

# Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D.

**Date:** April 9, 2021 **Case:** Mediatek Inc., et al. -v- Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation (PTAB)

Planet Depos Phone: 888.433.3767 Email:: transcripts@planetdepos.com www.planetdepos.com

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY

NTT EX2024 MediaTek v. NTT IPR2020-1404

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	AUSTIN DIVISION
3	NIPPON TELEGRAPH AND TELEPHONE COPORATION
4	and ESSENTIAL WIFI LLC,
5	Plaintiffs,
6	v. CASE NO. 1:20-cv-00632-ADA
7	MEDIATEK INC. AND
8	MEDIATER USA INC.,
9	Defendants.
10	
11	Videotaped deposition of
12	TIM A. WILLIAMS, Ph.D.
13	Via Videoconference
14	Friday, April 9, 2021
15	12:09 p.m.
16	VOLUME I
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Job No.: 364163
24	Pages: 1 - 179
25	Reported by: Lori Roy, RPR, CCR

Г

1	Videotaped deposition of TIM A. WILLIAMS,
2	Ph.D., held via videoconference.
3	
4	
5	
6	Pursuant to agreement, before Lori Roy,
7	Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Court
8	Reporter.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

3

1	A P P E A R A N C E S
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
3	BRYAN D. ATKINSON
4	DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC
5	607 W. 3rd STREET
6	SUITE 2500
7	AUSTIN, TX 78701
8	(512) 770-4200
9	(Present via videoconference)
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS
12	GREGORY NIEBERG
13	NATHAN SPEED
14	WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC
15	60 ATLANTIC AVENUE
16	BOSTON, MA 02210
17	(617) 646-8000
18	(Present via videoconference)
19	
20	ALSO PRESENT:
21	Jillian Barricelli, Planet Depo Technician
22	Drew Halton, Planet Depo Videographer
23	
24	
25	

1		CONTENTS	
2	EXAMINATION OF	TIM A. WILLIAMS, Ph.D.	PAGE
3	By Mr. Atk	inson	6
4			
5		EXHIBITS	
6	MEDIATEK EXHIB	IT (Referenced Only)	PAGE
7	Exhibit 1001	'551 Patent	8
8	Exhibit 1003	Tim Williams Declaration	10
9	Exhibit 1004	Tim Williams CV	12
10	Exhibit 1005	Shpak Patent	92
11	Exhibit 1007	Bugeja Patent	93
12	Exhibit 1008	Lundy Patent	75
13	Exhibit 1055	District Court Claim Construction	24
14	Exhibit 2023	Joint Claim Construction	12
15 16	Paper 14	Decision Granting Institution	26
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

4

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 5	-
1	PROCEEDINGS	12:09:27
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins Tape Number	12:09:27
3	1 in the videotaped deposition of Tim Williams,	12:09:29
4	Ph.D., in the matter of Mediatek, Inc., et al.	12:09:33
5	versus Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation	12:09:37
6	in the U.S. District Court for the Western District	12:09:40
7	of Texas, Austin Division, Number IPR 2020-01401.	12:09:43
8	Today's date is April 9, 2021. The time on the	12:09:53
9	video monitor is 12:09 p.m.	12:09:55
10	The videographer today is Drew Halton	12:09:58
11	representing Planet Depos. All participants are	12:10:00
12	attending remotely. Would counsel please voice	12:10:04
13	identify themselves and state who they represent?	12:10:07
14	MR. ATKINSON: This is Bryan Atkinson of	12:10:08
15	Dickinson Wright. I'm representing Nippon	12:10:12
16	Telephone and Telegraph Corporation.	12:10:18
17	MR. NIEBERG: I'm Gregory Nieberg and	12:10:20
18	joining me is Nathan Speed, both of Wolf	12:10:23
19	Greenfield, and we represent the Mediatek	12:10:26
20	petitioners.	12:10:29
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The videographer is	12:10:29
22	today is Drew Halton representing Planet Depos.	12:10:33
23	The court reporter is Lori Roy representing Planet	12:10:34
24	Depos.	12:10:37
25	Would the reporter please swear in the	12:10:37

TT 7'11

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021 6	1
1	witness.	12:10:38
2	THE REPORTER: Will counsel please	12:11:04
3	stipulate that in lieu of formally swearing in the	12:11:04
4	witness, the reporter will instead ask the witness	12:11:04
5	to acknowledge that their testimony will be true	12:11:04
6	under the penalties of perjury, that counsel will	12:11:04
7	not object to the admissibility of the transcript	12:11:04
8	based on proceeding in this way, and that the	12:11:04
9	witness has verified that he/she is in fact Tim	12:11:04
10	Williams, Ph.D.?	12:11:04
11	MR. NIEBERG: That's fine for the Mediatek	12:11:04
12	petitioners.	12:11:05
13	MR. ATKINSON: That's fine with NTT.	12:11:06
14	TIM A. WILLIAMS, Ph.D.,	12:11:25
15	being first duly sworn or affirmed to testify to	12:11:25
16	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	12:11:25
17	truth, was examined and testified as follows:	12:11:25
18	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS	12:11:25
19	EXAMINATION	12:11:25
20	BY MR. ATKINSON:	12:11:25
21	Q. Dr. Williams, would you mind stating your	12:11:25
22	full name for the record?	12:11:27
23	A. Tim Arthur Williams.	12:11:29
24	Q. And where are you currently employed?	12:11:34
25	A. I work for my own company.	12:11:37
		1

		Conducted on April 9, 2021	7	
1	Q.	Which is?		12 <b>:</b> 11 <b>:</b> 41
2	Α.	It's called Beach Technologies.		12 <b>:</b> 11 <b>:</b> 43
3	Q.	I'm sorry. I interrupted you. Would you		12 <b>:</b> 11 <b>:</b> 45
4	mind sta	ating that again?		12 <b>:</b> 11 <b>:</b> 48
5	Α.	It is a company called Beach Technologies,		12 <b>:</b> 11 <b>:</b> 49
6	B-E-A-C-	-н.		12:11:52
7	Q.	Okay. And what is your title with that		12 <b>:</b> 11 <b>:</b> 57
8	company			12 <b>:</b> 11 <b>:</b> 59
9	Α.	I'm the owner, CEO.		12:12:00
10	Q.	Okay. And is there any reason today that		12:12:01
11	would pr	revent you from giving accurate and complete		12:12:06
12	testimor	ny?		12:12:09
13	Α.	No.		12:12:10
14	Q.	Have you brought any notes with you to		12:12:11
15	this dep	position?		12:12:16
16	Α.	No.		12:12:18
17	Q.	Okay. If you need to take a break, please		12:12:21
18	just let	me know. I would only request that you		12:12:25
19	answer t	the whatever question is pending and		12:12:27
20	possibly	y one to two follow-up questions, but I will		12:12:31
21	try to k	be accommodating to you. So if you need to		12:12:33
22	go to th	ne restroom or you need water or you just		12:12:38
23	need a k	preak to refresh, I'll we'll try to		12:12:40
24	accommod	late that		12 <b>:</b> 12 <b>:</b> 46
25	Α.	Thank you.		12:12:47

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	8	
1	Q as quickly as possible.		12:12:48
2	Does that sound fair?		12:12:51
3	A. Yes. Thank you.		12 <b>:</b> 12 <b>:</b> 52
4	Q. And we don't need to do it right now, but		12:12:55
5	if I'm not sure that we'll need to use it, but		12:13:00
6	would you be able to get would you be able to		12:13:06
7	have access to like blank paper and possibly either		12:13:12
8	a pen or a marker in case oh, excellent. Okay.		12 <b>:</b> 13 <b>:</b> 15
9	So just in the event that we need to, you know,		12:13:26
10	make some drawings to help illustrate our		12:13:28
11	discussions today, would that be possible?		12:13:30
12	A. Yes.		12 <b>:</b> 13 <b>:</b> 31
13	Q. Okay. Excellent. All right.		12:13:32
14	MR. ATKINSON: Would the court reporter		12 <b>:</b> 13 <b>:</b> 35
15	please pull up Exhibit 1004?		12:13:36
16	THE TECHNICIAN: Sure, Counsel. Everyone		12:13:42
17	stand by please.		12:13:45
18	Exhibit 1004 is now on screen.		12 <b>:</b> 13 <b>:</b> 53
19	(Mediatek Deposition Exhibit 1004 was		12:13:58
20	referenced.)		12:13:58
21	BY MR. ATKINSON:		12:13:58
22	Q. Dr. Williams, I'll represent to you that		12:13:58
23	this is the CV that that was filed in connection		12:13:59
24	with the petitioner's petition for this suit.		12:14:06
25	Do you recognize this document?		12:14:13

11

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	9	
1	A. I do.		12 <b>:</b> 14 <b>:</b> 15
2	Q. What is it?		12 <b>:</b> 14 <b>:</b> 15
3	A. It's my CV. I can't scroll it, but it's		12 <b>:</b> 14 <b>:</b> 17
4	the first page of my CV.		12:14:24
5	MR. ATKINSON: Right. Can we give control		12 <b>:</b> 14 <b>:</b> 26
6	to Dr. Williams?		12:14:29
7	THE TECHNICIAN: There you go, Doctor.		12:14:31
8	You're all set.		12:14:33
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		12 <b>:</b> 14 <b>:</b> 35
10	This is my CV.		12 <b>:</b> 14 <b>:</b> 49
11	BY MR. ATKINSON:		12 <b>:</b> 14 <b>:</b> 50
12	Q. And just as you're looking through it, is		12 <b>:</b> 14 <b>:</b> 50
13	there anything that that needs to be updated on		12 <b>:</b> 14 <b>:</b> 52
14	this on your CV, or is it still accurate?		12 <b>:</b> 14 <b>:</b> 55
15	A. Through Technology, we just shut that		12 <b>:</b> 15:00
16	company down in the last couple of weeks.		12:15:22
17	Q. Okay. That's the Through Technology, LLC,		12 <b>:</b> 15 <b>:</b> 28
18	of Chicago, Illinois; is that		12 <b>:</b> 15 <b>:</b> 32
19	A. Correct.		12 <b>:</b> 15 <b>:</b> 36
20	Q. Okay. So rather than "present," it would		12 <b>:</b> 15 <b>:</b> 36
21	be through 2021; is that right?		12 <b>:</b> 15 <b>:</b> 38
22	A. Correct. That just happened in the last		12 <b>:</b> 15 <b>:</b> 41
23	couple of weeks.		12 <b>:</b> 15 <b>:</b> 46
24	Q. Okay.		12 <b>:</b> 15 <b>:</b> 46
25	A. Other than that, it looks pretty accurate.		12 <b>:</b> 15 <b>:</b> 49

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	)
1	Q. Okay. All right.	12:15:51
2	MR. ATKINSON: We can put that away, and	12:16:09
3	can we next turn to Exhibit 1003?	12:16:10
4	THE TECHNICIAN: Everyone stand by,	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 15
5	please.	12:16:18
6	Exhibit 1003 is now on the screen.	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 24
7	(Mediatek Deposition Exhibit 1003	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 29
8	was referenced.)	12:16:29
9	MR. ATKINSON: And can we give	12:16:29
10	Dr. Williams control in case he wants to look	12:16:30
11	through it?	12:16:33
12	THE TECHNICIAN: There you go, Doctor.	12:16:33
13	You're all set.	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 35
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 35
15	BY MR. ATKINSON:	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 37
16	Q. Dr. Williams, I'll represent to you that	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 37
17	Exhibit 1003 is the Declaration that you submitted	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 40
18	in connection with the petitioner's petition for	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 43
19	this proceeding.	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 48
20	Do you recognize this document?	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 52
21	A. I do.	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 53
22	Q. And is that your signature? Luckily, you	12 <b>:</b> 16 <b>:</b> 55
23	have it right at the end here. That's at the end	12:17:00
24	of the document that you have displayed on the	12:17:04
25	screen?	12:17:07

I	Conducted on April 9, 2021	11
1	A. It is.	12:17:07
2	Q. Okay. And do you have anything that you	12:17:08
3	feel like you need to add to to the to your	12:17:10
4	Declaration, this Declaration, that you're aware of	12:17:22
5	as we're sitting here right now?	12:17:24
6	A. Not at this time.	12:17:20
7	Q. Okay. And is it correct that you've been	12:17:2
8	retained by the petitioner in this matter,	12 <b>:</b> 17 <b>:</b> 3
9	Mediatek, to opine on the validity of U.S. Patent	12 <b>:</b> 17 <b>:</b> 42
10	7,280,551; is that correct?	12:17:48
11	A. Yes.	12:17:50
12	Q. And that's what this what this document	12:17:53
13	represents; is that correct?	12 <b>:</b> 17 <b>:</b> 5
14	A. This document represents the results of my	12:18:0
15	work and expression of my opinion regarding the	12:18:03
16	questions present in this case.	12:18:0
17	Q. Okay. All right. Well, we're likely	12:18:11
18	going to be referring to this document as we as	12:18:2
19	we go through the proceedings here today, but I	12:18:33
20	think for right now, for the immediate right now,	12:18:34
21	we can put that away.	12:18:3
22	MR. ATKINSON: The next document I would	12:18:4
23	like to pull up is Exhibit 2023.	12:18:48
24	THE TECHNICIAN: Sure, Counsel. Everyone	12:18:5
25	stand by, please.	12:19:00

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	12
1	Exhibit 2023 is now on the screen and,	12:19:03
2	Dr. Williams, you have control.	12:19:06
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.	12:19:07
4	(Mediatek Deposition Exhibit 2023 was	12:19:09
5	referenced.)	12:19:09
6	BY MR. ATKINSON:	12:19:09
7	Q. Now, Dr. Williams, I don't I don't know	12:19:09
8	if you've seen this document before or not. But if	12:19:13
9	you'll take a minute to look through it, it's	12:19:16
10	the well, it's the cover pleading and the first	12:19:22
11	exhibit, Exhibit A, from a district court case.	12:19:26
12	Well, before I get into that, are you	12:19:33
13	aware that there's also a pending litigation	12:19:36
14	between Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation	12:19:39
15	and various other defendants, including Mediatek?	12:19:46
16	A. I've heard that there is, yeah.	12:19:50
17	Q. Okay. And so I will represent that this	12:19:54
18	was a joint statement made by well, actually	12:19:58
19	before I get into that, for shorthand, is it can	12:20:08
20	I refer to the plaintiff as can I refer to the	12:20:15
21	plaintiff, Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	12:20:20
22	Corporation, as NTT? Would that be well, and	12:20:23
23	also as well as co-plaintiff Essential WiFi, can	12:20:29
24	I refer to those plaintiffs collectively as NTT for	12:20:34
25	purposes here today?	12:20:39

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	13
1	A. That's fine with me.	12:20:39
2	Q. And the same with I think it's Mediatek	12:20:41
3	Corporation; is that correct? Or, no, I'm sorry,	12 <b>:</b> 20 <b>:</b> 47
4	Mediatek, Inc.	12 <b>:</b> 20 <b>:</b> 49
5	Can I refer to Mediatek, Inc., and	12 <b>:</b> 20 <b>:</b> 51
6	as well a Mediatek USA, Inc., can we refer to them	12:20:54
7	as collectively as Mediatek?	12:20:59
8	A. That's fine.	12:21:00
9	Q. Okay. Just to make things a little bit	12:21:02
10	easier on us.	12:21:07
11	Let's see. All right. So if you'll turn	12:21:11
12	to Exhibit A and scroll to the second page.	12:21:14
13	A. Exhibit A is this document that's on the	12:21:20
14	screen?	12:21:22
15	Q. Yeah, this document. You just have to	12:21:23
16	keep going.	12:21:25
17	A. So you're calling it Exhibit A?	12:21:26
18	Q. Well, it's so Exhibit 2023 is a	12:21:27
19	document from the District Court case which itself	12:21:32
20	has an exhibit that's a chart.	12:21:37
21	A. Okay. I just don't want to get confused	12:21:42
22	here.	12:21:45
23	Q. Yeah.	12:21:48
24	A. Can you repeat the question, please?	12:21:49
25	Q. Can you scroll within the exhibit, Exhibit	12 <b>:</b> 21 <b>:</b> 49
	4	

14

1	2023, to the portion that's labeled Exhibit A? You	12:21:51
2	can keep going. All right. Keep keep going.	12:21:58
3	There should be a chart following this. And keep	12:22:10
4	going until you see until the second one more	12:22:21
5	page. Okay.	12:22:26
6	You see on the left side that that	12:22:36
7	where it says "transmitting a plurality of wireless	12:22:41
8	packets simultaneously."	12:22:52
9	Do you see that?	12:22:53
10	A. Yes.	12:22:53
11	Q. Do you see that phrase as being one of the	12:22:53
12	claim terms of the '551 Patent?	12:22:56
13	A. I do.	12:22:59
14	Q. Okay. And if you'll see the boxes next to	12:23:00
15	that language, you'll see you'll see under the	12 <b>:</b> 23:03
16	column Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction, "the	12 <b>:</b> 23:08
17	claim term speaks for itself and should be	12:23:11
18	construed as plain meaning; usage in preamble is	12 <b>:</b> 23 <b>:</b> 13
19	not limiting."	12 <b>:</b> 23 <b>:</b> 17
20	Do you see that?	12:23:19
21	A. I do.	12 <b>:</b> 23 <b>:</b> 19
22	Q. And there is in the column labeled	12 <b>:</b> 23 <b>:</b> 20
23	Defendants' Proposed Construction states, "at least	12 <b>:</b> 23 <b>:</b> 25
24	this quoted portion of the preamble is limiting and	12 <b>:</b> 23 <b>:</b> 28
25	should be given its plain meaning."	12 <b>:</b> 23 <b>:</b> 31

		Conducted on April 9, 2021	15	
1		Do you see that?		12 <b>:</b> 23 <b>:</b> 33
2	Α.	I do.		12:23:33
3	Q.	Have you seen these these proposed		12:23:35
4	construc	tions before today?		12:23:40
5	Α.	I don't recall.		12:23:44
6	Q.	Or are you seeing them for the first time		12:23:45
7	right no	w?		12:23:47
8	Α.	I don't recall.		12:23:48
9	Q.	Okay. Sitting here right now, do you have		12:23:48
10	an opini	on as to what as to whether either of		12:23:53
11	these pr	oposed constructions is correct?		12:23:57
12	Α.	I need to have the patent in front of me.		12:24:17
13	Q.	Sure. We can pull that up.		12:24:20
14	Α.	Am I going to be able to look at two		12:24:24
15	document	s?		12:24:26
16	Q.	I don't know.		12:24:28
17	Α.	Why don't you message me the patent.		12:24:31
18		MR. ATKINSON: So if we can pull up		12:24:34
19	Exhibit	1001.		12:24:37
20		THE TECHNICIAN: Sure, counsel. Everyone		12:24:42
21	stand by	please. Exhibit 1001 is on the screen.		12:24:44
22		(Mediatek Deposition Exhibit 1001 was		12:25:10
23		referenced.)		12:25:10
24		THE TECHNICIAN: Dr. Williams, I've given		12:25:10
25	you cont	rol of the document. And as you can see at		12:25:12

16

1		l
1	the top of the screen there's tabs. So if you just	12 <b>:</b> 25 <b>:</b> 13
2	go one over to the left, you'll go back to the	12 <b>:</b> 25 <b>:</b> 15
3	exhibit we were just looking at.	12:25:18
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah, but I need to look at	12 <b>:</b> 25 <b>:</b> 20
5	them both simultaneously.	12:25:22
6	THE TECHNICIAN: Sure.	12:25:26
7	THE WITNESS: Can you send it to me?	12:25:27
8	THE TECHNICIAN: Sure. Stand by.	12:25:27
9	THE WITNESS: Are you going to send it in	12:25:35
10	the chat?	12:25:35
11	THE TECHNICIAN: Yes, sir.	12 <b>:</b> 25 <b>:</b> 37
12	MR. ATKINSON: I'm sorry. Am I going to	12:25:41
13	have to do something in the chat or	12:25:42
14	THE TECHNICIAN: No, no. I'm going to	12 <b>:</b> 25 <b>:</b> 44
15	send I'm just going to send a copy of this, of	12:25:45
16	this exhibit, so the doctor can pull it up on his	12:25:46
17	screen.	12 <b>:</b> 25 <b>:</b> 49
18	MR. ATKINSON: Okay. I understand.	12:25:58
19	THE TECHNICIAN: That's coming in the chat	12:25:59
20	right now, Doctor. You should have it in there.	12:26:01
21	THE WITNESS: I do.	12:26:04
22	THE TECHNICIAN: Okay. Great.	12:26:06
23	So I went ahead and pulled up 2023 for	12 <b>:</b> 26:08
24	you.	12:26:11
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	12 <b>:</b> 26 <b>:</b> 14

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 17	, 1
1	THE TECHNICIAN: You're welcome. And,	12:26:15
2	Doctor, if that's too difficult for you, let me	12:26:25
3	know. It'll just take me a minute or two, but I	12:26:27
4	can make these side by side, so you just let me	12:26:32
5	know.	12:26:34
6	THE WITNESS: No, I'd rather it's the	12:26:34
7	kind of the way I normally work is to have	12:26:36
8	documents on my two screens, so	12:26:37
9	THE TECHNICIAN: No problem.	12:26:40
10	THE WITNESS: That's more natural for me.	12:26:41
11	Okay. Could I have the question again,	12:26:48
12	please?	12:27:07
13	BY MR. ATKINSON:	12:27:09
14	Q. Sure.	12:27:09
15	I believe the pending question is, do you	12:27:09
16	have for the Claim Term "transmitting a	12:27:12
17	plurality of wireless packets simultaneously," do	12:27:20
18	you have an opinion of either of the proposed	12:27:22
19	constructions from plaintiffs or defendants in the	12:27:26
20	chart directly next to that term is correct sitting	12:27:28
21	here today?	12:27:31
22	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope. Sorry.	12:27:32
23	Objection, scope.	12:27:34
24	THE WITNESS: Not that I've expressed.	12:27:49
25	Q. Okay. All right. And for the for the	12:27:52
		1

11

1	Conducted on April 9, 2021	18
1	next row, you will see Claim Term "wireless	12:28:00
2	channels," and you'll see next to that in both the	12:28:04
3	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Defendants'	12:28:07
4	Proposed Construction there's it says,	12:28:10
5	"Frequency ranges provided to transmit packets," in	12:28:15
6	both columns.	12:28:19
7	Do you see that?	12:28:20
8	A. Yes.	12:28:20
9	Q. And underneath both of these	12:28:23
10	constructions, there is the word "agreed."	12:28:26
11	Do you see that?	12:28:29
12	A. I do.	12:28:30
13	Q. Have has anyone discussed these or this	12:28:30
14	potential construction with you?	12:28:36
15	A. Not that I recall.	12:28:47
16	Q. Do you have any opinion as to whether this	12:28:51
17	construction for the term "wireless channels" is	12:28:53
18	appropriate?	12:28:58
19	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	12:29:00
20	THE WITNESS: Not that I've expressed.	12:29:01
21	Q. Okay. And so when you say "not that I've	12:29:03
22	expressed," do you have do you have an opinion	12:29:10
23	sitting here right now, or do you have no opinion?	12:29:13
24	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	12:29:19
25	THE WITNESS: My opinion is if the parties	12:29:22

#### PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

#### Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 19 1 12:29:24 agreed on this construction, that's fine with me. 2 12:29:27 Okav. So at least within the context of Ο. 3 12:29:33 the '551 Patent, you would -- you would agree that 12:29:37 4 "frequency ranges provided to transmit packets" is 12:29:41 5 an appropriate definition for the Claim Term 6 12:29:44 "wireless channels"? 12:29:45 7 MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope. 8 12:29:47 THE WITNESS: If the parties have agreed 12:29:49 9 to this construction, that's fine with me. 10 12:29:51 Q. Well, let me ask it another way. 12:29:55 11 Do you have any reason why this would be 12:29:58 12 an inappropriate construction, or that -- that you 13 12:30:02 can think of right now you from a technical stand 12:30:06 14 point? 12:30:07 15 Α. Not that I have expressed. 12:30:09 16 Ο. And is there any of that -- I understand 12:30:14 17 you haven't expressed, but is there anything as you 12:30:17 18 are sitting here right now that comes to mind? 19 12:30:23 MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope. 12:30:24 20 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the 12:30:25 21 question. 22 12:30:25 Q. So you say that you haven't expressed an 23 opinion. 12:30:30 12:30:31 24 Do you have an opinion, or is there any 25 12:30:33 reason that you can think of where this is

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 20	)
1	incorrect within the context of the '551 Patent?	12:30:37
2	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	12:30:42
3	THE WITNESS: I have not expressed an	12 <b>:</b> 30 <b>:</b> 43
4	opinion on that and don't have one at this point.	12 <b>:</b> 30 <b>:</b> 45
5	Q. Okay. All right. You will see the next	12:30:49
6	Claim Term is "multiple wireless channels."	12:30:58
7	Do you see that?	12:31:03
8	A. Yes.	12:31:04
9	Q. And there's again in both Plaintiffs'	12:31:07
10	Proposed Construction and the Defendants' Proposed	12:31:12
11	Construction, you see the language "multiple	12:31:13
12	frequency ranges provided to transmit" patents	12 <b>:</b> 31 <b>:</b> 15
13	no, I'm sorry, not patents, packets "multiple	12:31:20
14	frequency ranges provided to transmit packets."	12:31:21
15	Do you see that?	12:31:24
16	A. I do.	12:31:24
17	Q. And do you have any opinion as to	12:31:25
18	whether oh, I'm sorry.	12:31:28
19	Do you also see where the annotation to	12:31:32
20	both constructions in the chart stating that it's	12:31:40
21	agreed?	12 <b>:</b> 31 <b>:</b> 43
22	Do you see that?	12 <b>:</b> 31 <b>:</b> 44
23	A. I do.	12 <b>:</b> 31 <b>:</b> 45
24	Q. All right. Do you have do you have any	12 <b>:</b> 31 <b>:</b> 46
25	opinion as to whether these proposed constructions	12:31:49
		1

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 21	-
1	are inappropriate for this Claim Term?	12:31:53
2	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	12:32:01
3	THE WITNESS: In the District Court, if	12:32:02
4	the parties have agreed to this, that's fine with	12:32:03
5	me.	12:32:05
6	Q. Is this something that you've considered	12:32:06
7	before today or and/or has anyone asked you to	12:32:08
8	consider these constructions before today?	12:32:14
9	A. In this case?	12:32:17
10	Q. Yes. Well, or, you know, within your	12:32:17
11	within your work for Mediatek, generally.	12:32:23
12	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	12:32:28
13	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what the	12:32:41
14	question is. Can I have that again?	12 <b>:</b> 32 <b>:</b> 42
15	Q. So has either within the scope of this	12 <b>:</b> 32 <b>:</b> 45
16	proceeding here today or within any work for	12 <b>:</b> 32 <b>:</b> 49
17	Mediatek possibly in connection with the District	12 <b>:</b> 32 <b>:</b> 55
18	Court litigation, has anyone asked you to consider	12:33:00
19	this this agreed construction in the connection	12 <b>:</b> 33:06
20	with the Claim Term "multiple wireless channels"?	12:33:12
21	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	12 <b>:</b> 33 <b>:</b> 15
22	THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.	12:33:17
23	Q. And have you considered whether this	12:33:18
24	whether this construction is appropriate for this	12:33:21
25	Claim Term before today?	12:33:24

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	22
1	A. No.	12:33:27
2	Q. Okay. As you're sitting here right now,	12:33:27
3	do you have any opinion as to whether this	12:33:35
4	construction is appropriate for the Claim Term	12:33:37
5	"multiple wireless channels" within the context of	12:33:40
6	the '551 Patent?	12:33:42
7	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	12:33:46
8	THE WITNESS: Well, given that the parties	12:33:48
9	have agreed that wireless channels are frequency	12:33:50
10	ranges provided to transmit packets, it makes sense	12:33:54
11	that multiple wireless channels or multiple	12:33:58
12	frequencies provided to transmit packets makes	12:34:00
13	sense.	12:34:04
14	Q. Okay. All right. And you'll see in the	12:34:04
15	next row, you see the Claim Term "Mandatory	12:34:14
16	Channel"?	12:34:18
17	Do you see that?	12:34:18
18	A. I do.	12 <b>:</b> 34 <b>:</b> 19
19	Q. And you'll see Plaintiffs' Proposed	12:34:22
20	Construction is "one of several frequency ranges	12:34:27
21	provided between two STAs, whose busy/idle state	12:34:30
22	determines whether there is transmission."	12:34:38
23	Do you see that?	12:34:40
24	A. I do.	12:34:41
25	Q. And	12:34:41

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D.Conducted on April 9, 202123					
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form. I	12:34:44			
2	apologize. I think there's more to the	12:34:47			
3	construction that's below.	12:34:48			
4	MR. ATKINSON: Is there? I'm sorry. Oh,	12:34:51			
5	there is. You are correct.	12:34:53			
6	Q. Let me restate the proposed construction.	12:34:56			
7	It's "one of several frequency ranges provided	12:35:02			
8	between two STAs, whose busy/idle state determines	12:35:04			
9	whether there is transmission regardless of idle	12:35:08			
10	state of the other available channels."	12:35:11			
11	Do you see that including the additional	12:35:14			
12	language that I just read off? You have to scroll	12:35:16			
13	a little bit.	12:35:20			
14	A. Can I scroll it?	12:35:23			
15	Q. Sure.	12:35:24			
16	A. Yes, I see those words.	12:35:32			
17	Q. Okay. Now, sitting here today, do you	12:35:34			
18	have any opinion oh, I'm sorry.	12:35:38			
19	In the next column under Defendants'	12:35:42			
20	Proposed Construction, you see "plain meaning"?	12 <b>:</b> 35 <b>:</b> 45			
21	A. I do.	12 <b>:</b> 35 <b>:</b> 50			
22	Q. Now, have you expressed an opinion as to	12:35:52			
23	what the or strike that.	12:35:59			
24	Do you have an opinion as to what as to	12:36:02			
25	the proper construction of this term "mandatory	12:36:05			

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	24	
1	channel"?		12:36:11
2	A. It's my understanding that the court		12:36:16
3	issued a construction and the panel issued a		12:36:19
4	construction.		12:36:25
5	Q. Okay. Actually, we can we can take a		12 <b>:</b> 36:26
6	look at those right now.		12 <b>:</b> 36 <b>:</b> 30
7	MR. ATKINSON: Can we open Exhibit 1055?		12 <b>:</b> 36:40
8	THE TECHNICIAN: Sure, Counsel. Everyone		12 <b>:</b> 36:47
9	stand by.		12 <b>:</b> 36:50
10	THE WITNESS: Can I have that on my own		12 <b>:</b> 36 <b>:</b> 50
11	computer?		12 <b>:</b> 36 <b>:</b> 52
12	THE TECHNICIAN: 1055 is now on the		12:37:06
13	screen.		12:37:09
14	(Mediatek Deposition Exhibit 1055 was		12 <b>:</b> 37 <b>:</b> 21
15	referenced.)		12:37:21
16	THE WITNESS: Can I have this on my own		12 <b>:</b> 37 <b>:</b> 21
17	computer? Can I have this on my own computer?		12:37:23
18	THE TECHNICIAN: Oh, you're asking		12:37:23
19	MR. ATKINSON: That's fine with me. Can		12 <b>:</b> 37 <b>:</b> 23
20	we I can't see the chat box. Were you able to		12 <b>:</b> 37 <b>:</b> 25
21	send the		12 <b>:</b> 37 <b>:</b> 29
22	THE TECHNICIAN: Yes, it's in there.		12 <b>:</b> 37 <b>:</b> 31
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Okay. Thank		12:37:32
24	you. I have it.		12:37:42
25	BY MR. ATKINSON:		12:37:44

		Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	25
1	Q.	All right. And if you'll scroll to let	12 <b>:</b> 37 <b>:</b> 44
2	me get	it myself.	12:38:00
3		All right. If you'll scroll to where	12:38:00
4	at the	top of the page, it says Page 4 of 6	12:38:02
5	Α.	I'm there.	12:38:07
6	Q.	Right.	12:38:07
7		you'll see "mandatory channel." You'll	12:38:07
8	see the	same I believe it's the same	12:38:11
9	constru	ction for Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction	12:38:16
10	and Def	endants' Proposed Construction as from as	12:38:19
11	in the	joint claim construction statement, and then	12:38:23
12	there's	a third column that says Court's Final	12:38:30
13	Constru	ction.	12:38:34
14		Do you see that?	12:38:35
15	Α.	I do.	12:38:36
16	Q.	And when I say Court's Final Construction,	12:38:36
17	you und	erstand that that's the District Court?	12:38:39
18	Α.	I do.	12:38:42
19	Q.	All right. Okay. Have you formed or	12:38:42
20	develop	ed any opinion as to whether any of these	12:38:49
21	propose	d constructions is correct for the term	12:38:53
22	"mandat	ory channel"?	12:38:58
23	Α.	Yes.	12:38:59
24	Q.	And what is that what is your opinion?	12:39:00
25	Α.	It's my opinion that the Court's	12:39:04

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	26	
1	construction is the most appropriate, the District		12:39:06
2	Court's construction.		12 <b>:</b> 39 <b>:</b> 12
3	Q. Okay. All right.		12 <b>:</b> 39:13
4	MR. ATKINSON: And can we also pull up		12 <b>:</b> 39 <b>:</b> 21
5	let me see here Paper 14?		12 <b>:</b> 39:26
6	THE TECHNICIAN: Paper 14 is now on		12 <b>:</b> 39 <b>:</b> 35
7	screen.		12 <b>:</b> 39 <b>:</b> 37
8	THE WITNESS: Can I get this as well?		12 <b>:</b> 39 <b>:</b> 43
9	MR. ATKINSON: Yeah. Can we send a link		12:39:44
10	to him in the chat box?		12:39:47
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		12 <b>:</b> 39:51
12	(Paper 14 was referenced.)		12:40:03
13	BY MR. ATKINSON:		12:40:03
14	Q. Let me direct you to the		12:40:03
15	A. I have it.		12:40:08
16	Q. Okay. Hold on. Let me get to the right		12:40:12
17	page.		12:40:21
18	Well, while we're getting there, have you		12 <b>:</b> 40 <b>:</b> 22
19	seen this document before today?		12:40:24
20	A. Yes.		12 <b>:</b> 40 <b>:</b> 26
21	Q. And if you'll turn to Page 21.		12 <b>:</b> 40 <b>:</b> 32
22	A. I'm there.		12 <b>:</b> 40 <b>:</b> 46
23	Q. Right. Now you'll see just before the		12 <b>:</b> 40 <b>:</b> 47
24	heading, Heading 2, on that page, you'll see two		12 <b>:</b> 40 <b>:</b> 49
25	sentences. It says, "Based on the preceding, we		12 <b>:</b> 40 <b>:</b> 55

I	Conducted on April 9, 2021	27
1	preliminarily determine that 'mandatory channel'	12:41:00
2	means 'one channel in a wireless multichannel	12:41:03
3	communication system over which transmission must	12:41:06
4	occur before transmission may occur over the other	12:41:09
5	wireless channels.'"	12:41:12
6	Do you see that?	12:41:13
7	A. Yes. I was scrolling while you were	12:41:21
8	reading, but I see the words that you were reading.	12:41:24
9	Q. Okay. Now, have you have you formed an	12:41:27
10	opinion as to the appropriateness of this	12 <b>:</b> 41 <b>:</b> 29
11	construction?	12:41:36
12	A. Yes.	12:41:37
13	Q. And what is your opinion?	12:41:38
14	A. Well, respectfully with respect to the	12:41:44
15	panel, I think that this construction is not	12:41:48
16	correct, and this construction goes against the	12:41:55
17	teachings of the patent and is not this	12:42:03
18	construction would not be supported in the	12:42:10
19	disclosures of the patent or the invention	12:42:14
20	expressed in the claims, with all due respect.	12:42:19
21	Q. Okay. And is there any particular	12:42:22
22	language that you that you that you disagree	12:42:25
23	with here?	12:42:31
24	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:42:38
25	WITNESS: My issue is that they seem to	12 <b>:</b> 42 <b>:</b> 40

#### PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	28
1	be the panel seems to be impeding some sort of	12 <b>:</b> 42 <b>:</b> 42
2	temporal relationship between the idle nature of	12:42:49
3	the mandatory channel and the transmission of the	12 <b>:</b> 42 <b>:</b> 54
4	secondary channels.	12 <b>:</b> 42 <b>:</b> 57
5	And if you look at the patent, for	12 <b>:</b> 42 <b>:</b> 59
6	example, Figure 1, if you turn to Figure 1, S3 is a	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 05
7	decision box in this flow chart where it tests	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 15
8	whether the mandatory channel is idle.	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 21
9	If that mandatory is idle, then it	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 24
10	proceeds to reconstruct data packets and to	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 27
11	transmit on every wireless channel that it has	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 31
12	access to. So it would transmit on the mandatory	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 38
13	channel, as well as secondary channels.	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 43
14	If the mandatory channel is not idle, this	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 46
15	flow chart just cycles back and continues to test	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 50
16	whether the mandatory channel is idle.	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 55
17	So under the panel's construction, the	12 <b>:</b> 43 <b>:</b> 57
18	transmission occurring over the mandatory channel	12:44:02
19	is not the appropriate test to determine whether	12:44:08
20	the other wireless channels can be included.	12 <b>:</b> 44 <b>:</b> 13
21	Q. Okay. So is your is your objection to	12 <b>:</b> 44 <b>:</b> 18
22	the word "before" in the Board's proposed	12:44:23
23	construction?	12:44:32
24	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	12 <b>:</b> 44 <b>:</b> 35
25	THE WITNESS: Well, the patent makes clear	12 <b>:</b> 44 <b>:</b> 44
		1

29

1	and the claims make clear that there's there's	12:44:47
2	a test to be made of the idle nature of the	12 <b>:</b> 44 <b>:</b> 55
3	mandatory channel, and then a decision to to	12 <b>:</b> 44 <b>:</b> 58
4	transmit based on that mandatory channel being	12 <b>:</b> 45:05
5	idle.	12 <b>:</b> 45 <b>:</b> 09
6	The if the mandatory channel is	12 <b>:</b> 45 <b>:</b> 12
7	occupied, then there will be no transmissions per	12 <b>:</b> 45 <b>:</b> 16
8	the claimed invention, and the temporal nature also	12 <b>:</b> 45 <b>:</b> 19
9	comes out in the institution's decision	12 <b>:</b> 45 <b>:</b> 28
10	institution decision down at the back on Page 39 of	12 <b>:</b> 45 <b>:</b> 31
11	the Paper 14 document.	12 <b>:</b> 46:08
12	Q. Okay. Let me get there. Okay.	12 <b>:</b> 46 <b>:</b> 17
13	A. Just about where it says Section 4, Claims	12 <b>:</b> 46 <b>:</b> 24
14	2 and 6, that second to last sentence, "Under our	12 <b>:</b> 46 <b>:</b> 28
15	preliminary interpretation, all the claims of the	12 <b>:</b> 46 <b>:</b> 32
16	'511 Patent control system transmission by 'setting	12 <b>:</b> 46 <b>:</b> 35
17	a mandatory channel' which must be transmitting,	12 <b>:</b> 46 <b>:</b> 39
18	i.e., not idle, before any other channel of the	12 <b>:</b> 46 <b>:</b> 43
19	multichannel system is available for use."	12 <b>:</b> 46 <b>:</b> 47
20	So that, again, implies a temporal	12 <b>:</b> 46 <b>:</b> 53
21	relationship between the mandatory channel being in	12 <b>:</b> 46 <b>:</b> 57
22	the transmission state and the inclusion of the	12:47:00
23	second set of frequencies in the transmission.	12 <b>:</b> 47 <b>:</b> 05
24	Also if you look at back at the patent	12:47:08
25	on Figure 2, you see that that construction is at	12 <b>:</b> 47 <b>:</b> 10

30

1	odds with Figure 2 of the patent where there are	12:47:16
2	two channels that are transmitting simultaneously	12 <b>:</b> 47 <b>:</b> 20
3	after the mandatory channel has been tested to be	12 <b>:</b> 47 <b>:</b> 24
4	idle and found to be idle.	12:47:28
5	So there's no there's no temporal	12:47:31
6	difference between transmission on Channel 1 and	12:47:36
7	transmission on Channel 2.	12:47:40
8	Q. So let me see if I understand your	12:47:41
9	position.	12:47:44
10	So you would you would contend that the	12:47:45
11	mandatory channel would must be idle in that if	12:47:57
12	the mandatory channel is in an idle state, then you	12:48:09
13	could transmit and if you that you can	12:48:14
14	transmit over both the mandatory channel and the	12:48:19
15	other channel; is that correct?	12:48:22
16	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	12:48:24
17	THE WITNESS: Well, if you look at Figure	12 <b>:</b> 48 <b>:</b> 25
18	1 of the patent maybe I can get it up on the	12:48:28
19	screen here.	12 <b>:</b> 48 <b>:</b> 34
20	THE TECHNICIAN: You have control,	12 <b>:</b> 48 <b>:</b> 40
21	Dr. Williams. And the patent is 1001.	12 <b>:</b> 48 <b>:</b> 41
22	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	12 <b>:</b> 48 <b>:</b> 45
23	THE TECHNICIAN: Go over two from where	12 <b>:</b> 48 <b>:</b> 45
24	you are. There you go.	12:48:46
25	THE WITNESS: I know it doesn't translate	12 <b>:</b> 48 <b>:</b> 52

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 3	31
1	well to the record, but I'm going to use my pointer	12:48:54
2	here.	12:48:59
3	So in Figure 1, which is an expression of	12:49:01
4	one of the inventions of the patent, we have a	12:49:05
5	start. We have stored data for transmission buffer	12:49:12
6	in step S1.	12:49:15
7	Hang on a second.	12:49:20
8	Q. Take your time.	12:49:22
9	A. I apologize for the interruption, but the	12:49:42
10	lawn guy is mowing the lawn here.	12:49:45
11	So step 1 is store the data, step 2 is	12:49:46
12	search idle wireless channel by carrier sense, and	12:49:50
13	step 3 is a decision.	12:49:54
14	So here we're using carrier sense to	12:49:55
15	determine the idle nature of the mandatory channel,	12:49:58
16	and then we have a decision to make on whether the	12:50:02
17	mandatory channel is, in fact, idle or not. If	12:50:05
18	that mandatory channel is not idle, that is, it's	12:50:11
19	occupied or there's information being transmitted	12:50:15
20	on on that channel, then we circle back around	12:50:18
21	and continue to search for the idle nature of that	12:50:21
22	channel.	12:50:25
23	If it is idle, then we proceed to	12:50:27
24	reconstruct data packets in accordance with the	12:50:31
25	number of idle channels and of transmission standby	12:50:34
		-

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	32
1	packets so that they have the same packet time	12 <b>:</b> 50 <b>:</b> 39
2	length for every wireless channel and transmit them	12 <b>:</b> 50 <b>:</b> 42
3	simultaneously.	12 <b>:</b> 50 <b>:</b> 46
4	So we have a system in which the apparatus	12 <b>:</b> 50 <b>:</b> 47
5	is continually looking once it has data to	12 <b>:</b> 50 <b>:</b> 55
6	transmit, it looks for an idle mandatory channel,	12 <b>:</b> 50 <b>:</b> 58
7	and then it transmits on all the channels that it	12 <b>:</b> 51:01
8	has available to it at the same time, and that's	12 <b>:</b> 51:04
9	shown in Figure 2 in this relationship.	12 <b>:</b> 51:09
10	So the down arrow right above where it	12 <b>:</b> 51:16
11	says Channel 1 is the test time for determining	12 <b>:</b> 51 <b>:</b> 21
12	whether the channel is idle, and then the	12 <b>:</b> 51 <b>:</b> 23
13	generation of the packet information to be	12 <b>:</b> 51 <b>:</b> 26
14	transmitted, and then the transmission on Channels	12:51:31
15	1 and 2 is shown in this Figure 2.	12:51:33
16	Q. So in the decision box S3 where we're	12:51:38
17	testing mandatory channel idle	12 <b>:</b> 51 <b>:</b> 44
18	A. Yes.	12 <b>:</b> 51 <b>:</b> 48
19	Q does that relate to does what is	12 <b>:</b> 51 <b>:</b> 48
20	your what is your understanding of what "idle"	12 <b>:</b> 51 <b>:</b> 52
21	means in this context?	12:51:56
22	A. Idle means that you have the capacity to	12:51:59
23	transmit.	12:52:02
24	Q. And when you say "capacity to transmit,"	12 <b>:</b> 52 <b>:</b> 07
25	that means that there could be information being	12 <b>:</b> 52 <b>:</b> 10

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 3	3
1	transmitted; is that correct?	12 <b>:</b> 52 <b>:</b> 15
2	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	12:52:17
3	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the	12:52:22
4	question.	12:52:24
5	Q. Let me so how do we determine whether	12:52:24
6	the mandatory channel is idle?	12:52:33
7	A. Well, in the carrier sense algorithm, we	12:52:36
8	simply determine whether there's significant	12:52:42
9	amounts of power on that channel	12:52:44
10	Q. Okay. And	12:52:48
11	A of intentionally radiated power.	12:52:51
12	Q. Okay. And so is that done by the	12:52:55
13	transmitter or the receiver?	12:53:02
14	A. Is what done?	12:53:05
15	Q. That that testing of the power on	12:53:07
16	the in the channel that you just described?	12:53:13
17	A. So the receiver would be active, would be	12:53:16
18	tuned to that particular channel and would	12:53:22
19	determine the amount of intentionally radiated	12:53:26
20	power on that channel.	12:53:31
21	Q. Okay. So is the receiver in the in the	12:53:34
22	'551 Patent, is the receiver, you would contend, is	12 <b>:</b> 53 <b>:</b> 46
23	the one that is testing for whether there's an idle	12:53:48
24	state?	12:53:51
25	A. I think you said the name of the patent	12:53:54

