	make sure it's	
22	Yes, it's those paragraphs.	
23	Q. And in those paragraphs, you don't	
24	dispute that POSAs in 2003 had reasons to	
144

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telepho	one Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

implement Bugeja's system using access points 1 2 that were MIMO capable, right? 3 Α. Was the question -- I'm sorry. Repeat Maybe -- I think I missed 4 that question. 5 something. MR. ATKINSON: Objection. 6 Form. BY MR. SPEED: 7 In these -- when assessing Ground 3, 8 0. 9 you have your opinions of why you don't think Ground 3 renders claims -- the relevant claims 10 obvious, right? 11 12 Right. Α. I just want to establish that of 13 0. 14 the -- one of the reasons -- strike that. You do not, though, believe that a 15 POSA would not have had a reason to pursue the 16 17 proposed modification, right? I think in order to -- maybe I'm still 18 Α. not understanding your question because of the 19 double negative there, but --20 21 I'll try to rephrase. I'm just trying Ο. 22 to simplify the issues that we need to 23 discuss. So one question I have is you -- you 24

Page 144

		145
1	don't disagree that it would have been obvious	
2	to combine Bugeja and Ho in the way we	
3	proposed? You just disagree that that	
4	combination would actually meet the elements	
5	of the claim, correct?	
6	A. Certainly, the latter case is, yes,	
7	there were I don't agree that it satisfies	
8	the claims, and that that's clearly	
9	explained in my report.	
10	Based, you know opinions on reasons	
11	to combine and not to combine, I can't recall	
12	if I had anything like that. I'd have to look	
13	at my report and see if there was anything I	
14	said regarding that.	
15	Q. So that so if you if you did, it	
16	would presumably be in the section	
17	Paragraph 151 to 171, where you address	
18	Ground 3, right?	
19	A. I would think that that's where I	
20	would put it, yes.	
21	Q. If you take as long as you need,	
22	but could you look through it just to see if	
23	you challenge the motivation to combine?	
24	A. Okay. Thanks. Let me take a quick	

146 1 look. 2 (Witness reviews document.) 3 Okay. I think the question you had was asking whether or not a person of ordinary 4 skill in the art would basically not have an 5 issue with combining these two in the way that 6 7 would satisfy the claims of the patent. IS that -- maybe I'm not sure exactly what your 8 9 question was. Sorry. I'm just trying to -- okay. 10 0. So with the obvious analysis, you would agree 11 12 that you have two references, and you need to 13 identify a reason to combine those two 14 references in a particular way, correct? 15 Right. Α. 16 Right. And a person of skill in the Ο. 17 art needs to have a reasonable expectation of success in making that combination, right? 18 Right. 19 Α. MR. ATKINSON: Objection. 20 Form. 21 BY MR. SPEED: 22 And then independent of that, you then **0**. 23 look at that proposed combination and say does it meet each element of the claim and, 24

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephor	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

147 therefore, render it obvious, correct? 1 2 That's pretty much what you do, right. Α. Okay. So there's three distinct 3 **Q**. aspects of an obvious analysis, typically, 4 right? 5 Objection. 6 MR. ATKINSON: Form. 7 Legally, I can't remember all the Α. steps, but, you know, it's in my report. But 8 those are the types of things that I would be 9 10 doing or have done. BY MR. SPEED: 11 12 Right. And so we can agree that you 0. dispute that the proposed combination, when 13 14 it's put together, meets each element of the challenged claims, right? 15 16 Α. Yes. And so the -- my question is on the 17 0. first one of whether or not POSA, in the first 18 19 instance, would think to add MIMO 20 functionality to Bugeja in light of the 21 teachings of Ho, do you disagree with that 22 proposed motivation to combine? 23 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 24 Lacks foundation. Scope.

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

Г

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		148
1	A. Yeah, I'm not certain I covered that	
2	in my opinion, so that's why I'm struggling a	
3	little bit. I don't remember that. I don't	
4	think I necessarily offer an opinion on it,	
5	but I I don't remember. Unless there's	
6	someplace else in my declaration where I'm	
7	saying something that would apply to all these	
8	situations, I don't remember that either.	
9	But I looked through this section, and	
10	I don't see something specific, you know,	
11	answering that. So I don't think I covered	
12	that in my opinions.	
13	BY MR. SPEED:	
14	Q. Okay. And in those same sections, did	
15	you cover the I guess the second issue,	
16	which is reasonable expectation of success?	
17	Do you recall if you covered that in	
18	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
19	BY MR. SPEED:	
20	Q Paragraphs 151 to 171 of your	
21	declaration?	
22	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
23	A. II can look again, but I don't	
24	remember covering that in those sections.	

149 1 BY MR. SPEED: So if we look at Paragraph 151 of your 2 0. declaration. 3 4 Α. Okay. So in your opinion, the Bugeja-Ho 5 Ο. combination does not disclose the elements of 6 Claim 1, in part, because the combination, 7 quote, relies on Bugeja's teaching of a single 8 9 channel that is assigned to a given client; is 10 that right? 11 Α. Right. 12 So this is -- your opinion is -- goes **0**. 13 back to the earlier opinion that we talked 14 about, that the claims are limited to multichannel communication systems, right? 15 That's fair to say. Again, it's --16 Α. 17 these are systems where you have multiple channels that can be used simultaneously 18 between one particular user and another user, 19 for example, a station and an access point or 20 21 a station to a different station. 22 Right. And because of the Bugeja-Ho 0. combination, the -- the primary channel is the 23 only channel that an outer region client can 24

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Geier July 29, 2021
		150
1	use? You don't consider that transmission to	
2	be a multichannel communication transmission,	
3	correct?	
4	A. Yeah.	
5	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form	.
6	A. That's fair to say that there's in	
7	that particular that is only one channel	
8	that's used. That's the channel that's it	
9	doesn't have another channel it can use after	
10	selecting those.	
11	BY MR. SPEED:	
12	Q. You would agree, though, that	
13	Bugeja-Ho access point has the capability to	
14	transmit packets simultaneously over multiple	
15	idle wireless channels, right?	
16	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form	-
17	A. As I said, again, to clarify that or	
18	put some scope around it is in the inner	
19	region, it's possible that those stations may	
20	be set up to communicate over more than one	
21	channel simultaneously.	
22	BY MR. SPEED:	
23	Q. And in Bugeja-Ho, do you recall how a	
24	device is determined to be an inner region	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephon	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

	Ruppon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(II R2020-01404)	July 27, 2021
		151
1	client or an outer region client?	
2	A. In Bugeja, which I don't think this	
3	I don't believe it's mentioning Ho, but in	
4	Bugeja, it's a the idea of what the	
5	distance that the station is from the access	
6	point. And I believe it was would deal	
7	with signal power, you know, and what the	
8	as an indicator as far as distance.	
9	Q. So based based on the signal power	
10	from a particular client device, the Bugeja	
11	access point would assign that device to	
12	either the primary channel or one or both of	
13	the secondary channels, right?	
14	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
15	A. Yeah, at least the idea is that, based	
16	on signal power, if that's being used as an	
17	indication of distance, that the inner one	
18	that's closer at some level or some cutoff	
19	value would be, or could be, assigned a	
20	secondary channel or two secondary channels	
21	based on its signal power.	
22	BY MR. SPEED:	
23	Q. And in Paragraph 152, you state that	
24	"Bugeja teaches against the idea of using a	

	Tuppon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(II 12020 01404)	July 29, 20
		152
1	mandatory channel because it teaches all	
2	channels all available channels should be	
3	used indiscriminately."	
4	Do you see that?	
5	A. Right.	
6	Q. What did you mean by "All available	
7	channels should be used indiscriminately"?	
8	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
9	A. Let me just read the rest of that	
10	paragraph.	
11	(Witness reviews document.)	
12	well, I believe that it's it's	
13	just you need to perform a carrier-sensing	
14	operation, and it's idle, you can just	
15	transmit. That's that's, I believe, what I	
16	meant by being indiscriminate, that it's not	
17	basing that on the idle state of another	
18	channel, i.e., a mandatory channel.	
19	BY MR. SPEED:	
20	Q. And is this the reason why Bugeja-Ho	
21	purportedly doesn't meet the claim elements	
22	distinct from the multichannel issue that	
23	you've already identified?	
24	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Tele	phone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		J
		153
1	A. Well, it's certainly one of the ones	
2	that I recall in my report since we're looking	
3	at it right here. In other words, it does not	
4	have a mandatory channel. It has as taught in	
5	the '551 patent.	
6	BY MR. SPEED:	
7	Q. If you turn back to Paragraph 63,	
8	which is page 26 of your declaration.	
9	A. Okay.	
10	Q. And so your construction is one	
11	channel in a wireless multichannel	
12	communication system whose idle state	
13	determines whether there can be a transmission	
14	over the other channels, right?	
15	A. Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't quite go	
16	to the right paragraph then. So you said	
17	paragraph which paragraph?	
18	Q. It's 63, but it's the part that goes	
19	on to the next page. Sorry.	
20	A. Okay I'm sorry, I	
21	Now I'm on the right page now. I'm	
22	sorry. Go ahead.	
23	Q. And so your construction, you could	
24	read it as having two parts, right? There's	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

		154
1	the mandatory channel using one channel and a	
2	wireless multichannel communication system,	
3	right?	
4	A. Well, I think I read the construction	
5	as one thing. I wouldn't say there's	
6	different I mean, there's different phrases	
7	in there, but I don't think they can really be	
8	detached. You know, it's all one thought, you	
9	know, as far as the construction is. It's	
10	one it's a mandatory channel, so it's	
11	treated as one one thing as a whole.	
12	Q. And with respect to Bugeja, the	
13	Bugeja-Ho combination, it's your opinion that	
14	the primary channel in Bugeja-Ho is not a	
15	mandatory channel because it's not one channel	
16	on a wireless multichannel communication	
17	system, right?	
18	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
19	A. Well, certainly a primary channel is	
20	is not one channel in a wireless	
21	multichannel communication system because	
22	there's between in that particular part of	
23	it, in the primary channel region, that's the	
24	only channel that can be assigned. So	

155 BY MR. SPEED: 1 Right. 2 Q. -- there's --3 Α. 4 0. Right. And so -- and also what we were just talking about, you also think that 5 the Bugeja-Ho lacks a mandatory channel 6 7 because none of the channels is one whose idle state determines whether there can be a 8 9 transmission over the channels, right? 10 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. well, certainly, there's no mandatory 11 Α. 12 channel because, at least partly, if I remember from my declaration here, is that 13 14 where there's an idle state that determines whether there can be a transmission over the 15 other channels. They're just used 16 17 indiscriminately. BY MR. SPEED: 18 So for Bugeja-Ho combination, you have 19 Q. two reasons why you don't think Bugeja-Ho 20 21 meets the mandatory channel as you've 22 construed it? MR. ATKINSON: Objection. 23 Form. 24 Misstates testimony.

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and T	elephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

156 1 I'm not sure how we define a reason to Α. 2 put a box around it that way, but those are at least two reasons. I don't recall in the rest 3 of my declaration of that section if there's 4 any other -- what I would call reasons. 5 But those are certainly two things that are --6 that are missing. 7 BY MR. SPEED: 8 9 And then moving back to Paragraph 155, 0. which is page 81. 10 Okay. I'm almost there. 11 Α. 12 Okay. 13 And about a third of the way down Ο. 14 here, a sentence that says, "I agree with the Board's conclusion that the mandatory channel 15 is always used to 'control system 16 17 transmission." 18 Do you see that? I'm still trying to -- oh, yeah, I see 19 Α. 20 where it is now. Okav. Yes. 21 And you continue. It says, "It's my Ο. opinion that Bugeja, however, teaches that 22 23 transmission does take place on a secondary channel regardless of whether the primary 24

		•
		157
1	channel is idle"; is that right?	
2	A. Right.	
3	Q. So what do you understand the board to	
4	have meant when it said that the mandatory	
5	channel is used to "control system	
6	transmission"?	
7	A. Well, just that. It's used to control	
8	whether or not transmission can take place	
9	over multiple channels. I mean, we're talking	
10	about a multichannel. It's one the	
11	mandatory channel is one channel of a	
12	multichannel communication system. So a	
13	multichannel communication system and the	
14	rest of that would refer to a multichannel	
15	communication system. So if it's controlling	
16	access, it would control access to those	
17	multiple channels.	
18	Q. Does that mean that transmissions that	
19	occur in the system that all transmissions	
20	that occur in the system are controlled by the	
21	idle state of the mandatory channel or just	
22	transmissions between the transmitting station	
23	and the receiving station for which a	
24	mandatory channel has been set?	

Page 157

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

158 1 Let me again rephrase that the way I'm Α. thinking you're asking. I think this is what 2 you're trying to say is that if a mandatory 3 channel is set for a particular station, then 4 you're asking whether or not that control is 5 happening for those transmissions to that 6 7 station and whether or not that control is also taking place in a situation where there's 8 9 no mandatory channel set. Is that kind of what you're --10 Yes, yes. Thank you. 11 Q. 12 Certainly the way the -- the claims Α. read is that -- with the '551 patent is that 13 14 if a mandatory channel is set, then there is a form of control of whether or not the 15 16 transmission is going to take place that goes 17 beyond what the carrier-sense multiple access protocol would provide. 18 And that step that it goes on with is 19 to check the mandatory channel and only 20 21 transmit if that's idle, and that counts -it's also pertains to the -- any idle channels 22 23 other than the mandatory channel. 24 So that control would -- would be

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		-
		159
1	exercised there if if there's no mandatory	
2	channel sent, then then the transmissions	
3	can happen without having that that you	
4	don't have to implement that procedure because	
5	there's no mandatory channel set. So you	
6	would just check the idle state and just	
7	transmit on all of those if that's what the	
8	system decides to do.	
9	Q. So if you had a single access point	
10	that's serving two clients, Client A has a	
11	mandatory channel assigned to it, and Client B	
12	has no mandatory channel assigned to it.	
13	Do you have that hypothetical in mind?	
14	A. Uh-huh, right.	
15	Q. Does the idle status of the mandatory	
16	channel for for Client A dictate whether	
17	there's transmissions sent to Client B for	
18	which there is no mandatory channel?	
19	A. From what I understand, and it is a	
20	hypothetical so I haven't analyzed it fully,	
21	and I know we talked about it earlier, but my	
22	first thought is that the access point would	
23	control those transmissions based on a	
24	mandatory channel to, let's say, Client A, you	

Г

160

1	know, that has a mandatory channel set. And
2	if that mandatory channel is busy but it needs
3	to communicate with another client that does
4	not have a mandatory channel set, is that that
5	wouldn't necessarily control or or preclude
6	that transmission from happening because that
7	other client does not have a does not have
8	a mandatory channel set.
9	Again, it's a hypothetical, so this is
10	just me sitting here today thinking about it.
11	More thought maybe it depends on the
12	configuration of things. It may change what I
13	would think about that. But just first
14	thought is that's kind of how I see the claims
15	working.
16	THE WITNESS: It's been about an
17	hour now. Do you mind taking a break since it
18	was a little quiet spot there?
19	MR. SPEED: Sorry. I was
20	thinking, but, yeah, about a ten-minute break
21	is fine with me.
22	THE WITNESS: Good.
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the
24	record at 1:41 p.m.

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

James T. Geier MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404) July 29, 2021 161 1 (Recess take from 1:41 p.m. 2 3 to 1:54 p.m.) 4 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the 6 record. 1:54 p.m. 7 By MR. SPEED: Mr. Geier, if we could -- if you could 8 Q. 9 pull up the '551 patent. 10 Α. Okay. If you flip all the way to the back to 11 Q. 12 Column 11 and Column 12. It's where the claims start. 13 14 Α. Okay. You see where it says, at the bottom 15 0. of Column 11, "Industrial Availability"? 16 17 Α. Yes. And then there's two paragraphs there. 18 Q. 19 You see that? 20 Α. Yes. 21 If you could just -- I'm going to ask 0. 22 you a question or two about those. If you want to just review them, that would be 23 helpful. 24

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Geier July 29, 2021
		162
1	A. (Witness reviews document.)	
2	Okay. I've read those.	
3	Q. Okay. I just wanted to confirm that	
4	the second paragraph where it states,	
5	"Moreover, in the case where there's a station	
6	for which no mandatory channel is set,	
7	transmission is enabled between stations,	
8	including the station even when the mandatory	
9	channel is busy."	
10	Do you see that?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Okay. So that's consistent with the	
13	hypothetical we were describing earlier where	
14	the mandatory channel doesn't dictate	
15	transmission to stations for which no	
16	mandatory channel has been assigned,	
17	correct?	
18	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form	
19	A. That's what that means is that there's	
20	no mandatory channel set, then transmission is	
21	enabled, you know, that there is no mandatory	
22	channel set. So it doesn't matter the state	
23	of the other channels or any of the channels.	
24	BY MR. SPEED:	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped James T. Geier Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404) July 29, 2021 163 And it concludes by saying, "Thus 1 0. reduction of the throughput can be 2 suppressed." 3 Do you see that? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. Do you have a sense of how that. I 7 quess, case would suppress a reduction of 8 throughput? 9 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 10 Scope. You know, I haven't really thought of 11 Α. 12 that before, so I'm kind of thinking about this, I think, for the first time just now. 13 14 But I can't remember thinking about this before, so just give me a minute to look at 15 16 it. (Witness reviews document.) 17 The only thing I can think of there --18 again, now, this is the first time I'm 19 20 thinking about it, so I might -- would 21 probably with more time could come up with 22 something probably better, but I think that, 23 you know, this idea of certainly trying to reduce power leakage, you're doing that by not 24

164

1	allowing transmissions at certain times, which
2	is fine. There's always there's a cost to
3	anything you do with with setting in
4	functionality to improve things.
5	Even though you're improving things,
6	there's generally some sort of cost. And if
7	you don't need that, the power leakage is not
8	a problem, then it's probably better, or could
9	be better, just not to use the mandatory
10	channel for that particular user, you know.
11	If there is an issue with power leakage, then
12	the benefits would likely outweigh the cost of
13	doing it.
14	Here, I think what they're meaning is
15	that if if there's no mandatory channel
16	set, then reduction of throughput can be
17	suppressed. So there won't be any reduction
18	of throughput regarding the use of this
19	mandatory channel, that that's cost, you know,
20	you wouldn't have, I think. I'm not really
21	certain. I haven't thought about this, I
22	don't think, before.
23	BY MR. SPEED:
24	Q. Right. So the if I am

165

understanding you, the idea is what -- the 1 2 mandatory channel has its benefits, allegedly, 3 mainly avoiding power leakage, but it comes at the cost of reduction of throughput because 4 5 stations need to wait for that mandatory channel to be available, right? 6 7 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. well, I wouldn't say it -- it's always 8 Α. 9 going to be there. I wouldn't say the statement in that way. It's just it could. 10 You know, you always weigh the cost versus the 11 12 benefits and to determine if you're going to 13 implement it. 14 BY MR. SPEED: 15 Right. 0. 16 And this -- I think that's what that Α. 17 I'm not absolutely certain. You know, means. with more time I might come up with something 18 19 different than that or better. So the idea is if you have to -- if 20 0. you set mandatory channels for certain 21 22 stations, the throughput for those stations could be reduced depending on the system 23 transmissions. And so you could suppress the 24

Page 165

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Tele	ephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

166

1	amount of throughput reduction that you have
2	by having some stations not be limited by the
3	mandatory channel; is that right?
4	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
5	A. You know, I don't know if I would say
6	it that way. But I think what I mean is that,
7	you know, you always weigh the cost versus the
8	benefits. And if it turns out where a station
9	you want to transmit to is not the type or
10	having a situation where power leakage is a
11	problem, then that that's a good candidate
12	for not setting a mandatory channel for it is
13	that if it might cost you a little bit with
14	with no gain whereas if it had a power
15	leakage problem, now you pay a little bit and
16	you gain a big gain or gain some benefit so
17	you'll have a net positive, you know, for
18	efficiency. That's what I meant.
19	It's the it's situation by
20	situation. Or it depends on the situation, I
21	guess, is a better way of saying it.
22	BY MR. SPEED:
23	Q. So I'm going to introduce the Lundby
24	exhibit. Let me get you that.

