Data Link Layer Goals: Overview: understand principles Ink layer services 13: behind data link layer error detection, correction services: Link Layer, Multiple Access multiple access protocols and sharing a broadcast LANs channel: multiple access Protocols Iink layer addressing, ARP link layer addressing specific link layer technologies: o error detection, correction Ethernet instantiation and hubs, bridges, switches Last Modified: implementation of various • I EEE 802.11 LANs link layer technologies 4/9/2003 2:32:56 PM O PPP o ATM 5: DataLink Layer 5a-1 5: DataLink Layer 5a-2









NTT EX2009 MediaTek v. NTT IPR2020-1404



Multiple Access protocols

- Algorithm that determines how stations share channel, i.e., determine when station can transmit
- Note: communication about channel sharing must use channel itself! (or be agreed upon ahead of time)
- $\hfill\square$ what to look for in multiple access protocols:
 - ${\scriptstyle \bigcirc}$ synchronous or asynchronous
 - $\ensuremath{\mathbf{\circ}}$ information needed about other stations
 - robustness (e.g., to channel errors)
 - performance

5: DataLink Layer 5a-9

MAC Protocols: a taxonomy Three broad classes: Channel Partitioning divide channel into smaller "pieces" (time slots, frequency) allocate piece to node for exclusive use Random Access allow collisions recover" from collisions Polling Style o tightly coordinate shared access to avoid collisions Goal: efficient, fair, simple, decentralized





Channel Partitioning: CDMA

CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access)

- unique "code" assigned to each user; ie, code set partitioning
- used mostly in wireless broadcast channels (cellular, satellite,etc)
- all users share same frequency, but each user has own "chipping" sequence (ie, code) to encode data
- encoded signal = (original data) X (chipping sequence)
 decoding: inner product of encoded signal and chipping
- sequence
- allows multiple users to "coexist" and transmit simultaneously with minimal interference (if codes are "orthogonal")

5: DataLink Layer 5a-13

TDMA vs FDMA

- In TDMA, each station gets the whole channel spectrum some of the time
- In FDMA, each station gets part of the channel spectrum all of the time
- In CDMA, each station is assigned a code that determines what portions of the channel spectrum they use and for how long to avoid collision with others
- All require lots of coordination about who "speaks" when and in what way!
 - O What if didn't want to coordinate things so tightly?

5: DataLink Layer 5a-14











CSMA: Carrier Sense Multiple Access CSMA: listen before transmit: If channel sensed idle: transmit entire pkt If channel sensed busy, defer transmission Persistent CSMA: retry immediately with probability p when channel becomes idle (may cause instability) Non-persistent CSMA: retry after random interval human analogy: don't interrupt others!



CSMA/CD (Collision Detection)

CSMA/CD: carrier sensing, deferral as in CSMA o collisions detected within short time

- o colliding transmissions aborted, reducing channel wastage
- o persistent or non-persistent retransmission
- collision detection:
 - easy in wired LANs: measure signal strengths, compare transmitted, received signals
 - $\circ~$ difficult in wireless LANs: receiver shut off while transmitting
- human analogy: if start talking at same time some one else does don't just continue talking



<u>Compromise? Polling Style MAC</u> protocols

channel partitioning MAC protocols:

- o share channel efficiently at high load
- inefficient at low load: delay in channel access, 1/N bandwidth allocated even if only 1 active node!

Random access MAC protocols

- efficient at low load: single node can fully utilize channel
- high load: collision overhead

Polling style protocols ("taking turns")

look for best of both worlds!









Smart Redundancy

- In general, more bits of redundancy the stronger the error detection/correction abilities but smart redundancy
- What if transmitted another copy of the same thing?
 - How many bits till not detected? Ability to correct?
- Can we do better than that with less space?

5: DataLink Laver 5a-31

Recall: Internet checksum

We saw this a bunch of times in upper layers - is this a good choice for the link layer?

Sender:

- treat segment contents as sequence of 16-bit integers
- checksum: addition (1's complement sum) of segment contents
- sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field Receiver:

compute checksum of received segment check if computed checksum equals checksum field value

5: DataLink Laver 5a-32

Intelligent choice for link layer?

- Tailored to type and frequency of errors expected in the specific technology being used
 - Some technologies (like fiber) have very low error rates
 - Some technologies (like wireless) have high error rates
- How to we tailor the number of bits to use and *how* we use them to get the desired effect??

5: DataLink Layer 5a-33



Beyond parity? How can we generalize this example of single vs double bit parity? Is there a theory of using redundant bits efficiently based on the types of errors we expect to find? Cyclic Redundancy Checks (CRC) views both the data and the redundant bits as binary polynomials and ensures that they satisfy a certain mathematical relationship