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	34
1	wrong. It's the '551.	12 <b>:</b> 53 <b>:</b> 56
2	Q. Oh, I'm sorry.	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 00
3	A. Yes, the receiver is required in the a	12:54:01
4	receiver or some determination, some other means of	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 09
5	determination of idleness is required in the	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 14
6	patent.	12:54:19
7	Q. Okay.	12:54:19
8	A. So there could be a system-wide control in	12:54:20
9	your entire network that guarantees idleness of a	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 24
10	channel, but in one common implementation there	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 31
11	would be an active receiver at each station that	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 36
12	determines whether there's significant	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 41
13	intentionally radiated power on that channel to	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 43
14	determine idleness.	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 49
15	Q. So let me understand. Are you saying	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 52
16	that that the intended recipient of the data	12 <b>:</b> 54 <b>:</b> 58
17	that's in the transmission buffer in the box I	12 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 06
18	think it's S1	12 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 13
19	A. Yes.	12 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 15
20	Q S1, is it your contention that that	12 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 16
21	the receiver of the that's intended to receive	12 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 23
22	the data that's in that transmission buffer is the	12 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 29
23	one that is doing the testing in box S3 or is it	12:55:31
24	the device that's that's intending to transmit	12:55:38
25	the data in box S1 that's doing the test in S3?	12:55:43

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	35
1	A. That's a really	12:55:51
2	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 55
3	THE WITNESS: a really compound	12:55:56
4	question, but it's the the devices that we're	12 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 57
5	considering in this one form of device that we	12:56:01
6	are considering in this patent is a transceiver,	12:56:05
7	which has a transmitter and a receiver at the same	12:56:10
8	location, and that transceiver uses its receive	12:56:15
9	capabilities to determine idle the idle nature	12:56:22
10	of the mandatory channel before transmitting its	12:56:24
11	data out of its own transmitter.	12:56:27
12	Q. Okay. So it's let me understand it.	12:56:29
13	Let me make sure I understand you.	12 <b>:</b> 56 <b>:</b> 40
14	So you would you contend it's the	12 <b>:</b> 56 <b>:</b> 42
15	the trans the device that intends to transmit	12 <b>:</b> 56 <b>:</b> 48
16	data is performing the test by using its reception	12:56:51
17	capabilities; is that accurate?	12 <b>:</b> 56 <b>:</b> 57
18	A. Basically, yes.	12:57:04
19	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:57:08
20	MR. ATKINSON: Okay.	12:57:08
21	THE WITNESS: I think I talked over Greg.	12:57:09
22	MR. NIEBERG: I was just saying object to	12 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 10
23	form.	12 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 12
24	BY MR. ATKINSON:	12:57:22
25	Q. All right. Would that transmitter if	12:57:22
	Conducted on April 9, 2021	36
----	--	----------------------------
1	the transmitter was was the device that was	12 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 37
2	transmitting as in the process of transmitting	12 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 43
3	information on the wireless channel at the time of	12 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 49
4	S3, would it then be able to transmit information	12 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 56
5	on the second channel or not?	12 <b>:</b> 58:03
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 07
7	THE WITNESS: Can I have that question	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 14
8	again, please?	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 17
9	Q. So in a situation where the transmitter,	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 17
10	the device that has the information in the	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 20
11	transmission buffer in step S1	12:58:24
12	Okay. Are you following me so far?	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 28
13	A. Yes. The transceiver that has the	12:58:30
14	information of S1, yes.	12:58:34
15	Q. Right. Suppose it is transmitting on	12:58:36
16	what what we're terming the on the, quote,	12:58:42
17	unquote, mandatory channel	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 45
18	A. Okay.	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 49
19	Q would it be able to transmit on the	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 49
20	second wireless channel, you know, in step S4, or	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 54
21	would it be prevented from transmitting on that	12 <b>:</b> 58 <b>:</b> 59
22	channel in step S4, the second channel, not the	12:59:03
23	nonmandatory channel as it were?	12 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 11
24	A. So you're saying this hypothetical device	12 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 17
25	is an infringing device of the '551 Patent?	12 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 21
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	37
1	Q. I'm not necessarily saying it's infringing	12 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 25
2	or not. I'm just trying to well, I guess that's	12:59:28
3	what we're trying to determine in part.	12 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 30
4	I'm just saying that there is that	12 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 31
5	there's a device that has data in transmission	12 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 33
6	buffer, it is transmitting on it itself is	12:59:35
7	transmitting on the on the mandatory channel and	12 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 41
8	it then wants to transmit on the secondary channel.	12 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 44
9	Would that device be able to or to do	12:59:51
10	so or would it be prevented from doing so?	12 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 53
11	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	12:59:55
12	Q. Or can you tell?	01:00:01
13	A. I don't know.	01:00:03
14	Q. What information would you need?	01:00:03
15	A. The specification of the hypothetical	01:00:07
16	device you're talking about. Certainly the patent	01:00:11
17	does not teach that kind of operation and does not	01:00:13
18	claim that kind of operation.	01:00:17
19	Q. Okay.	01:00:20
20	A. So you're talking about something outside	01:00:20
21	of the of the constraints of the patent.	01:00:23
22	Q. Okay. So you don't think so is it your	01:00:25
23	understanding that the patent is silent to that	01:00:29
24	situation?	01:00:31
25	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	01:00:31

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 3	8
1	THE WITNESS: I think that the patent	01:00:34
2	teaches away from that scenario. I think the	01:00:43
3	patent teaches away from that scenario because of	01:00:51
4	the testing of the mandatory channel, the nature of	01:01:00
5	the idleness of the mandatory channel occurs before	01:01:04
6	subsequent transmissions.	01:01:08
7	Q. Okay. And so when it does the test and it	01:01:09
8	determines that	01:01:18
9	A. And, I'm sorry, that's also in line with	01:01:22
10	the goals of the '551 Patent, to avoid interference	01:01:26
11	between channels. Leakage is what the patent calls	01:01:35
12	it.	01:01:39
13	If for example, Figure 2, if this	01:01:42
14	Channel 2 in your hypothetical, let's say	01:01:46
15	Channel 1 begins transmitting at the time shown,	01:01:51
16	but Channel 2 doesn't start transmitting until	01:01:55
17	halfway through when Channel 1 is transmitting,	01:02:00
18	then Channel 2 will occupy its channel for a period	01:02:03
19	of time it's outside the mandatory channel time,	01:02:08
20	which will increase the leakage into neighboring	01:02:16
21	transceivers.	01:02:21
22	Q. Let me let me step back because I'm not	01:02:24
23	sure I'm not sure I understand. So let me think	01:02:28
24	a second.	01:02:39
25	So we have transceiver, and let's say	01:02:42
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	39	
1	let's just for try to clarify this is a little		01:02:53
2	bit. We'll call this transceiver A. Okay?		01:02:58
3	A. Okay.		01:03:01
4	Q. And we have we have that device and it		01:03:02
5	wants to send information to transmitter or to		01:03:07
6	device B		01:03:15
7	A. To transceiver B?		01:03:18
8	Q. Yeah, let's just call it transceiver B.		01:03:21
9	A. Okay.		01:03:24
10	Q. Okay. Let's say that unless since it's		01:03:25
11	up on the screen, let's say that Channel 1 is is		01:03:37
12	designated to be the mandatory channel; is that		01:03:42
13	fair?		01:03:47
14	A. No yes.		01:03:47
15	Q. Okay. So let's say that transceiver A		01:03:48
16	determines or transceiver A determines that		01:04:00
17	Channel 1 is idle, it doesn't detect any power, are		01:04:02
18	those is that an accurate description of how one		01:04:08
19	would determine the idle state of Channel 1? Is		01:04:10
20	that accurate, to your understanding?		01:04:14
21	A. Well, basically, yes.		01:04:17
22	So it in Figure 2 that would occur at		01:04:19
23	this point in time with the down arrow shown under		01:04:23
24	the Transmission Data Generation (1).		01:04:26
25	Q. Okay.		01:04:28

TT 7'11

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 40	)
1	A. So there would be a test of the channel	01:04:29
2	for significant intentional radiated power, and	01:04:31
3	then there would be a processing of the data to be	01:04:36
4	transmitted, and then the transmitter on Channel 1	01:04:40
5	would be activated, and that's the hashed box there	01:04:45
6	that's shown, for a period of time on Channel 1,	01:04:50
7	and then that data ends, and then a Transmission	01:04:54
8	Data Generation (2), there's another test of the	01:04:58
9	channel of whether it's idle or not, followed by a	01:05:01
10	formatting of the data, and then a transmission of	01:05:06
11	that data in the hashed portion over time shown on	01:05:09
12	Channel 1.	01:05:14
13	Q. Okay. And so in Figure 2, at least for	01:05:15
14	Channels 1 and 2, the transmissions appear to be	01:05:27
15	depicted as occurring concurrently, i.e.,	01:05:33
16	simultaneously; is that accurate?	01:05:39
17	A. That's my interpretation of this drawing,	01:05:41
18	yes.	01:05:44
19	Q. Okay. And so let me see if I understand	01:05:44
20	your position.	01:05:55
21	So is it your position that it would be	01:05:56
22	inappropriate for the transmission on Channel 2 to	01:06:02
23	occur before the transmission begins on Channel 1	01:06:10
24	under under the claims of the patent?	01:06:21
25	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	01:06:28

I	Conducted on April 9, 2021 41	-
1	THE WITNESS: For this piece of	01:06:33
2	information that's being transmitted in this long	01:06:37
3	hashed section? Is that your question?	01:06:41
4	Q. Yeah. So just to just so that we	01:06:44
5	can kind of understand.	01:06:48
6	So imagine that let's assume that	01:06:50
7	that the I think you called it hashed sections,	01:06:55
8	I guess, it's the boxes that have the diagonal	01:07:01
9	line, upwards sloping lines in them. Just so I	01:07:07
10	understand the figure, you're you're indicating	01:07:11
11	that that to you that that's indicating	01:07:13
12	transmission, is that correct, of transceiver A?	01:07:16
13	A. Yes.	01:07:24
14	Q. Okay. So Figure 2 depicts those	01:07:25
15	transmissions as beginning and also ending	01:07:28
16	concurrently; is that accurate?	01:07:33
17	A. Yes.	01:07:36
18	Q. And it's your understanding that that	01:07:37
19	would be something that is implicated in the claims	01:07:45
20	of the '551 Patent; is that correct?	01:07:54
21	MR. NIEBERG: Objection to form.	01:07:56
22	THE WITNESS: You implicated I don't	01:07:59
23	understand.	01:08:01
24	Q. So would that be something that a that	01:08:02
25	a transceiver practicing the claims of the patent,	01:08:05
		1

7.11

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D.
Conducted on April 9, 2021

42

	1 <sup>,</sup>	I
1	is that is that behavior that that that that	01:08:11
2	device would exhibit under the claims?	01:08:16
3	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form. Objection,	01:08:23
4	form.	01:08:24
5	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the	01:08:25
6	question, but the patent describes this figure as a	01:08:26
7	first embodiment of the best mode disclosure.	01:08:30
8	So to the extent that I understand your	01:08:35
9	question, this figure does describe the actions of	01:08:38
10	a device that would infringe claim one of the '551.	01:08:44
11	Q. Okay. And so so let's modify. Like,	01:08:57
12	you know, imagine that the that the information	01:09:06
13	transmitted on Channel 2, let's move that to the	01:09:08
14	left just a little bit so it's occurring that	01:09:13
15	it's starting earlier in time than the information	01:09:16
16	on on Channel 1.	01:09:20
17	A. How can that happen?	01:09:21
18	Q. I'm just I'm creating a hypothetical.	01:09:25
19	I'm just asking.	01:09:29
20	A. Okay. So how could you transmit the	01:09:32
21	information	01:09:35
22	Q. No, no, no. So I'm just I'm just	01:09:36
23	seeing if you understand the question.	01:09:37
24	So imagine the box so you keep the box	01:09:40
25	depicted in Channel 1 at the same position, and you	01:09:49
		-

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	43
1	move the box for Channel 2 to the left a little	01:09:53
2	bit.	01:09:58
3	Do you understand?	01:10:00
4	A. I don't understand how that can occur in	01:10:02
5	the teachings of the patent, but I'll go with your	01:10:05
6	hypothetical.	01:10:08
7	Q. Right. So, yeah, I'm that's what I'm	01:10:09
8	getting to.	01:10:12
9	So would that situation be a situation	01:10:13
10	that could that could occur under the teachings	01:10:17
11	of the patent or not?	01:10:22
12	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, foundation.	01:10:23
13	THE WITNESS: I don't understand how you	01:10:28
14	can transmit information before you have it ready	01:10:29
15	to transmit.	01:10:33
16	Q. So imagine that that it was ready to	01:10:39
17	transmit but, you know, let's say for whatever	01:10:42
18	reason you start transmitting on Channel 2, the	01:10:47
19	nonmandatory channel, before you start transmitting	01:10:51
20	on Channel 1, the mandatory channel.	01:10:56
21	Is that is that consistent with the	01:10:59
22	embodiments of the described in the '551 Patent,	01:11:06
23	or would that be inconsistent?	01:11:09
24	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	01:11:11
25	THE WITNESS: Embodiments? Are we talking	01:11:12
		1

11

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 44	1 <b>-</b>
1	about Claim 1 or Claim	01:11:16
2	Q. Either. To the extent there's a	01:11:17
3	difference, you can like if you understand the	01:11:20
4	difference, you can explain, but, you know,	01:11:25
5	either either.	01:11:26
6	A. Well, I don't understand the question.	01:11:27
7	But the patent teaches you test the idle	01:11:29
8	nature of the mandatory channel. Then you	01:11:32
9	construct the data to be transmitted. I don't	01:11:34
10	understand how you can transmit before you have the	01:11:37
11	data to be transmitted available.	01:11:42
12	Q. So what if the data was ready to be	01:11:55
13	transmitted but you started transmitting on Channel	01:11:57
14	2 before Channel 1?	01:12:01
15	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	01:12:09
16	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the	01:12:11
17	question, but I will go with your hypothetical.	01:12:11
18	Q. Okay. So like imagine that you start	01:12:15
19	transmitting on like I think this is, you	01:12:24
20	know this may be a long time, but just for	01:12:28
21	simplicity sake, let's say that you know, that	01:12:32
22	the transmission sequence was was five seconds	01:12:36
23	long that's depicted here, you know, as far as the	01:12:42
24	time it takes to transmit both blocks. Okay?	01:12:45
25	A. You mean that first block of information?	01:12:50
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	45
1	Q. Yeah, the first block of information that	01:12:53
2	is shown in Figure in Figure 2.	01:12:55
3	A. Okay.	01:12:58
4	Q. Okay. And let's say that at time one you	01:12:59
5	start transmitting on Channel 2 and then one second	01:13:09
6	later you start transmitting on Channel 1. So you	01:13:15
7	start transmitting on Channel 2 from device A on	01:13:22
8	at time T1, and then one second after that you also	01:13:29
9	start transmitting on Channel 1 so that there's	01:13:32
10	basically four seconds of concurrent time.	01:13:36
11	Is that do you understand?	01:13:43
12	A. Yes, and one second on either end.	01:13:45
13	Q. Right, right.	01:13:48
14	A. One second on the beginning and one second	01:13:49
15	on the end, yes.	01:13:52
16	Q. Is it your understanding that that would	01:13:55
17	be consistent with the embodiments described in the	01:13:58
18	'551 Patent, inconsistent or neither?	01:14:01
19	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	01:14:08
20	THE WITNESS: Well, at what point are you	01:14:14
21	testing the idle nature of the mandatory channel?	01:14:17
22	Q. Let's say it's before time the time	01:14:23
23	that Channel 2 begins transmitting. So it would be	01:14:29
24	before both when Channel 2 starts transmitting and	01:14:33
25	before Channel 1 starts transmitting.	01:14:37

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 46	_
1	MR. NIEBERG: Object to the form.	01:14:49
2	THE WITNESS: That's not a disclosed	01:14:53
3	method of operation in the patent, and that	01:15:16
4	hypothetical is against the teachings of the patent	01:15:23
5	to avoid leakage between channels. So that would	01:15:30
6	be an undesirable architecture for a transceiver	01:15:35
7	attempting to be compliant with the '551 Patent.	01:15:43
8	Q. Okay. And why would there be a greater	01:15:49
9	leakage concerns where you start transmitting on	01:15:55
10	Channel 2 before Channel 1 as opposed to	01:15:59
11	concurrently transmitting on Channels 2 and 1?	01:16:03
12	A. If you concurrently transmit, you occupy	01:16:08
13	the channel for five seconds. If you transmit, as	01:16:09
14	in your hypothetical scenario, you transmit for	01:16:13
15	seven seconds. So you're occupying the channels	01:16:17
16	for a longer period of time, and you're interfering	01:16:20
17	with other users for a larger period of time.	01:16:24
18	Q. Okay.	01:16:27
19	A. So fundamentally what you want to do to	01:16:36
20	avoid leakage is to test the idle nature of the	01:16:39
21	mandatory channel. You want to format your data in	01:16:46
22	as many channels as you have available to use. You	01:16:53
23	want to transmit that data, and you want to get off	01:16:58
24	the channel.	01:17:00
25	Q. Okay.	01:17:03

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 47	7
1	A. So the faster you transmit and get off the	01:17:06
2	channel, the less interference or leakage you will	01:17:09
3	have to others in the system, and that's one reason	01:17:15
4	why the inventors included a single channel	01:17:20
5	wireless architecture with MIMO, because they could	01:17:25
6	use the MIMO subchannels to increase the throughput	01:17:31
7	and reduce the amount of time that they occupied	01:17:34
8	the channel.	01:17:37
9	Q. Okay. So is it your position that the	01:17:42
10	transmission times or the mandatory the	01:17:47
11	transmission time for the mandatory channel in the	01:17:50
12	nonmandatory channel, which in this hypothetical	01:17:55
13	we're calling Channels 1 and 2, that has that	01:17:59
14	has to be exactly concurrent, or would there be any	01:18:02
15	potential difference in transmission times?	01:18:07
16	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	01:18:11
17	THE WITNESS: Per the claim, per the	01:18:12
18	teachings of the patent? I don't understand the	01:18:16
19	question.	01:18:20
20	Q. Well, to the extent there's a difference,	01:18:20
21	you can explain, but either let me know whether	01:18:22
22	either is incorrect.	01:18:28
23	A. Well, I'll answer one question at a time.	01:18:32
24	The teachings of the patent, as I've said before,	01:18:35
25	are to avoid interference, avoid leakage. And in	01:18:39

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	48
1	order to do that, you want to occupy the	01:18:4
2	radiofrequency channels in the smallest amount of	01:18:4
3	time possible, and you want to make sure that you	01:18:5
4	don't have a collision on a channel between	01:18:5
5	multiple transmitters, and that's really the	01:18:5
6	teachings of the patent.	01:19:0
7	Q. Okay. So	01:19:0
8	A. And so with regards to the claim, Claim 1	01:19:0
9	and 2, I haven't formed an opinion on whether your	01:19:1
10	hypothetical device would infringe those claims.	01:19:1
11	Q. Okay. So just so I understand your	01:19:1
12	position, your position is that nonconcurrent	01:19:2
13	transmissions would be contrary to the teachings of	01:19:2
14	the patent and you haven't formed an opinion about	01:19:3
15	whether or not that would a noncurrent	01:19:3
16	transmission would infringe Site Claim 1; is that	01:19:3
17	accurate?	01:19:4
18	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form. Outside	01:19:4
19	the scope.	01:19:4
20	THE WITNESS: That's basically what I just	01:19:4
21	testified to, yes.	01:19:4
22	Q. Okay. All right. Hold on one second.	01:19:4
23	And the channels we're talking about	01:20:2
24	within the context of the '551 Patent, those are	01:20:3
25	those are frequency channels; is that correct?	01:20:3

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 49	1
1	A. I'm working with the the agreed-upon	01:20:39
2	definition between parties.	01:20:45
3	Q. Okay. Just to be clear hold on.	01:20:46
4	Sorry. I'm not seeing it. I'm sorry.	01:21:00
5	And that's the place we were talking about	01:21:23
6	earlier, frequency ranges provided to transmit	01:21:27
7	packets? That's the agreed definition you just	01:21:31
8	referred to?	01:21:35
9	If you need to look at the exhibit, it's	01:21:43
10	2023.	01:21:47
11	A. Frequency ranges provided to transmit	01:21:50
12	packets, yes.	01:21:52
13	Q. Okay. Would you mind turning in your	01:21:53
14	report, which is I'm sorry, not your report, but	01:22:15
15	your Declaration, Exhibit 1003? I think I see the	01:22:20
16	tab.	01:22:29
17	THE WITNESS: Can I have that, please?	01:22:32
18	MR. ATKINSON: It should be there you	01:22:34
19	go.	01:22:35
20	THE WITNESS: Can I have it?	01:22:35
21	MR. ATKINSON: Yeah. Can we give do	01:22:37
22	you not have control? Can we give him control?	01:22:39
23	THE TECHNICIAN: He has control, Counsel.	01:22:42
24	He's asking for the exhibit on his own.	01:22:44
25	MR. ATKINSON: Oh, I understand. The chat	01:22:46

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	50
1	box. Yeah, that's fine. Just let me know when	01:22:47
2	you're ready.	01:23:03
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. It's downloading now.	01:23:05
4	BY MR. ATKINSON:	01:23:22
5	Q. If you can turn to Paragraph 34. Let me	01:23:22
6	see if I can find the page. Yeah, it's on Page 14	01:23:27
7	of your Declaration. That's numbered Page 14.	01:23:56
8	That may be different. I'm not sure.	01:24:03
9	A. Okay. I have it.	01:24:07
10	Q. No, no. So it's numbered Page 14, not	01:24:08
11	necessarily PDF Page 14. Oh, there we go.	01:24:12
12	A. I have it.	01:24:16
13	Q. Okay. Yeah. So	01:24:17
14	A. It's scrolling for some reason.	01:24:29
15	Q. Oh, okay. I'm sorry.	01:24:29
16	A. Is that me?	01:24:29
17	THE TECHNICIAN: No. I'm the one	01:24:29
18	scrolling through the document.	01:24:30
19	MR. ATKINSON: Okay.	01:24:32
20	Q. Okay. You will see language at the second	01:24:33
21	sentence of Paragraph 34, it says, "One common way	01:24:37
22	in the prior art (and to this day) to define a	01:24:41
23	channel is based on the center frequency of the	01:24:45
24	carriers waves of the signals being transmitted	01:24:49
25	over the channel."	01:24:52