James T. Geier MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404) July 29, 2021 167 Okay. I have it. 1 Α. I've introduced what's been previously 2 0. marked as Exhibit 1008. Do you recognize this 3 as the Lundby reference that you discuss in 4 your declaration? 5 It appears to be, yes. 6 Α. 7 If we look at Column 1, lines 7 to 10, 0. this is where Lundby describes the field of 8 9 its invention, right? 10 Α. Yes. Okay. And that field is 11 Q. 12 communications in general; is that right? 13 That's part of it. Α. 14 And in the description of the related 0. art from Lundby, Lundby discusses 15 communications in a CDMA-based system, 16 17 right? Yeah, it's discussing the use of CDMA; 18 Α. that's right. 19 Okay. And if we look at Figure 2 of 20 0. 21 Lundby, Lundby describes a -- is depicting a method for transmitting information in a 22 wireless communications system, right? 23 24 You said Figure 1? Α.

168

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	Jam Ju
1	Q. Sorry. Figure 2.	
2	A. I'm sorry? Figure 2? Okay.	
2	Q. Yeah. And Figure 2 depicts a method	
4	for transmitting information in a wireless	
5	communication system; is that right?	
6	A. I believe that's what they're	
7	referring to as 240, you know, as Item 240.	
8	Q. And at an high level, Figure 2	
9	describes a method where if it's desirable to	
10	transmit on two channels, then the	
11	communication system transmits data on a	
12		
	primary channel and a secondary channel, and	
13	that's shown in Block 250 of Figure 2,	
14	right?	
15	A. Yeah. Where the actual transmission	
16	takes place is in 250.	
17	Q. And that the block at 250 is a	
18	transmission on a primary and a secondary	
19	channel, right?	
20	MR. ATKINSON: Objection to	
21	form.	
22	A. Yes.	
23	BY MR. SPEED:	
24	Q. And then if it's not desirable to use	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone C	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

	ruppon relegiuph and relephone corporation(in (2020 01404)	July 29, 20
		169
1	two channels, you go to Block 252, and you	
2	transmit data on the primary channel, right?	
3	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
4	A. Yes, that's correct.	
5	BY MR. SPEED:	
6	Q. So you would agree that Lundby only	
7	illustrates two outcomes for its Figure 2	
8	method, either transmitting on the primary	
9	channel or both the primary and secondary	
10	channels, right?	
11	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
12	A. Well, at least in Figure 2 at I	
13	call it a decision block, 246, or at least	
14	making the in trying to answer that	
15	question, desirable to transmit on two	
16	channels, it's yes or no. You do either	
17	transmit on the primary channel or you	
18	transmit on primary and secondary channels.	
19	So those are the two possible outcomes of that	
20	Block 246.	
21	BY MR. SPEED:	
22	Q. Let's go back to your declaration. If	
23	you could turn to Paragraph 102 of your	
24	declaration.	

		170
1	A. Okay. I'm there.	
2	Q. Okay. And in Paragraph 102, you're	
3	describing the Shpak 1B ground that Petitioner	
4	proposed, and you note that the petitioner	
5	argues a POSA would have combined Shpak with	
6	1B by adding another available 802.11b channel	
7	as a secondary channel to augment the primary	
8	channel's bandwidth such that wireless packets	
9	are transmitted on both channels	
10	simultaneously.	
11	Do you see that?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. And in the combination, the primary	
14	channel that we referred to is also Shpak's	
15	common frequency channel, right?	
16	A. I believe it was called that, but it's	
17	the one that's generally used, yes.	
18	Q. So in unmodified Shpak, the access	
19	points could send data over could send data	
20	serially over the common frequency channel,	
21	right?	
22	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
23	A. Not really certain how you're using	
24	the word serial "serially." Do you mean	

		171
1	one at a time, or do you mean in serial data	
2	transmission, or I guess I'm not sure what	
3	you meant by "serially."	
4	BY MR. SPEED:	
5	Q. No. Apologies. It's probably an	
6	inartful question.	
7	So in the modified Shpak system that	
8	we've proposed, the access point would	
9	simultaneously send packets over both the	
10	common frequency channel and a second	
11	secondary 802.11b channel, right?	
12	A. I think that's what the petitioner was	
13	suggesting, that you use 802.11 channels	
14	instead of what Shpak was indicating in the	
15	reference.	
16	Q. Right. I guess what Shpak was doing	
17	in the reference was just a single-channel	
18	transmission technique, right?	
19	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
20	A. From what I understand, that was just	
21	a single channel that would be used at all.	
22	BY MR. SPEED:	
23	Q. And so in the combination where the	
24	access point can use both the common frequency	

172

Г

1	channel and a secondary channel, the bandwidth
2	available to the access point has been
3	increased compared to the unmodified Shpak
4	access point, right?
5	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
6	A. Again, it depends on how that would be
7	implemented. You know, it would be if
8	you're using two channels and there's no
9	conflict in using those two channels and with
10	everything else not being a factor, nothing
11	else being a factor in how that would operate,
12	in general, having two channels versus one
13	channel can increase throughput.
14	BY MR. SPEED:
15	Q. And by increasing throughput, that
16	would also increase the speed with which a
17	client can download or upload data to the
18	access point, right?
19	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
20	A. Again, it would that would depend
21	on many factors, you know, whether or not the
22	speed would actually be increased. It might
23	not.
24	BY MR. SPEED:

MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		-
		173
1	Q. How in what scenario would it not	
2	be would speed not be increased by adding a	
3	second channel to Shpak's system?	
4	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
5	A. You know, there's a couple that come	
6	to mind. One would be from those two distinct	
7	frequencies that are being used, frequency	
8	bands being used or frequency channels, I	
9	guess, probably a better way to say it. One	
10	of those could be conflicting with another	
11	channel from another access point nearby that	
12	may be operating on the same channel, and	
13	there's someone streaming video there, and	
14	it's going to damage that that channel,	
15	that second channel that you're choosing to	
16	use to communicate with the other one, and,	
17	therefore, you wouldn't have any gain. You	
18	know, there would be just continuous errors	
19	and retransmissions.	
20	It could be where both channels are	
21	fine but you're downloading from a server that	
22	could only output a certain at a certain	
23	performance level, and whether you can	
24	download at 100 megabits per second or 50	

Page 173

		174
1	megabits per second, it doesn't matter if the	
2	server is only able to output at one megabit	
3	per second. The server would be limiting the	
4	performance.	
5	So in other words, you may not see any	
6	gain in performance by adding another channel.	
7	You know, you would have to consider the	
8	systems and its application to know whether or	
9	not there would be any gain.	
10	BY MR. SPEED:	
11	Q. And conversely if you add another	
12	channel to the Shpak-Ho access point, you	
13	could increase the speed with which clients	
14	are able to upload and download data, right?	
15	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
16	A. It all depends on the on the	
17	situation, you know, because another reason	
18	could be you could have power leakage, you	
19	know, among those channels. And that's, of	
20	course, why you do need to use a mandatory	
21	channel, which isn't taught there, but you	
22	that would be a reason why you might not have	
23	a speed gain, if there's a problem with a	
24	power leakage.	

Page 174

Г

		175
1	BY MR. SPEED:	
2	Q. So assuming there's no power leakage	
3	problem, assuming that some of these other	
4	problems are resolved, all things equal, being	
5	able to use two channels allows you to	
6	transmit more data more quickly than using a	
7	single channel; is that right?	
8	A. I I certainly as I've said just	
9	a little bit ago is that, in general, that	
10	would be the benefit of using two channels is	
11	that you can push more data through in a	
12	shorter amount of time and therefore improve	
13	throughput. But it depends on the	
14	application, the environment, the	
15	configuration of the system, you know, whether	
16	or not you would actually be able to achieve	
17	that.	
18	Q. And Paragraph 119 of your declaration.	
19	A. Okay.	
20	Q. And in Paragraph 119, you agree that	
21	increased transmission speed provides a	
22	motivation to use two channels instead of one;	
23	is that right?	
24	A. Let me read through that paragraph.	

176 1 Okay. Q. 2 (Witness reviews document.) Α. 3 I think, as I state there, it is my opinion that, at most, this motivation 4 5 provides a reason to use two channels instead of one. And that's going with what I talked 6 7 In general, you know, that's -- that's about. a benefit. And, again, it depends on the 8 9 situation. And if you look at Paragraph 120, you 10 0. note that the petitioner alleged that another 11 12 reason to add a second channel would be to reduce interference on a common frequency 13 14 channel. 15 Do you see that? 16 Let me to read through that. Α. 17 Q. Yeah. 18 (Witness reviews document.) Α. I think what I point out there, at 19 least in my opinion so far, is that that's 20 21 what the petitioner has alleged. I don't 22 think I agree with that there. I mean, in other words, I don't think I had an opinion on 23 that in my declaration. 24

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephon	ne Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		-
		177
1	Q. Okay. I maybe I misinterpreted it.	
2	I was looking at the last sentence where you	
3	say, "As with increased speed, this	
4	motivation, the reduction in interference,	
5	that most speaks to the advantages of using	
6	two channels instead of one but does not, in	
7	my opinion, suggest one might wish to use	
8	none."	
9	Do you see that?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. Would you agree that an advantage of	
12	using two channels is a reduction of	
13	interference on the common frequency channel	
14	in Shpak?	
15	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
16	A. Yeah, maybe I'm not understanding	
17	your question because you're saying	
18	"interference". What do you mean by I	
19	mean, I know what interference is in general,	
20	but what are you maybe you could rephrase	
21	that in that way because that's what's	
22	throwing me off is interference. I don't	
23	think I have an opinion on interference in	
24	that common channel.	

178 1 BY MR. SPEED: 2 So the first sentence says, 0. "Petitioner has also alleged that a POSA would 3 wish to combine Shpak with Lundby because 4 adding an additional channel to Shpak's common 5 frequency channel would reduce interference on 6 the common frequency channel." 7 8 Do you see that? 9 (Witness reviews document.) Α. I think that -- well, again, that's 10 not, I don't believe, my comments. 11 I think 12 that's from Williams's declaration. from what I understood. 13 14 Okay. **0**. So it's not -- it's not my opinion 15 Α. that I understand. It's -- from what I 16 17 remember when I wrote this, it's something 18 that came from Dr. Williams. And what's your understanding of 19 Q. interference in a -- for a frequency channel? 20 21 There's different types of Α. 22 interference. You can have interference from a wireless LAN operating nearby that could 23 provide egress, decrease in throughput. 24

1	You could have interference within a
2	channel, for example, with a CDMA system where
3	there's issues with the streams and using
4	not using orthogonal codes to keep the stream
5	separate.
6	There can be interference in the
7	channel and is sort of a form of interference
8	within the channel. There's various forms of
9	interference.
10	Q. Would would the would the
11	interference within the channel, would that
12	apply also in a MIMO-implemented wireless
13	channel such that there would be interference
14	between the MIMO data streams?
15	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
16	A. Well as I mention, MIMO can have
17	interference that's occurring between symbols,
18	you know, that are being sent on different
19	streams if they're the codes used to
20	represent those symbols are not orthogonal,
21	you can have interference. But it's
22	interference within the channel, sometimes
23	referred to as, like, a channel noise.
24	That's that's that form.

Page 179

179
		180
1	But I guess the way you asked your	
2	question is whether or not I would disagree	
3	that there's a benefit of less interference in	
4	a common frequency channel because of the use	
5	of multiple channels.	
6	You would have an increase in	
7	throughput possibly, as I've talked about.	
8	That's a possible benefit. But I guess I	
9	don't understand the question you're asking if	
10	you're referring to that in terms of	
11	interference.	
12	BY MR. SPEED:	
13	Q. If we go to Paragraph 121, do you see	
14	in that paragraph you explain that the	
15	"Petitioner has suggested that a POSA would	
16	wish to use another channel to reduce the	
17	amount of transmit power on Shpak's common	
18	frequency channel so as to stay within	
19	regulatory limits."	
20	Do you see that?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. And your view is that, at most, that	
23	motivation, the reduction of transmit power	
24	provides a reason to use two channels instead	

		181
1	of one, right?	
2	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
3	A. Well, that that's a different	
4	thing, I can see here, at least the way it's	
5	worded from what was taken from what the	
6	petitioner was thinking. In that case, as	
7	I've said in here in Paragraph 121, that that	
8	would provide a reason to use two channels	
9	instead of one.	
10	So the idea of using a separate	
11	channel would allow you to reduce the amount	
12	of transmit power on the common frequency	
13	channel is that now you're able to send data	
14	over different channels. In fact, you	
15	probably would reduce it because you're only	
16	going to have so much power you're going to be	
17	able to use over MIMO using these particular	
18	channels, at least the ones in Lundby.	
19	We're talking about Shpak now. But	
20	here in Shpak, it's you could reduce the	
21	power in one of those channels and then stay	
22	within the regulatory limits or just conserve	
23	power of that device. So there could be	
24	benefits of doing that. I guess I wouldn't	

		182
1	disagree with that.	
2	BY MR. SPEED:	
3	Q. Okay. All right. And if you turn to	
4	page 54 of your declaration.	
5	A. Okay.	
6	Q. Page 54 is where you start a section	
7	called "Shpak-Lundby does not prevent	
8	transmission when the common frequency channel	
9	is busy."	
10	Do you see that?	
11	A. I'm on page 54, you said?	
12	Q. Yes.	
13	A. Okay.	
14	Q. And it's, like it's Section 1,	
15	right above Paragraph 108.	
16	A. Okay. I see that now, yes.	
17	Q. And so in this section it's from	
18	Paragraph 108 to 114 you explain that in	
19	your opinion, "A POSA implementing Shpak in	
20	view of Lundby would have designed the system	
21	such that the access points transmit packets	
22	over the secondary channel whenever it's idle	
23	even if the primary channel is busy"; is that	
24	right?	

	MediaTek Inc. et al vsVideotapedJames T. GeierNippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)July 29, 2021
	183
1	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
2	A. Let me just read through that.
3	BY MR. SPEED:
4	Q. Yes. Yeah, take your time.
5	A. (Witness reviews document.)
6	Yeah, I believe that's what I'm saying
7	right there that's in Paragraph 108.
8	Q. And that's because, as we see in,
9	like, Paragraph 110, in your view, the default
10	transmission in the art as of 2003 would be to
11	transmit when a channel's idle, right?
12	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
13	A. And you're referring to Paragraph 110,
14	right?
15	BY MR. SPEED:
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. Yeah. I guess what I'm saying in 110
18	is that that's how the transmissions would be
19	taking place is based on what's taught in
20	Shpak, which is based on the 802.11 protocol.
21	Q. So in the implementation that you're
22	describing the Shpak-Lundby access point would
23	have been would have treated the primary
24	channel and the secondary channel as

184 independent channels that could be used 1 2 together or separately based on idle state, 3 right? 4 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 5 There wouldn't be a particular Α. NO. channel that would be used to disallow 6 7 transmission on an idle channel, you know. SO it would be -- in other words, there's no 8 9 mandatory channel, you know, that's been provided for that -- that situation. 10 11 BY MR. SPEED: 12 And in Paragraph 110 at the top of Q. page 56, you've compared your implementation 13 14 of Shpak-Lundby to the multichannel conventional -- multichannel communication 15 system described in the '551 specification, 16 17 right? Objection. 18 MR. ATKINSON: Form. As I said, I'm pointing to the 19 Α. Yeah. '551 patent specification that talks about the 20 21 prior art or the prior multichannel systems 22 using carrier sensing to sense the multiple channels instead of just one, and if the 23 channel is idle, it transmits. 24

		185
1	BY MR. SPEED:	
2	Q. So in your implementation of	
3	Shpak-Lundby, there the secondary channel	
4	wouldn't be held in reserve and only used when	
5	necessary to augment the bandwidth of the	
6	primary channel, right?	
7	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
8	A. I didn't quite understand that	
9	question.	
10	BY MR. SPEED:	
11	Q. So in the implementation of	
12	Shpak-Lundby that you're describing in, for	
13	example, Paragraph 110 of your declaration,	
14	the primary or the secondary channel is not	
15	being held in reserve to augment the primary	
16	channel on an ad hoc basis but is instead	
17	being used whenever it's idle and a station	
18	would like to use it, right?	
19	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
20	A. I guess I don't understand what you	
21	mean by my "implementation." This is the	
22	petitioner's the one that's, you know,	
23	described this particular combination. I	
24	didn't. You know, it wasn't my combination.	

		186
1	So I guess I'm a little confused on what you	
2	want me to how you want me to answer this.	
3	BY MR. SPEED:	
4	Q. Okay. So if you turn to Paragraph 102	
5	of your declaration.	
6	A. Okay.	
7	Q. You you quote from Dr. Williams's	
8	declaration where he explains that "The	
9	Shpak-Lundby access point would have applied	
10	Lundby's decision tree to select which	
11	channels to use, either the primary channel or	
12	the primary and secondary channels, when	
13	desirable."	
14	Do you see that?	
15	A. Right.	
16	Q. So in Dr. Williams's proposed	
17	combination, you're either using the primary	
18	channel or you're going to augment it with the	
19	secondary channel when desirable, right?	
20	A. Right.	
21	Q. Right. So that would be different	
22	than the conventional multichannel wireless	
23	system that's described in the background of	
24	the '551 patent, right?	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telep	phone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

	The second	•••• ·
		187
1	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
2	A. Well, I think it's still identifying	
3	two channels that can be used	
4	indiscriminately. They're just sensed and	
5	then used if they're available.	
6	You know, if you have a reason to use	
7	one, you use one. If you have a reason to use	
8	two, you use two. Lundby is clear on how	
9	that or gives examples on how that decision	
10	is made, you know, the idea of conserving	
11	power. There may be situations where you want	
12	to deliver things in the data in parallel,	
13	but it takes up a code, you know, another code	
14	to do that. So there's some things you have	
15	to consider. And it might use less power, so	
16	that there's a benefit there. But it's I	
17	guess I don't know how else to answer that.	
18	BY MR. SPEED:	
19	Q. Right. So you would agree that	
20	there's certain circumstances where it would	
21	be beneficial to augment the bandwidth of a	
22	wireless channel, to allow for greater	
23	throughput, for example?	
24	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

Page 187

		•
		188
1	A. Well, like we talked about in general	
2	that there may be a benefit in doing that, you	
3	know, by having multiple channels and	
4	communicate simultaneously, but you run the	
5	risk of issues, you know, that if you have to	
6	analyze the issues to see if there's too many	
7	issues with doing that, then it may not be	
8	worth it. You know, you might do just as well	
9	or even better, you know, by having one	
10	channel in terms of throughput.	
11	BY MR. SPEED:	
12	Q. And if the secondary channel is	
13	available for use when it's idle, is there a	
14	scenario in which a the access points using	
15	the primary channel and it has decided it	
16	would like to augment the primary channel but	
17	it can't do so because the secondary channel	
18	is being used by some other mobile device?	
19	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
20	Scope.	
21	A. I think it depends on how the system's	
22	implemented, you know, whether or not there's	
23	a pool of channels that can be used between	
24	those two stations and whichever ones are	

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		189
1	ideally used or you have a certain set that	
2	you use between two users. I think it's going	
3	to depend, you know, on the situation.	
4	BY MR. SPEED:	
5	Q. Great. So you could have a scenario	
6	where the system's design where you have a	
7	primary channel and then you have a secondary	
8	channel that you you keep in reserve for	
9	only circumstances where you need it such as	
10	you're downloading a data-intensive video and	
11	you want to increase the throughput of the	
12	connection to the station?	
13	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
14	Scope.	
15	A. I don't believe Lundby or Shpak teach	
16	that. I mean, where you it's it's	
17	either you can transmit on one or both.	
18	Well, I guess you have the idea is it	
19	desirable? But they're basing that	
20	desirability on specific things whether it's	
21	code the idea of trying to not use too many	
22	codes. You know, that would be a decision	
23	that you could use one channel instead of two	
24	if you are limited by codes.	