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 51	_
1	Do vou see that that language?	01:24:53
2	A. I do.	01:24:55
3	Q. So when you were writing your report, were	01:24:58
4	you applying the understanding that the channels	01:25:06
5	discussed in the '551 Patent are frequency ranges?	01:25:09
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	01:25:27
7	THE WITNESS: Well, typically what I	01:25:27
8	had in mind here was an FCC-mandated channel in the	01:25:29
9	United States which has a center frequency and a	01:25:34
10	spectral mask that define the channel.	01:25:38
11	In the United States band, there is no	01:25:42
12	such FCC-mandated channel, but there is a 802.11	01:25:46
13	standardized channels included within the standard.	01:25:55
14	So that's an industry agreement as to what the	01:26:01
15	channels are.	01:26:06
16	Q. Okay.	01:26:06
17	A. And that that has a center frequency	01:26:07
18	and a spectral mask.	01:26:10
19	Q. Okay. Let me let me step through that	01:26:13
20	just to make sure that I that I understand your	01:26:15
21	position.	01:26:19
22	So just to just to take are you	01:26:21
23	familiar with, like, I think it's 2.4 gigahertz	01:26:28
24	band? Are you familiar with that within the	01:26:33
25	context of 802.11b and g?	01:26:36
		1

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 52	2
1	A. Yes. I've built equipment for those	01:26:41
2	bands, know companies that built chip sets and	01:26:45
3	devices for that band.	01:26:49
4	Q. So just to make sure I understand, so	01:26:50
5	so you have the you have this large block of	01:26:58
6	bandwidth defined by the 2.4 gigahertz band. Is	01:27:02
7	that an accurate description or	01:27:09
8	A. Yes. The FCC would call that a band. So	01:27:11
9	that is an instrument scientific and medical band	01:27:15
10	that is allowed for unlicensed experimentation and	01:27:20
11	transmission, and the FCC has allocated several	01:27:24
12	such bands throughout the spectrum for use in ISM	01:27:29
13	applications.	01:27:34
14	So the FCC does not get involved internal	01:27:36
15	to the band to identify what the channelization	01:27:41
16	within that band is, but they do limit radiation in	01:27:45
17	that band.	01:27:48
18	Q. Right.	01:27:51
19	So just so they say, This is the entire	01:27:52
20	area that you're allowed to be within, and we	01:27:58
21	aren't going to get mad, but if you get outside of	01:28:04
22	that range we may.	01:28:07
23	Is that kind of correct?	01:28:09
24	A. It's more like, Thou shalt not transmit	01:28:10
25	with more power than X, and thou shalt not provide	01:28:17
		-

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	53
1	intentional radiation outside of these frequency	01:28:23
2	ranges.	01:28:25
3	Q. Okay. So within that that what we're	01:28:26
4	calling here the 2.4 gigahertz band, are does	01:28:33
5	the 802.11 standard define any subranges that we	01:28:41
6	would that we would call that person in the	01:28:47
7	skill of the art would call channels within that	01:28:51
8	band?	01:28:54
9	A. Yes, that's defined by the 802.11 standard	01:28:54
10	by industry agreement, not by FCC allocation.	01:28:58
11	Q. And so would it be accurate to call those	01:29:02
12	channels subranges, or is there some other language	01:29:07
13	that you would use to describe them?	01:29:11
14	A. You would call them a channel.	01:29:13
15	Q. Okay. But the channel would refer to a	01:29:17
16	subset of the greater band that you can be within;	01:29:24
17	is that correct?	01:29:29
18	A. Yes. And there is a spectral mask in	01:29:33
19	802.11.	01:29:37
20	Q. When you say "spectral mask," what are you	01:29:41
21	referring to?	01:29:46
22	A. Spectral mask defines the extent of the	01:29:46
23	intentional radiation away from the center	01:29:50
24	frequency of the channel.	01:29:54
25	Q. Okay. So is that is that can you	01:29:58

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021 54	7
1	explain a bit further? The spectral mask, is	01:30:05
2	that is that a requirement that you stay within	01:30:09
3	the your your the transmitted power must	01:30:14
4	stay within the range of the channel, or is it	01:30:20
5	within the range of the $$ of the entire 2.4	01:30:23
6	gigahertz band?	01:30:26
7	A. A spectral mask says that if you're going	01:30:28
8	to transmit on this particular channel, that your	01:30:33
9	transmit power has to be attenuated by this amount	01:30:38
10	by the time you get to this offset from the center	01:30:44
11	of frequency of the channel, and it has to be	01:30:47
12	attenuated by this other amount once you get to a	01:30:51
13	larger offset from the center of the channel.	01:30:57
14	Q. Okay. What are what are those offsets?	01:30:59
15	Like how big are they?	01:31:02
16	A. They define the channel and the	01:31:04
17	attenuation from the mid band of the channel.	01:31:10
18	Q. So is there is there a number that	01:31:15
19	would that you would associate in this just	01:31:18
20	to pick one 802.11, say, b and, you know, the 2.4	01:31:23
21	gigahertz band, just so that, you know, we aren't	01:31:30
22	drifting outside of just an example.	01:31:33
23	Are there are there number ranges that	01:31:39
24	you know that represent an offset, or do you not	01:31:42
25	know off the top of your head?	01:31:46
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	55	
1	A. I knew off the top of my head at one		01:31:47
2	point. I just don't remember them. I can look		01:31:50
3	them up. It's easy to look at. They're within the		01:31:52
4	standard.		01:31:58
5	Q. Okay.		01:31:59
6	A. I just don't remember the numbers off the		01:32:02
7	top of my head. I did at one time.		01:32:04
8	Q. Have you ever heard of, say, you know, 20		01:32:07
9	megahertz channels? Is that a term that has any		01:32:15
10	meaning to you?		01:32:18
11	A. Yes.		01:32:18
12	Q. Okay. What does that refer to?		01:32:18
13	A. That means that's that's a rough		01:32:20
14	measure of the width of the spectral mask that		01:32:28
15	we're talking about.		01:32:32
16	Q. Okay. And that spectral mask, is it the		01:32:33
17	greater spectral mask that you're referring to		01:32:39
18	or or the lesser?		01:32:44
19	A. I don't understand the question.		01:32:47
20	Q. Well, maybe I misunderstood the the		01:32:48
21	explanation.		01:32:52
22	So you've indicated that there was a		01:32:53
23	there was a first level that you had to be less		01:32:56
24	than, and then there was a second greater level		01:33:00
25	that your power had to be even less than the first		01:33:05

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D.
Conducted on April 9, 2021

56

		1
1	level, or did I or did I mischaracterize the	01:33:10
2	testimony?	01:33:12
3	A. No, that's correct.	01:33:13
4	Q. Okay. So does the does the 20	01:33:14
5	megahertz, does that does that refer to the	01:33:20
6	first range or the second range, or do you or do	01:33:24
7	you know sitting here today?	01:33:32
8	A. It's well, it depends on the specifics	01:33:35
9	of the mask, but 20 megahertz is, roughly speaking,	01:33:43
10	the first break point of the mask, the first extent	01:33:51
11	of the mask.	01:33:55
12	Q. Okay. And	01:33:56
13	A. In the 20 megahertz	01:33:58
14	Q how large is the second break point,	01:34:00
15	if if you know?	01:34:03
16	A. I don't remember off the top of my head.	01:34:03
17	Q. Okay.	01:34:06
18	A. We're talking about the 20 megahertz	01:34:10
19	channel here.	01:34:12
20	Q. Right. Well, I think so.	01:34:13
21	The 20 the 20 megahertz, you know,	01:34:20
22	frequency range whenever the standard talks	01:34:25
23	about a 20 megahertz channel, that's what we're	01:34:31
24	talking that's what it's referring to?	01:34:36
25	A. Basically.	01:34:43
		-

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	57	
1	Q. Okay.		01:34:55
2	MR. NIEBERG: I think we've been going for		01:34:55
3	over an hour. Are we getting close to a point for		01:34:57
4	a break?		01:34:59
5	MR. ATKINSON: Sure. I mean, I still		01:35:00
6	have I still have some more questions on		01:35:02
7	channel on channels when we get back, but we can		01:35:05
8	take a break now.		01:35:08
9	THE WITNESS: Let's finish those channel		01:35:11
10	questions.		01:35:13
11	MR. ATKINSON: Well, it depends. So it		01:35:15
12	may take a while. So you know, so I'm I'll		01:35:17
13	leave it to the group. It may take, you know, 10		01:35:22
14	to 20 minutes. Do we want to keep going, or do we		01:35:26
15	want to take a break and $$		01:35:28
16	THE WITNESS: Can we let's take a break		01:35:31
17	at 11:00.		01:35:32
18	MR. ATKINSON: Let's see what time is it.		01:35:36
19	Okay. All right. Let's just keep going then. I		01:35:37
20	think we're all on different time zones. 11:00		01:35:39
21	Pacific; is that right?		01:35:44
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.		01:35:49
23	MR. ATKINSON: Okay. Sorry about that.		01:35:50
24	Remote depositions, pandemic, what are you going to		01:35:52
25	do? But anyway, all right.		01:35:54

#### Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 58 1 01:36:04 BY MR. ATKINSON: 2 01:36:04 Okav. So not within the context of the --Ο. 3 01:36:08 necessarily within the context of the '551 01:36:10 4 channel -- I'm sorry -- within the '551 Patent, but 01:36:15 5 generally are there other types of wireless 6 01:36:20 channels that are used in wireless networking 01:36:26 7 technologies? 8 01:36:32 MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope. 9 01:36:33 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the 10 01:36:34 question. 01:36:34 11 So are the only channels that are involved Q. 01:36:37 12 in wireless networking and wireless, you know --13 01:36:45 well, withdrawn. Withdraw that. 01:36:47 14 Within packet I's data communications, are 01:36:53 15 the only channels that people refer to frequency 01:36:59 16 based channels, i.e., defined by frequency ranges, 01:37:03 17 or are there any other types of wireless channels 01:37:07 18 that people use? 19 01:37:11 MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope. 01:37:13 20 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the 01:37:14 21 question. 22 01:37:14 Are there any non-frequency based Q. 23 channels? Would you define a channel by anything 01:37:19 01:37:22 24 other than its frequency range within the entire, 25 01:37:27 you know -- within packet -- packet I's data

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	59
1	communications?	01:37:33
2	A. Channel is a very generic word in the	01:37:35
3	communications industry. So it's highly	01:37:39
4	contextualized.	01:37:43
5	So we've been discussing channels in terms	01:37:45
6	of the 802.11 standard and 2.4 gigahertz band.	01:37:49
7	There are many other uses of the word channel.	01:37:56
8	Q. Let me are you familiar with the term	01:38:02
9	"CDMA"?	01:38:08
10	A. Yes.	01:38:09
11	Q. What does that stand for?	01:38:10
12	A. CDMA is a multiple access technique using	01:38:13
13	code division.	01:38:17
14	Q. Okay. And code division, is that how	01:38:19
15	are the channels defined in a CDMA system?	01:38:27
16	A. Depends on the system.	01:38:30
17	Q. Well, do you have an example of of a	01:38:32
18	CDA CDMA system that that you would	01:38:38
19	understand to have channels?	01:38:41
20	A. There are many types of systems that use	01:38:46
21	that multiple access technique.	01:38:50
22	Q. What about say within the the are	01:38:53
23	do cell phones, for example, use any CDMA	01:39:00
24	technologies?	01:39:03
25	A. Yes.	01:39:04

		Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 60	
1		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	01:39:07
2		THE WITNESS: Cell phones can use CDMA	01:39:08
3	technold	odà.	01:39:11
4	Q.	And is there any particular CDMA	01:39:16
5	technold	ogy that would be applicable to cell phones	01:39:21
6	that you	're aware of?	01:39:23
7	Α.	There are several.	01:39:24
8		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	01:39:25
9	Q.	Well, I'm just asking for, you know	01:39:26
10	Α.	There there are several.	01:39:29
11	Q.	Is there is there one that you would	01:39:31
12	name jus	st to use as an example for purposes of	01:39:32
13	discussi	.on?	01:39:36
14		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	01:39:38
15		THE WITNESS: So you're asking me to name	01:39:39
16	a wirele	ess communication system that uses code	01:39:42
17	divisior	as the multiple access technique?	01:39:46
18	Q.	Yeah.	01:39:48
19	Α.	Well, we can talk about WCDMA.	01:39:49
20	Q.	Okay. And so WCDMA, how does it define	01:40:03
21	channels	3?	01:40:11
22	Α.	Channels in the United States are defined	01:40:15
23	by the E	TCC.	01:40:18
24	Q.	Okay. And is there a standard setting	01:40:19
25	body tha	at sets standards for WCDMA?	01:40:27

		Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	61	
1	Α.	Yes.		01:40:32
2		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.		01:40:32
3		THE WITNESS: For UMTS, for WCDMA, there		01:40:34
4	was, yes	5.		01:40:38
5	Q.	Okay. Is there no longer or you say		01:40:39
6	there wa	as, so		01:40:50
7	Α.	They've moved on to different types of		01:40:50
8	systems.			01:40:52
9	Q.	Okay. And so in WCDMA		01:40:53
10	Α.	I suppose they still maintain the old		01:41:02
11	standard	ds, but		01:41:06
12	Q.	Okay. Let me understand the old		01:41:07
13	standard	ds.		01:41:10
14		How are how are channels defined in		01:41:10
15	in WCDMA	<i>Y</i> .5		01:41:13
16		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.		01:41:15
17		THE WITNESS: The types of channels that		01:41:18
18	we're ta	alking about are defined by the FCC. Again,		01:41:19
19	channels	s is a highly contextualized word.		01:41:25
20	Q.	So let me ask this. So are WCDMA		01:41:31
21	channels	s defined with respect to the frequency		01:41:34
22	range, d	or are they defined with respect to codes?		01:41:38
23	Α.	They're defined with respect to the		01:41:47
24	frequenc	cy range.		01:41:49
25	Q.	And what is the the frequency range?		01:41:51

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 62	
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	01:41:57
2	THE WITNESS: In which band?	01:41:58
3	O. WCDMA band.	01:42:00
4	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	01:42:02
5	THE WITNESS: There is no WCDMA band.	01:42:02
6	Q. Okay. Well and under WCDMA, what's	01:42:06
7	the what's the frequency range that defines the	01:42:09
8	channels? What are the frequency ranges that	01:42:13
9	define the channels?	01:42:16
10	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	01:42:18
11	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the	01:42:18
12	question.	01:42:21
13	Q. I don't know if I understand the answer.	01:42:22
14	Where am I where am I misunderstanding?	01:42:24
15	A. There are multiple bands which allow	01:42:32
16	transmission per the FCC of CDMA radiation,	01:42:35
17	radiation that is compliant with the WCDMA	01:42:42
18	standard, let's put it that way.	01:42:46
19	The WCDMA standard at one point	01:42:48
20	incorporated the code division multiple access	01:42:52
21	technique. A CDMA system is more of a marketing	01:42:55
22	term. So it's really a shorthand for the entire	01:42:59
23	standard.	01:43:04
24	Q. Let me ask this, are you familiar with the	01:43:09
25	term "spreading codes"?	01:43:12

		Conducted on April 9, 2021	63	
1	Α.	Yes.		01:43:14
2		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.		01:43:15
3	Q.	And what is a spreading code?		01:43:16
4	Α.	Spreading code is a code that is used for		01:43:18
5	spreadin	g information to be transmitted.		01:43:23
6	Q.	Okay. And let's say you had two spreading		01:43:28
7	codes, A	and B		01:43:36
8		Okay?		01:43:39
9	Α.	Yes.		01:43:39
10	Q.	and that both that both both were		01:43:45
11	spread w	ithin the same frequency band.		01:43:51
12		Do you understand the hypothetical so far?		01:43:54
13	Α.	No.		01:43:56
14	Q.	So so you indicated that spreading code		01:43:59
15	would sp	read a signal within a frequency band, is		01:44:04
16	that cor	rect, or am I misstating your testimony?		01:44:08
17	Α.	You are misstating what I said.		01:44:10
18	Q.	Okay. How am I okay. What am I saying		01:44:12
19	that's i	ncorrect?		01:44:17
20	Α.	I just don't understand the question.		01:44:24
21	Q.	So what let me just ask you. So what		01:44:29
22	is the s	preading code again?		01:44:37
23	Α.	Spreading code is a code that allows for		01:44:38
24	the spre	ading of information.		01:44:42
25		THE WITNESS: Can I have my document back,		01:44:45

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	64	
1	please?		01:44:47
2	Q. In that spreading of information, is it		01:44:57
3	occurring within a frequency range?		01:45:00
4	A. Not necessarily.		01:45:06
5	Q. Okay. So how are frequency ranges		01:45:08
6	applicable to CDMA environments?		01:45:14
7	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.		01:45:20
8	THE WITNESS: The FCC allocates you as the		01:45:21
9	operator of a network or the operator of a		01:45:41
10	transmitter to transmit a significant radiated		01:45:44
11	power on particular frequencies with particular		01:45:50
12	frequency limits and particular power limits, and		01:45:55
13	that's done to organize the spectrum to provide the		01:45:59
14	most benefit to all users of the spectrum.		01:46:04
15	Within that within so that's		01:46:09
16	we'll call that a channel. Within that channel		01:46:13
17	there are many ways to allow for multiple access,		01:46:17
18	multiple users to access that channel, you can have		01:46:24
19	time division multiple access, you can have		01:46:30
20	frequency division multiple access, you can have		01:46:34
21	spacial division multiple access, you can have code		01:46:39
22	division multiple access, or you can have carrier		01:46:44
23	sense multiple access.		01:46:47
24	These multiple access techniques are not		01:46:50
25	mutually exclusive. So you can have combinations		01:46:53

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 6	5
1	of them in your architecture. So that's the way	01:46:56
2	that CDMA applies to systems that you might design	01:47:01
3	to allow for multiple access.	01:47:07
4	Q. And so just to pick out two of the of	01:47:13
5	the of the technology that you described, how	01:47:18
6	does CDMA, code division multiple access, differ	01:47:27
7	from frequency division multiple access?	01:47:33
8	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	01:47:35
9	THE WITNESS: They're they're just two	01:47:42
10	different techniques for multiple access. They're	01:47:44
11	not mutually exclusive.	01:47:47
12	Q. Yeah, so I understand that they're not	01:47:50
13	mutually exclusive, but let's say you had how	01:47:51
14	would you how would you determine that that a	01:47:56
15	system met the criteria for a frequency division	01:48:00
16	multiple access, and how do you determine if a	01:48:04
17	system met the criteria for a code division	01:48:06
18	multiple access, even if you could use the even	01:48:08
19	if it could be both, how would you determine if it	01:48:12
20	was in either?	01:48:14
21	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope, form.	01:48:14
22	THE WITNESS: Well, for frequency division	01:48:19
23	multiple access, you would ask yourself the	01:48:24
24	question, how do multiple users access this	01:48:27
25	particular channel? And if they do that in a	01:48:32
		1

I	Conducted on April 9, 2021	66
1	frequency division manner, that would be an FDMA	01:48:34
2	architecture. If they do that in a code division	01:48:41
3	manner, that would be a code division multiple	01:48:45
4	access.	01:48:47
5	Q. Okay. In the frequency in the	01:48:51
6	frequency division manner, is it fair to say that	01:48:55
7	you're that you could be chopping a wide range	01:48:58
8	of frequencies into subfrequencies, or is that an	01:49:03
9	inaccurate description, to create channels?	01:49:06
10	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope, form.	01:49:14
11	THE WITNESS: Well, we're running into the	01:49:19
12	word channel again. Channel is highly	01:49:21
13	contextualized again.	01:49:25
14	So if you allocate between users, the	01:49:28
15	lower half would be a channel for user one, and the	01:49:35
16	upper half would be a channel that's been given to	01:49:39
17	you by the FCC for user two. That would be	01:49:42
18	frequency division multiple access.	01:49:47
19	Q. Okay. So just to make I make sure I	01:49:49
20	understand. So like you would you would	01:49:53
21	consider the entire range of frequencies or the	01:49:56
22	entire frequency range dedicated for are you	01:49:59
23	familiar with the terms "forward link" and "reverse	01:50:02
24	link"?	01:50:06
25	A. Yes.	01:50:06

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021 67	1
1	Q. And so let me see if I understand what	01:50:07
2	you're saying, and correct me if I'm wrong. So	01:50:14
3	you're saying that the entire range of frequencies	01:50:16
4	provided for the forward link and the entire range	01:50:19
5	of frequencies dedicated for the reverse link in a	01:50:25
6	system, those are different channels? Is that	01:50:31
7	accurate or am I misunderstanding?	01:50:34
8	MR. NIEBERG: Objection to scope, form.	01:50:37
9	THE WITNESS: Now you're throwing in	01:50:40
10	duplexing. So multiple accessing is different than	01:50:44
11	duplexing. So a duplex is the difference between	01:50:51
12	the transmission transmission path and the	01:50:56
13	receive path. The multiple access is how do	01:50:59
14	multiple users use this allocated channel.	01:51:04
15	Q. Okay. And so	01:51:10
16	A. You're starting to mix the two.	01:51:12
17	Q. Okay. So in a frequency division system,	01:51:26
18	how are the how are the how is the frequency	01:51:31
19	band allocated?	01:51:43
20	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	01:51:45
21	THE WITNESS: I don't understand your	01:51:47
22	question. Frequency division duplexing?	01:51:49
23	Q. Frequency division system. You indicated	01:51:51
24	that there was multiple access for defining	01:51:53
25	channels; is that correct?	01:51:59
		1

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	68
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	01:52:01
2	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the	01:52:01
3	question. Are you talking about duplexing or	01:52:03
4	multiple accessing?	01:52:05
5	Q. Multiple access.	01:52:06
6	A. Can I have the question again, pleas	se? 01:52:08
7	Q. So in a frequency division multiple	access 01:52:10
8	system, how is the frequency band divided for	01:52:12
9	various users' contentions?	01:52:21
10	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	01:52:24
11	THE WITNESS: Contentions? I don't	01:52:26
12	understand the question.	01:52:29
13	Q. For for their for their access	o. 01:52:29
14	How does channelization come to the	01:52:34
15	frequency division system?	01:52:38
16	A. In which system?	01:52:39
17	MR. NIEBERG: Objection.	01:52:41
18	THE WITNESS: I think I talked over	Greg. 01:52:45
19	MR. NIEBERG: I was just objecting.	01:52:47
20	Scope.	01:52:49
21	THE WITNESS: What system are we tal	king 01:52:49
22	about?	01:52:50
23	Q. Frequency division system, just a	01:52:51
24	hypothetical frequency division system.	01:52:57
25	A. It could be many ways.	01:52:57

### PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	69
1	Q. Okay. And so you you indicated that	01:52:59
2	frequency division provides the means for	01:53:05
3	controlling access to multiple potential	01:53:10
4	transmitters; is that correct?	01:53:19
5	A. Users.	01:53:20
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	01:53:23
7	Q. Users.	01:53:24
8	A. Not potential.	01:53:26
9	Q. Okay. What you have	01:53:26
10	A. It's the way to provide multiple access to	01:53:27
11	a channel that is given to you by the FCC in the	01:53:31
12	United States.	01:53:37
13	Q. Okay. So let me break that up a little	01:53:37
14	bit.	01:53:41
15	So when you say "given to you by the FCC,"	01:53:42
16	that's the entire frequency range that's allocated	01:53:46
17	to you by the FCC?	01:53:50
18	A. That's the channel that's allocated to you	01:53:56
19	by the FCC.	01:53:58
20	Q. Okay.	01:53:59
21	A. In other words, the FCC gives you a	01:54:01
22	license to transmit with particular power, within	01:54:05
23	particular frequency ranges for your use, and	01:54:12
24	that's a channel.	01:54:17
25	Q. Okay. So I believe earlier we were	01:54:18