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		-
		190
1	Or if you have power issues, you might	
2	want to use two channels, see if you can get	
3	by with less power. Decisions can be made	
4	like that to determine whether you use one or	
5	two channels.	
6	BY MR. SPEED:	
7	Q. Right. And Figure 2 of Lundby is	
8	teaching that those types of decisions can be	
9	made on an ad hoc basis, right?	
10	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
11	Scope.	
12	A. I don't remember it necessarily being	
13	ad hoc. I don't remember in Lundby or in	
14	Shpak or Lundby how that would be or how	
15	that could be provided. You know, I imagine	
16	there's all kinds of ways to do that, but I	
17	don't remember.	
18	BY MR. SPEED:	
19	Q. Right. So one way of the all kinds of	
20	ways to do that would be to determine on an ad	
21	hoc basis whether it would be desirable to use	
22	a second channel to augment the primary	
23	channel, right?	
24	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	

1	Scope.	191
2	A. Well, again I think that that that	
3	block that's right before or where it splits	
4	off into yes or no and decides whether to use	
5	one channel or two is based on parameters that	
6	they give some examples in the in the	
7	reference, you know, as far as what those	
8	could be, but they're based on code	
9	availability and power usage.	
10	BY MR. SPEED:	
11	Q. If you were designing a system that	
12	for which you wanted to guarantee a station	
13	the ability to augment its primary channel	
14	with a secondary channel, would you keep that	
15	secondary channel in reserve and only use it	
16	when you want to augment the primary channel?	
17	A. I think it depends on how the system's	
18	designed. You might have that channel as just	
19	a channel that's available. And if you're not	
20	using it, some other station might use it or	
21	some other some other part some other	
22	application running in that station might use	
23	it. I think it really depends on how the	
24	system's implemented or	

Page 191

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		192
1	Q. So sorry. So building on that, if	
2	you wanted to guarantee the availability of	
3	bandwidth augmentation, if you will, wouldn't	
4	you want to ensure that that secondary channel	
5	is always available for the bandwidth	
6	augmentation such that you wouldn't make it	
7	available for others to use in the interim?	
8	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
9	Scope.	
10	A. I wouldn't say that's necessarily true	
11	in all in all cases. I I haven't	
12	analyzed that to the point where I could give	
13	you something very clear, but I but I I	
14	don't think that's going to be the situation	
15	at all times.	
16	BY MR. SPEED:	
17	Q. Would you agree that at least one way	
18	to implement a system that has a guaranteed	
19	ability to augment a primary channel with a	
20	secondary channel would be to keep that	
21	secondary channel in reserve until it is	
22	needed by the primary channel for bandwidth	
23	augmentation?	
24	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	

		193
1	Scope.	
2	A. Again, I can't say that that's how you	
3	would do it. I don't think that that's the	
4	only way nor I can't tell you whether or	
5	not that would be the preferred way either. I	
6	I don't think that that would be the only	
7	way to do that. I mean, I don't think you	
8	would have to do that.	
9	BY MR. SPEED:	
10	Q. But would you agree that it's one way	
11	to get guaranteed bandwidth augmentation?	
12	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
13	Scope.	
14	A. Again, I think it depends on how you	
15	implement the system. I can't really say	
16	whether or not that's a definite way you could	
17	do that.	
18	BY MR. SPEED:	
19	Q. So if you have an access point with a	
20	primary channel that's communicating with a	
21	station and your system is designed such that	
22	that access point has a secondary channel that	
23	it can use when it's when the access point	
24	determines that it there's a need for	

Г

194

1	bandwidth augmentation and that secondary
2	channel is never used except when it's being
3	used to augment the primary channel, would
4	that system not result in guaranteed bandwidth
5	augmentation for the station that the access
6	point is serving?
7	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
8	Scope.
9	A. You know, I think those are the things
10	that you would need to think about and and
11	consider. You're bringing up a hypothetical
12	that I haven't thought about before. I
13	imagine there would be other things that would
14	need to be defined, you know, and how it
15	operated, what the system architecture looked
16	like.
17	For that that particular
18	hypothetical, I I don't think I have I
19	don't think I could positively say that that
20	would work or that that would be all you would
21	need without further considering or having
22	more details, you know, about about that
23	system.
24	BY MR. SPEED:

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped	James '
Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	e Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	July 2

es T. Geier ly 29, 2021

		195
1	Q. What other details would you need?	
2	A. At this point, I don't even know what	
3	to tell you yet. I I would have to lay	
4	this out and draw it and have a try to	
5	learn more about what's how it's working,	
6	you know, think about what the issues might be	
7	and those issues being resolved, you know, and	
8	what to to overcome them. I honestly don't	
9	know at this point.	
10	Q. In this the conventional	
11	multichannel system that's described in the	
12	'551 patent, the first column and that you	
13	talk about in Paragraph 110 of your	
14	declaration.	
15	A. Right.	
16	Q. So let's assume that you have an	
17	access point that has the ability to transmit	
18	over three channels, 1, 2, and 3.	
19	Did you get that?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. Okay. And there's a multichannel	
22	client that the access point says, I'm going	
23	to service you on Channels 1 and 2, and I	
24	would love to service you on 3, but 3's busy.	

		196
1	Someone else is using it. And so the	
2	communication between the access point and the	
3	client is on Channels 1 and 2.	
4	Do you get that?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. If Channel 3 becomes available, is the	
7	access point able to automatically allocate	
8	that third channel to the client, or does the	
9	third channel become a contested channel for	
10	any of the other stations in the system to	
11	attempt to access?	
12	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
13	Scope.	
14	A. First of all, what are you are you	
15	doing a 802.11 access point?	
16	BY MR. SPEED:	
17	Q. Sure. Do a 802.11 access point.	
18	A. 802.11 doesn't provide that type of	
19	functionality at that that state, you know,	
20	at least in that with the versions of the	
21	standard that are referred to in the '551	
22	patent.	
23	Q. So what the functionality that	
24	you're talking about, is that the are we	

Г

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)	James T. Geier July 29, 2021
		197
1	going all the way back to multichannel	
2	wireless communication functionality?	
3	A. Well	
4	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form	•
5	Scope.	
6	A. Yeah, you gave me a scenario where the	
7	access point is three channels, and there's a	
8	multichannel client, and there's going to be	
9	communications over Channels 1 and 2 between	
10	the access point and the client	
11	simultaneously, from what I understood.	
12	That's not included in the 802.11 standard.	
13	BY MR. SPEED:	
14	Q. So	
15		
16	(Parties speaking simultaneously.)	
17		
18	A. Not	
19	BY MR. SPEED:	
20	Q. Sorry. No, that's a helpful	
21	clarification. Okay.	
22	So let's take 802.11 out of the	
23	equation, and just we're using what the	
24	patent the '551 patent is talking about is	

Г

198

1	a conventional multichannel wireless system,
2	and you still have the same access point
3	that's communicating on Channels 1 and 2 to
4	the client. It has a third channel that it
5	can't use because it's when it begins its
6	transmission to the client, that third channel
7	is busy.
8	If that third channel becomes
9	available, would the third channel become a
10	would is there would the third channel
11	automatically be assigned to the client, or
12	does it become a contested channel that other
13	devices could use?
14	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
1 -	
15	Scope.
15 16	Scope. A. Well, I I think what it's hard
16	A. Well, I I think what it's hard
16 17	A. Well, I I think what it's hard to answer that question because you're
16 17 18	A. Well, I I think what it's hard to answer that question because you're defining a hypothetical around something
16 17 18 19	A. Well, I I think what it's hard to answer that question because you're defining a hypothetical around something you're referring to in the '551 patent.
16 17 18 19 20	A. Well, I I think what it's hard to answer that question because you're defining a hypothetical around something you're referring to in the '551 patent. You're kind of you're adding some
16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Well, I I think what it's hard to answer that question because you're defining a hypothetical around something you're referring to in the '551 patent. You're kind of you're adding some description to something that I've never seen

199 But the '551 patent, all I'm saying is 1 as a -- if that reference is that channels and 2 3 multichannel systems are handled indiscriminately, meaning that they're all 4 going to be sensed and they're all subject to 5 be used, depending on the how the system is 6 7 designed, you're adding in some design configuration to the architecture here. 8 9 You're defining the architecture in a certain way. It's -- it's not going to be based on a 10 -- the prior art systems are not going to be 11 12 having a mandatory channel that's going to 13 restrict operation over other channels when that channel is busy. That's all that's meant 14 15 by that. You're coming with a hypothetical 16 that's building on something that was 17 mentioned in the '551 patent. So I don't 18 know. You know, based on a hypothetical, it 19 20 depends on how the system is designed to 21 operate beyond -- beyond that. I can't tell 22 you how that would operate because I -- it's a hypothetical system subject to however someone 23 engineered it to work. 24

Page 199

200 1 BY MR. SPEED: 2 And in Paragraph 126 of your 0. declaration --3 Okay. I'm there. 4 Α. -- you state, "Petitioner fails to 5 0. allege any motivation to modify how the 6 7 decision to transmit is made so as to prevent transmission on an idle channel based on the 8 state of another channel." 9 10 Do you see that? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And then you continue on, and you note 0. that "Shpak provides no teaching relevant to 13 14 the decision to transmit in multichannel 15 systems whatsoever nor does it address the possibility of leakage from one channel to 16 17 another." Do you see that? 18 19 Α. Yes. So Shpak doesn't address leakage from 20 0. 21 one channel to another, right? 22 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. well, at least in the way the '551 23 Α. patent is defining power leakage and what the 24

Г

		201
1	basis of the invention is is for, Shpak	
2	doesn't doesn't teach that.	
3	BY MR. SPEED:	
4	Q. And in Lundby Lundby, likewise,	
5	does not address interference between distinct	
6	frequency channels, right?	
7	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
8	A. I don't see in Lundby where there's	
9	multiple channels that would set the scenario	
10	or set the stage for a power leakage.	
11	BY MR. SPEED:	
12	Q. And so in your view, is the desire to	
13	reduce power leakage the only motivation a	
14	POSA would have had to prevent transmission on	
15	an idle channel based on the state of another	
16	channel?	
17	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
18	Scope.	
19	A. Are you saying in general or within	
20	the scope of the '551 patent?	
21	BY MR. SPEED:	
22	Q. In general.	
23	A. In general, there may be other	
24	reasons. I don't know what I mean, I can't	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

Page 201

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Telepho	ne Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		-
		202
1	think offhand what they would be. There could	
2	be I guess there could be other reasons.	
3	Q. Okay.	
4	A. And in terms of the '551 patent, that	
5	is the reason, you know, that that they're	
6	basing the the invention on to solve that	
7	problem.	
8	MR. ATKINSON: Why don't we take	
9	a ten-minute break, and I'll just check my	
10	notes and see what I have left to do. We're	
11	getting there. We're pretty close.	
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the	
13	record at 2:49 p.m.	
14		
15	(Recess taken from 2:49 p.m.	
16	to 3:01 p.m.)	
17		
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the	
19	record, 3:02 p.m.	
20	BY MR. SPEED:	
21	Q. Mr. Geier, if you could turn to	
22	Paragraph 71 on page 30 of your declaration.	
23	A. Okay. You said Paragraph 31?	
24	Q. Sorry. Paragraph 71, page 30.	

203 Oh, 71. 1 Α. 2 Q. Yes. 3 Okay. Α. It's in the section where you're 4 Q. discussing the prosecution history. 5 Sorry. Just trying to maneuver Okay. 6 Α. the navigation of this thing. It's... 7 Okav. I'm there now. 8 9 Excellent. And so in this Paragraph 0. 71 that spans page 29 to 30, you discuss the 10 prosecution history of the '551 patent, right? 11 12 Yes, yes. Α. And in the -- on page 30, you discuss 13 **0**. 14 a Sonetaka reference. 15 Do you see that? 16 Α. Yes. Did you review the Sonetaka reference 17 0. 18 when you put together your declaration? I believe I did. Not recently, but I 19 Α. 20 believe I did earlier. 21 I'll just introduce it so that 0. Okay. 22 we have it in the record. I'm introducing 23 what's been previously marked as Exhibit 1010. 24 Do you recognize Exhibit 1010 as the

		204
1	Sonetaka reference?	
2	A. I believe that's it.	
3	Q. And if you go to Column 1, line 64,	
4	there's a paragraph that spans over to	
5	Column 2. Could you read that paragraph?	
6	A. So the last paragraph, starting on	
7	Column 1?	
8	Q. Yes, sir, and it goes to Column 2.	
9	A. (Witness reviews document.)	
10	Okay.	
11	Q. So in that paragraph, Sonetaka's	
12	teaches reserving a service channel for a	
13	for traffic having a predetermined service	
14	rank, correct?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. And an example that it provides is	
17	that traffic having a high service rank,	
18	right?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And in that paragraph, it describes	
21	that that service channel is reserved and made	
22	available only to traffic having the	
23	predetermined service rank, right?	
24	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	

205

	MediaTek Inc. et al vsVideotapedJamesNippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)July
1	A. That's what it says on the on that
2	particular paragraph.
3	BY MR. SPEED:
4	Q. Okay. So traffic that is below the
5	predetermined service rank can't use the
6	reserve service channel even if it's
7	available, right?
8	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.
9	Scope.
10	A. Before I answer that, let me read a
11	little bit around that paragraph, if you don't
12	mind, just really quick.
13	Q. Yes, no problem.
14	
15	(Stenographer clarification.)
16	
17	A. (Witness reviews document.)
18	Okay. I'm sorry. If you could repeat
19	that last question.
20	BY MR. SPEED:
21	Q. So traffic that is below the
22	predetermined service rank can't use the
23	reserved channel even if it's available,
24	right?

206 1 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 2 Foundation. Scope. Yeah, that's what it says at the 3 Α. beginning of Column 2. At least for this, 4 they're using this -- I guess here as an 5 example but having the predetermined service 6 7 rank and not assigned to other traffics. BY MR. SPEED: 8 9 So Sonetaka is teaching a system where 0. 10 a channel is only allowed to be used for some content but not other content, right? 11 12 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 13 Foundation. Scope. 14 I think that kind of depends on how Α. it's implemented because they're talking about 15 a service rank. If they're all the same 16 17 service rank and they're all high, then all -all traffic would go over that -- that -- a 18 particular -- it would be considered that type 19 of traffic. 20 21 So I think it kind -- it would depend on how it's implemented or what type of 22 application is being used and what -- what the 23 service rank is of the data being sent. 24

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Teleph	one Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

207 1 BY MR. SPEED: So if you -- if the data being sent 2 0. includes low service rank and high service 3 4 rank, the -- the reserved service channel is reserved for the high service ranked data, 5 right? 6 7 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. Foundation. 8 Scope. 9 At least in this -- this particular Α. paragraph, that seems to be what it's 10 describing. 11 12 BY MR. SPEED: So that the notion of a wireless 13 0. 14 communication system that reserves certain 15 channels for certain use cases was known in the art as of 2003, right? 16 17 MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form. 18 Foundation. Scope. well, at least the idea is, as 19 Α. 20 explained right here, that there could be 21 some -- one second. Let me reread that 22 portion. (Witness reviews document.) 23 This example here indicates that 24

James T. Geier July 29, 2021

		•
		208
1	the that this particular service channel	
2	can be reserved for traffic having a	
3	particular level of service, or service rank,	
4	they call it.	
5	BY MR. SPEED:	
6	Q. So Sonetaka is disclosing a system in	
7	which channels are reserved and only used in	
8	certain for certain data, right?	
9	MR. ATKINSON: Objection. Form.	
10	Scope. Foundation.	
11	A. Well, at least in this reference in	
12	that paragraph you're pointing me to or the	
13	section, it's saying that service channels	
14	reserved in advance for only only for	
15	traffic having a predetermined service rank,	
16	for instance, having a high service rank.	
17	That wouldn't necessarily have to be the only	
18	way that you classify it. They're giving that	
19	for instance as an example. So you could use	
20	high service rank as a as an example of how	
21	you could how you could do that and are	
22	only assigned to traffic having the	
23	predetermined service rank and not assigned to	
24	other traffics.	

MediaTek Inc. et al vs	Videotaped
Nippon Telegraph and Tele	ephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404)

		,
		209
1	So it's saying there that you could	
2	have something classified as a high service	
3	rank and use that service channel for that	
4	particular purpose, you know, for sending that	
5	data.	
6	Anyway, it's using this high service	
7	rank as an example, and I could see a	
8	situation where you might have all traffics at	
9	that service rate.	
10	MR. SPEED: I have no further	
11	questions.	
12	MR. ATKINSON: Can we go off the	
13	record for about oh, let's shoot for 15	
14	minutes, maybe.	
15	MR. SPEED: Okay.	
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure. We can	
17	do that. Let's go off the record. Off the	
18	record at 3:12 p.m.	
19		
20	(Recess taken from 3:12 p.m.	
21	to 3:31 p.m.)	
22		
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the	
24	record, 3:31 p.m.	

James T. Geier MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404) July 29, 2021 210 1 NTT has no MR. ATKINSON: 2 auestions. we'd like to thank Mr. Geier for his time. 3 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 5 Thank you. MR. ATKINSON: Thank you very much. 6 MR. SPEED: 7 Mr. Geier. It was hopefully a not-too-bad experience for everybody. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Not bad at all. 10 Thank you. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12 now 3:31 p.m., and this concludes today's deposition of Mr. James T. Geier. We are 13 14 going off the record and completing the deposition. 15 16 Mr. Atkinson. THE STENOGRAPHER: 17 did you want to receive a rough draft? 18 MR. ATKINSON: Yes. Yeah. we'd like a rough and then, you know, the final, no 19 20 rush. THE STENOGRAPHER: And, Nathan, 21 22 I've got your order. 23 MR. SPEED: Yes, thanks. (Deposition concluded at 3:33 p.m.) 24

Г

	211
1	CERTIFICATION
2	I, DARLENE M. COPPOLA, a Notary Public, do hereby
3	certify that JAMES T. GEIER, appeared remotely on the
4	29th day of July, 2021, and was by me duly sworn to
5	testify to the truth and nothing but the truth as to
6	his knowledge touching and concerning the matters in
7	controversy in this cause; that he was thereupon
8	examined upon his oath and said examination reduced to
9	writing by me; and that the statement is a true record
10	of the testimony given by the witness, to the best of
11	my knowledge and ability.
12	I further certify that I am not a relative or
13	employee of counsel/attorney for any of the parties,
14	nor a relative or employee of such parties, nor am I
15	financially interested in the outcome of the action.
16	WITNESS MY HAND THIS 3rd day of August, 2021.
17	
18	$\overline{\Omega}$
19	SAN .
20	
21	
22	DARLENE M. COPPOLA My commission expires:
23	NOTARY PUBLIC November 11, 2022
24	REGISTERED MERIT REPORTER

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

Page 211

James T. Geier MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-01404) July 29, 2021 212 1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD 3 CASE NO. IPR2020-01404 4 PATENT NO. 7,280,551 5 6 ****** 7 MEDIATEK INC. and MEDIATEK USA INC. 8 Petitioner 9 10 vs. 11 NIPPON TELEGRAPH AND TELEPHONE CORPORATION, 12 Patent Owner 13 ***** 14 15 I, JAMES T. GEIER, say that I have read the foregoing deposition and hereby declare under penalty 16 of perjury the foregoing is true and correct: 17 18 (as prepared) (as corrected on errata.) Executed this _____ day of _____, 19 20 2021, at _____, ____, ____ 21 22 23 24 JAMES T. GEIER

	MediaTek Inc. et al vs Videotaped Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation(IPR2020-0140	James T. Geier4)July 29, 2021
		213
1	CORRECTION PAGE	
2	DEPONENT: JAMES T. GEIER	
3	DATE TAKEN: JULY 29, 2021	
4	CASE: MEDIATEK, INC. VS. N	IPPOL TELEGRAPH
5	****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
6	5 PAGE / LINE / SHOULD READ	
7	////	
8	3//	
9)//	
10) //	
11	_ ////	
12	2///	
13	3//	
14	· ///	
15	5//	
16	5/	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
23		
27	·////	

1006 112:19	121	62:18 63:22	54:2,8 67:11,
	180:13 181:7	66:10,13	22 153:8
113:18,19	126	91:22 92:21	27
-			95:16 96:6,9
			29
-			
			5:5 33:18 95:16 203:10
		-	
	, ,		2:49
			202:13,15
		-	2A
			23:20
			3
	24:14 25:8		3
107:18 117:11	143		3 22:10 53:4
108	121:16 122:6		91:22 92:21
182:15,18	123:13		
183:7	15	2000	142:21 143:5
10:28	20:7 209:13	15:4	144:8,10
		2003	145:18
		17:2,4,6 26:16	195:18,24
		49:9 118:8,24	196:6
			3's
		-	195:24
			3-by-3
			115:15 116:11
			30
			8:5 10:22
			11:2,23 14:18
-			54:2,8 129:15,
			19 202:22,24
			203:10,13
			31
			95:24 96:1,4
			202:23
	18		32
	88:10,12		33:19
	19		35
	20:8 88:6,11		131:5 132:7
	91:2 93:3 94:9		133:9
119	1999	168:7	36
175:18,20	40:8	246	
11:49		169:13,20	107:17
113:9,11		2468	37
11:50		5:18	140:22 141:5
113:12,15		25	375
11:54			13:11
			3:01
-	1/0.5,0		202:16
			3:02
	2		202:19
	2		3:12
			209:18,20
1/0.10	54:2,6 55:14	20	-
	1007 127:11,12 1008 167:3 1010 203:23,24 102 169:23 170:2 186:4 104 10:15 95:24 107:18 117:11 108 182:15,18 183:7 10:28 63:9,11 10:44 63:12,15 11 31:14 46:18, 23,24 47:1,6 48:16 49:12 50:18,19 53:3 72:20 106:19 128:9 130:4, 10 132:11 133:11,17 135:8,18 161:12,16 110 183:9,13,17 184:12 185:13 195:13 114 182:18 119 175:18,20 11:49 113:9,11	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

3:31	20:8 22:11	66	802.11d	7,16,17 31
209:21,24	24:2 25:15,19	95:13,23 96:2,	72:21	4,18,23,24
210:12	30:13 40:1	8,17,24	802.11g	32:3,4,5,6,
3:33	45:3 50:24		105:6,18	14,22,23 3
210:24	51:18 54:3	7	802.11n	8,10,13,15
	55:18,22		40:18,19 41:5	34:19 35:1
4	56:10 57:1,16	7	81	21 36:14 3
	58:19,24 59:1,	91:10 167:7	108:20 109:3	10,20 39:7
4	5,24 60:3	71	156:10	16 47:4,7,1
48:24 127:21,	61:5,24 62:22	202:22,24	83	19,24 48:2
23 128:14	70:22 71:6,10	203:1,10	143:2	4,6,9 50:13
130:1 135:13	75:18 77:3,6	7280551	143.2	51:13 56:1
40	78:23 79:11	5:14		57:6,10,17
107:18	82:19 86:2	73	9	58:4 59:21
402	87:10,13	96:5 117:10	9	61:2,5,13,1
127:24 128:2,	88:21 90:21	74	10:22 90:20	62:3,15,16
3	96:12 98:7	122:6	91:7,11 93:4	63:19,20 6
404	99:7 100:14	77	94:9 114:8,9,	7 65:12,13
132:12	109:5,16,22	117:11	12,18,22,23	66:6,9,10,1
408	110:3,8,22	79	90s	20,21 69:2
130:16			16:11	20,21 09.2
	111:1 125:14	143:1,3		
42	153:5 158:13		92	10,14,17,1
25:18 30:13	161:9 184:16,	8	10:10,16	22 73:2,5,9
40:1 41:8 43:5	20 186:24	80	96	15,16,207
43	195:12 196:21	107:11,22,23	10:15	19,21 75:3
42:7,20 43:6	197:24 198:19	802.11	9:13	76:24 77:1
51:18 110:12	199:1,18		5:1,6	20 78:2,20
43-ish	200:23 201:20	15:20,24 16:9,		79:6 83:14
110:16	202:4 203:11	11,17,20,23	Α	86:20 98:2
45	56	17:1,7 27:3		103:16,18,
18:22	184:13	28:1,11,19	a.m.	104:2,3,4,8
46		30:11 31:8	5:1,6 63:9,11,	17,18,23
42:21	6	35:10 36:12,	12,15 113:9,	105:3 106:
47		20 38:18,21	11,12,15	107:3,7 10
42:8 51:18	6	40:8,13,16	116:20,22	11 117:24
48	31:14 46:18,	49:3 51:6,15	ability	118:10 120
110:12,16	24 47:5 48:16	52:19 70:20	56:13 61:6	6,12,17,24
114:12,24	49:12 50:8,18	71:5,10 74:6	75:4 81:21	121:9 127:
117.12,27	51:21 53:3	77:5 87:8	82:8 191:13	23 128:3,7
	55:15 98:21	96:15 97:4	192:19 195:17	15,20 129:
5	105:4,9,17	99:1 104:24	absent	20 130:1,3
5	106:19 128:9	105:2 107:8	44:6	12,18,19,2
48:24	130:6,11	108:16,22	absolutely	133:20 13:
50	132:10	109:20 111:24	95:10 165:17	136:19 137
114:12,24	133:11,19	142:17 171:13	abstract	138:15,24
137:3 173:24	135:7,16	183:20	127:16	141:1 143:
54	139:17 141:18	196:15,17,18	accepted	144:1 149:
182:4,6,11	63	197:12,22	18:23	150:13 15
	67:9,10 68:3	802.11-type		11 157:16
55	74:12 153:7,	16:7 105:3	access	158:17 159
88:5,17	18	802.11b	10:6 27:5	22 170:18
551	64	105:5,17	28:3,10,13,24	171:8,24
11:24 12:4	204:3	170:6 171:11	29:2,4,7,10,	
13:4 19:22	204.5	1/0.0 1/1.11	11,13,21 30:3,	172:2,4,18
173:11 174:12	174:11 176:12	ahead	announcement	area
----------------	------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------
182:21 183:22	added	38:1 128:24	36:6,24	47:3,5 50:13
186:9 188:14	46:4	153:22	answering	52:21 137:15
193:19,22,23		Air	108:7 148:11	139:20
194:5 195:17,	adding 170:6 173:2	16:12	antennas	
22 196:2,7,11,		-		argues 170:5
15,17 197:7,	174:6 178:5	allege	21:8,14 23:23	
10 198:2	198:20 199:7	200:6	115:21,22	arrangement
	additional	alleged	116:5	6:14
accessing	178:5	176:11,21	apologies	arrow
35:4	address	178:3	79:11 171:5	91:1
accommodate	5:18 108:23	allegedly	apparatus	art
80:2 88:1,2	117:16 142:21	165:2	20:11 25:21	12:20 17:12,
89:23 90:13	145:17	Alliance	26:4,7,15,24	20 18:5,9,14
accommodated	200:15,20	16:1,2,4,5	91:13,15,19	49:10 100:12
81:1	201:5	17:5	92:1 93:12	102:24 117:21
accomplish	adjacent	allocate	apparatuses	118:8,15,21
36:21	63:22 65:14	196:7	26:21	119:13 120:3,
accomplished	66:15	allocated	appeal	22 143:10
89:16 99:18	administered	55:10	5:13 11:20	146:5,17
accordance	6:11	allocation	appearances	167:15 183:10
22:12	administrator	38:4,6	6:9	184:21 199:11
accurate	32:15 33:3	allowed	appeared	207:16
11:7 58:6,10	72:19 98:19	206:10	9:21	aspects
105:21 106:6	adopted	allowing	appearing	147:4
achieve	68:1	164:1	5:15,17	assess
175:16	adopting	alternative	appears	27:22 108:4
acknowledge	136:13	87:18,22 89:8,	23:20 67:20	assessed
6:10	advance	13,21 90:9	94:2 127:15	126:9,10
acknowledgme	26:8,11,14	alternatives	128:5 167:6	assessing
nts	27:1 96:14	88:23	application	144:8
39:20	97:3,18,20,23		103:12 113:20	assign
activate	208:14	amount 35:13 37:22	127:13 174:8	137:8,10
33:12 102:14	advantage		175:14 191:22	139:23 151:11
active	140:23 177:11	39:14,17	206:23	assigned
15:9 16:11	advantages	43:24 44:19 166:1 175:12	applications	72:7,9 75:9,
17:1,8 28:19,	177:5	180:17 181:11	16:16	11,13 76:10
20,23 31:1				130:17,22
activities	agree 21:6 22:1	analysis	applied 12:16 186:9	131:2,10,16,
		17:17 146:11		18,19,24
16:24	43:11 52:24	147:4	apply 75, 10, 140, 7	132:2,4,10,15,
activity	87:16 88:21	analyze	75:18 148:7	16,20 133:2,
39:21	93:11 103:15	125:12,16	179:12	10 134:22
actual	110:18 113:23	188:6	approach	135:4 140:8
11:22 96:6	123:22 124:22	analyzed	134:24	141:17,18
100:5 104:19	127:17 145:7	112:1 125:4,	approximately	142:2,6 149:9
107:18 117:10	146:11 147:12	21 126:12	5:5 107:12	151:19 154:24
133:23 168:15	150:12 156:14	159:20 192:12	arbitrate	159:11,12
ad	169:6 175:20	analyzing	103:17 104:1	162:16 198:11
185:16 190:9,	176:22 177:11	18:3 126:13	architecture	206:7 208:22,
13,20	187:19 192:17	announce	83:2 85:5,20	200.7 208.22, 23
add	193:10	35:21 36:5	86:23 194:15	assigning
29:13 81:10	agreed	announced	199:8,9	135:6
118:21 147:19	124:19	7:5		155.0

				-
assignment	111:18 115:9	190:22	based	benefits
5:23	116:2,8 118:2,	190.22	6:21 7:3 23:21	164:12 165:2,
associate	12 119:1,15	192:19 194:3	25:12 32:9	12 166:8
29:14 31:19	120:8 121:2,		35:15 72:10	181:24
48:2	24 122:20	augmentation 192:3,6,23	77:16 78:14,	Bernard
	123:6 124:1		23 95:1,11	5:20
association	125:0 124.1	193:11 194:1, 5	98:5 101:20,	
28:15,17,19	126:15 128:18	-	22 122:17	big
29:14 30:5,24	120:13 128:18	automatically	125:7 127:1	166:16
37:2,3,9,14,21	133:4,22	196:7 198:11	133:7 142:13	bit
62:6	135:4,22	availability	145:10 151:9,	73:14 82:14
assume	141:2,19	161:16 191:9	15,21 159:23	148:3 166:13,
195:16	141:2,19	192:2	13,21 139:23	15 175:9
assuming	144:0 140:20	avoid	183:19,20	205:11
175:2,3	-	50:14 139:7		block
assumptions	148:18,22	avoiding	199:10,19	168:13,17
78:18	150:5,16	165:3	200:8 201:15	169:1,13,20
Atkinson	151:14 152:8,		basically	191:3
6:23 7:6 12:14	24 154:18	В	18:10,21	blue
14:1 15:12	155:10,23	back	111:13 146:5	19:14 96:8
17:13,23	162:18 163:9		basing	board
21:10,19 22:3,	165:7 166:4	10:21 12:18	152:17 189:19	5:13 11:20
17 23:8,17	168:20 169:3,	19:14,15,16	202:6	67:24 68:4
24:8 26:17	11 170:22	63:14,17	basis	123:18 124:19
27:2,23 29:23	171:19 172:5,	79:12 84:11	29:6 45:2,22	157:3
30:19 31:6	19 173:4	92:18 95:12,	185:16 190:9,	Board's
32:2,18 33:4	174:15 177:15	18,21 102:18	21 201:1	156:15
34:3,23 36:2,	179:15 181:2	107:11 113:14	beacon	bolded
23 37:11	183:1,12	114:18 117:1	29:11	96:20 109:9
39:10 41:12	184:4,18	129:24 149:13	beacons	book
42:11 43:1,15	185:7,19	153:7 156:9	29:12 31:4	40:22 41:2,3
44:11 45:18	187:1,24	161:5,11	Beavercreek	bottom
47:15 49:1,13	188:19 189:13	169:22 197:1	5:19	161:15
51:2 53:5	190:10,24	202:18 209:23	begin	Boulevard
54:23 55:20	192:8,24	background	6:17 49:7	5:18
56:8,24 58:8,	193:12 194:7	186:23	beginning	box
21 60:1 61:9,	196:12 197:4	bad	19:12 25:17	156:2
22 64:2,22	198:14 200:22	210:9	26:2 206:4	boxes
65:15 69:7,18	201:7,17	band	begins	24:9,15
71:2,16 72:4,	202:8 204:24	46:17 105:7	42:7 110:17	
23 73:23	205:8 206:1,	bands	198:5	break
74:22 75:15	12 207:7,17	173:8	behalf	8:19,21 63:3, 18 112:7,10
76:11,23	208:9 209:12	bandwidth	6:19	
77:18 78:17	210:1,5,16,18	31:13 105:23	beneficial	116:16
79:20 81:7	attempt	132:11,18	187:21	160:17,20
82:13 84:12	196:11	133:16 135:8	benefit	202:9
85:12 86:16	attempting	136:1 170:8	101:1,4	breaking
87:20 92:7	29:19 138:24	172:1 185:5	133:16 135:23	25:4
93:17 94:19	attention	187:21 192:3,	136:9,11	Brian
97:12 98:23	88:15	5,22 193:11	138:7 166:16	6:23
99:8,19	augment	194:1,4	175:10 176:8	bring
100:15 103:20	170:7 185:5,	base	180:3,8	119:7
104:12 106:20	15 186:18	18:6	180:3,8 187:16 188:2	bringing
108:5 110:1	187:21 188:16		10/.10 100:2	194:11

Index: assignment-bringing

brings	104:19,20	CDMA-	9,12 79:2,5	24 155:6,1
53:21	129:2,10	BASED	80:7,9 83:23	21 156:15
browser	170:16 182:7	167:16	84:7,10 85:2,	157:1,5,11
112:21,24	calls	center	7,9,10 86:11,	24 158:4,9
Bugeja	25:10 104:10	54:12	13,19,21 90:3	20,23 159
127:6,13,17	129:7	challenge	94:1 95:5,7	11,12,16,1
129:7,16	candidate	145:23	96:13 97:2,7,	24 160:1,2
131:6,9 132:8,	166:11	challenged	14,17,18,19,	162:6,9,14
15 133:9,15	capability	147:15	22 98:3,5,8,	20,22 164
134:15	61:18 70:15	chance	10,11,14,15,	15,19 165
135:21,22	140:18 150:13	51:11 126:24	18,19,20 99:3,	166:3,12
140:7,21	capable	change	5,16 100:2,12,	168:12,19
143:6 145:2	70:23 91:19	112:6 136:9	24 101:1,5,7,	169:2,9,17
147:20 151:2,	144:2	160:12	12,13,14	170:6,7,14
4,10,24	capacities	channel	102:16,17	20 171:10
154:12 156:22	15:15	20:21 21:9,12,	103:2 104:10,	21 172:1,1
Bugeja's	capacity	13,17,21 22:1,	16,19,21	173:3,11,1
143:11 144:1	17:16	2,8 23:1,5,10	107:5 108:3,	14,15 174
149:8		2,8 23:1,5,10 24:15 25:6	19 111:7	12,21 175
Bugeja-ho	career		114:1,6 115:6,	176:12,14
149:5,22	14:24	26:7,10,14,24	12,24 116:7,	177:13,24
149.5,22	careful	27:8,9,15	13 117:23	178:5,6,7,
150:13,25	58:11	28:4,5 30:8,22 32:6,7,8,14,23	118:11,17	179:2,7,8,
152:20	carrier		119:5,8,19,22	13,22,23
155:6,19,20	27:8,13,19	33:2,6,10,21	120:13,19	180:4,16,1
building	42:14,22	34:1,5,19,21	121:19,20,22	181:11,13
100:20 192:1	78:14 79:24	35:22,24 37:22 40:4	122:8,10,11	182:8,22,2
199:17	80:4 92:6		123:15,19,20,	183:24 18
built	108:2,9,16	41:15,16,17	21 124:9,20,	7,9,24 185
102:3	111:5,11	44:10,24	21 125:9	6,14,16
	142:14,16	45:16 48:1,4,	126:14,22	186:11,18
business	184:22	7,9,24 50:1,2, 8,11,14,22	127:2,3	187:22
15:4	carrier-sense		128:15,16,19	188:10,12
busy 27.10.28 (27:5 34:10	51:17 54:15,	129:13,21,22	16,17 189
27:10 28:6	158:17	16 55:14	130:4,10,11,	23 190:22
60:15 70:11,	carrier-sensing	57:13 58:20	17,22 131:3,	191:5,13,1
12 77:9 85:21	152:13	59:3,5,15,19,	18,24 132:3,4,	15,16,18,1
86:1 108:4	case	22 60:16,20	10,11,18,23	192:4,19,2
124:15 160:2	5:13 54:12	61:4,8,21	133:2,17,19,	21,22 193
162:9 182:9,	55:9 57:2,8	62:5,6,11,15, 18 63:19,22	20 134:9	22 194:2,3
23 195:24	94:11,16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	135:4,7,8,16	196:6,8,9
198:7 199:14	101:11 145:6	64:6,10,14,15	136:3 138:11,	198:4,6,8,
button	162:5 163:7	66:2,4,12,14	14,16,23	10,12 199
19:14,18	181:6	67:3,23 68:7	139:8,17,18,	14 200:8,9
	cases	69:4 72:2,6,7,	21,23,24	21 201:15
С	14:10,20,21	9,10,12,13,15,	141:17 142:14	204:12,21
call	17:15 192:11	21 73:2,6,9,	143:12 149:9,	205:6,23
24:17 48:11	207:15	10,15,16,18,	23,24 150:7,8,	206:10 20
58:13 88:14	causing	19 74:3,7	9,21 151:12,	208:1 209
156:5 169:13	48:13 62:11	75:6,8,11,13,	20 152:1,18	channel's
	CDMA	19,20,24 76:2,	153:4,11	33:9 170:8
208:4	167:18 179:2	4,5,8,10,12,	154:1,10,14,	183:11
called		13,19,22 77:7,	15,19,20,23,	105.11
7:12 28:21		8,14,24 78:8,	12,12,20,22,	