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	70
1	talking about in 802.11 that that there was	01:54:22
2	this this wide range of frequencies that was	01:54:27
3	allocated to you by the FCC; is that correct?	01:54:31
4	A. That would be called that would be	01:54:34
5	called a band.	01:54:38
6	Q. Okay. With the 2.4 gigahertz band that's	01:54:39
7	allocated to you by the FCC, is that an accurate	01:54:42
8	summary of what we discussed earlier?	01:54:46
9	A. Can I have the question again, please?	01:54:48
10	Can the court reporter	01:55:09
11	(Pending question read.)	01:55:09
12	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	01:55:09
13	THE WITNESS: No.	01:55:10
14	BY MR. ATKINSON:	01:55:10
15	Q. Okay. How how is that inaccurate?	01:55:10
16	A. The ISM band is an open band. It's not	01:55:13
17	licensed by the FCC. The FCC just says, here's a	01:55:16
18	band for anyone to be able to transmit in for	01:55:20
19	experimentation purposes; in instrument in	01:55:28
20	industrial, scientific and medical purposes; and as	01:55:29
21	long as you, the transmitter, transmit less than	01:55:33
22	this amount of power and you make and you do not	01:55:38
23	have intention radiation outside of the band, then	01:55:40
24	you are allowed to transmit.	01:55:44
25	Q. Okay. Can we turn to Paragraph 34 of your	01:55:45

		Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	71	
1	declara:	tion? Oh. we are already here?	01:55:50	0
2	A.	Yes.	01:55:52	2
3	0.	All right.	01:55:52	2
4	¥•	MR ATKINSON. Does he have control?	01:55:5	5
5	Because	he may have to scroll a little hit. Do you	01.56.00	0
6	have control of the screen or		01.56.00	0
7	nave con	THE WITNESS. No	01.56.0	с Л
0		INE WIINESS: NO.	01.56.0	5
0		MR. ATKINSON: Can we give him	01:56:00	ر ح
9		THE TECHNICIAN: Yes, you do. Yes, you	01:56:00	с -
10	do, Doc <sup>.</sup>	tor.	01:56:0	/
11	Q.	All right. So you'll see in Paragraph 34	01:56:0	/
12	it talk	s about you'll see at the three lines	01:56:12	2
13	from the	e bottom it says there's ranges between	01:56:18	3
14	2,400 and 2,483.5 megahertz.		01:56:23	3
15		Do you see that?	01:56:28	3
16	Α.	Yes.	01:56:28	3
17	Q.	And you refer to this here as the	01:56:30	С
18	frequen	cy band; is that is that right?	01:56:3	5
19	Α.	Yes.	01:56:38	8
20	Q.	Okay. And that says, Within the 2.4	01:56:42	2
21	gigaher	tz ISM band, the 802.11b standard defines 14	01:56:4	6
22	channels."		01:56:53	3
23		Do you see that?	01:56:53	3
24	Α.	Yes.	01:56:54	4
25	Q.	Are those 14 channels defined by the FCC,	01:56:5	7
1	Conducted on April 9, 2021	72		
----	--	----------------------------		
1	or is that is that some other body that's	01:57:00		
2	defining those channels that you refer to in	01:57:04		
3	Paragraph 34?	01:57:07		
4	A. Yeah. As the sentence says, that 802.11b	01:57:08		
5	standard. So that's the industry.	01:57:13		
6	Q. So it's not the FCC that's defining it?	01:57:14		
7	It's the industry?	01 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 16		
8	A. Yes, and I've testified several times	01 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 19		
9	today about that.	01:57:22		
10	Q. Okay. Okay. And that's within what	01:57:23		
11	you what you what you call a band 2,400 to	01:57:27		
12	2,483 correct?	01:57:31		
13	A. What the what the industry calls a band	01:57:33		
14	and what the FCC calls a band.	01:57:35		
15	Q. Okay. So you call that a band. Is that a	01:57:37		
16	channel, or is that not a channel?	01:57:44		
17	A. It's a band.	01:57:49		
18	Q. So you would not consider that to be a	01:57:53		
19	channel?	01:57:56		
20	A. A band is not a channel.	01:57:58		
21	Q. Okay. What's the distinction between a	01:57:59		
22	band and a channel?	01:58:04		
23	A. Well, per the FCC, channels are the	01:58:08		
24	band can be comprised of multiple channels.	01:58:15		
25	Q. And that's the case with respect to	01:58:26		

		Conducted on April 9, 2021	73	
1	802.11b?			01:58:30
2	Α.	I don't understand the question.		01:58:32
3		MR. NIEBERG: Form.		01:58:35
4	Q.	It's you this discussion in		01:58:35
5	Paragrap	wh 34 is related to 802.11b; is that		01:58:40
6	correct?			01:58:44
7	Α.	It is.		01:58:44
8	Q.	And the 14 channels, all of them are		01:58:48
9	subdivis	ions of the of the larger they're		01:58:51
10	subdivis	ions of the larger band; is that accurate?		01:58:55
11	Α.	What do you mean by the "larger band"?		01:59:00
12	Q.	The range, 2,400 to 2,483.5.		01:59:02
13	Α.	That's the that's the rough extent of		01:59:11
14	the band	l. Within that band given by the FCC, the		01:59:14
15	industry	has determined how they're going to use		01:59:20
16	if agree	ed upon, how they're going to use that band,		01:59:26
17	and thei	r agreement divides that band into 14		01:59:29
18	channels	· ·		01:59:36
19	Q.	Okay. Would you mind turning to Paragraph		01:59:57
20	123 of y	your Declaration?		02:00:00
21	Α.	Yes.		02:00:02
22	Q.	And at the first line		02:00:11
23		THE TECHNICIAN: The doctor has a control		02:00:16
24	of the d	locument so he can scroll.		02:00:18
25	Q.	Oh. Oh, okay. Are you on are you on a		02:00:21

1	Conducted on April 9, 2021	74
1	separate screen or	02:00:22
2	A. I have the document on my other screen.	02:00:25
3	Q. Oh, okay. So you're looking at your own	02:00:27
4	that's that's fine. We can we can go	02:00:30
5	locally.	02:00:32
6	So you'll see in Paragraph 123 it says,	02:00:32
7	"Through Lundby's though Lundby's preferred	02:00:35
8	embodiments are CDMA systems."	02:00:39
9	A. The "though Lundby's preferred	02:00:42
10	embodiments are CDMA systems."	02:00:45
11	Q. Right.	02:00:49
12	A. Channel coding, a POSA would have	02:00:49
13	recognized that Lundby's teaching applies to any	02:00:54
14	telecommunication system that have multiple	02:00:57
15	available channels, such as an 802.11b system	02:00:58
16	Q. Okay.	02:01:04
17	A as described above.	02:01:05
18	Q. So does Lundby describe the 802.11b	02:01:07
19	system, or or is it just or could it be	02:01:14
20	modified for a 802.11b system?	02:01:16
21	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:01:22
22	THE WITNESS: The teaching is I'm	02:01:23
23	sorry.	02:01:25
24	MR. ATKINSON: I'm sorry. I didn't hear.	02:01:25
25	MR. NIEBERG: I was just objecting to	02:01:27

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	75
1	form.	02:01:29
2	BY MR. ATKINSON:	02:01:29
3	O. Go ahead.	02:01:29
4	A. The teachings of Lundby can apply to many	02:01:30
5	types of communication systems, and that's clear in	02:01:37
6	the specification in Lundby. If we look at the	02:01:41
7	specification, I can point out the passages where	02:01:44
8	he specifically states that.	02:01:49
9	Q. Sure. We can pull Lundby up.	02:01:53
10	MR. ATKINSON: Can we get Exhibit 1008 on	02:01:55
11	the screen, please?	02:01:57
12	THE TECHNICIAN: Stand by.	02:02:02
13	1008 is on the screen.	02:02:22
14	(Mediatek Deposition Exhibit 1008 was	02:02:26
15	referenced.)	02:02:26
16	MR. ATKINSON: Well, I see we're kind of	02:02:26
17	hitting the 11 o'clock time that we talked about	02:02:29
18	earlier. Do you want to take a break before we	02:02:33
19	THE WITNESS: I can just point out where	02:02:39
20	Lundby talks about such things, and then we can	02:02:40
21	take a break.	02:02:43
22	Q. Okay. When you say	02:02:45
23	A. There's a question pending, so	02:02:47
24	Q. Is there any, like, discussion relating	02:02:49
25	or of 802.11b in Lundby?	02:02:52

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 76	
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:02:56
2	THE WITNESS: Which question would you	02:03:02
3	like me to answer?	02:03:03
4	A. That one, whether there is a description	02:03:04
5	of 802.11b in Lundby.	02:03:08
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:03:14
7	THE WITNESS: So Lundby talks in the	02:03:19
8	abstract about "a method is taught for improving	02:03:28
9	the transmission of information signals in a	02:03:30
10	communication system having a base station and a	02:03:35
11	remote station." That is true of 802.11.	02:03:37
12	The terms of the art area in Lundby talks	02:03:45
13	about present invention relates to communications	02:03:55
14	in general and in particular to improving the	02:03:58
15	transmission of information signals in a	02:04:01
16	communication system that complies with the 802.11	02:04:03
17	purposes. The teachings of Lundby can also be	02:04:08
18	applied to 802.11.	02:04:14
19	If we look at column	02:04:22
20	Q. When you say that, are you reading from	02:04:24
21	Lundby, or are you are you	02:04:26
22	A. I am reading from Lundby.	02:04:30
23	Q. Where does it say 802.11 in Lundby?	02:04:32
24	A. Well, you asked me if 802.11 was discussed	02:04:35
25	in Lundby, and I am pointing the areas where a	02:04:40

I	Conducted on April 9, 2021	77
1	POOSITA would understand that the teachings of	02:04:44
2	Lundby would apply to an 802.11 system.	02:04:45
3	And if I could finish my answer?	02:04:49
4	Q. Go ahead.	02:04:51
5	A. Column 20, Paragraph column 20, Line	02:04:52
6	28, "Thus, the present invention is not intended to	02:05:11
7	be limited to the embodiments shown herein but is	02:05:16
8	to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the	02:05:20
9	principles and novel features disclosed, which is	02:05:22
10	compatible with 802.11.	02:05:25
11	Q. But when you say "compatible with"	02:05:28
12	A. A POOSITA would understand that the	02:05:32
13	teachings of Lundby would apply to 802.11.	02:05:37
14	Q. Does Lundby ever discuss specifically an	02:05:42
15	802.11 system?	02:05:46
16	A. No. It uses as his example a different	02:05:50
17	type of system, but clearly his teachings apply to	02:05:54
18	other types of systems.	02:05:59
19	THE WITNESS: I'd like to take a break	02:06:05
20	now.	02:06:07
21	MR. ATKINSON: Okay. Sure. We can take a	02:06:07
22	break.	02:06:08
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:06.	02:06:08
24	(Brief break.)	02:08:10
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 2:20.	02:20:58

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	78
1	BY MR. ATKINSON:	02:21:09
2	Q. Dr. Williams, would you mind turning back	02:21:09
3	to your Declaration, please?	02:21:12
4	A. Yes.	02:21:16
5	Q. Okay.	02:21:18
6	A. Is the mic on?	02:21:28
7	Q. Yeah. No, I can hear you. I'm just	02:21:30
8	getting there too. Hold on.	02:21:32
9	A. Okay. I'm there.	02:21:34
10	Q. All right. And can we go back to	02 <b>:</b> 21 <b>:</b> 35
11	Paragraph 34?	02:21:43
12	THE WITNESS: I forgot to bring my coffee	02 <b>:</b> 21 <b>:</b> 47
13	cup back. Give me one second.	02:21:49
14	MR. ATKINSON: No problem.	02 <b>:</b> 21 <b>:</b> 53
15	Q. Now, when we talk in the in your	02:22:06
16	statement in lines	02:22:07
17	THE TECHNICIAN: Mr. Atkinson, I	02:22:07
18	apologize. I don't mean to interrupt you, but it	02:22:08
19	does look like Mr. Williams stepped away from his	02:22:09
20	computer. So maybe we can just wait one second,	02:22:12
21	yeah.	02:22:28
22	MR. ATKINSON: Yeah. Let's just wait a	02:22:28
23	second.	02:22:30
24	THE TECHNICIAN: Okay.	02:22:30
25	MR. ATKINSON: Sorry. I wasn't looking at	02:22:31

#### PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	79	
1	the		02 <b>:</b> 22 <b>:</b> 31
2	THE TECHNICIAN: That's all right. It		02:22:31
3	happens.		02:22:33
4	THE WITNESS: I have it now. Go ahead.		02:22:34
5	We're on Page 134?		02:22:36
6	BY MR. ATKINSON:		02:22:39
7	Q. No, not Page 134, Paragraph 34 on Page 14.		02:22:39
8	A. Okay.		02:22:42
9	Q. We were there earlier, but we're going		02:22:43
10	back.		02 <b>:</b> 22 <b>:</b> 45
11	A. Okay. Go ahead.		02:22:46
12	Q. Okay. You say, "Wireless communications		02:22:47
13	systems communicate over," quote, unquote,		02:22:55
14	"channels."		02:22:57
15	And then you say, "One common way in the		02:22:57
16	prior art (and to this day) to define a channel is		02:22:59
17	based on center frequency of the carrier waves of		02:23:02
18	the signals being transmitted over the channel."		02:23:05
19	Do you see that statement?		02:23:08
20	A. I do.		02:23:09
21	Q. Is that the the definition that you're		02:23:10
22	applying to the term "channels" that are you		02:23:14
23	know, that you quote there in Paragraph 34 in the		02:23:19
24	context of your Declaration?		02:23:23
25	A. Yes. That's how I normally refer to		02:23:27

80

1		
1	channel in this context.	02:23:30
2	Q. Okay. And if you turn back to the joint	02:23:31
3	claim construction chart, which I believe is	02:23:44
4	Exhibit 2023	02:23:47
5	A. I have my document.	02:23:48
6	Q. Okay. Can we can we maybe we should	02:23:52
7	just give you all of the documents.	02:23:55
8	A. All right. Just put it on the screen.	02:24:04
9	THE TECHNICIAN: Yep, working on it.	02:24:06
10	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thanks.	02:24:07
11	Go ahead.	02:24:11
12	Q. So is so the definition that's in the	02:24:12
13	chart, "frequency ranges provided to transmit	02:24:17
14	packets," do you see that, hopefully? Yeah, it's	02:24:24
15	there.	02:24:27
16	A. Yeah.	02:24:27
17	Q. Do you see that language?	02:24:28
18	A. Yes.	02:24:31
19	Q. Do you do you consider your definition	02:24:31
20	to be essentially the same as or different from	02:24:35
21	this proposed construction and, if different, in	02:24:38
22	what way?	02:24:44
23	A. Well, the definition that I have in my	02:24:47
24	report is the more generic widely accepted	02:24:57
25	definition outside the context of the '551 Patent.	02:25:03

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 81	-
1	And, again, channel is highly	02:25:11
2	contextualized. So it depends on what context we	02:25:15
3	are discussing channel in.	02:25:19
4	But if the as I said before, if the	02:25:20
5	parties agree that this is how they want to	02:25:23
6	construe wireless channels with respect to the	02:25:25
7	'551, that's fine.	02:25:29
8	Q. So you would consider this let me	02:25:30
9	know you know, just let me know, if if I'm	02:25:35
10	wrong.	02:25:38
11	So you consider the the construction in	02:25:38
12	your in the joint claim construction chart to be	02:25:44
13	consistent with your definition; is that accurate?	02:25:49
14	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:25:56
15	Q. The definition you have in Paragraph 34.	02:25:57
16	A. Is more generic and more commonly accepted	02:26:03
17	and does not it's more of a super set of the	02:26:10
18	definition than that definition agreed by the	02:26:21
19	parties in the '551 District Court case.	02:26:25
20	Q. How how do you view the '551 District	02:26:28
21	Court case definition to be more specific and/or	02:26:35
22	less generic, as you as you phrased it?	02:26:40
23	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	02:26:44
24	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?	02:26:47
25	MR. NIEBERG: I said objection	02:26:48
		1

TT 7'11

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D.	•
Conducted on April 9 2021	

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 82	1
1	objection, scope.	02:26:50
2	THE WITNESS: So the parties in the	02:26:52
3	District Court case agreed that it was frequency	02:26:56
4	ranges provided to transmit packets. So a channel	02:27:02
5	doesn't always have to be used to transmit a	02:27:09
6	packet.	02:27:13
7	Q. Okay. So it's the usage of the term	02:27:14
8	"packets" that that makes it less generic?	02:27:20
9	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	02:27:23
10	THE WITNESS: Well, if you look at what we	02:27:30
11	were just looking at in terms of the definition of	02:27:39
12	the ISM band at 2.4 gigahertz, that would be a	02:27:41
13	frequency range. So it would be difficult to draw	02:27:51
14	a distinction between the agreed-upon definition in	02:27:53
15	the District Court case and a band, according to	02:27:57
16	the FCC, in this definition if you take out	02:27:59
17	"transmit packets." I guess even if you leave in	02:28:03
18	"transmit packets," a band would be a range of	02:28:08
19	frequencies.	02:28:11
20	Q. Okay. And how how is a range of	02:28:13
21	frequencies more specific than or how how	02:28:16
22	does your definition overcome that problem?	02:28:23
23	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope, form.	02:28:30
24	Q. The definition that you presented in	02:28:33
25	Paragraph 34.	02:28:36
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	83
1	A. Because there's not a in a channel	02:28:38
2	there's a defined center frequency, and there's a	02:28:42
3	defined extent like we discussed about earlier. In	02:28:45
4	this definition, there's no center frequency and	02:28:51
5	extent. So if you look at the FCC rulings, it	02:28:57
6	would they would define a channel as having a	02:29:02
7	center frequency of X and in an extent calling it a	02:29:06
8	spectral mask of Y.	02:29:12
9	And this this definition is too general	02:29:15
10	to provide any information regarding the difference	02:29:28
11	between the channel and a band, for example.	02:29:32
12	Q. So when you talk about the extent of the	02:29:40
13	frequencies, that's denoted by a number, would it	02:29:43
14	not? That would be denoted by a number, would it	02:29:48
15	not?	02:29:52
16	A. If the spectral mask is, like we discussed	02:29:54
17	earlier, is often several numbers that define the	02:29:58
18	extent of the mask. But in shorthand, in marketing	02:30:04
19	speak, you might talk about a 20 megahertz channel	02:30:08
20	in the 802.11 standard.	02:30:13
21	Q. So when you say "marketing speak," does	02:30:19
22	the 802.11 standard talk about 20 megahertz	02:30:23
23	channels, or is that language absent from 802.11?	02:30:27
24	A. Well, they talk about the channels as	02:30:32
25	defined by a spectral mask and center of frequency.	02:30:35

84

1	<b>k</b> /	
1	Some people look at the standard as actually a	02:30:41
2	definition of a center frequency of channels and	02:30:43
3	spectral mask of those channels.	02:30:47
4	MR. NIEBERG: Hold on.	02:30:51
5	MR. ATKINSON: Go ahead.	02:30:51
6	MR. NIEBERG: I don't have real time. Is	02:30:52
7	the doctor saying mask or mass? Just so that we	02:30:55
8	can get the transcript accurate.	02:30:58
9	THE WITNESS: M-A-S-K, mask.	02:30:59
10	MR. NIEBERG: Okay. I'm sorry.	02:31:06
11	Q. And the lower and upper range of that	02:31:09
12	mask, that would define a range of frequencies,	02:31:11
13	would it not?	02:31:13
14	A. Yes. But as we discussed earlier, the	02:31:14
15	band, as shown in Paragraph 34 of my report, the	02:31:22
16	range of frequencies between 2.4 gig and 2.483 gig,	02:31:25
17	that's a range of frequencies, and that's not a	02:31:33
18	channel. It's a band.	02:31:37
19	Q. Okay.	02:31:38
20	A. So the range of frequencies from what	02:31:38
21	is it? the FM band is 108 to 185 megahertz? So	02:31:46
22	the FM radio commercial broadcast band is made up	02:31:59
23	of several channels. So you get KKSM, KKLW, CKLW.	02:32:05
24	You get different transmitters that are authorized	02:32:16
25	to transmit in that band for commercial FM	02:32:19

Conducted on April 9, 2021	85
Ξ.	02:32:23
The range of frequencies of the FM band is	02:32:24
range of frequencies. It doesn't define	02:32:29
nel. What defines the channel is what's	02:32:31
the FCC for a particular operator to	02:32:34
frequencies in.	02:32:39
So I understand your position, within the	02:32:42
of the of the '551 Patent, you know,	02:32:45
limit the field of our of our	02:32:50
on, does the usage is the usage of the	02:32:55
nnel within the '551 Patent, would that	02:33:03
e range 2,400, 2,483.5 that you referenced	02:33:06
raph 34 of your report or not? I.e., is	02:33:12
nannel or is that not a channel as you	02:33:17
se the term in Paragraph 34?	02:33:19
Well, as they the government as the	02:33:23
ernment uses the term "band," it defines	02:33:28
for this ISM allocation as being between	02:33:33
2.483. That's that's not a channel.	02:33:38
nels the channels are how the Standards	02:33:44
e agreed to use that particular band in	02:33:49
form channels for multiple transmitters	02:33:53
hat band.	02:33:58
So I'm not asking how channel as it's used	02:33:59
ne 802.11 standard defines channels. I'm	02:34:03
	hat band. So I'm not asking how channel as it's used he 802.11 standard defines channels. I'm

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	86
1	asking whether you regard the term "channel"	as 02:34:0
2	used in the '551 Patent, given the definition	n that 02:34:1
3	you have in Paragraph 34 of your report, to c	cover 02:34:23
4	the band 2,400, 2,483.5. You, Dr. Williams.	02:34:2
5	A. I don't understand the question.	02:34:3
6	Q. So where you have channels in Paragr	caph 34 02:34:3
7	of your report	02:34:4
8	A. Yes.	02:34:4
9	Q and it's in quotes, and then you	have 02:34:4
10	the next sentence that we talked about previo	ously 02:34:4
11	where you were like where you were I	02:34:5
12	understood you to be defining providing a	02:35:0
13	definition for the word channel; is that accu	urate? 02:35:02
14	A. I don't know what you're referring t	02:35:04
15	but	02:35:0
16	Q. The second sentence.	02:35:0
17	A please ask a question.	02:35:0
18	Q. The second sentence of Paragraph 34.	02:35:1
19	A. Well, it says what it says, but I do	on't 02:35:1
20	understand.	02:35:1
21	Q. I'm asking whether you're providing	a 02:35:1
22	definition for your usage of the term "channe	el" in 02:35:1
23	this paragraph or not.	02:35:2
24	A. I am.	02:35:2
25	Q. Okay. And so then we have in in	the 02:35:2

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	87
1	next sentence that starts with the 802.11b	02 <b>:</b> 35:34
2	standard, we have this range between 2,400 and	02:35:39
3	2,483.5 megahertz.	02:35:41
4	Do you see that?	02:35:44
5	A. Yes.	02:35:44
6	Q. In your report, whenever you cite this	02:35:45
7	range, are you do you believe that it meets your	02:35:50
8	definition of the term "channel" or not?	02:35:54
9	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:36:00
10	THE WITNESS: Well, as I've testified	02:36:01
11	multiple times, that's a band, that range of	02:36:04
12	frequencies is a band, and that's called the band	02:36:07
13	by the U.S. government. It's called a band by the	02:36:11
14	Standards Committee.	02:36:16
15	Q. So you don't regard that as a channel, as	02:36:17
16	you used the term in Paragraph 34 of your report?	02:36:20
17	A. No.	02:36:23
18	Q. Okay. And why is that?	02:36:24
19	A. Because it doesn't have a center frequency	02:36:26
20	and a spectral mask. These aren't channels as	02:36:33
21	would be discussed by the FCC.	02:36:46
22	Q. Right. But there would be a center	02:36:50
23	between 2,400 and 2,483 which would be around	02:36:54
24	'41.75 or 2,441.75, would it not?	02:36:59
25	A. Mathematically correct.	02:37:04

#### PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

## Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D.