11 81	1 1	· · · · · ·		•
	120 5 11 12	• •	11 12 70 2 4	20 12 40 1
channels	130:5,11,12	circumstances	11,12 78:3,4,	30:13 40:1
20:14 22:5	131:20 132:1,	102:1 187:20	7,8,11,13	41:8 42:7,20
24:7,10,19,23,	17,21 133:11,	189:9	104:5 130:15	43:5 51:17
24 25:9 27:20	13 134:5,8,17,	claim	131:17 132:9	54:2,6 70:22
29:2,10,22	23 136:1,13,	17:20 67:17	133:1,10	71:6,11,19
30:15 31:2,10,	14,23 137:2,8,	79:10,14 81:3	135:7,9	90:19,20 91:7,
14,17,20 32:1	11,13,17	87:5,16 90:15	138:21 140:7,	11 93:4 94:9
42:9,13,17,18,	138:18,21	92:3,24 93:2	8,15 141:16	109:21
22 43:12 44:8,	139:6 140:1,9,	97:6,11	149:9,24	110:12,14
14,15,16 46:1,	12,17 141:7,	121:19 122:17	151:1,10	111:2 161:12,
3,4,16,18,19,	18,23 142:6,9,	123:3,24	159:10,11,16,	16 167:7
23 47:2 48:16,	12,13 149:18	125:4,8,10,17	17,24 160:3,7	195:12 204:3,
18,22 49:3,5,	150:15	126:13 145:5	172:17 195:22	5,7,8 206:4
6,11,12,18,20	151:13,20	146:24 149:7	196:3,8 197:8,	combating
50:1,18 51:1,	152:2,7	152:21	10 198:4,6,11	60:4
5,12,20,21	153:14 155:7,	claims	clients	combination
52:3,4,6 53:2,	9,16 157:9,17	17:11,19	56:22 62:21	121:17 122:16
4 54:13,22	158:22 162:23	18:10 46:13	65:12 69:3,14	143:6,10,18
55:8,12 56:1,	165:21 168:10	122:18 123:4	78:3,4 98:21	145:4 146:18,
5,15,20 57:8,	169:1,10,16,	124:24 143:19	130:21 131:18	23 147:13
11,24 59:16,	18 170:9	144:10 145:8	132:14,19	149:6,7,23
18 60:7,15,23	171:13 172:8,	146:7 147:15	133:17,18	154:13 155:19
61:17 62:2	9,12 173:8,20	149:14 158:12	135:24 136:2	170:13 171:23
64:20 65:6,14,	174:19 175:5,	160:14 161:13	138:5,23	185:23,24
24 66:16,19	10,22 176:5 177:6,12	clarification	139:13 140:23	186:17
68:10,20,24 69:22,23 70:3,	177:0,12 180:5,24	14:11 82:15,	159:10 174:13	combine
4,5,17 71:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16 86:9	close	145:2,11,23
72:1,21 73:7	181:8,14,18, 21 184:1,23	197:21 205:15	29:24 47:24	146:13 147:22
74:4,14 75:4,	186:11,12	clarifications	54:14,21 55:5,	178:4
6,22 76:14	187:3 188:3,	84:15 85:15,	6 63:20 64:20	combined
77:2,10,17,21	23 190:2,5	16	66:19 202:11	170:5
78:5,15 79:3,	195:18,23	clarify	closer	combining
8,23 80:3,13	195.18,25	14:6 36:8	48:22 53:13	146:6
81:16,22 82:9,	198:3 199:2,	65:20 87:8	64:24 151:18	commander
21 83:8,9,17	13 201:6,9	106:21 150:17	closest	16:17
84:23 85:3,4,	207:15 208:7,	clarifying	45:21	commencing
24 86:5,6	13	58:14 89:20	code	5:1
87:2,19 88:23	characteristics	classified	187:13 189:21	comments
89:11 90:11	101:10	209:2	191:8	178:11
91:22 92:5,21	check	classify	codes	common
94:12,17 98:1	27:7 77:8	208:18	179:4,19	21:12 22:7
101:19,24	158:20 159:6	clear	189:22,24	23:1,9 104:10,
102:10 105:7,	202:9	38:17,20,22,	coefficient	16 108:3
14,19 106:2,7,	checking	24 39:2,5,12	22:13	116:13 117:23
9,10,17,22	76:4 77:22	65:17 88:16	coefficients	118:11,17
107:7 108:10	choose	187:8 192:13	23:22	119:4 120:13,
109:23 111:4,	72:20 106:3,9,	click	coinventor	18 121:20
10,21 121:21	11 134:2,6,7	19:15	13:1	122:8 123:14
122:9 123:15	chooses	client	colloquial	127:2 170:15,
124:13 127:1	72:11	57:18 58:5	36:15,19	20 171:10,24
128:9,12	choosing	62:15,17	column	176:13
129:6,8,11	173:15	63:19,21 64:8	20:7 22:10	177:13,24
	1/5.15	65:14 75:5,7,	23:20 25:14	178:5,7 180:4,

0 1	1 1	· · · · ·		-
17 181:12	16,21 157:12,	configure	24 68:4,6,15	186:22 195:1
182:8	13,15 168:5,	32:6 69:12	69:17 71:13,	198:1
Commonwealt	11 184:15	116:11	22 73:22 74:9,	conventionally
h	196:2 197:2	configured	12,18 75:14	46:19
6:5	207:14	32:20 33:9	78:24 124:7	conversely
communicate	communicatio	64:12 65:4	125:4,8,11,18	174:11
20:21 34:5	ns	66:7,18 69:10	126:14,18,20	Coppola
56:2 58:1,2	31:16 43:8	73:3,15 83:10	153:10,23	6:1,2
60:11,17	44:2 48:8 57:6	84:8 107:3	154:4,9	Corporation
61:14 62:4,7	97:23 122:12,	confirm	construe	7:1
65:24 70:4,16	13 139:8	9:1 10:18	123:19	correct
73:10 87:1	167:12,16,23	108:1 110:5	construed	12:1 15:11
98:16 104:2,4,	197:9	131:9 162:3	69:5 155:22	19:1 20:2,15
16 120:18	companies	confirming	consultant	21:18 24:7
137:15 140:2,	21:2	117:15	15:3	25:11,21 26:
16 150:20	Company	confirms	consulting	41:11 42:4
160:3 173:16	5:11	105:5	15:5	67:3 68:1,12
188:4	compared	conflict	content	80:11,19
communicated	44:9 172:3	137:1,16	206:11	86:15 87:19
23:12 115:11	184:13	138:10 172:9	contested	105:19
communicates	compensated	conflicting	196:9 198:12	117:17,18
66:3 69:3	13:7	173:10		118:1 122:19
73:17 75:7	competition		context	126:14 128:4
103:23 104:21	138:22	confused	22:19 41:23	13 130:6
communicatin		186:1	51:15 56:11	131:20 139:1
	complete	confusing	57:16 58:24	145:5 146:14
g 36:13 48:6,9	39:21	8:14	59:23 61:5,23	145:5 140:14
	completely	conjunction	62:22 90:17	
52:1 57:10	44:14 106:6	38:23	92:9	162:17 169:4
59:21 61:2	completing	connect	continue	204:14
64:8 66:1,4,	210:14	28:14 72:7,11	156:21 200:12	correctly
11,13 75:5,23	complex	connecting	continuous	25:3
98:6 102:18	138:8	29:6	173:18	cost
108:10 140:18	compliant	connection	contract	164:2,6,12,1
193:20 198:3	104:24	189:12	14:20	165:4,11
communicatio	comprised	consent	contrast	166:7,13
n	81:16	6:14,20 7:1	97:6	counsel
20:10,11	concept	conserve	control	6:8,14 7:13
25:20 26:4,7,	99:15	181:22	156:16 157:5,	9:10,13
21 37:5 39:22	concluded	conserving	7,16 158:5,7,	counts
41:23 42:23	210:24	187:10	15,24 159:23	158:21
44:7 68:8,14,	concludes		160:5	couple
19 69:5,16		consideration	controlled	9:14 173:5
71:12,21 73:8,	163:1 210:12	93:6 94:10	157:20	court
21 74:8 78:6	conclusion	considered		5:21,24 6:2,
79:15 81:15	156:15	11:7 12:20	controlling	
85:24 87:12	conferences	27:10 31:14	157:15	cover 10:3 126:6
91:12 108:23	16:23	48:18 52:15,	conventional	
109:14,18	configuration	20 87:3	25:20 26:3,6,	148:15
111:3 114:11,	62:12 102:6	140:15 206:19	15,20 30:11	covered
23 115:3	115:19 160:12	consistent	40:2 41:7	148:1,11,17
123:5 127:1	175:15 199:8	106:15 162:12	51:16 61:21	covering
149:15 150:2	configurations	construction	70:20 96:15	148:24
177.13 130.4	e		97:4 184:15	create
153:12 154:2,	70:8	67:2,8,18,23,	77.4 104.15	cicate

115:23	decisions	degree	9:1,2,7 20:5	195:1
CSMA	190:3,8	15:19 52:16	210:13,15,24	detect
27:5 38:15	declaration	77:5	descend	27:13
137:19	10:1,3 11:3,8	deliver	73:3	detected
cutoff	13:8,13 18:23	187:12	describe	70:11
151:18	19:16 20:2	density	20:19 28:16	determine
	67:5 88:6	52:19	48:20,22	26:24 27:1
	95:12 96:4,7,9	depend	49:17 98:7	28:12 29:1
	103:13	47:18 49:14	describes	4,10,13 35
damage	107:11,18	55:4 124:5	25:19 42:8,21	17 53:11
173:14	108:21 113:21	125:12 126:3	58:19 91:11,	72:10 75:2
Darlene	117:5,11,13	133:24 172:20	19 140:21	97:24 100
6:1,2 7:9	121:16 122:2	189:3 206:21	167:8,21	101:21,23
data	125:22,24	dependent	168:9 204:20	102:9 103
20:22 21:13	127:14 142:21	76:21	describing	17 111:11
22:7 27:16	143:1 148:6,	depending	61:24 92:15	165:12 19
30:16 35:12,	21 149:3	35:12 52:11	93:12,14	20
15 36:15,16	153:8 155:13	165:23 199:6	96:14 97:3	determined
42:9 58:2 61:7	156:4 167:5	depends	100:6 114:6	22:12 23:1
68:23 70:24	169:22,24	31:7 32:19	128:11 162:13	26:8,10,14
76:20 77:1,15,	175:18 176:24	33:14 35:1	170:3 183:22	78:11,13
22 81:22 82:8	178:12 182:4	36:4 43:17,19	185:12 207:11	79:23 80:3
84:9 115:23	185:13 186:5,	46:1 52:13,23	description	10 83:23 8
116:6,12	8 195:14	53:6,17 58:23	27:18 93:6	92:5 96:14
121:10 126:23	200:3 202:22	60:3 64:11,16	94:11 99:5,17	97:3 150:2
168:11 169:2	203:18	65:3,22 66:6,	100:14 167:14	determines
170:19 171:1	declare	17 69:9 99:9	198:21	68:9 72:14
172:17 174:14	6:12	133:5 135:5	design	74:13 75:2
175:6,11	decrease	136:7,15	49:11 189:6	76:8 77:13
179:14 181:13	178:24	160:11 166:20	199:7	153:13 15
187:12 206:24	default	172:6 174:16	designed	14 193:24
207:2,5 208:8	33:10 183:9	175:13 176:8	20:23 53:19	determinin
209:5	define	188:21	66:18 70:2	28:6 97:17
data-intensive	51:22 105:18	191:17,23	101:16 182:20	21
189:10	156:1	193:14 199:20	191:18 193:21	developing
date	defined	206:14	199:7,20	16:20 101
41:1 109:4,16	18:20 31:10	depicted	designing	developme
110:22	51:10 62:23	25:9 91:16	21:4 41:4	15:15
dates	82:20 105:7	depicting	100:18 191:11	device
15:7	110:21 142:5	24:5 130:14	desirability	20:24 61:8
deal	194:14	167:21	189:20	81:2,21 82
151:6	defining	depicts	desirable	84:3,8,10
dealing	71:13 198:18	127:23 168:3	168:9,24	100:13 10
24:19 55:23	199:9 200:24	deployed	169:15	102:3,7,19
decided	definite	138:13	186:13,19	150:24
188:15	132:3 193:16		189:19 190:21	150.24
decides	degradation	Deploying		181:23 18
159:8 191:4	44:17 45:11,	41:4	desire	devices
decision	24 66:23	deposed	201:12	21:3 122:1
11:19,22		8:1,6 14:8,13,	detached	141:22 19
169:13 186:10	degrade	16,18	154:8	
187:9 189:22	44:2,7,24 45:15	deposition	details	diagram
200:7,14	45:15	5:1,7,16 6:21,	12:9 18:2	130:13 13
200.7,17		22 7:2,3 8:7	119:3 194:22	

Diane	divide	earlier	environment	experience
6:1	23:10	46:15 54:24	32:17 133:12	18:19 210:
dictate	divided	69:19 70:21	175:14	experimenta
75:11 159:16	24:15 25:10	71:11,17	equal	ns
162:14	divides	106:16 115:4	53:7 175:4	119:12
differ	22:2	126:19	equation	expert
134:8	dividing	137:18,19	197:23	9:8 13:23
difference	25:5	139:15 149:13	errors	14:5,8,24 1
53:9 136:20,	document	159:21 162:13	48:13 173:18	explain
21	10:6,10,16,22,	203:20	establish	96:11 122:
DIRECT		early	144:13	136:4 180:
7:19	23 11:11,17	16:10		182:18
	19:4 22:22	easier	established	
disagree	26:1 89:4	65:21	76:16	explained
123:18 145:1,	92:14 94:24		estimate	45:6 46:16
3 147:21	105:12	Eastern	14:22	111:2 133:
180:2 182:1	114:16,21	5:6	European	137:18 145
disallow	122:3 123:11	edepoze	13:4	207:20
184:6	131:14 143:2	9:17 10:5	exact	explaining
disclose	146:2 152:11	19:13 107:19	8:4 12:9 14:17	58:12 59:6
121:18 149:6	162:1 163:17	117:11 143:2	15:6	94:4 97:1
discloses	176:2,18	edition	examination	explains
113:23 127:17	178:9 183:5	40:8	7:12,19	77:4 93:5
disclosing	204:9 205:17	effect	examined	129:19 186
208:6	207:23	54:14		explanation
	documents	efficiency	7:14	67:17 99:1
discuss	9:9 11:2	136:11 166:18	examiner	100:3
103:13 117:6	112:22		12:8,19	100:5
127:14 144:23	dotted	efficient	examples	
167:4 203:10,		44:3 134:18	55:21 187:9	F
13	25:1	egress	191:6	facility
discussed	double	178:24	Excellent	47:20 136:
113:20	144:20	element	203:9	18 138:13
discusses	download	121:19 128:3	exchanges	fact
167:15	172:17 173:24	146:24 147:14	39:6	
discussing	174:14	elements	exemplary	83:7 111:2
47:14 94:3	downloading	123:23 145:4	91:11 92:1	181:14
106:16 167:18	173:21 189:10	149:6 152:21	exercised	factor
203:5	downloads	eleven		65:1,3,5
discussion	140:24	106:9	159:1	172:10,11
113:4 118:6	draft	enabled	exhibit	factors
	210:17	162:7,21	9:17,19,22	64:18 172:
dispute	draw	-	19:6,7,21	factory
118:7,20		encompasses	103:9,11	102:2
120:2 143:24	195:4	90:16	112:19	fails
147:13	duly	end	113:18,19	200:5
distance	7:14	9:20 34:8	117:12	fair
151:5,8,17	duration	engineer	127:10,11,12	135:19 149
distinct	39:8,13	100:17 102:8	166:24 167:3	
82:8 140:19	dynamic	engineered	203:23,24	150:6
147:3 152:22	102:15	199:24	exist	fairly
173:6 201:5		ensure	65:11	134:14
distinguishable		192:4		familiar
42:2	<u>Ľ</u>		expectation	18:13 20:1
¬∠.∠	e-mail	enters	118:23 120:23	38:3,17
	9:3	130:16	146:17 148:16	-

		. ,		-
factor	56.9 24 59.9	102.9.24	45.16 46.1 2	174.60.22
faster	56:8,24 58:8,	192:8,24	45:16 46:1,3,	174:6,9,23
90:22	21 60:1 61:9,	193:12 194:7	4,7,17 48:23	gains
field	22 64:2,22	196:12 197:4	49:6 50:4	136:12
101:23 102:9	65:15 69:7,18	198:14 200:22	52:3,4 54:15	gathers
167:8,11	71:2,16 72:4,	201:7,17	55:8,12,14	102:7
figure	23 73:23	204:24 205:8	56:15 57:24	gave
24:1,5,11,14,	74:22 75:15	206:1,12	59:18,19 60:6,	119:4 197:6
21,22 25:8	76:11,23	207:7,17	14,23 61:17	gears
91:16 94:2	77:18 78:17	208:9	62:2 64:6,10,	112:6
127:21,23	79:20 81:7	forms	20,24 65:14	Geier
128:14 130:1,	82:13 84:12	179:8	66:12,14	5:8 7:11,21,23
2 135:13	85:12 86:16	found	69:22 70:5	10:4 117:4
167:20,24	87:20 89:6	19:18 42:14,	83:16 84:23	161:8 202:21
168:1,2,3,8,13	92:7 93:17	22 77:10	104:10,16	210:2,7,13
169:7,12	94:19 97:12	111:4	105:6,18	general
190:7	98:23 99:8,19	foundation	106:2,10,17	12:15 14:9
figured	100:15 103:21	17:14,24	108:3 111:21	18:18 43:20
95:19	104:12 106:20	26:18 33:5	115:12 116:13	44:12,20
file	108:5 110:1	34:24 36:3	117:23	48:21 59:1,2
11:24 12:3	111:18 115:9	37:12 43:16	118:11,17	65:7 70:14
13:3	116:2,8 118:2,	45:19 51:3	119:5 120:19	99:15 137:10
filed	12 119:1,15	58:22 60:2	121:20 122:8	167:12 172:12
5:11	120:8 121:2,	61:10 64:23	127:2 134:4,	175:9 176:7
final	24 122:20	69:8 71:3	11,13,19	177:19 188:1
210:19	123:6 124:1	72:24 73:24	137:21 141:7	201:19,22,23
find	125:1,19	75:16 77:19	170:15,20	generally
8:14 28:18	126:15 128:18	98:24 103:21	171:10,24	38:22 48:19
fine	129:9 131:21	104:13 116:9	173:7,8	52:8 115:20
112:12 160:21	133:4,22	119:16 121:3	176:13 177:13	134:14 164:6
164:2 173:21	135:10 140:10	124:2 125:2,	178:6,7,20	170:17
fits	141:2,20	20 147:24	180:4,18	gigahertz
77:3 125:13	144:6 146:20	206:2,13	181:12 182:8	31:8 46:17
flip	147:6,23	207:8,18	201:6	105:7
10:7,9 161:11	148:18,22	208:10	full	give
	150:5,16	fourth	7:22 128:16	87:7 89:2
Force 16:12	151:14 152:8,	47:13	129:2,20	101:5 114:2
	24 154:18	frame	130:3	131:11 132:11
form	155:10,23	15:8 40:22	fully	133:16 135:8
12:14 14:2	158:15 162:18	71:19 81:20	119:6 139:1	163:15 191:6
15:13 17:23	163:9 165:7	frequencies	159:20	192:12
21:10,19 22:3,	166:4 168:21	29:1 49:5	functionality	giving
17 23:8,17	169:3,11	53:13 54:12	78:22 118:22	96:7 198:22
24:8 26:17	170:22 171:19	101:21 134:21	147:20 164:4	208:18
27:2,23 29:23	172:5,19	137:22 140:3,	196:19,23	
30:19 31:6	173:4 174:15	4,19 173:7	197:2	glance
32:2,18 33:4	177:15 179:7,	frequency		123:7 143:20
34:3,23 36:2,	15,24 181:2	21:12,17,21	G	go-to
23 39:10	183:1,12	22:7 23:4,10		10:13,15
41:12 42:11	184:4,18	24:19 25:6	G-E-I-E-R	good
43:1,15 44:11	185:7,19	29:22 30:8,14,	7:24	5:3 7:21 91:5
45:11,12,18	187:1,24	29.22 30.8,14, 21 31:2,7,9	gain	116:15 138:17
47:15 49:1,13	188:19 189:13	41:15,16,17	44:4 166:14,	160:22 166:11
51:2 53:5	190:10,24	42:18 44:13	16 173:17	govern
54:23 55:20		72.10 77.13		35:13