I	Conducted on April 9, 2021	88
1	Q. Okay. So	02:37:08
2	A. But that does not define channel.	02:37:12
3	Q. And why not?	02:37:15
4	A. Because that has not been that's not	02:37:16
5	specifying a center frequency for carrier waves and	02:37:32
6	signals to be transmitted over the channel.	02 <b>:</b> 37 <b>:</b> 36
7	Q. Okay. If a reference does not identify a	02:37:38
8	center frequency and a spectral mask, you don't	02:37:46
9	believe it defines a channel?	02:37:49
10	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:37:52
11	THE WITNESS: Well, again, channel is	02 <b>:</b> 37 <b>:</b> 55
12	highly contextualized, but I would have to look at	02 <b>:</b> 37 <b>:</b> 57
13	the reference.	02:38:01
14	Q. Well, within the context of the 80 or	02:38:02
15	I'm sorry within the context of the '551 Patent.	02:38:06
16	A. Well, this is how, in my opinion, a	02:38:09
17	POOSITA would understand the use of the word	02:38:13
18	channel within the '551 Patent.	02:38:15
19	Q. Okay. And he would need you would need	02:38:18
20	something that identified that specifically	02:38:21
21	identified the center frequency? Is that what	02:38:24
22	you're saying?	02:38:27
23	A. No. I'm saying that this is the	02:38:28
24	understanding of the POOSITA.	02:38:32
25	Q. The understanding of a person of ordinary	02:38:37

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	89
1	skill in the art is what?	02:38:41
2	A. Is what? I'm sorry.	02:38:43
3	Q. I'm just trying to understand.	02:38:45
4	So a person of ordinary skill in the art	02:38:48
5	would define something to be a channel or not to be	02:38:52
6	a channel based on whether the reference defines a	02:38:55
7	center frequency, is that what you're saying, or	02:39:02
8	what why does a person of ordinary skill in the	02:39:04
9	art need to see in order to say, Ah, this is a	02:39:08
10	channel?	02:39:11
11	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	02:39:12
12	THE WITNESS: If I go into any company in	02:39:13
13	the United States and find a person with the	02:39:20
14	background of a POOSITA, as described in my report,	02:39:24
15	and I ask them I ask them, Given that the	02:39:31
16	802.11b standard operates in the ISM frequency	02:39:42
17	band, which ranges between 2.4 gigahertz and 2.438	02:39:46
18	483 gigahertz, is that a channel, the answer	02:39:54
19	would be. No.	02:40:00
20	0. Okav. Why is that?	02:40:03
21	A Because it's a band	02:40:04
22	0 What distinguishes a band from a channel?	02:40:06
23	That there have there is a center	02:40:12
24	frequency of the carrier waves of the signals being	02:40:14
25	transmitted over the channel in a spectral long for	02.40.17
	cranomitted over the channel in a spectral tens for	02.10.17

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D.
Conducted on April 9, 2021

90

1		1
1	that channel.	02:40:21
2	Q. And where are those descriptions of center	02:40:23
3	frequency and spectral mask discussed in the in	02:40:29
4	the '551 Patent, which if you need to take a look,	02:40:32
5	it is Exhibit 1001.	02:40:40
6	A. The specification, Column 1, Line 35 to	02:41:09
7	42.	02:41:21
8	Q. Okay. I'm not seeing any discussion of a	02:41:28
9	center frequency or spectral mask. I see where it	02:41:33
10	sites to the 802.11 standard, but I don't see	02:41:37
11	the anything discussing center frequency or	02:41:42
12	spectral mask.	02:41:45
13	A. Well, let's look let's look at that	02:41:47
14	document.	02:41:49
15	Q. So it isn't within the text of the of	02:41:51
16	the '551 Patent itself. You have to look at the	02:41:57
17	802.11 standard?	02:42:02
18	A. I don't understand the question.	02:42:09
19	Q. Is there any discussion of center	02:42:11
20	frequencies in the '551 Patent that you are aware	02:42:12
21	of sitting here today?	02:42:16
22	A. The	02:42:21
23	MR. NIEBERG: Objection to form.	02:42:22
24	THE WITNESS: The word channel is used	02:42:23
25	multiple times in the '551 Patent.	02:42:26
		-

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	91
1	Q. That wasn't my question.	02:42:2
2	A. The '551 Patent specifically points the	02:42:3
3	reader to the 802.11 spec, which, within it,	02:42:3
4	includes the channelization as agreed by the	02:42:3
5	Standards Committee for the ISM band of 2.4	02:42:42
6	gigahertz.	02:42:4
7	So a POOSITA would clearly understand	02:42:48
8	what's being used by the word channel in the '551	02:42:53
9	Patent as being a channel as the term is used in	02:42:54
10	the 802.11 standard.	02:43:02
11	Q. But those specific terms are not used in	02:43:04
12	the '551 Patent; is that accurate?	02:43:0
13	A. What specific terms?	02:43:10
14	Q. Center frequency and spectral mask.	02:43:12
15	A. No, because that is within clearly	02:43:2
16	within the understanding of the POOSITA coming to	02:43:23
17	this patent.	02:43:2
18	Q. Okay. And if you need to open it, is this	02:43:2
19	the is this the same conception that a person of	02:43:54
20	ordinary skill in the art would apply to the to	02:43:58
21	the Exhibit 1005 which we may have to give	02:44:03
22	you access to the Shpak reference?	02:44:0
23	A. I need access to it.	02:44:12
24	MR. ATKINSON: Can we give him access to	02:44:14
25	1005?	02:44:10

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 92	<u>.</u>
1	THE WITNESS: It's coming.	02:44:21
2	(Mediatek Deposition Exhibit 1005 was	02:44:48
3	referenced.)	02:44:48
4	0. Okay. And just to $$ just to kind	02:44:48
5	of quide you a bit, you'll see in Paragraph 5 it	02:44:50
6	talks about frequency channels	02:44:55
7	The 802 11 standard provides a mechanism	02.45.08
, 8	for colligion queidance known ac clear channel	02.15.00
0	for confision avoidance known as clear channel	02.45.16
9	assessment which causes a station to refrain from	02.45.10
10	transmitting one's senses or other transmissions on	02:45:17
	its frequency channel.	02:45:21
12	Q. Right. So is it your understanding that	02:45:23
13	Shpak is using channel the same way as the as	02:45:30
14	the '551 Patent, or is it using channel in a	02:45:34
15	different way?	02:45:39
16	A. In this sentence, it's using channel in	02:45:39
17	the same way.	02:45:47
18	Q. Are you aware of any sections for it	02:45:50
19	using of Shpak where it's using channel in a	02:45:53
20	different way?	02:45:57
21	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:45:59
22	THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.	02:46:05
23	Q. And can we turn to Bugeja Ex., and you'll	02:46:10
24	have to give him have access to this one as well, I	02:46:21
25	think, Exhibit 1007. And I may be butchering this	02:46:23

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	93	
1	person's name, but I will call it Bugeja.	02:46:3	0
2	THE TECHNICIAN: Stand by.	02:46:3	4
3	(Mediatek Deposition Exhibit 1007 was	02:46:3	4
4	referenced.)	02:46:3	4
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I call it Bugeja, but	02:46:3	4
6	whatever.	02:46:3	6
7	I need to correct my earlier testimony.	02:46:5	8
8	FM band is 88 to 108.	02:47:0	1
9	Q. If you will turn to Paragraph 4 of	02:47:0	8
10	Bugeja	02:47:1	2
11	A. Yes.	02:47:1	5
12	Q. Okay. Are you there?	02:47:2	0
13	A. Right.	02:47:2	2
14	Q. Okay. So you'll you see it	02:47:2	2
15	references it says the 802.11b protocol, and it	02:47:2	6
16	talks about having "adequate spectrum to provide	02:47:3	1
17	three independent channels, each having different	02:47:3	5
18	center frequency."	02:47:3	9
19	A. Right. So here Bugeja is drawing a clear	02:47:4	0
20	distinction between the band, 2.4 gigahertz band,	02:47:4	5
21	and the channels contained within the band.	02:47:4	6
22	Q. Okay. And so is that is your	02:47:4	9
23	understanding of Bugeja, does it use channels in	02:47:5	3
24	the same sense as the '551 Patent or a different	02:47:5	6
25	sense, as you defined it in Paragraph 34 of your	02:48:0	0

94

1	of your declaration?	02:48:04
2	A. Well, here Bugeja specifically talks about	02:48:05
3	center frequency. So that complies with my	02:48:10
4	discussion in my Declaration, and so he and I are	02:48:15
5	talking about the same thing.	02:48:21
6	Q. Right.	02:48:24
7	So so the answer to the slightly	02:48:24
8	different different question, but I think	02:48:33
9	related, is that because you're talking about	02:48:37
10	channels within the context of the '551 Patent,	02:48:42
11	it's using it in the same way as the '551 Patent or	02:48:45
12	a different way?	02:48:51
13	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:48:52
14	THE WITNESS: Well, to be precise, the	02:48:54
15	'551 Patent could apply to things other than to	02 <b>:</b> 49 <b>:</b> 15
16	systems other than 802.11b compliant systems.	02:49:19
17	But here, in specifically talking about	02:49:25
18	802.11b compliant systems, Bugeja in this sentence,	02:49:28
19	my report and the '551 are all talking about the	02:49:39
20	same types of channels.	02:49:42
21	Q. Can I just be clear? It says, "For	02 <b>:</b> 49 <b>:</b> 45
22	example, the IEEE 802.11b protocol."	02:49:51
23	So is Bugeja being limited by what it	02:49:59
24	says, "For example" in reference to the 802.11b,	02:50:02
25	is it being limited to 802.11b in your	02:50:07
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	95	
1	understanding, or is it being applicable to systems		02:50:10
2	other than 802.11b?		02:50:13
3	A. Bugeja?		02:50:15
4	Q. Yes.		02:50:16
5	A. I haven't opined on that.		02:50:17
6	Q. Okay. That's not something you		02:50:19
7	considered?		02:50:21
8	A. I wasn't asked to.		02:50:22
9	Q. Okay. So you don't have an opinion about		02:50:23
10	whether Bugeja uses channel the same in the same		02:50:28
11	way as you define channel for '551?		02:50:32
12	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.		02:50:37
13	THE WITNESS: I just testified that		02:50:38
14	Bugeja, the '551 and my report all seem to be		02:50:40
15	discussing channels in the same manner.		02:50:44
16	Q. Okay. So it uses channel in the same		02:50:49
17	manner as you defined it for '551?		02:50:52
18	A. Yes.		02:50:57
19	Q. Okay. And for and in the instance we		02:50:58
20	were talking about just before we went off the		02:51:09
21	record, Lundby, Exhibit 1008		02:51:11
22	A. Yes.		02:51:21
23	Q. Let me get the reference here.		02:51:22
24	A. I'm there.		02:51:31
25	Q. I'm getting there.		02:51:33

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021	96
1	A. Okay.	02:51:34
2	Q. Okay. If you'll turn to if you'll turn	02:51:39
3	to Column 1, I guess it's around Line 11, let me	02:51:52
4	know when you're there.	02:52:05
5	A. Yes.	02:52:06
6	Q. All right. It talks it says, The	02:52:07
7	quality of a communication link over a noisy	02:52:14
8	channel depends on the energy and interference	02:52:17
9	noise ratio Eb/N0 of the signal.	02:52:21
10	I understand there there's other stuff in	02:52:24
11	the sentence besides just channel, but when it	02:52:25
12	talks about channel, is it using channel in the	02:52:28
13	same sense as the '551 or a different sense?	02:52:30
14	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:52:36
15	THE WITNESS: In the same sense.	02:52:37
16	Q. We've been talking earlier about or	02:52:47
17	earlier we mentioned carrier sense multiple access.	02:52:52
18	Do you recall talking about briefly about	02:52:58
19	carrier sensing?	02:53:01
20	A. Yes.	02:53:02
21	Q. What does carrier refer to in	02:53:05
22	communications generally and within, you know, data	02:53:09
23	communications specifically, digital data	02:53:13
24	communications specifically?	02:53:17
25	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:53:21

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 97				
1	Q. Let me clarify a bit.	02:53:21		
2	When in the term carrier sense multiple	02:53:38		
3	access, what does the term "carrier" refer to?	02:53:42		
4	A. CSMA comes out of the wired land industry	02:53:50		
5	and was adopted for some of the techniques were	02:53:54		
6	adopted for 802.11 and CSMA/CA.	02:53:59		
7	The carrier here just primarily refers to	02:54:05		
8	observing the intentional radiation in the channel	02:54:10		
9	to determine the idle or available status of the	02:54:15		
10	channel.	02:54:23		
11	Q. Okay. So I think we may be mixing or	02:54:23		
12	as I understand it, we may be mixing up two	02:54:31		
13	different things.	02:54:34		
14	I think what vou what vou just	02:54:35		
15	described is carrier sensing; is that is that	02:54:39		
16	accurate? Within the context of the wireless	02:54:44		
17	communication standards.	02:54:48		
18	A. Well, CSMA is a technique to determine if	02:54:49		
19	the channel is available to be used. The way it	02:54:55		
20	does that, there are many different techniques to	02:55:03		
21	do that since it's such an old technology But	02:55:05		
22	the purpose is to determine if a carrier if the	02:55:12		
23	channel can be used for transmission	02.55.15		
2.4	O And what is a carrier?	02:55:21		
25	A Depends on the context	02.55.25		
20	A. Depends on the context.	02.00.20		

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	98	
1	Q. In the context of 802.11.		02:55:27
2	A. A carrier is a radio frequency in which		02:55:36
3	information is modulated on to.		02 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 40
4	Q. Okay. And is that the when I let me		02 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 43
5	see. Just a second.		02 <b>:</b> 55 <b>:</b> 54
6	A. Carrier is another highly contextualized		02:55:56
7	term.		02:56:01
8	Q. Yeah, but the in the '551 Patent, it		02:56:02
9	talks about carrier sense at various points, does		02:56:05
10	it not?		02:56:14
11	A. It does.		02:56:14
12	Q. And so when the '551 Patent talks about		02:56:15
13	carrier sense, does it and carrier, does it use		02:56:19
14	those terms in in the same way that you		02:56:22
15	described or a different way?		02:56:24
16	A. Well, the CSMA is a collection of		02:56:28
17	techniques. It's not you're breaking it down		02:56:34
18	into the word carrier. CSMA is an area of art for		02:56:40
19	a POOSITA and really can't be separated from the		02:56:48
20	word carrier.		02:56:54
21	Q. Well, does does the word carrier, as		02:56:58
22	used in the '551 Patent, does that have the same		02 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 02
23	meaning as you just discussed where you talked		02 <b>:</b> 57:06
24	about a frequency modulated medium, or is it used		02:57:12
25	in a different context?		02 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 16

7.11

		Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	99	
1	Α.	In a different context, so		02:57:17
2	0.	What's the different context?		02:57:20
3	A.	So you wouldn't you wouldn't have a		02:57:22
4	VOU WOU	ldn't have a band sense multiple access.		02:57:25
5	for exa	mple		02:57:33
6	0.	Okav.		02:57:33
7	Α.	So, again, CSMA is just the name given to		02:57:34
8	the tec	hnique because it started out in wired		02 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 39
9	lands,	and it it's just a name like orange, and		02:57:46
10	that is	a collection of techniques for multiple		02:57:55
11	access.			02:57:59
12	Q.	So carrier is oh, I'm sorry.		02:58:04
13	Α.	CSMA is look at the channel, if the		02:58:04
14	channel	is available for transmission, you can		02:58:09
15	transmi	t. If it's not available for transmission,		02:58:13
16	you won	't transmit.		02:58:16
17	Q.	And that's not applicable to the entire		02:58:19
18	band?			02:58:23
19	Α.	The POOSITA would not understand it to be		02:58:26
20	applica	ble to the entire band at once.		02:58:30
21	Q.	And to be clear, that's within the context		02:58:32
22	of the	'551 Patent generally		02:58:42
23	Α.	Generally.		02:58:44
24	Q.	or both?		02:58:44
25	Α.	Generally.		02:58:44

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	100
1	Q. Okay. So well, let me	02:58:45
2	A. Both. Both, I guess.	02:58:50
3	Q. Okay. And so in Lundby, the the	02:58:52
4	Exhibit 1008, is the discussion of channel	02:59:32
5	consistent with with the discussion of channel	02:59:41
6	that we described for generally and for the '551	02:59:45
7	Patent?	02:59:47
8	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	02:59:52
9	THE WITNESS: I already answered that	02:59:55
10	question.	02:59:56
11	Q. So what just so I make sure I'm	02 <b>:</b> 59 <b>:</b> 57
12	understanding correctly, what was the answer?	03:00:01
13	A. Lundby	03:00:02
14	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	03:00:06
15	THE WITNESS: Lundby's disclosure of	03:00:07
16	channels specifically in his teachings around	03:00:12
17	Figure 2, his use of the word channels is	03:00:22
18	consistent with my definition and the '551.	03:00:29
19	Q. Okay. So just to make sure I understand,	03:00:37
20	so, yes, it is you do understand it to be the	03:00:39
21	same or, no, you don't understand it to be the	03:00:41
22	same, and this is the difference?	03:00:43
23	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	03:00:47
24	THE WITNESS: I think I already answered	03:00:47
25	that question.	03:00:49

11

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	101
1	Q. Well, so you may have. I'm just trying to	03:00:49
2	make sure that I understand the answer, that it	03:00:54
3	I'm just trying to understand whether it is the	03:00:58
4	same or whether it's not the same, as per the	03:00:59
5	'551 as it's used per the '551.	03:01:05
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	03:01:08
7	THE WITNESS: Can I have a clean question,	03:01:09
8	please?	03:01:11
9	Q. Does the way Lundby uses the word channel,	03:01:12
10	is it used the same way as as the word is used	03:01:16
11	in the '551, or is it used in a different way?	03:01:19
12	A. Lundby uses the teachings of the Lundby	03:01:21
13	with regards to Figure 2, his use of the word	03:01:27
14	channels in that figure are consistent with my	03:01:30
15	definition of channel and of the understanding of	03:01:32
16	the POOSITA reading the '551 Patent.	03:01:36
17	Q. Okay. And are you aware sitting here	03:01:40
18	today of anything in Lundby besides the	03:01:43
19	descriptions of Figure 2 that would be	03:01:48
20	inconsistent?	03:01:50
21	A. Well, again, channel is a highly	03:01:51
22	contextualized word. So the answer is you'd	03:01:54
23	have to be more specific with your question.	03:02:04
24	Q. Well, so I'm asking whether you're aware	03:02:06
25	of anything that you can point to that's different	03:02:12

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	02
1	as you're sitting here right now?	03:02:15
2	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	03:02:21
3	Q. Like do you know of any of anything	03:02:22
4	of any usage of the word that is inconsistent?	03:02:25
5	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	03:02:29
6	Q. And to be clear, I'm just I'm asking	03:03:34
7	whether you know of anything as you sit here right	03:03:37
8	now.	03:03:39
9	A. Under Lundby?	03:03:41
10	So Figure 1 yes, Figure 1 refers to	03:04:50
11	channel, and the transmission and reception of	03:04:54
12	coded bits over that channel refers to it as	03:05:02
13	element 8. And down in the spec, Lundby describes	03:05:08
14	at Column 1, Line 45, the end bits of the coded	03:05:17
15	stream 6 at the output of the rate, R encoder can	03:05:26
16	be transmitted over the transmission channel.	03:05:30
17	So that use of the word channel is	03:05:33
18	consistent with how a transmission channel would be	03:05:36
19	used in the '551.	03:05:43
20	Q. Is consistent?	03:05:48
21	A. That's what I said.	03:05:51
22	Q. Okay. So the question is whether there	03:05:53
23	is whether you see anything inconsistent, not	03:05:57
24	consistent.	03:06:02
25	A. Moving on to the next use of the word	03:06:03
		1

#### Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 103 03:06:06 channel in Lundby. 03:06:10 Figure 2 is the figure that we are 03:06:15 discussing, and I've already testified that a 03:06:20 POOSITA would understand the use of the word 03:06:23 channel in Figure 2 as consistent with the understanding that they would have when reading the 03:06:25 03:06:27 **'**551. 03:06:29 Ο. Okay. So the question isn't to go through 03:06:35 every usage of the word channel in Lundby. 10 Well, I'm answering --03:06:39 Α. 03:06:39 11 The question is whether as you're sitting Q. 03:06:41 12 here right now are you aware of any inconsistent 03:06:46 13 uses of the word channel? 03:06:49 14 Α. I'm answering the guestion. 03:06:50 15 Ο. I -- I don't -- I don't think -- well, I 03:06:53 16 don't know the answer. Is the answer yes or no? 03:06:57 17 I'm answering your question. Α. 03:07:00 18 MR. NIEBERG: Form. 19 03:07:03 Without reading, like, the entirety of Ο. 03:07:06 20 Lundby, can you answer the question? 03:07:07 21 Well, as I've testified at least a dozen Α. 03:07:11 22 times today, the word channel is highly 23 contextualized. So if you're asking me a generic 03:07:14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