81	1 1	(J - 9 -
great	happening	honestly	42:14,22 68:9	184:13 185:2,
63:5 93:3	158:6 160:6	195:8	70:10 74:13	11,21
189:5	hard	hoping	75:10,20 76:7,	implemented
greater	198:16,23	136:3	21 77:11,16,	58:20 59:22
187:22	heard	hour	22,23 78:11,	89:15 118:1,
Greenfield	41:18	13:11 63:2	14 79:3,8,24	18 119:21
6:19	held	112:6 160:17	80:4,7,10	172:7 188:22
ground	185:4,15	hourly	83:23 84:7	191:24
117:6,16,19	helpful	13:7,10	92:5 98:1	206:15,22
118:4,6	84:16 87:7	hours	108:4 111:4	implementing
142:21 143:5	91:3 161:24	9:14,15 13:12,	124:16 142:13	89:24 121:8,9
144:8,10	197:20	15,17,19	150:15	140:12 182:19
145:18 170:3			152:14,17	implements
	helping	hypothetical	153:12 155:7,	118:16
group 15:20,23,24	21:1	63:17 124:4,	14 157:1,21	improve
	here,is	18,23 125:3	158:21,22	-
16:5,9,14,18,	68:18	159:13,20	159:6,15	46:5 102:11
22,24 17:2,4,	hereinafter	160:9 162:13	182:22 183:11	134:3 164:4
7,9	20:15	194:11,18	184:2,7,24	175:12
guarantee	high	198:18,23	185:17 188:13	improving
191:12 192:2	52:21 143:9	199:16,19,23	200:8 201:15	164:5
guaranteed	168:8 204:17		idly	inartful
192:18 193:11	206:17 207:3,	I	98:1	171:6
194:4	5 208:16,20	i.e.	IEEE	include
guess	209:2,6	80:22 152:18	15:10,17,20,	12:15 39:13
14:6,11 24:11,	higher	idea	24 16:9 17:7	94:5
13 74:24 89:7	136:12	41:13 42:13,		included
97:16 99:14	Hill	16 43:20	illustrates	38:8 39:14
100:2,3	5:18	47:16 84:21	169:7	197:12
123:17 124:3	histories	97:20 108:15	imagine	includes
148:15 163:7	13:3	123:14 126:21	35:11 45:20	207:3
166:21 171:2,	history	134:15 139:15	190:15 194:13	including
16 173:9	11:24 12:3,11,	141:6 151:4,	impact	66:9 162:8
180:1,8	13 203:5,11	15,24 163:23	53:9,20	incorporate
181:24 183:17	hit	165:1,20	impacted	21:2 40:17
185:20 186:1	90:24	181:10 187:10	46:2	incorporated
187:17 189:18	Но	189:18,21	impair	40:13
202:2 206:5	112:4 113:20,	207:19	63:23	increase
	23 114:3,5,8	ideally	impairing	43:22 44:8,18
Н	117:23 143:6	189:1	46:9	137:17 141:9
half	145:2 147:21		impairments	172:13,16
74:11 129:6,	151:3	identified	44:1,6,22	174:13 180:6
	Ho's	76:14 101:3	45:14	189:11
12 130:5,8	118:1	103:8 113:18	implement	increased
hand	hoc	152:23	78:21 83:2	139:12 172:3,
110:17	185:16 190:9,	identify	99:2 118:9	22 173:2
handled	13,21	146:13	119:13 138:8	175:21 177:3
199:3	hold	identifying	143:11 144:1	increases
happen	38:12 39:17	98:10,13,17	159:4 165:13	43:12 53:1
91:4 95:14	93:8	100:8 102:17	192:18 193:15	increasing
124:15 159:3		187:2	implementatio	172:15
happened	home	idle	n	incurs
112:23	32:16	27:12,22 28:6	36:20 133:3,	45:10
		34:14 35:7,9	24 183:21	43.10

independent	52:22,23	issue	147:24 155:6	Levine
15:2 95:6	interference	46:11 53:22	laid	5:21 6:2
123:3 137:22	44:15 46:2,7	62:1 101:20	136:16	lieu
146:22 184:1	47:2,10,13	134:4,12,13,	LAN	6:10
independently	48:11 50:9,15,	19 146:6	178:23	light
78:21 94:13,	19 53:14 59:9,	148:15 152:22	LANS	10:9 17:12,2
18 95:7	12,14 63:23	164:11	16:15 47:3,10	147:20
indicating	64:13,19 65:2,	issues		likelihood
39:15 171:14	6,8,11 137:24	14:20 44:15	large 54:17	52:24
indication	139:7 140:5	47:8 53:8		
40:5 151:17	176:13 177:4,	144:22 179:3	lay	likewise
	13,18,19,22,	188:5,6,7	195:3	201:4
indicator	23 178:6,20,	190:1 195:6,7	leadership	limit
151:8	22 179:1,6,7,		16:22	34:20 79:3
indiscriminate		italicized	leak	limitation
152:16	9,11,13,17,21,	109:9	53:15	87:11
indiscriminatel	22 180:3,11	Item	leakage	limitations
У	201:5	168:7	45:4,5,6,11,17	53:8 105:24
152:3,7	interim		50:23 51:4,9,	limited
155:17 187:4	192:7	J	21 52:10,14	142:16 149:
199:4	interoperabilit		53:1,20 54:14	166:2 189:2
Industrial	У	J-A-M-E-S	55:18 58:18	limiting
161:16	16:6	7:24	59:6,7 60:5	174:3
influence	interpretation	James	61:20 62:1,10,	limits
64:19	124:23	5:8 7:11,23	19,23 100:1,	180:19 181:
information	introduce	10:4 210:13	19 102:22	lines
29:13,17,20	9:16 19:6	July	163:24 164:7,	20:7 22:10
30:4,9 37:24	47:13 103:7	5:5	11 165:3	
38:9 39:19	112:4 127:6	jumping	166:10,15	30:13 33:18
	166:23 203:21	90:20	174:18,24	40:1 41:8
41:14 43:21,	introduced		175:2 200:16,	42:20 51:18
23 44:19 45:9,	19:20 113:17	K		54:2 93:3
10 58:1 68:23	127:9 167:2		20,24 201:10,	110:14 167:
77:21 81:14		key	13	links
82:24 90:14	introducing	91:1	learn	114:12,24
141:8 167:22	9:18 112:18	kind	195:5	115:3
168:4	203:22	19:11 35:1	left	list
input	invalidity	36:4,15 69:9	130:2 202:10	11:2,6,7
20:14 41:10,	17:17 18:6	124:3 133:5	left-hand	listed
24 42:3 120:5	invented	139:15 158:10	24:14	9:9 80:6
installed	46:12	160:14 163:12	legal	listen
47:19 64:12	invention	198:20	5:22 17:22	29:9
instance	18:21 20:9	206:14,21	Legally	listening
147:19	45:3 46:13	kinds	147:7	39:16
208:16,19	53:23 60:4	190:16,19	length	litigation
institution	167:9 201:1	knew	35:11,16 36:7,	14:9,14 17:1
11:19,22	202:6	121:6	22	
intended	inventor	121.0	letters	litigations
33:16 64:1	12:23		12:18	15:1
interaccess	involved	L	level	located
48:11	14:15 15:14,	lab		47:20
	18,19,21 16:1	101:23	18:20,23	location
interface	21:1,3,4	lacks	143:9 151:18	142:4
107:19	IPR	121:3 124:2	168:8 173:23	Locust
interfere		125:2,20	208:3	5:18
	5:14	123.2,20		

Index: independen-Locust

logs	maker	112:19 127:10	member	144:2 147
32:22	33:14	167:3 203:23	16:2,9 17:8	179:14,16
long	making	mass	memory	181:17
9:12 34:20,22	146:18 169:14	52:7,18	95:2,11 99:21	MIMO-
35:2,18	managed	Massachusetts	104:15	BASED
145:21	32:20 33:16	6:5	mention	119:13
longer		materials	179:16	MIMO-
17:3	management 33:19	11:7	mentioned	IMPLEM
looked	mandatory	matter	57:3 71:5,10	ED
12:8 17:18	60:16 67:3,23	5:8 6:12 13:23	199:18	61:3 85:7
71:6,11 79:10	· · · · ·			86:11,13
148:9 194:15	68:7 75:5,8,	14:16 79:6	mentioning	179:12
	10,13,19,20,	162:22 174:1	151:3	
lot	24 76:2,4,5,7,	matters	met	MIMOS
50:7,8 102:21	9,12,13,19,21	14:14	126:13	22:12,16,
137:7 138:1,2	77:7,8,14,24	max	method	23:16,19,
love	78:7,9,12	35:11	20:10 42:24	25:10
195:24	79:2,5 95:5,7	meaning	43:3,8,10 44:5	mind
low	97:7,14,17	34:6 35:2 52:5	79:15 84:4	92:12 110
138:4,19	98:2,5,9,11,	60:6 89:8	103:16 111:3	10 112:7
207:3	15,18 99:3,5	108:6 122:11	167:22 168:3,	159:13 16
Luc	100:2,12,24	164:14 199:4	9 169:8	173:6 205
5:20	101:1,4,6,12,	means	methods	mine
lunch	13,24 102:10,	55:2,6 83:19	83:18	19:13
112:10 116:16	16,17 103:2	89:18 102:15	microwave	minute
Lundby	121:18	162:19 165:17	50:2,6,15,20	25:22 67:
166:23 167:4,	123:19,20	meant	microwaves	92:8 94:2
8,15,21 169:6	124:9,20	51:23 89:7,20	50:9	114:2 131
178:4 181:18	125:9 126:14	106:13 120:13	middle	163:15
182:20 187:8	152:1,18	125:13 139:4	50:4 96:20	minutes
189:15 190:7,	153:4 154:1,	152:16 157:4		112:9 209
13,14 201:4,8	10,15 155:6,	166:18 171:3	military	misinterpr
	11,21 156:15	199:14	16:15,16,19	177:1
Lundby's	157:4,11,21,		MIMO	missed
186:10	24 158:3,9,14,	mechanism	20:15,17,19,	
	20,23 159:1,5,	38:14,15	20,24 21:3,5,	15:23 82:
Μ	11,12,15,18,	Mediatek	6,11,20 22:2	144:4
made	24 160:1,2,4,8	5:9	23:4,5,10 24:5	missing
52:13 78:19	162:6,8,14,16,	medium	25:4 40:3,5,7,	156:7
187:10 190:3,	20,21 164:9,	34:13 35:4,5	12,17 41:9	misspoke
9 200:7	15,19 165:2,5,	meet	42:2 58:20	105:16
204:21	21 166:3,12	9:10 87:10	59:3,4,22	Misstates
	174:20 184:9	126:20 127:4	60:12,17 80:7,	39:11 126
majority	199:12	145:4 146:24	10,14 83:23	155:24
14:21		152:21	84:7,11 87:17	misunders
make	maneuver	meeting	88:22 89:10	105:14
21:11 84:15	203:6	87:4	90:3 93:5,14,	mobile
85:16 88:16	manner	meets	20,24 94:3,10	56:21 62:
90:19 95:19	6:15 33:21	125:17 147:14	113:24 114:6	103:18 10
102:5 120:5	34:2 54:22	155:21	115:5,10,15,	188:18
134:18	64:1	megabit	18,23 116:6,	modificati
136:19,21	manual	174:2	10 118:1,10,	68:5 144:
137:8 138:2	32:21 33:2		16,21 119:4,8,	modified
139:22 143:21	marked	megabits	18,21 121:1,5,	
192:6	9:19 19:21	173:24 174:1	9 143:13	117:22 17

on Telegraph and T	Telephone Corporation	n(IPR2020-01404)		July 29
modifies	153:11 154:2,	names	52:5,15 53:2	39:10 41
67:24	16,21 157:10,	59:14	55:3 106:7,18	42:11 43
modify	12,13,14	Nathan	134:17,23	44:11 45
73:14 120:24	184:14,15,21	6:18 210:21	137:13	47:15 49
200:6	186:22		normal	51:2 53:
	195:11,21	nature	128:22 129:2	54:23 55
moment	-	8:24		
124:11	197:1,8 198:1	navigate	not-too-bad	56:8,24
morning	199:3 200:14	67:13 90:23	210:7	21 60:1
5:3 7:21 46:15	multicommuni	navigation	notary	22 64:2,
motivate	cation	19:10 203:7	6:4 7:14	65:15 69
50:10	124:12	nearby	note	71:2,16
motivated	multiple	62:17 173:11	170:4 176:11	23 73:23
118:21 120:4	15:10,15	178:23	200:12	74:22 75
motivation	20:13,14,21	necessarily	noted	76:11,23
145:23 147:22	21:14 24:6	18:5 24:17	71:18	77:18 78
175:22 176:4	27:5,19 42:3,	34:9 36:5 44:3	notes	79:20 81
177:4 180:23	9,13,17,21	52:9 55:1 58:9	202:10	82:13 84
200:6 201:13	43:12 44:8,13,	59:7,15 62:9	noticing	85:12 86
move	23 45:15 47:3	72:5 73:12	102:21	87:20 92
10:7	51:12,16 52:3	74:8 87:21		93:17 94
	54:12 56:1,5,	93:18 106:6	notion	97:12 98
moving	14 57:8,23		119:7 207:13	99:8,19
156:9	59:4 60:6,22	148:4 160:5	NTT	100:15 1
multi	61:16 62:2	190:12 192:10	210:1	104:12 1
81:15	68:20,24 69:3,	208:17	number	108:5 11
multi-	21 70:2,16,24	needed	8:4 13:14	111:18 1
51:23	72:1 73:6 78:5	39:21 49:24	14:7,17 22:11,	116:2,8
multichannel	79:23 80:2,13	192:22	24 23:2,18,19,	12 119:1
51:24 55:19,		needing	21 31:11	120:8 12
23 56:4,7,11,	81:16,22 82:8,	27:6	32:23 67:20	24 122:2
19,22 57:15,	20 83:8,9	negative	90:24 91:4	123:6 12
17,18 58:4	84:22 85:4	144:20	95:15 96:7	125:1,19
59:23 60:5,21	87:18 88:22	negotiate	107:13 115:20	
61:4 62:12	89:11 90:10	104:1	numbers	126:15 1
68:8,14,18,19	92:4 94:12,17	net	54:7 67:14	129:9 13
69:2,4,13,16	101:9,19	166:17	119:20	133:4,22
71:12,21 73:8,	103:16 106:17	network	11).=0	135:10 1
21 74:8 77:4	109:23 111:4,	32:16,19 38:4,		141:2,19
78:5 80:22	10,20 120:5,6,	6 48:12,14	0	144:6 14
81:12,15	12 121:10,21	98:22 136:15	O'BRIEN	147:6,23
82:18 84:22	122:9 123:15		5:21 6:2	148:18,2
85:5,24 86:2,	127:1 140:9,	networks	oath	150:5,16
3,6 87:12	12,17 141:6,	16:7 41:5,6	6:11 8:10	151:14 1
	23 142:8,11	138:13	Objection	24 154:1
90:18 92:22	149:17 150:14	Nippon	12:14 14:1	155:10,2
93:13 99:2	157:9,17	5:10 6:24	15:12 17:13,	162:18 1
101:18 108:23	158:17 180:5	noise	23 21:10,19	165:7 16
109:6,14,18	184:22 188:3	179:23		168:20 1
110:20,21	201:9	non-	22:3,17 23:8,	11 170:2
111:15,16,19,		51:19	17 24:8 26:17	171:19 1
23 122:19		nonoverlappin	27:2,23 29:23	19 173:4
123:5 127:18	<u>N</u>	g	30:19 31:6	174:15 1
128:8 140:8,	named	4 8:18 49:11,	32:2,18 33:4	179:15 1
23 149:15	12:23 58:16	19 51:1,20	34:3,23 36:2,	183:1,12
			23 37:11	