#### 03:07:18 question like that, we're going to have to go 03:07:19 through every use of the word channel in Lundby in

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	104
1	order to	03:07:23
2	Q. No. So to be clear, I'm asking whether	03:07:23
3	you know as you sit there right now.	03:07:25
4	A. Can I answer the question, please?	03:07:28
5	Q. As you sit there right now, do you know of	03:07:30
6	any	03:07:31
7	A. Can I speak?	03:07:31
8	Q. Oh, go ahead. I thought you were asking	03:07:32
9	for the question.	03:07:35
10	A. No, I was not asking for the question.	03:07:35
11	So in order to answer your question as	03:07:39
12	I've testified at least a dozen times today, the	03:07:42
13	word channel is highly contextualized. So to	03:07:45
14	answer your question under the standards of a	03:07:50
15	deposition, we will have to look at each and every	03:07:52
16	use of the word channel in Lundby in order to	03:07:55
17	understand how Lundby is using that word.	03:07:59
18	Q. Okay. So let me let me clarify my	03:08:00
19	question.	03:08:03
20	So the question isn't the question that	03:08:05
21	you appear to be trying to answer of whether there	03:08:08
22	is an inconsistent usage of channel within Lundby,	03:08:10
23	whether you're aware of anything of any usage in	03:08:17
24	Lundby of an inconsistent usage, as you sit there	03:08:21
25	right now, without having to go through all of	03:08:25

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021	105
1	Lundby.	03:08:28
2	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	03:08:28
3	THE WITNESS: So you're asking me not to	03:08:30
4	answer this question under the standards of a	03:08:34
5	deposition?	03:08:38
6	Q. I don't know any so it's common in	03:08:39
7	depositions to ask the witness' current knowledge.	03:08:43
8	A. Okay.	03:08:50
9	Q. As you sit there right now, do you know?	03:08:50
10	A. Well	03:08:52
11	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	03:08:54
12	THE WITNESS: For example, in Figure 8	03:08:55
13	some some art describes the I and Q channels of	03:09:02
14	the transmission element 742 and 744 as being	03:09:09
15	channels. That's not the same as the channel that	03:09:15
16	we're discussing in the '551 and as defined in	03:09:18
17	my in my Declaration.	03:09:26
18	Q. Okay. And what's	03:09:28
19	A. So, again, we can contextualize the use of	03:09:31
20	the word channel. And in order to accurately,	03:09:36
21	telling the truth, the whole truth and nothing but	03:09:39
22	the truth, to answer your question, we would need	03:09:42
23	to go through each and every use of the word	03:09:44
24	channel or implied use of the word channel in	03:09:47
25	Lundby.	03:09:50
		1

#### PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

106

		1
1	Q. Okay. And	03:09:50
2	A. But what's critical here is the teaching	03:09:53
3	of Figure 2 with regards to the '551, and Figure 2	03:09:56
4	clearly would teach a POOSITA that that channel is	03:10:03
5	the same kind of channel described in the '551 and	03:10:08
6	in my Declaration.	03:10:12
7	Q. And what specifically about Figure 8 is	03:10:15
8	inconsistent?	03:10:19
9	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	03:10:22
10	THE WITNESS: Figure 8 has not been placed	03:10:25
11	on a carrier. There is no carrier in Figure 8.	03:10:27
12	Q. Okay. So it's because it's because	03:10:33
13	this is internal to the device and not yet	03:10:36
14	transmitted wirelessly, or what's the reason?	03:10:40
15	A. There is no carrier frequency in Figure 8.	03:10:43
16	Q. And is that because it hasn't been	03:10:50
17	modulated on to a wireless medium or	03:10:53
18	A. Right.	03:10:58
19	Q. Okay. Turning back to Exhibit 1003, your	03:10:59
20	Declaration, and can you go to the next paragraph,	03:11:51
21	Paragraph 35?	03:11:56
22	A. Yes.	03:11:57
23	Q. You see in Paragraph 35 that you describe	03:12:00
24	CSMA/CA and CSMA, I guess, as well. Do you see	03:12:24
25	those references to these terms in Paragraph 35?	03:12:33
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	107	
1	A. Yes.	03:12:36	
2	Q. Does this represent your understanding of	03:12:42	
3	how CSM how the term CSMA is used generally or	03:12:46	
4	is this specific with reference to the '551 Patent?	03:12:51	
5	A. Right here I'm talking about a	03:12:57	
6	conventional 802.11-1999 standardized system. So	03:13:02	
7	that's what I am discussing.	03:13:07	
8	Q. So is that so is the answer generally	03:13:08	
9	applicable in the industry?	03:13:15	
10	A. As we discussed a while ago, CSMA is a	03:13:21	
11	collection of techniques, and it's just a name for	03:13:24	
12	a collection of techniques. The 802.11 standard,	03:13:27	
13	and published in 1999, uses that one form of that	03:13:35	
14	collection of techniques, the collision avoidance	03:13:40	
15	process. And so that form of CSMA would be	03:13:48	
16	understood in general and here is applicable to the	03:13:56	
17	'551 Patent.	03:14:01	
18	Q. And I think as we discussed earlier that	03:14:04	
19	that involves for the '551 Patent involves the	03:14:07	
20	detection of power modulated under the carrier; is	03:14:12	
21	that accurate?	03:14:15	
22	A. No.	03:14:16	
23	Q. Okay. How how is that inaccurate?	03:14:17	
24	A. The CSMA measures the intentionally	03:14:20	
25	radiated power on a particular channel and	03:14:25	
		Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	108
----	-----------	--	----------
1	determine	es whether that channel is idle or busy.	03:14:29
2	Q.	Okay. So it's intentionally radiated	03:14:32
3	power?		03:14:38
4	Α.	What is it?	03:14:41
5		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	03:14:43
6	Q.	The detection of intentionally radiated	03:14:44
7	power?		03:14:46
8	Α.	I don't understand the question.	03:14:47
9	Q.	Well, I'm just trying to understand your	03:14:48
10	answer.		03:14:50
11		You mentioned intentionally radiated power	03:14:50
12	rather tl	han power generally.	03:14:54
13	Α.	Yes.	03:14:56
14	Q.	And so it's the detection of intentionally	03:14:57
15	radiated	power that references the CSMA?	03:15:03
16	Α.	Yes.	03:15:07
17	Q.	Okay. How is intentionally radiated	03:15:07
18	power	how is the detection of intentionally	03:15:11
19	radiated	power differentiated from	03:15:14
20	non-inte	ntionally radiated power?	03:15:17
21	Α.	Typically by the level.	03:15:21
22	Q.	Okay. Does it have a higher level?	03:15:26
23	Α.	What does?	03:15:32
24	Q.	Does it have a higher level of power?	03:15:34
25	Α.	What does?	03:15:37
			1

	Tra	canscript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	109	
1				02.15.20
T	MR. NIEBE	ERG: Objection to the form.		02 15 41
2	Q. Does CSMA	A for intentionally radiated		03:15:41
3	power, does it hav	ve a higher power level than		03:15:47
4	non-intentionally	radiated power? How does one		03:15:52
5	determine?			03:15:56
6	MR. NIEBE	ERG: Objection to the form.		03:15:57
7	Q. I do not	understand the answer. So you		03:15:59
8	mentioned intentio	onally radiated power in		03:16:03
9	connection with th	ne CSMA, did you not?		03:16:06
10	A. I did.			03:16:08
11	Q. How is a	person skilled in the art		03:16:09
12	distinguish intent	cionally radiated power from		03:16:11
13	non-intentionally	radiated power using that		03:16:14
14	detection?			03:16:19
15	A. Typically	, by the level and sometimes by		03:16:19
16	the occurrence of	correlation.		03:16:21
17	Q. When you	say "level," that means the level		03:16:24
18	of power detected?			03:16:28
19	A. Yes.			03:16:30
20	Q. With inte	entionally being typically higher		03:16:33
21	than non-intention	nally or vice versa?		03:16:35
22	A. The energ	gy received.		03:16:38
23	Q. The energ	gy received is higher for		03:16:40
24	intentionally radi	lated sources or		03:16:44
25	A. I was ask	king the previous I was		03:16:46

		Conducted on April 9, 2021	110	
1	answerin	g the previous question that you asked.		03:16:48
2		So give me a clean question, please.		03:16:51
3	Q.	Is the level you mentioned the level of		03:16:56
4	power de	tected.		03:17:00
5		Is it higher for intentionally radiated		03:17:03
6	power or	lower?		03:17:07
7	Α.	Than unintentionally radiated power?		03:17:11
8	Q.	Yes, correct.		03:17:14
9	Α.	Typically, it is higher. And oftentimes		03:17:15
10	has corr	elations, auto correlations.		03:17:18
11	Q.	Okay.		03:17:34
12	Α.	But, again, the fundamental process here		03:17:36
13	is to de	termine whether the channel was available		03:17:39
14	to be us	ed.		03:17:41
15	Q.	Okay. So if it determines that there is a		03:17:46
16	high lev	el of radiated power indicative of, as we		03:17:50
17	are call	ing it, intentionally radiated power, would		03:17:56
18	a device	in CSMA be allowed to transmit on the		03:18:03
19	channel	or not?		03:18:12
20	Α.	Well, you didn't have any temporal		03:18:12
21	Q.	At the moment you know, on or after the		03:18:26
22	time it	makes the		03:18:30
23	Α.	After a day? After a month?		03:18:36
24	Q.	Well, within whatever period. I assume		03:18:40
25	that it	that it is repeatedly checking or like		03:18:44

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	1
1	periodically checking, is that accurate, or	03:18:50
2	A. That's correct. And sometimes there's a	03:18:52
3	whole lot of recheck of the energy level out on the	03:18:55
4	channel in order to determine whether the channel	03:19:03
5	is busy or not busy. So the devil's in the details	03:19:05
6	there, I guess.	03:19:13
7	Q. Okay. So if it determines that it's busy	03:19:13
8	for a certain period of time, like immediately	03:19:17
9	after, would it be allowed to transmit within this	03:19:22
10	period, or would it be prevented from transmitting?	03:19:28
11	MR. NIEBERG: Objection.	03:19:31
12	THE WITNESS: Well, if there were only a	03:19:33
13	single measurement?	03:19:34
14	Q. I'm sorry. You broke up.	03:19:34
15	A. If there were only that single	03:19:36
16	measurement?	03:19:38
17	Q. Yes.	03:19:38
18	A. It depends on the exact algorithm used,	03:19:42
19	but, again, the fundamental purpose here is to	03:19:46
20	determine whether the channel is busy or not busy,	03:19:50
21	based on the occupancy of the channel by some other	03:19:54
22	transmitter.	03:19:59
23	Q. And if it determines that it's busy, what	03:20:01
24	does it do?	03:20:03
25	A. It depends on the algorithm, the specifics	03:20:03

## Transcript of Tim A Williams Ph D

ĺ	Conducted on April 9, 2021	2
1	of the algorithm. In some cases it goes to sleep,	03:20:09
2	kind of wakes up a few significant time periods	03:20:14
3	later, measures it again. If it's still busy, it	03:20:18
4	goes to sleep for a longer period of time, wakes up	03:20:20
5	after that longer period of time, checks. If it's	03:20:24
6	still busy, it goes to sleep for an exponentially	03:20:29
7	longer time, wakes up again, looks for activity on	03:20:31
8	the channel. If that's still if there's still	03:20:34
9	activity, it goes to sleep for an exponentially	03:20:35
10	longer time, et cetera. So it backs up off and	03:20:38
11	we say it backs off in an exponential manner.	03:20:41
12	So it depends on the aspects of the	03:20:45
13	algorithm.	03:20:49
14	Q. Okay. Within the context of the '551	03:20:50
15	Patent, what happens when it determines that it is	03:20:52
16	busy?	03:20:56
17	A. I don't remember the exact algorithm of	03:20:58
18	the '99 version of 802.11, but I believe	03:21:02
19	there's a it determines it's busy, backs off for	03:21:07
20	some period of time and retries. Then it declares	03:21:12
21	the channel busy if it gets if it finds	03:21:15
22	significant radiated intentional radiated power.	03:21:21
23	Otherwise, it proceeds into a transmitting	03:21:26
24	process.	03:21:29
25	Q. Okay. So just so I	03:21:29
		1

#### PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	13
1	A. That's my recollection. I would have to	03:21:29
2	refresh my recollection of the details of that	03:21:32
3	particular algorithm of the 802.11-'99 version in	03:21:36
4	respect.	03:21:40
5	Q. Well, no, I mean, outside of the	03:21:40
6	802.11-'99 version	03:21:43
7	A. There are many papers. There are many	03:21:50
8	papers, many techniques in the CSMA. So probably	03:21:53
9	many patents as well.	03:21:59
10	Q. Right. What's described with specific	03:22:01
11	reference to the '551 Patent?	03:22:04
12	A. Well, it just says CSMA/CA, and it points	03:22:06
13	to 802.11. But then in the claims, the claims talk	03:22:10
14	about Claim 1, for example, talks about	03:22:17
15	determined to be idle by carrier sense which is a	03:22:33
16	collection of algorithms.	03:22:37
17	So there are many ways to determine	03:22:40
18	carrier sense. CSMA/CA is one of those techniques.	03:22:42
19	Q. Okay.	03:22:49
20	A. If it's determined to be idle is the	03:22:50
21	determination to be idle can be done by CSMA/CA.	03:22:56
22	So the claim covers a broad number of techniques.	03:23:03
23	Q. In your Declaration, if you can turn to	03:23:08
24	the next page, Page 16, you will see about four	03:23:10
25	lines down the sentence, Before transmitting, an	03:23:19
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	114
1	802.11 device or node 'first' listens to ensure no	03:23:23
2	other node is transmitting' (i.e., senses whether	03:23:28
3	the channel is idle) and will only transmit 'if the	03:23:29
4	communications channel is clear' (i.e., idle).	03:23:33
5	Do you see that?	03:23:38
6	A. I do.	03:23:39
7	Q. Okay. And so whenever we are talking	03:23:39
8	about idle, is that are you referring to what	03:23:45
9	we've previously been terming not busy; is that	03:23:50
10	correct?	03:23:53
11	A. I think the more accurate definition of	03:23:53
12	idle here is available for use.	03:23:59
13	Q. Okay. And if it's not available for use,	03:24:20
14	there would, therefore, be no transmission by the	03:24:27
15	transceiver that's trying that we're looking at	03:24:31
16	that's trying to transmit; is that is that	03:24:33
17	correct?	03:24:35
18	A. Well, you didn't put any temporal	03:24:36
19	restrictions on that.	03:24:43
20	Q. Well, at least for whatever period we're	03:24:43
21	determining busyness or non-busyness.	03:24:47
22	A. No, not correct.	03:24:55
23	Q. Why is that incorrect?	03:24:57
24	A. In Figure 1 of the '551 Patent, if it	03:24:58
25	determines that the mandatory channel is idle, is	03:25:07

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	115
1	available for use, then we proceed to step S4 in	03:25:11
2	which we do do some work to organize the data to	03:25:17
3	be transmitted, and then we transmit simultaneously	03:25:23
4	on every wireless channel that's available to that	03:25:27
5	transmitter.	03:25:31
6	Q. So I think we may be passing each other a	03:25:32
7	bit.	03:25:36
8	So idle has, in reading the term here,	03:25:37
9	is means not busy; is that correct?	03:25:46
10	A. Well, as I just said, idle should be	03:25:48
11	understood in terms of is the channel available for	03:25:55
12	use.	03:26:01
13	Q. Okay. And that's done by listening?	03:26:04
14	A. Yes, in this case.	03:26:10
15	Q. So you listen?	03:26:14
16	A. It can be done by other techniques, but	03:26:14
17	one way to determine whether the channel is idle is	03:26:19
18	by receiving measuring the received power on	03:26:23
19	that particular channel.	03:26:33
20	Q. Is that what's done in the '551 Patent?	03:26:34
21	A. It's not specific. The claim just says in	03:26:39
22	one case carrier sense, and in the other case idle,	03:26:53
23	in the other two cases idle.	03:27:08
24	Q. At the in further in Paragraph 35	03:27:27
25	you say, "In a conventional wireless packet	03:27:30

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	116
1	communication apparatus, the wireless packet	03:27:33
2	communication apparatus performs carrier sense to	03:27:36
3	determine whether or not that wireless channel is	03:27:40
4	idle, and cites implementing 802.11-1999, thus	03:27:42
5	confirming that 802.11-1999 devices were	03:27:52
6	packet-based communication apparatuses that sensed	03:27:52
7	whether a wireless channel was idle before	03:27:56
8	transmitting packets over the designated channel."	03:27:59
9	Do you see that?	03:28:02
10	A. I do.	03:28:03
11	Q. What in 802.11-'99 is sensed in order to	03:28:03
12	make this idle determination?	03:28:14
13	A. Can I hear that question again?	03:28:16
14	Q. What is done in 802.11-1999 detected or	03:28:23
15	sensed in order to make the idle determination you	03:28:32
16	reference at the end of Paragraph 35?	03:28:36
17	A. That version of the standard uses CSMA,	03:28:40
18	collision avoidance algorithm.	03:28:47
19	Q. And what does it what does it what	03:28:51
20	does it do to to detect idle?	03:28:52
21	A. Again, it measures the availability of the	03:28:56
22	channel to determine whether that channel is	03:29:02
23	available for use.	03:29:05
24	Q. And what does it measure to determine	03:29:06
25	whether that channel is available for use?	03:29:08

#### PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	117
1	A. It measures received intentionally	03:29:13
2	radiated power.	03:29:34
3	Q. Is idle is idle, the word idle, as	03:29:44
4	you're sitting right here right now, are you aware	03:29:49
5	of any usage of the term "idle" in the '551 Patent	03:29:52
6	other than with respect to CSMA?	03:29:56
7	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	03:30:06
8	THE WITNESS: I don't believe the claim	03:30:07
9	limits the extent of the invention to only CSMA/CA	03:30:10
10	algorithms. Again, there are multiple algorithms	03:30:21
11	that determine idleness.	03:30:24
12	Q. In the specification is the term "idle"	03:30:25
13	used with respect to any context other than CSMA as	03:30:30
14	you as you that you're aware of sitting here	03:30:39
15	today?	03:30:41
16	MR. NIEBERG: Object to the form.	03:30:41
17	Q. And if you don't know as you're sitting	03:30:58
18	here today you can answer "I don't know."	03:31:00
19	A. The use of the word idle is used through	03:31:08
20	the '551 Patent. But when specifically talking	03:31:14
21	about the 802.11 system, the authors point to	03:31:20
22	CSMA/CA algorithm, but a POOSITA would understand	03:31:24
23	that there are many algorithms and architectures	03:31:29
24	that can be used to determine whether the wireless	03:31:32
25	channel is idle.	03:31:38

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	118
1	Q. So specifically with respect to the '551	03:31:39
2	Patent, are you aware of anything where of any	03:31:44
3	of any place where the '551 Patent specification	03:31:50
4	uses the word other than with respect to CSMA?	03:31:55
5	And if you're not aware, you can say "I am	03:32:04
6	not aware."	03:32:05
7	A. Well, for example, the disclosure on	03:32:17
8	Column 11, starts at Line 64, it goes to Column 12,	03:32:21
9	Line 10, has no limitations with regards to the	03:32:27
10	algorithm used in 802.11-1999 for carrier sense,	03:32:33
11	specifically the CSMA/CA algorithm.	03:32:39
12	Q. I'm sorry. Could you repeat the line	03:32:42
13	number?	03:32:44
14	A. Column 12, Line 64 to Column 13, Line	03:32:44
15	or Column Column 11 let's try it again.	03:32:55
16	Column 11, Line 64 to Column 12, Line 10.	03:33:00
17	THE WITNESS: Can I have my document back	03:33:05
18	up, please? Thank you. Can I get control,	03:33:06
19	Jillian? Yeah, thanks.	03:33:28
20	Q. So I see at Column 12, Line 5 where it	03:33:33
21	uses the word idle.	03:33:38
22	How is this inconsistent with the usage of	03:33:44
23	the term "idle" elsewhere in the '551 Patent?	03:33:48
24	A. I didn't say that was.	03:33:51
25	Q. That was the question, whether whether	03:33:53
		-

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	119
1	you're aware of any place	03:33:58
2	A. That wasn't that wasn't the question.	03:33:59
3	Q. What did you understand the question to	03:34:02
4	have been?	03:34:04
5	A. The '551 does not limit its	03:34:04
6	determination in its teaching, its determination	03:34:08
7	of idleness to the 802.11-1999 CSMA/CA algorithm.	03:34:13
8	Q. Correct.	03:34:19
9	A. My testimony was that a POOSITA would	03:34:21
10	understand that there were many techniques	03:34:25
11	described. There are multiple papers and years and	03:34:28
12	decades of determination of idleness on the channel	03:34:32
13	that could be used to determine idleness or to	03:34:37
14	determine actually, to be termed to determine	03:34:41
15	idleness by carrier sense, so	03:34:45
16	Q. Are any of those specifically identified	03:34:49
17	in the '551 Patent as you sit here today?	03:34:52
18	A. I don't think the disclosures of the '551	03:34:57
19	intend to limit their disclosure of the	03:35:03
20	determination of idleness to that particular	03:35:05
21	algorithm, and one of our most skilled in the art	03:35:07
22	coming to this patent would understand that there	03:35:12
23	are multiple techniques that can be used.	03:35:13
24	THE WITNESS: And if I could take a lunch	03:35:19
25	break?	03:35:19

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	120
1	Q. In where are multiple techniques	03:35:19
2	specifically described in the '551 Patent?	03:35:19
3	THE WITNESS: I would like to take a lunch	03:35:23
4	break.	03:35:24
5	And, again, a POOSITA coming to the '551	03:35:24
6	Patent would understand that there are multiple	03:35:31
7	algorithms and techniques to determine idleness as	03:35:32
8	that term is used in the '551 Patent.	03:35:36
9	MR. ATKINSON: All right.	03:35:39
10	THE WITNESS: I would like to take a lunch	03:35:39
11	break.	03:35:42
12	MR. ATKINSON: Do we go want to go for 30	03:35:43
13	minutes or an hour?	03:35:45
14	THE WITNESS: Forty-five?	03:35:47
15	MR. ATKINSON: Actually, let's go off the	03:35:47
16	record.	03:35:47
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:35.	03:35:47
18	(Brief break.)	03:47:32
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, 4:23.	04:23:13
20	BY MR. ATKINSON:	04:23:27
21	Q. All right. Dr. Williams, would you mind	04:23:27
22	turning to Paragraph 44 of your Declaration?	04:23:30
23	A. Yes. Okay.	04:23:33
24	Q. Yeah, I'm getting there. Sorry.	04:23:34
25	Okay. So you'll see in the first sentence	04:23:50

		Conducted on April 9, 2021	121	
1	on the tl	hird line you refer to leakage power.		04:23:54
2		Do you see that?		04:24:01
3	Α.	Yes, I do.		04:24:02
4	Q.	Okay. What is meant by the term "leakage		04:24:07
5	power," a	at least in the context of the '551 Patent?		04:24:11
6	Α.	In the '551 Patent, they equate leakage to		04:24:15
7	interfere	ence between transmitters.		04:24:21
8	Q.	So it's inference between transmitters or		04:24:27
9	channels	?		04:24:32
10	Α.	Well, transmitters transmit on channels.		04:24:32
11	So it's :	interference between transmitters		04:24:40
12	Q.	Are the		04:24:45
13	Α.	I'm sorry.		04:24:46
14		and other equipment that may be in this		04:24:47
15	particula	ar band that may not respect the		04:24:51
16	channeli	zation of the 802.11 standard.		04:24:56
17	Q.	Is it does it relate so we've talked		04:24:59
18	a lot abo	out transmitters sharing a carrier or a		04:25:03
19	channel.			04:25:11
20		Is it does it relate to the		04:25:12
21	transmis	sions on the same channel, or would it be		04:25:18
22	limited	to transmissions on different channels?		04:25:21
23	Α.	What is "it"?		04:25:27
24	Q.	Power leak or leakage power.		04:25:30
25	Α.	Well, any any transmission any		04:25:53