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

Index: modifies-Objection

James T. Geier

	FFF	-(, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,
184:4,18	open	outcomes	36:6 38:11,13	132:7 133:9
185:7,19	92:23 95:18	169:7,19	39:19 41:14	140:22 141:3,
187:1,24	opening	outer	42:23 43:3,8	5 145:17
188:19 189:13	117:13	128:20	79:15 91:12	149:2 151:23
190:10,24	operate	130:16,20,21,	111:2	152:10 153:7,
192:8,24	50:3,12 66:19	23 131:4,17	packet's	16,17 156:9
193:12 194:7	70:2 74:3,5	132:12,18	29:15	162:4 169:23
196:12 197:4	172:11	133:17 135:9,	packets	170:2 175:18,
198:14 200:22	199:21,22	15 136:2	20:13 21:7	20,24 176:10
201:7,17	operated	137:14 138:23	23:6 29:17,19	180:13,14
204:24 205:8	194:15	139:9,12,16,	30:4,9 35:10	181:7 182:15,
206:1,12		18,20 140:4	37:19,24 38:8,	18 183:7,9,13
207:7,17	operates 32:9 83:10	149:24 151:1	10 42:10,15	184:12 185:13
208:9		output	70:24 77:16	186:4 195:13
objections	operating	20:14 41:10	79:16 80:19,	200:2 202:22,
6:15,21 7:2	50:17 57:13		-	23,24 203:9
	65:23 78:21	42:1,3 120:6	23 81:4,24	204:4,5,6,11,
obvious	105:24 116:12	173:22 174:2	82:11 84:5	20 205:2,11
17:12 18:7	129:12	outweigh	88:24 90:2,10	20 203:2,11 207:10 208:12
122:16 123:2	139:16,20	164:12	91:21 92:4,16,	
124:24 143:19	141:11 142:7	oven	17,20 104:9	paragraphs
144:11 145:1	173:12 178:23	50:6,15,20	109:23 111:5,	117:16 142:22
146:11 147:1,	operation	ovens	12 115:7	143:16,22,23
4	128:22 152:14	50:2	150:14 170:8	148:20 161:18
obviousness	199:13	overcome	171:9 182:21	parallel
17:19,22 18:4	opinion	195:8	pages	187:12
occupied	55:17 67:2	overlap	9:3	parameter
36:1	108:2 121:17	49:7 52:6	pains	102:6
occur	122:15 123:2	134:20 137:7	6:13	parameters
29:15 44:1,7,	126:2 143:17	140:3	papers	101:11 191:5
23 50:24 55:7	148:2,4 149:5,	overlapping	119:22 121:7	parenthetical
58:19 61:20	12,13 154:13	49:4,18 53:3	paragraph	24:21
89:22 100:20	156:22 176:4,	54:22 55:2	10:22 11:2,23	part
157:19,20	20,23 177:7,	62:18 63:21	18:22 19:2	16:17 37:20
occurring	23 178:15	106:11	25:18,19,23	40:11 50:4
63:24 179:17	182:19		26:5 30:12	60:20 62:11
occurs	opinions	overrun 50:19	33:18 39:24	70:1 82:4,6
21:17 37:9	17:11 67:17		40:6 42:6,8	85:4,19 86:5
55:19 59:9,12		owner	54:9 67:10	87:4 99:3
	112:2 125:23	5:11 6:24	68:3 70:22	102:4 109:19
off-the-record	144:9 145:10	11:13,14	74:12 88:5,17	112:1 122:21
113:4	148:12		91:18 92:2	123:1 124:11,
offer	order	Р	95:13,22,23	12 149:7
126:2 148:4	34:9 46:5	p.m.		
offered	76:24 144:18	116:23 117:2	96:2,4,8,11,	153:18 154:22
17:11 67:1	210:22	160:24 161:2,	17,21,24	167:13 191:21
80:21 126:17	ordinary		105:1,4,9,17	participant
offering	18:14 102:24	3,6 202:13,15,	107:11,20,22	15:10
125:3	118:15 146:4	16,19 209:18, 20 21 24	108:20 109:3	parties
offhand	organizations	20,21,24	110:16 114:8,	197:16
202:1	15:11,17	210:12,24	9,12,13,18,19,	partly
office	orthogonal	packet	22,23 117:4,5,	155:12
5:12 32:16	179:4,20	20:10,11	8 121:16	parts
Ohio	outcome	25:20 26:3,6,	122:6 129:15,	153:24
5:19	102:14	15,20 35:6,16	19 131:5,8,12	
	-			
1	1	1	I	

Index: objections-parts

passive	13,22 115:5	171:12	32:4,5,6,9,22,	pointed
28:21,22 29:8		176:11,21	23 33:6,8,13,	93:1
31:1	pay 166:15	178:3 180:15	15 34:20 35:7,	pointing
patent		181:6 200:5	21 36:14 37:1,	110:11 184:19
5:11,12,13,14	peer-to-peer 28:3	petitioner's	10,20 39:7,9,	208:12
6:24 11:13,14,		185:22	16 44:2 47:14	
19 12:1,4,13,	penalties		48:2,3,4,6,10,	points
17,19 13:2,5,	6:13	petitioners 6:20 18:24	11 51:13	28:24 29:3,11,
23 14:5,9,14,	pending		56:19 57:6,7,	12 31:5,18
21 15:1 17:11,	8:21	117:20 123:19 124:20	10,17,19,22,	32:14 33:11
15 18:9,11	Perfect		23 58:4 59:21	47:4,7,19,24
19:23 20:1,8	9:22 11:1	Petitioners'	61:2,5,13,16	50:13,17 60:7
22:11 23:15	19:19	125:17	62:3,15,17	62:21 65:13
24:2 25:10,15,	perform	phone	63:19,20 64:5,	66:21 103:16,
	84:4 89:9	9:11,13	8 65:12,23,24	24 104:23
19 26:5 30:14 40:2 42:20	152:13	phrase	66:6,10,11,13	105:3 117:24
	performance	43:6 96:13	67:6 69:2,13,	118:10 120:6,
45:3,23 46:10,	173:23 174:4,	97:2	20 70:16,18	12,18,24
11 50:24 51:4, 11,18 53:21	6	phrased	72:8,11,14,17,	121:9 127:18,
-	performing	110:3	19,22 73:2,5,	24 128:7
54:3 55:19,22	92:2	phrases	9,15,16,20	129:5,20
56:10 57:1,16	performs	154:6	74:2,19,21	135:14 137:3
58:19 59:6,24	74:21	physical	75:3 76:24	138:15 143:11
60:4 61:5,24	period	38:15 100:5	77:13,20 78:2,	144:1 170:19
62:22 70:23	34:15 35:23	physically	20 79:6 83:13,	182:21 188:14
71:6,10,18,20	36:1 37:6	6:6 99:11	15 84:24	polling
75:18 77:3,6	85:22	picked	86:20 98:20	31:1
79:11 82:19	periodically	85:1	103:18,24	pollute
86:2 87:10,13	28:24 29:12	picks	103:18,24	50:3
88:21 90:21	perjury	128:15 132:8	18 106:23,24	pool
91:11,15,19	6:13	place	107:3,7	188:23
92:23 93:4	person	12:9 26:12	107:5,7	portion
96:12 98:7	6:11 18:14,18	30:5 37:5	123:23 128:3,	12:5 207:22
99:7,17,22	32:4 72:13	61:15 142:2	7,8,15,20	POSA
100:14 109:5,	100:11 102:24	156:23 157:8		144:16 147:18
16,22 110:3,8,	117:21	158:8,16	129:4 130:2,	170:5 178:3
23 111:1	118:14,20	168:16 183:19	18,20,23	180:15 182:19
113:20 125:14	120:2,22	planning	132:8 133:20 136:19 141:1	201:14
127:13 146:7	143:10 146:4,	16:23		POSAS
153:5 158:13	16	play	149:20 150:13	143:24
161:9 184:20	persons	51:10	151:6,11	positive
186:24 195:12	49:9 118:7	plurality	159:9,22	166:17
196:22 197:24	119:12	20:12 21:8	171:8,24	positively
198:19 199:1,	perspective	33:20 42:15	172:2,4,18	194:19
18 200:24	126:10	79:16 80:18	173:11 174:12	possibility
201:20 202:4	pertains	81:4,24 82:10	176:19 183:22	200:16
203:11	158:22	84:5 111:5	186:9 192:12	possibly
patent's	petition	point	193:19,22,23	12:20 29:6
93:11	-	8:18 17:4	194:6 195:2,9,	47:8 49:4
patents	117:6,17,20 121:18 143:5	23:3,12 28:2,	17,22 196:2,7,	60:10,15
12:24 13:4		3,10,13 29:4,	15,17 197:7,	180:7
17:18	petitioner	7,14,21 30:3,	10 198:2	potential
paths	5:10 7:13	7,18 31:23,24	point's	62:19 63:22
23:2,5 60:9,	170:3,4	7,10 31.23,24	130:3,11,12	65:10 99:24
	I		I	

power	prepare	110:22	147:13,22	
45:4,5,6,12,16	9:6	problem	170:4 171:8	Q
50:23 51:4,9,	preparing	50:23 51:21	186:16	
20 52:9,11,21	11:8 13:13	53:20 55:17	prosecution	question
53:1,15,19	20:2	58:18 62:20	12:11,13	8:12,14,16
54:15 55:18	present	86:17 88:9	203:5,11	36:8 37:13
58:18 59:6,7	6:6 7:5 20:9	92:13 112:20	protocol	65:18 81:2
60:4 61:20	27:8 62:20	136:22 164:8	34:10 40:23	82:16 83:7
62:1,9,19,23	preserving	166:11,15	158:18 183:20	85:15 108
99:24 100:19	35:22	174:23 175:3	provide	114:10,14
102:22	pretty	202:7 205:13	11:2 31:15	120:11 13
128:16,22	142:18 147:2	problems	90:8 121:9	4 144:3,4,
129:2,3,6,12,	202:11	175:4	132:17 137:6	24 146:3,9
13,20,21	prevent	procedure	143:17 158:18	147:17 16
130:3,5,8,9	182:7 200:7	76:3 159:4	178:24 181:8	169:15 17
141:13 151:7,	201:14	proceed	196:18	177:17 18
9,16,21	previously	7:9,17	provided	9 185:9
163:24 164:7,	9:18 13:22	proceeding	16:22 68:4	198:17,24
11 165:3	19:21 103:8	6:4,16 9:19,23	86:14,18 90:6	205:19
166:10,14	112:19 113:17	10:1 11:14,20	121:21 122:9	question's
174:18,24	127:10 167:2	14:5 17:10	123:21 124:21	88:20
175:2 180:17,	203:23	67:1 69:6	184:10 190:15	questions
23 181:12,16,			providing	112:9 209
21,23 187:11,	primary	proceedings 5:24	14:24 102:16	210:2
15 190:1,3	128:16		125:23	quick
191:9 200:24	129:13,21	process		112:7 114
201:10,13	130:4,11,17,	12:16 28:11,	public 6:5 7:14	122:2 123
practice	19,22 131:3,	15,17,19,21		141:4 142
81:2,23 82:9	18 132:2,18,	29:15 37:4,9	publication	145:24 20
preamble	22 133:2	38:1,24 100:7	41:1 103:12	quicker
79:14 80:6,17	134:8 136:2	product	127:13	96:19
81:3,23 82:2,9	138:23 139:8,	52:13 101:17	published	quickly
84:2,4 85:8	17,18 149:23	products	40:24	175:6
86:12 87:14,	151:12	21:4 100:21	pull	quiet
17 90:2,15	154:14,19,23	102:1	67:6 161:9	160:18
92:3 93:2,16	156:24	pronounce	purportedly	quote
precisely	168:12,18	134:16	152:21	149:8 186
99:17	169:2,8,9,17,	propagated	purpose	quote/unqu
	18 170:7,13	52:17	94:3,7 209:4	55:2
preclude 160:5	182:23 183:23	propagation	pursue	55.2
	185:6,14,15	22:13 23:22	144:16	
predates 18:9	186:11,12,17	47:21	push	R
	188:15,16	proper	175:11	radio
predetermined	189:7 190:22	67:2	put	33:12
204:13,23	191:13,16	propose	32:21 72:13	raising
205:5,22	192:19,22	67:9,23	138:10 145:20	112:15
206:6 208:15,	193:20 194:3	117:21	147:14 150:18	range
23	prior	proposed	156:2 203:18	141:14
preferred	12:20 17:10,	18:24 68:5,15	putting	rank
193:5	12,20 18:5,9	121:17 123:20	36:19 58:10	204:14,17
preliminary	30:24 37:9	125:18 143:18	50.17 50.10	205:5,22
11:13	184:21 199:11	144:17 145:3		205:5,22
preparation	priority	146:23		24 207:3,4
20:5	109:4,15	170.23		24 207.3,4

208:3,15,16,	recall	209:13,17,18,	region	remotely
20,23 209:3,7	9:12 11:12,18,	24 210:14	54:16 128:21	5:16,17,24 6:4
ranked	21 13:12	recording	130:16,20,21,	render
207:5	40:16,19	5:23	24 131:4,17,	122:16 123:2
rate	46:21 49:2	records	19 132:9,10,	124:24 143:19
13:10 35:12,	82:5 99:4,20	112:4	12,14,19	147:1
15 209:9	119:19	reduce	133:10,17,18	rendered
read	121:10,13	138:22 163:24	135:7,8,9,15,	125:11
11:18 22:18	142:15 143:7,	176:13 178:6	18,24 136:2,	renders
25:22 89:2	14 145:11	180:16	15 137:12,14	144:10
94:21 95:2	148:17 150:23	181:11,15,20	138:21,23	repeat
96:21 105:11	153:2 156:3	201:13	139:6,9,13,16,	82:4 84:21
114:13,19,22	receive	reduced	18 140:1,4,13	143:4 144:3
119:23 122:22	31:4 33:7	129:12 165:23	141:11,12,16,	205:18
131:11 140:14	76:20 210:17	reduction	23 149:24	rephrase
152:9 153:24	received	163:2,7	150:19,24	8:15 64:3
154:4 158:13	39:4 41:17	164:16,17	151:1 154:23	139:2 144:21
162:2 175:24	45:10	165:4 166:1	regulatory	158:1 177:20
176:16 183:2	receiver	177:4,12	105:23 180:19	report
204:5 205:10	53:18	180:23	181:22	9:8,9 11:11
reading	receives	refer	related	12:5,10
11:21 92:8	133:1	18:2 19:16	13:4 23:21	122:23 123:7
96:18,23	receiving	157:14	167:14	126:6 137:19
99:22 121:6	45:9,13 91:20	reference	relates	145:9,13
123:12	92:19 99:6	11:3 18:6	20:9	147:8 153:2
ready	102:20 157:23	54:20 103:8	relationship	reporter
39:6	recently	114:11 115:14	66:20,22	5:24 6:16
real	203:19	127:7 140:15	relative	reporting
122:2 123:7	recess	141:21 142:16	43:13	5:21 6:3
142:24	63:11 113:11	167:4 171:15,	released	reports
reason	116:22 161:2	17 191:7	40:20	18:2
46:8 49:24	202:15 209:20	199:2 203:14,	relevant	reposition
50:21 87:13	recited	17 204:1	144:10 200:13	114:17
100:1 144:16	81:3 82:1,12	208:11	relies	represent
146:13 152:20	84:4 92:3	references	149:8	6:9 179:20
156:1 174:17,	93:15	11:9 12:7	remember	
22 176:5,12	recites	114:23 118:4	11:16 12:8	representing 24:10
180:24 181:8	79:14	146:12,14	13:6,14,20	
187:6,7 202:5	reciting	referencing	15:6 25:2	represents 25:2 115:20
reasonable	80:17	74:18	40:21 100:6	
118:23 120:23	recognize	referred	104:18 110:2	request
121:12 146:17	9:24 19:22	11:10,17	119:3 125:23	31:4 38:23
148:16	103:11 113:19	12:10 170:14	126:1 128:10	39:1,3
reasoning	127:12 167:3	179:23 196:21	129:1,10,11	require
137:6	203:24	referring	131:1,22	97:11,14
reasons	record	22:23 105:2,9	147:7 148:3,5,	119:12 122:18
118:8 138:1,	5:4 6:10 7:22	110:4,15	8,24 155:13	123:4
16 143:24	9:4 63:7,9,15	168:7 180:10	163:14 178:17	requirements
144:14 145:10		183:13 198:19	190:12,13,17	16:19
	113:2,7,9,15 116:19 117:2		remote	requires
ימר ד דו/ ירר ד		refers	6:20,22 7:2,3	97:7
155:20 156:3, 5 201:24	160.24 161.6			
5 201:24	160:24 161:6	23:16 55:22		reread
	160:24 161:6 202:13,19 203:22	23:16 55:22 95:4	8:7,24	reread 207:21

rereview	reviewed	66:8 70:13	188:12,17	sensed
20:4	9:8 11:9,12,24	87:24 88:3	189:7 191:14,	187:4 199:5
reservation	20:1	89:6,21 90:4,	15 192:4,20,	sensing
37:4,8,14	reviewing	5,9 124:19	21 193:22	38:14,15
reserve	17:17	130:14 173:1	194:1	184:22
185:4,15	reviews	188:14 189:5	seconds	sentence
189:8 191:15	19:4 22:22	197:6 201:9	36:16,17	43:6 54:8,1
192:21 205:6	26:1 89:4	scenarios	secret	88:15 94:8
reserved	92:14 94:24	80:21,24	14:19	109:2 156:1
204:21 205:23	105:12	89:22 90:1,16	section	177:2 178:2
207:4,5 208:2,	114:16,21	93:1	53:18 122:1	separate
7,14	122:3 123:11	scheme	145:16 148:9	31:15 44:14
reserves	131:14 146:2	37:14	156:4 182:6,	65:13 79:17
207:14	152:11 162:1	scope	14,17 203:4	80:18 140:1
reserving	163:17 176:2,	26:19 57:1	208:13	179:5 181:1
36:21 204:12	18 178:9	74:6 75:16	sections	separated
resolved	183:5 204:9	77:3 78:24	148:14,24	50:17
175:4 195:7	205:17 207:23	85:8 86:1,12	select	separately
resolving	risk	100:16 118:13	72:1,6 186:10	184:2
46:12	188:5	119:2 120:9	selecting	separates
-	rough	121:3 124:2	73:6 150:10	61:7
respect	210:17,19	125:2,20	selection	
105:5 154:12	roughly	141:12 147:24	139:6	September 26:16 118:8
respond	15:7	150:18 163:10		
29:3	RTS/CTS	188:20 189:14	send	serial
response	38:23	190:11 191:1	21:24 29:12	170:24 171
11:13 39:1,3		192:9 193:1,	30:3 36:15,16	serially
responsibilities	rules	13 194:8	38:18,20,22,	170:20,24
16:8	99:11	196:13 197:5	23,24 39:1,2,	171:3
rest	run	198:15	3,5,6,9,12	served
123:13 152:9	188:4	201:18,20	56:20 61:6	13:22 14:4
156:3 157:14	running	205:9 206:2,	77:1,15,21,22	15:9 57:18
restate	47:8 191:22	13 207:8,18	81:22 82:8	server
65:17 68:17	runs	208:10	115:23 141:7	173:21 174
108:7	25:18		170:19 171:9	3
restating	rush	screen 19:13	181:13	serves
68:3	210:20		sending	69:14
restrict		secondary	30:8 37:23	service
199:13	S	129:8,11,22 130:12	38:9 39:13	195:23,24
restricting	S-I-S-O		41:14 43:20	204:12,13,1
135:24 138:20		131:19,24	44:18 70:23	21,23 205:5
result	41:19	132:4,17,20	90:2,10,13	22 206:6,16
194:4	Sacks	133:20 135:4	209:4	17,24 207:3
retransmission	6:19	136:1,14	sense	5 208:1,3,1
s	satisfies	137:11 138:21	14:23 27:19	15,16,20,23
102:21 173:19	145:7	139:23,24	51:6 78:14	209:2,3,6,9
reuse	satisfy	151:13,20	79:24 80:4	services
134:4,12,13,	125:10 146:7	156:23	92:6 108:3,9,	15:1
20	scanning	168:12,18	16 111:5,11	servicing
	28:20,21,22,	169:9,18	137:8 138:2	62:15,17
review	23 29:8	170:7 171:11	139:23	63:19,21
12:3 13:3	scenario	172:1 182:22	142:14,17	65:12,13 78
114:2 119:6 161:23 203:17	47:18 49:14,	183:24 185:3,	163:6 184:22	4
161173703177	17 53:1 62:14	14 186:12,19		•

serving	showing	signature	175:7	someone's
74:20 130:23	93:19	10:17,19	single-channel	101:17
159:10 194:6	shown	similar	43:13 69:14	
set	168:13	17:16 86:17	71:7 87:17	someplace 148:6
28:5 31:24			88:22 119:14	Sonetaka
	shows	simplify	171:17	
32:3,7 33:2,8, 12 47:5 48:1,	27:3 46:10	144:22		203:14,17
-	Shpak	simultaneous	sir	204:1 206:9 208:6
3,4 50:11,14	103:7,12,15	21:16,22,24	88:18 204:8	
63:23 67:9 72:21 73:9	104:6,10,23	22:6 43:21	SISO	Sonetaka's
	105:4,5,17	83:12 89:9,10,	41:10,18,21,	204:11
75:24 76:2,5,	106:15 108:2,	12 90:13	22	sort
13,15,20 77:7,	9,22 109:4,15	92:17	sitting	14:20 36:21
14,24 78:8,9	117:22,24	simultaneously	160:10	47:21 50:3
79:2,5 95:8	118:15,22	20:12,22	situation	87:23 89:20
98:12 99:6,16	119:4 120:3,	21:14 23:13	50:12 53:6	102:4 136:12
100:1,3,4,12	17 170:3,5,18	42:16 52:4	55:5,7 62:8	164:6 179:7
101:13,24	171:7,14,16	56:1,16 58:1	65:21 70:7	sorts
102:10,12,18,	172:3 177:14	60:9 61:14,17	79:4 136:8	12:21 35:16
19 103:2	178:4 181:19,	66:5 68:22,24	140:11 158:8	sounds
107:2 135:15,	20 182:19	70:17,24 74:5	166:10,19,20	66:8
17 138:17	183:20 189:15	79:17 80:19,	174:17 176:9	space
139:24 150:20	190:14	23 81:5,24	184:10 189:3	137:4
157:24 158:4,	200:13,20	82:11,23	192:14 209:8	spans
9,14 159:5	201:1	83:15 84:6,24	situations	67:10 203:10
160:1,4,8	Shpak's	87:2 89:1	49:21 55:16	204:4
162:6,20,22	118:9 122:7	90:2,10 91:21	60:10,19 70:8	speaking
164:16 165:21	170:14 173:3	92:20 109:24	80:1 93:1	197:16
189:1 201:9,	178:5 180:17	111:6 141:8,	100:19 134:18	speaks
10	Shpak-ho	24 149:18	135:1 136:8,	177:5
set all	121:17 122:16	150:14,21	10 138:2,12	specialist
49:24	123:2,22	170:10 171:9	139:22 148:8	5:22
sets	124:22 125:16	188:4 197:11,	187:11	specific
32:23	126:9,12	16	skill	13:14 35:10
setting	174:12	single	18:14,24 49:9	49:2 133:3
8:7 32:4,5,13,	Shpak-ho's	21:11,17,21	100:11 102:24	135:11 148:10
15,21 33:2	121:19 122:8	22:1 41:9,10,	117:21 118:7,	189:20
97:7,11,13,16	Shpak-lundby	14,15,24 44:9	15,21 119:13	specifically
98:2,4,8,9	182:7 183:22	46:5 58:19	120:3,22	11:16 40:21
100:5 101:1,4,	184:14 185:3,	59:3,5,18,22	143:10 146:5,	specification
6,9 103:3	12 186:9	60:19 61:3	16	25:3,13 45:7
129:3 164:3	side	64:5 65:12	skim	55:22 75:18
166:12	24:14	69:3 72:2	114:3	95:3 96:12
share	sign	73:10 80:6,9	slight	97:1 100:6
33:20 34:1	137:12	83:22 84:6	112:20	128:10 129:1
shared	signal	85:1,6,9	small	130:7 131:1,
34:19 40:4	29:5 38:21	86:10,12,19	137:4	23 184:16,20
shipped	39:6 46:10	90:3 104:21	Solutions	specifications
33:11	52:20 61:21	108:19 113:24	5:21 6:3	16:6
shoot	151:7,9,16,21	114:6 115:6,	solve	specifies
209:13	signals	24 116:7	202:6	86:2
shorter	31:13 48:12,	119:8,19,21	solving	spectrum
175:12	13 52:16	120:4 149:8	53:24	31:8,9 48:23
		159:9 171:21		0110,9 10120

49:6 50:5	129:14 132:6	squares	74:9 79:1	48:2 56:6
52:7,17 55:10	133:8 134:10	24:11,23	122:5	71:24 72:5
speed	135:20 140:6,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	statement	85:11 86:14
6:18 7:7,20	20,24 141:15	stage 201:10	110:6 122:22	104:14,15
12:22 14:3	142:10 144:7		165:10	114:24 123:22
15:16 16:3	146:21 147:11	staggered		140:1 141:10
	148:13,19	33:21 34:1,6,	states	150:19 162:7,
18:12 21:15, 23 22:9,20	149:1 150:11,	16	20:8 22:11	15 165:5,22
23:14,24	22 151:22	standard	31:11 33:19	166:2 188:24
23:14,24	152:19 153:6	5:6 15:10,17	46:18,24	196:10
27:17 28:8	155:1,18	16:20 32:10,	48:17 105:17	statistics
	156:8 160:19	11 38:18,21	106:1,8,18	102:8
30:10,23 31:22 32:12,	161:7 162:24	40:9,14,17	162:4	
24 33:17	164:23 165:14	41:1 71:5,9,17	static	status 6:22 159:15
34:17 35:19	166:22 168:23	196:21 197:12	102:4	
36:11 37:7,16	169:5,21	standardized	station	stay
38:2 39:23	171:4,22	40:8 109:5,10,	27:6,14,18	34:22 35:2
42:19 43:4,18	172:14,16,22,	14 110:23	28:2,9,12,14,	95:19 180:18
44:21 46:14	24 173:2	111:23	23 29:4,8,18	181:21
48:15 49:8,16	174:10,13,23	standards	30:2,6,15 31:3	staying
51:14 54:1	174.10,13,23	15:14 51:9	34:6,11,12,18	95:17
55:11 56:3,17	177:3 178:1	105:18 106:1	35:3,5,20	stenographer
57:14 58:17	180:12 182:2	108:22 109:19	36:13 37:1	7:16 15:22
59:10 61:1,19	183:3,15	standpoint	39:3,4,7,8,14,	205:15
62:13 63:1,6,	185.5,15	100:23	15,20 48:5,8	210:16,21
16 64:17 65:9	10 186:3	start	51:1 53:3	step
66:24 69:11	187:18 188:11	14:12 35:9	57:3,5 59:21	158:19
70:19 71:8,23	189:4 190:6,	47:8 49:7	61:3,13,15	steps
70:19 71:8,25	18 191:10	67:18,21	62:4,21 64:9	147:8
74:10 75:2	192:16 193:9,	161:13 182:6	69:21 73:17	stream
76:6,17 77:12	18 194:24	started	74:20 75:23	59:8 179:4
78:1 79:9,21	196:16	14:24 15:4,5,7	76:1,9,19	streaming
81:19 83:20	197:13,19	120:3	77:1,15 79:6	173:13
84:17 86:8	200:1 201:3,	starting	83:14 86:20	streams
88:4 92:10	11,21 202:20	91:10 122:6	99:7 103:19,	20:21 22:24
93:21 94:22	205:3,20	204:6	23 104:9	23:11,15,21
97:15 99:13	205.3,20	starts	106:24 107:6	25:6 59:4
100:10 101:2	12 208:5	88:12	108:11 124:21	115:10,23
100.10 101.2	209:10,15	state	137:20	116:6,12
22 107:9	210:6.23	6:8 11:23 18:1	149:20,21	121:10 179:3,
108:13 110:7	spell	68:9 74:12,13	151:5 157:22,	14,19
111:22 112:3,	7:22	75:10,20 76:2,	23 158:4,7	strike
8,14,17 113:1,		7,21 77:16	162:5,8 166:8	14:13 72:17
6,16 115:13	spend 92:8 94:20	78:12,15	185:17 189:12	126:8,10
116:4,14		142:13 151:23	191:12,20,22	144:14
117:3 118:5,	spent	152:17 153:12	193:21 194:5	stronger
19 119:10	13:8,12	155:8,14	station's	29:5,11
19119:10	splits	157:21 159:6	29:16 30:20	structure
120:1,14 121:14	191:3	162:22 176:3	37:21	91:12
121:14 122:14,24	spot	184:2 196:19	stations	struggling
122:14,24	116:16 160:18	200:5,9	31:19 33:20,	148:2
125:15 126:7	square	201:15	24 34:4,8	subchannels
125:15 126:7 127:5 128:23	25:1	stated	35:8,23 36:7	22:2 24:16,18
127.3 128:23		54:24 68:2	38:11 39:16	22.2 2
		•		

O'Brien & Levine Court Reporting Solutions 888.825.3376 - production@court-reporting.com

Index: speed-subchannel

			_	
subdivide	11,14,18,22	99:1,2 103:4	teaches	17:22
115:5	57:15 59:20,	108:23 109:6,	86:3 103:15	testified
subfrequency	23 60:7,21	15,18 110:20,	108:2 141:22	7:15
22:5 24:18	61:4,21 62:16,	21 111:10,23	151:24 152:1	testimony
subject	20 64:12	119:14,20	156:22 204:12	6:12 39:11
199:5,23	66:18 68:8,14,	121:11	teaching	126:16 155:24
submitted	19 69:1,5,10,	149:15,17	149:8 190:8	testimony's
11:14	13,16 70:1,23	174:8 184:21	200:13 206:9	88:16
success	71:21 73:8,21	199:3,11	teachings	testing
118:23 120:23	74:9,21 75:1	200:15	147:21	50:7,10
146:18 148:16	77:4 78:6		technical	100:21 101:22
suffers	80:22 81:12,	Т	36:20	102:9,13
46:6	15,18 82:17,	Т-Н-О-М-А-Ѕ	technically	text
sufficient	19 83:1,5,13	7:24	58:5	9:3
31:15 39:18	84:22 85:5,6	tab	technique	theoretical
suggest	86:6,10 87:12 88:2 89:24	96:8	41:11 79:22	100:22
177:7	90:18 92:22	takes	80:5,12 83:22	theory
suggested	100:18 101:8,	30:5 37:5	92:3 93:13	119:17 121:6
180:15	10,16,18	168:16 187:13	118:1 171:18	thing
suggesting	111:15,17,19	taking	techniques	9:17 14:20
135:23 171:13	116:11 117:22	34:8 158:8	79:18 81:6,13	47:22 89:16,
suggestions	118:9 122:19	160:17 183:19	82:1,12 83:3	17 98:4
126:18	123:5 124:12	talk	93:15	107:14 136:12
suggests	127:17 137:5	12:6 195:13	technology	154:5,11
132:15	138:8,19	talked	121:1,6	163:18 181:4
supported	139:19 144:1	9:11,12 34:4	Telegraph	203:7
121:11	153:12 154:2,	74:5 124:8	5:10 6:24	things
supports	17,21 156:16	126:19 137:19	Telephone	12:21 35:17
56:14 86:23	157:5,12,13,	149:13 159:21	5:11 7:1	52:21 53:7
111:20	15,19,20	176:6 180:7	ten	65:19 114:18
suppress	159:8 165:23	188:1	36:16,17	124:5 147:9
163:7 165:24	167:16,23	talking	ten-minute	156:6 160:12
suppressed	168:5,11	23:20 27:4	63:3 160:20	164:4,5 175:4
163:3 164:17	171:7 173:3	42:12 43:9	202:9	187:12,14
swear	175:15 179:2	51:7 68:16	tend	189:20 194:9,
6:17	182:20 184:16	70:21 77:5	50:3	13
swearing	186:23 191:11	87:9,14 97:13,	term	thinking
7:9	192:18	20 108:15	18:13,16 38:3,	45:21 49:22
switches	193:15,21	115:4 123:13	17 41:18	158:2 160:10,
66:2	194:4,15,23	135:12 139:14	48:19,21 49:2	20 163:12,14,
sworn	195:11 196:10	155:5 157:9	67:3	20 181:6
7:14	198:1 199:6,	181:19 196:24	terming	Thomas
symbols	20,23 206:9	197:24 206:15	65:19	7:23
179:17,20	207:14 208:6	talks	terminology	thought
system	system's	140:22 184:20	57:21 58:5	112:10 154:8
28:4 30:11	188:21 189:6	taught	142:3	159:22
35:24 40:2	191:17,24	87:12 153:4	terms	160:11,14
41:7 43:14	systems	174:21 183:19	17:19 18:3	163:11 164:21 194:12
44:9,10,24	52:22 55:19,	teach	36:15,19	
45:16 47:14	23 60:5 65:4	18:10 123:23	180:10 188:10	throughput
49:11 51:17,	71:7,12 86:1	189:15 201:2	202:4	43:13,22 44:4,
19,24 56:5,7,	96:15 97:4		test	8,18 45:1,15
				46:6 66:23
	I			

	1 1			•
102 11 124 2	210.12	165 04 192 19		75 17 01 11
102:11 134:3 137:17 139:12	210:12	165:24 183:18	treats 87:17 88:22	75:17 81:11
	told	transmit		94:16 97:10
141:9 163:2,8	27:21 124:7	27:7,11,15	tree	115:18 117:20 118:3,16
164:16,18	top	28:7,24 29:17,	186:10	120:11 123:17
165:4,22	130:2 184:12	19 30:16 31:3	trial	
166:1 172:13, 15 175:13	trade	34:7,11,12,14	5:13 11:19	124:6 125:7
178:24 180:7	14:19	35:6,8 41:16	trouble	132:5 139:1 157:3 159:19
187:23 188:10	Trademark	42:9 52:11	102:20	171:20 178:16
189:11	5:12	68:23 69:20,	true	
	traffic	24 72:2 75:22	51:23 136:6,7	180:9 185:8, 20
throughputs	204:13,17,22	76:3 77:9 79:7	192:10	
136:12	205:4,21	81:4,14,17	turn	understanding
throwing	206:18,20	82:23 83:15,	20:7 50:6	17:21 26:13,
177:22	208:2,15,22	17 98:2	67:22 88:5	23 41:22 56:4
Thursday	traffics	103:18 109:23	107:10 153:7	68:13 84:2
5:4	206:7 208:24	128:8,12	169:23 182:3	111:16 122:18
time	209:8	129:20 137:20	186:4 202:21	123:4 135:22
5:5,6 13:8	transmission	138:6 142:12	turns	144:19 165:1
15:8 16:12	21:13,16,22	150:14 152:15	34:8 35:4	177:16 178:19
18:20 21:8	22:7 39:8,18	158:21 159:7	166:8	understood
29:18 31:21	41:10 68:10	166:9 168:10	type	49:10 119:17
34:7,11,15,20	74:14,17	169:2,15,17,	8:6 37:4,8	178:13 197:11
35:13,23 36:1,	76:20 79:18	18 175:6	38:24 44:17	undue
7,22 37:6,23	81:6 82:12	180:17,23	50:9 59:9,12	119:12
38:1 39:15,17,	83:12,22 89:9,	181:12 182:21	83:1 100:18	United
18 40:22,24	11,12 93:13	183:11 189:17	101:8 137:5	31:10 46:18,
43:24 44:19	120:4 121:21	195:17 200:7,	166:9 196:18	24 48:17
48:8 51:6	122:9 150:1,2	14	206:19,22	106:1,8,18
54:13 62:5	153:13 155:9,	transmits	types	unmodified
66:1 70:5,11	15 156:23	81:23 82:10	35:17 38:10	120:17 170:18
71:19 85:22	157:6,8	83:4 104:8	44:22 147:9	172:3
86:4,24	158:16 160:6	168:11 184:24	178:21 190:8	upload
106:22 108:22	162:7,15,20	transmitted	typically	172:17 174:14
109:4,15	168:15,18	12:18 21:7	31:13 106:19	uploads
119:9,11,22	171:2,18	23:6 38:12,13	147:4	140:24
124:15 125:6	175:21 182:8	42:16 111:6	typing	upper
137:20 138:6	183:10 184:7	115:7 124:10	90:23	50:4
141:24	198:6 200:8	170:9		USA
163:13,19,21	201:14	transmitter	U	5:9
165:18 171:1	transmission.'	45:8 53:18		usage
175:12 183:4	156:17	transmitting	U.S.	191:9
210:3,11	transmissions	20:12 34:18	5:12 16:12	user
times	21:24 24:6	35:9,14,18	Uh-huh	51:5,13,24
8:3,5 14:4,7,	29:9 56:21	45:8,12 52:12	159:14	52:1,2,11,12
12,19 140:24	63:24 69:15	56:13 64:6	ultimately	55:24 56:12,
164:1 192:15	74:19,20	79:16 80:18	40:13	13 57:4,5
today	75:12 76:8	84:5,11 88:24	unclear	60:8,11,23,24
5:23 6:1 8:9,	78:10,13 79:3	91:20 92:4,19	8:13,15	61:12,15 64:7,
12,18 11:6	98:21 118:10	99:6 130:3,5	understand	15 66:2,3,11,
78:7 111:24	143:13	157:22 167:22	8:9 12:12	13 68:21,22,
160:10	157:18,19,22	168:4 169:8	18:16 22:16	23 70:18
today's	158:6 159:2,	treated	54:20 64:4,5	72:11 79:4
9:1,7 20:5	17,23 164:1	154:11 183:23	65:18,22	82:22,24
				·

86:20 98:5,8,	virtual	wireless	Wolf
14,15,18	38:14	16:7,15 20:10,	6:19
149:19 164:10	voice	11,13,23 21:2,	wondering
users	16:18	7,9,12 24:6,	36:12
52:2 56:2	voting	15,23,24 25:6,	word
57:9,12 66:20	16:2	9,20 26:3,6,	89:8 131:2,10,
82:21 102:11		10,15,20 27:8,	15 170:24
141:10 189:2	W	14,20 32:16	worded
utilization		33:20 34:1,19,	181:5
136:17 138:3,	wait	21 37:22 40:4	words
4,18	34:13 38:12	41:5,6,22	27:13 30:1
utilize	165:5	42:9,13,15,17,	46:23 61:12
137:22	waive	21,23 43:3,7,	
	6:15,21 7:2	12 44:13,23	73:13 81:9
utilized	wanted	45:16 47:3,10	83:6 87:6,23
46:20 48:17	49:23 88:14	48:12,13	89:7,15
	108:1 162:3		106:24 109:19
V	191:12 192:2	51:17 54:13,	134:20 153:3
Vague		15,16 56:15	174:5 176:23
15:13	warrant	57:24 59:3,5,	184:8
	137:5	16,17,19 60:6,	work
Val	ways	14,19,22 61:7,	16:21 32:17
5:20	28:18 33:1	8,16 62:2,10	194:20 199:24
valid	45:24 46:9	64:10,14,15	worked
133:13	49:23 65:3	66:14 68:8,13,	14:9 17:6,15
variety	80:18 81:17	18,20 69:4,16,	working
102:23	101:9,15	22 70:4 71:1	15:20,23,24
vector	102:23 103:3	73:7 77:21	16:9,13,17,23
38:4,6,7	190:16,20	79:15,16,23	17:1,3,4,7
verbally	web	80:3,6,9,13,	160:15 195:5
6:12	9:3	19,22 81:4,24	works
verify	weigh	82:9,10,17,19,	28:17 93:22
99:23	165:11 166:7	20 83:16,22	112:11
version	whatsoever	84:5,6,9,22	
32:10 40:11	200:15	85:2,3 87:18	worth
51:8 87:8	Whereabouts	88:23 89:11	188:8
versions	96:17	91:12,20,21	wrote
	whichever	92:5,16,20,21	40:23 178:17
71:4,9,17 77:6	188:24	93:24 94:12,	
196:20		17 96:13 97:2	Y
versus	Wi-fi	104:9 111:2,4,	
5:10 38:15	16:1,2,4,5	5,7,10,20	year
165:11 166:7	17:5	113:24 115:6,	15:4
172:12	Williams	11 118:9	years
video	178:18	127:18 150:15	15:6
5:22 173:13	Williams's	153:11 154:2,	
189:10	178:12 186:7,	16,20 167:23	Z
video-recorded	16	168:4 170:8	Zoom
5:7	window		
view	112:23	178:23 179:12	112:23
56:23 100:13	winning	186:22 187:22	
117:22 180:22	104:8,17	197:2 198:1	
182:20 183:9	wins	207:13	
201:12	104:3	WLANS	
201.12	10-1.5	105:24	