11

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021	122
1	any intentional radiation that interferes with the	04:26:03
2	other users of the system or even other users of	04:26:10
3	not the system would be leakage or interference,	04:26:16
4	and I would point you to Column 5, Lines 58 to 61.	04:26:21
5	Q. All right.	04:26:37
6	A. Here he's talking about I'll let	04:26:38
7	you get there.	04:26:44
8	Q. Yeah, I'm here. Where it says "assumed	04:26:45
9	that the wireless channels 1, 2 and 3"?	04:26:47
10	A. Right. Here he's talking about	04:26:48
11	interference between channels, but you can also	04:26:50
12	have interference on the same channel.	04:26:53
13	Q. Okay. Where does the '551 discuss	04:26:54
14	interference on the same channel? I'm sorry.	04:26:58
15	Where does it discuss leakage power	04:27:00
16	well so let me back up a second.	04:27:03
17	You understand leakage power and power	04:27:05
18	leakage to be the same thing, or are those	04:27:10
19	different concepts?	04:27:13
20	A. They are the same thing	04:27:14
21	Q. Okay.	04:27:16
22	A with regards to the '551.	04:27:16
23	Q. Okay. So where in the '551 is it	04:27:19
24	discussing leakage power within the same channel?	04:27:25
25	A. Column 2, Line 26 to 30. Also it's	04:27:28

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	123
1	primarily discussing between channels, but it can	04:27:55
2	also be interpreted as occupying the same channel	04:27:58
3	because not everything in this band is restricted	04:28:03
4	to the channelization per the 802.11 standard.	04:28:06
5	O. So I'm not seeing where it talks about	04:28:18
6	power leakage or leakage power in the in the	04:28:21
7	citation there.	04:28:27
8	A. Column 2.	04:28:32
9	Q. Yeah.	04:28:34
10	A. 26 through 30.	04:28:34
11	Q. I may be on the wrong column. 26 through	04:28:36
12	30? Okay.	04:28:39
13	A. Yes. "In the case where center	04:28:41
14	frequencies of multiple wireless channels used at	04:28:45
15	the same time are close to each other, an effect of	04:28:48
16	leakage power from one wireless channel to a	04:28:51
17	frequency region used by another wireless channel	04:28:52
18	becomes large."	04:28:55
19	0. Okav. So it's here it's talking about	04:28:58
20	multiple wireless channels; is that correct?	04:29:01
21	A. Yeah. Well, again, an intentional	04:29:03
22	radiation in that range of frequencies that's used	04:29:07
23	for the channel of the receiver is leakage. So if	04:29:12
24	there's something outside of the 802.11 compliant	04:29:18
25	transmitter in this band and say it has a let's	04:29:24

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021	124
1	say it has a 50 megahertz bandwidth, it's not	04:29:27
2	complying with the 802.11 rules, and it may its	04:29:37
3	channelization is different than 802.11. It may	04:29:41
4	interfere with multiple 802.11 channels.	04:29:45
5	Q. So where is the '551 Patent talking about	04:29:48
6	power leakage between channels, you know, outside	04:29:53
7	of potential like non-discussed sources of	04:29:58
8	interference?	04:30:03
9	A. I don't understand the question.	04:30:05
10	Q. So is it not correct that that let's	04:30:07
11	see Line 26, it says center frequencies of	04:30:15
12	multiple wireless channels. Is that not am I	04:30:19
13	misreading Lines 26 through 27?	04:30:25
14	A. No, you're reading that correctly.	04:30:29
15	Q. Okay. So the question was where it	04:30:31
16	discusses power leakage within a single channel.	04:30:36
17	A. Well, there are multiple ways that	04:30:58
18	intentionally radiated power could could be on a	04:31:02
19	particular 802.11 compliant channel in the 2.4 gig.	04:31:05
20	Q. Is this discussed in the '551 Patent as	04:31:14
21	you're aware here sitting here today?	04:31:18
22	A. This would be knowledge of a POOSITA	04:31:19
23	understanding that one of the systems pointed to by	04:31:26
24	the '551 is the 802.11 compliant system.	04:31:31
25	Q. Okay. But there is nothing that you're	04:31:34

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 12	5
1	aware of in the '551 Patent discussing single	04:31:36
2	channel power leakage as you sit here today?	04:31:40
3	A. That statement is not correct.	04:31:44
4	Q. Okay. Why is that incorrect?	04:31:47
5	A. I just pointed you to a section that would	04:31:48
6	be understood by a POOSITA to disclose interference	04:31:51
7	from one channel to another channel that may occupy	04:31:55
8	the same range of frequencies.	04:32:00
9	Q. Okay. So it's your position that the	04:32:02
10	that the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill	04:32:09
11	would would provide the the description of	04:32:14
12	power leakage or leakage power within a single	04:32:19
13	channel, or is it explicitly discussed in the '551	04:32:22
14	Patent?	04:32:27
15	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	04:32:28
16	THE WITNESS: Well, the '551 at Column	04:32:34
17	3 Column 3, Lines 33 to 35 says, "It is an	04:33:11
18	object of the present invention to avoid leakage	04:33:18
19	power from affecting an adjacent channel so as to	04:33:21
20	improve throughput by simultaneous transmission."	04:33:26
21	So they're particularly discussing	04:33:29
22	adjacent channels with simultaneous transmissions,	04:33:32
23	but if a POOSITA coming to the '551 would	04:33:39
24	understand that any transmission would be in a	04:33:42
25	particular channel would be what they call leakage	04:33:45

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D.
Conducted on April 9, 2021

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021	126
1	against another receiver trying to listen to that	04:33:51
2	same channel.	04:33:55
3	Q. Just so I understand your position	04:33:56
4	A. And it's understood it's widely	04:34:00
5	understood that 2.4 gig band is an ISM band which	04:34:03
6	is an unrestricted band which allows transmitters	04:34:06
7	of any nature to be put in there just so they	04:34:09
8	comply with the spectral mask requirements and the	04:34:11
9	transmission power requirements of the FCC. So	04:34:14
10	there is no restrictions to channels in this band	04:34:17
11	to have to be compatible with the 208.11.	04:34:22
12	Q. So just so I understand your position,	04:34:27
13	it's even although the sentence at well, from	04:34:31
14	Lines 33 yeah, 33 to 35 are talking about one	04:34:38
15	channel, an adjacent channel, that a person of	04:34:44
16	ordinary skill would understand it to relate also	04:34:48
17	to transmissions of the same channel?	04:34:52
18	A. No. You misrepresented my testimony.	04:34:57
19	Q. Okay. How is that incorrect?	04:34:59
20	A. Well, I think I said it accurately before.	04:35:03
21	Q. Well, what what did you perceive to be	04:35:06
22	inaccurate in my statement?	04:35:12
23	A. Almost everything.	04:35:13
24	Q. Okay. So just to just to be clear, it	04:35:15
25	says the object is, quote, to avoid leakage power	04:35:22
		1

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D.	
Conducted on April 9, 2021	

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	127
1	from affecting an adjacent channel, correct?	04:35:30
2	A. Yes.	04:35:33
3	Q. Is it your understanding that an adjacent	04:35:34
4	channel refers to the same channel?	04:35:38
5	A. No. But, again, a POOSITA would	04:35:43
6	understand that the ISM band transmitters don't	04:35:50
7	have to comply with the 802.11 channelization	04:35:53
8	standard. So they could be in an adjacent channel	04:35:59
9	and still interfering with an 802.11 compliant	04:36:04
10	receiver.	04:36:07
11	Q. But we are talking about a non-802.11	04:36:11
12	compliant device and an 802.11 compliant device.	04:36:16
13	So how is the noncompliant device using the same	04:36:21
14	channel as the compliant device?	04:36:26
15	A. For example, the 802.11 device may occupy	04:36:28
16	a channel of 20 megahertz. The noncompliant device	04:36:35
17	may occupy a channel of 50 megahertz. So the	04:36:40
18	noncompliant device is at the same time in an	04:36:44
19	adjacent 802.11 channel, as well as being on the	04:36:49
20	same channel as an 802.11 compliant receiver.	04:36:53
21	Q. Okay. So earlier we talked about channels	04:36:59
22	with respect to ranges of frequency.	04:37:02
23	Do you remember that discussion?	04:37:05
24	A. Yes.	04:37:05
25	Q. Although a 20 megahertz range is subsumed	04:37:08

		Conducted on April 9, 2021	128
1	within	a 50 megahertz range, the two ranges would	04:37:13
2	be diff	erent ranges, would they not?	04:37:17
3	Α.	I can't answer your question without more	04:37:19
4	detail.		04:37:24
5	Q.	Well, you just mentioned a 50 megahertz	04:37:24
6	range a	nd a 20 megahertz range, did you not?	04:37:27
7	Α.	I did.	04:37:31
8	Q.	And you were indicating that you felt the	04:37:31
9	50 mega	hertz range would be the same channel as the	04:37:36
10	20 mega	hertz range; is that inaccurate?	04:37:40
11	Α.	Yes.	04:37:43
12	Q.	It is inaccurate? How so?	04:37:43
13	Α.	Because 20 megahertz does not equal 50	04:37:46
14	megaher	tz.	04:37:51
15	Q.	Right. So it's a different range?	04:37:52
16	Α.	I didn't say that.	04:37:56
17	Q.	How is a 20 megahertz range the same as a	04:38:01
18	50 mega	hertz range?	04:38:05
19	Α.	I didn't testify as to that.	04:38:07
20	Q.	So you regard them as different ranges?	04:38:09
21	Α.	No.	04:38:16
22	Q.	The same range?	04:38:18
23	Α.	No.	04:38:20
24	Q.	I'm confused. Are they not either the	04:38:22
25	same or	different?	04:38:26

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	129
1	Hello?	04:38:56
2	A. Hang on. Can you see that?	04:38:56
3	Q. Yes.	04:39:10
4	A. So the horizontal access is increasing	04:39:10
5	frequency. The vertical access is power. We have	04:39:14
6	a transmitter that is transmitting with a bandwidth	04:39:16
7	of 50 megahertz, and a transmitter that is	04:39:20
8	transmitting with a bandwidth of 20 megahertz and	04:39:23
9	intends to receive information in that bandwidth.	04:39:27
10	And so here we have a situation in which	04:39:31
11	the transmitter of 50 megahertz is interfering with	04:39:35
12	the receiver having a 20 megahertz channelization.	04:39:39
13	Q. Okay. So I maybe didn't state this	04:39:43
14	clearly, but earlier I mentioned I said that	04:39:48
15	although a 20 megahertz channel could be subsumed	04 <b>:</b> 39 <b>:</b> 52
16	within a 50 megahertz channel, i.e., the range of	04 <b>:</b> 39 <b>:</b> 57
17	frequencies represented by the 20 megahertz channel	04:40:02
18	is completely within the range of the 50 megahertz,	04:40:08
19	is it your contention that both ranges are the same	04:40:18
20	and/or are they the same channel?	04:40:20
21	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	04:40:23
22	THE WITNESS: No.	04:40:25
23	Q. They are the same channel or are not the	04:40:30
24	same channel?	04:40:31
25	A. Well, 50 does not equal 20, so they are	04:40:32

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	130
1	not the same.	04:40:39
2	The question is whether the 50 megahertz	04:40:41
3	transmitter interferes with the 20 megahertz	04:40:43
4	receiver. So what the 20 megahertz system	04:40:47
5	perceives as a channel that it is supposed to work	04:40:51
6	on, which is an 802.11 compliant channel, the 50	04:40:58
7	megahertz transmitter will be providing intentional	04:41:02
8	radiation within the channel of the 20 megahertz	04:41:07
9	receiver.	04:41:12
10	Q. Where is that where is that	04:41:14
11	specifically discussed in the '551 Patent	04:41:17
12	A. It's not.	04:41:21
13	Q the '551 Patent?	04:41:23
14	A. It's not. This is the knowledge of a	04:41:23
15	POOSITA that would come to the '511 Patent.	04:41:24
16	Q. Okay.	04:41:27
17	A. So this is this is inherent in the use	04:41:28
18	of the ISM band because the ISM band is not	04:41:32
19	channelized by the FCC. The FCC simply allocates	04:41:35
20	the ISM band. And as I've testified multiple times	04:41:40
21	before, the FCC specifies the band limits by a	04:41:45
22	spectral mask, as well as the radiated power that	04:41:51
23	can take place within that band, and then the	04:41:55
24	industry has specified a use, one use of many, for	04:41:58
25	that ISM band in terms of 802.11 compliant	04:42:03
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	131
1	transceivers.	04:42:08
2	Q. Okay. What is leakage power or power	04:42:14
3	leakage, and how does leakage power or power	04:42:18
4	leakage occur?	04:42:22
5	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	04:42:22
6	THE WITNESS: Well, one can	04:42:26
7	Q. Wait a second. Hold on.	04:42:29
8	What is what is leakage power or power	04:42:31
9	leakage?	04:42:33
10	A. Well, in terms of the '551, it is	04:42:35
11	interference of one interference of one receiver	04:42:39
12	by another's transmitter.	04:42:44
13	Q. Could you repeat that? It's interference	04:42:48
14	by one transceiver with another transceiver?	04:42:51
15	A. No. It is interference of a receiver by	04:42:54
16	another transmitter.	04:42:59
17	Q. So two transmitters, basically?	04:43:01
18	A. No.	04:43:05
19	Q. No?	04:43:06
20	A. It is	04:43:08
21	Q. Okay. Just to be clear, two transmitters	04:43:09
22	with a receiver or is it a single transmitter and a	04:43:11
23	receiver?	04:43:16
24	A. No. It is inference at a receiver by	04:43:16
25	another transmitter.	04:43:19

		Conducted on April 9, 2021	132
1	Q.	So it's interference with the receiver's	04:43:26
2	transmiss	ions, or are we talking about a	04:43:30
3	transceiv	er?	04:43:34
4	Α.	Ceivers do not transmit.	04:43:35
5	Q.	So it's interference at a receiver	04:43:37
6	Α.	Ceivers do not intentionally transmit.	04:43:41
7	Q.	Okay. So it's interference at a receiver	04:43:44
8	by a sing	le transmitter or multiple transmitters?	04:43:47
9	Α.	Could be either.	04:43:56
10	Q .	And how could it be the same transmitter?	04:44:07
11	Is it a t	ransmitter having two different antennas	04:44:10
12	and trans	mitting at the same time, or how does it	04:44:16
13	work?		04:44:19
14	Α.	I didn't say it was the same transmitter.	04:44:19
15	Q.	Or transceiver.	04:44:23
16	Α.	I don't understand the question.	04:44:26
17	Q.	So we have interference. We have a	04:44:26
18	connectio	n between the transmitter and a receiver,	04:44:33
19	correct?		04:44:36
20	Α.	No. I'll assume that in your	04:44:36
21	hypotheti	cal, I guess.	04:44:41
22	Q.	So Transmitter 1 is transmitting on	04:44:41
23	Channel 1	to transmitter or to Receiver A,	04:44:44
24	Transmitt	er 1, Channel 1, Receiver A.	04:44:48
25	Α.	You've got TX1 going over to Channel 1 to	04:44:53
	-		-

		Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	133
1	Receive	r A.	04:44:57
2	0.	Correct.	04:44:58
3	A.	Okav.	04:44:59
4	0.	So what is interfering with that what	04:45:00
5	is power	r leakage vis-a-vis that connection?	04:45:07
6	poc.	Anything that causes intentional radiation	04:45:11
7	on Chan	nel 1 that can affect the receiving ability	04:45:18
8	of Rece	iver A	04:45:22
9	0	And how what would be necessary in	04:45:25
10	v. order f	or the what would Transceiver 1 have to	04:45:31
11	do in o	rder to interfere with itself in this	04:45:40
12	hypothe	tical?	04.45.44
13	пуроспе	Well there isn't a transceiver in your	04.45.44
11	A.	weil, there ish t a transcerver in your	04.45.49
15	пуроспе	On There it an There are 1	04.45.51
16	Q.	Un fransmitter of fransceiver f.	04.45.51
17	A .	well, you said transmitter.	04.45.54
1/	Q.	Okay. Transmitter 1. What would need to	04:45:56
18	interfe	re with Transmitter 1.	04:46:02
19	Α.	Nothing interferes with Transmitter 1.	04:46:04
20	You're	talking at interference at the receiver, not	04:46:07
21	the tra	nsmitter.	04:46:11
22	Q.	Right.	04:46:12
23		How would Transmitter 1 interfere with	04:46:13
24	itself a	as receiver the receiver?	04:46:14
25	Α.	Which receiver?	04:46:20

#### Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 134 04:46:22 1 O. I think we called it A. 2 04:46:27 How would Transmitter 1 interfere with Α. 3 itself at Receiver A? 04:46:30 04:46:32 4 Yeah, I could -- unless I'm Q. 04:46:33 5 misunderstanding. I thought you were testifying 6 04:46:35 that you felt that the transmitter could interfere 04:46:37 7 with itself. 8 04:46:38 Α. It can. 9 04:46:39 Q. Okay. And how would that be? 10 04:46:41 A. A transceiver can interfere with itself. 04:46:45 11 Okay. How would a transceiver interfere Q. 04:46:47 12 with itself? 04:46:49 13 So we talked about Transmitter 1. Let's Α. 04:46:51 14 talk about Transceiver 1 in your hypothetical. We 04:46:57 15 are not talking about Receiver A. We're talking 04:46:59 16 about Receiver 1. 04:47:00 17 So radiation that is radiated by 04:47:06 18 Transceiver -- by Transmitter 1 may affect the 19 04:47:10 receiving ability of Receiver 1. That typically 04:47:19 20 occurs when the transmitter is transmitting at the 04:47:22 21 same time the receiver is receiving. 22 And are those different channels or the 04:47:25 Q. 23 same channel? 04:47:2704:47:28 24 Depends on the duplexing scheme. Α. We 25 04:47:35 talked about duplexing this morning.

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	135
1	Q. Can you point to where the '551 discusses	04:47:37
2	that, the single channel power leakage?	04:47:49
3	A. Well, we just discussed	04:47:59
4	MR. NIEBERG: Objection to form.	04:48:01
5	THE WITNESS: it a few minutes ago.	04:48:03
6	Q. Okay. And it's your contention that	04:48:05
7	that's within I believe it was is it Column	04:48:07
8	1, Lines 33 to 35 or	04:48:13
9	A. No, Column 2.	04:48:16
10	Q. I'm sorry. Column 3, I meant to say.	04:48:18
11	Go ahead. You said Column 2?	04:48:23
12	A. So the focus of the '551 is as expressed	04:48:45
13	in Column 3, Lines 33 to 35, is to avoid leakage	04:48:52
14	from adjacent channels, not the same channel.	04:48:57
15	Q. Correct.	04:49:01
16	A. And so depending on the duplexing scheme,	04:49:03
17	the depending on the duplexing architecture, the	04:49:13
18	adjacent channel may be the same transmitter in the	04:49:20
19	same it may be the transmitter within the same	04:49:23
20	transceiver.	04:49:27
21	Now, in 802.11 it's time vision duplex.	04:49:36
22	So ostensibly the intentionally radiated power has	04:49:43
23	died out before the receiver becomes active, but	04:49:47
24	still there's residual power in the channel which	04:49:50
25	is why the guard periods are there in the	04:49:54
		-

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	136
1	protocols.	04:49:58
2	Q. Is duplexing discussed anywhere that you	04:49:58
3	aware of sitting here today in the '551 Patent?	04:50:03
4	A. Duplexing is a communications engineering	04:50:06
5	101 subject. So it's would be well understood	04:50:11
6	by a POOSITA even graduating with a bachelor's of	04:50:16
7	science degree in communication systems.	04:50:21
8	Q. So just to be clear, your contention is	04:50:23
9	that it would be understood by a person of ordinary	04:50:27
10	skill in the art but not specifically discussed in	04:50:32
11	the '551 Patent?	04:50:35
12	A. What is "it"?	04:50:37
13	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	04:50:37
14	Q. The duplexing.	04:50:38
15	Is the word duplex mentioned anywhere in	04:50:41
16	the '551 Patent regardless as you're sitting	04:50:45
17	here today, are you aware of any place where	04:50:49
18	duplexing is mentioned?	04:50:51
19	A. I just did a search of the patent, and I	04:50:54
20	did not find the word duplex. However, duplexing	04:50:56
21	is an elemental fundamental concept that would be	04:51:00
22	taught in undergraduate school communications	04:51:05
23	engineering.	04:51:08
24	Q. So leaving this single channel aspect	04:51:08
25	aside, how does power leakage occur between two	04:51:15

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	137
1	different channels or two adjacent channels?	04:51:19
2	MR. NIEBERG: Object to the form.	04:51:26
3	THE WITNESS: Well	04:51:27
4	Q. How does power leakage occur in two	04:51:31
5	adjacent channels?	04:51:34
6	A. Your example, TX1 transmits on a channel,	04:51:36
7	and it has intentional radiation in a set in a	04:51:40
8	particular channel, but that radiation may go	04:51:46
9	outside the bounds of its mask or may interfere	04:51:51
10	with a receiver that intends to receive information	04:51:56
11	on frequencies that are contained within its	04:52:01
12	transmission mask.	04:52:04
13	Q. Okay. Turning back to Paragraph 44 of	04:52:09
14	your Declaration, do you see on the fourth line	04:52:14
15	where you mentioned you see the words "becomes a	04:52:19
16	problem" in quotes?	04:52:25
17	A. Yes.	04:52:28
18	Q. Are you referencing the leakage power	04:52:28
19	issue that you discussed in the prior line, or is	04:52:34
20	there something else that is the problem here?	04:52:38
21	MR. NIEBERG: Objection to form.	04:52:43
22	THE WITNESS: I am quoting the patent	04:52:45
23	here.	04:52:48
24	Q. Okay.	04:52:55
25	A. The quotes are from the patent, the quotes	04:53:01
		1

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021	138
1	according from the patent.	04:53:01
2	Q. So that would be at Column 2, Lines 30 to	04:53:03
3	38, I assume, or	04:53:07
4	A. As I recall, yes.	04:53:07
5	Q. Line 38, it says well, I can read the	04:53:18
6	prior language. It says, "The effect of leakage	04:53:25
7	power from another wireless channel used for	04:53:27
8	simultaneous transmission becomes a problem.	04:53:31
9	It says "becomes a problem." Is it your	04:53:33
10	understanding that's talking about the effect of	04:53:35
11	leakage power?	04:53:37
12	A. Well, this paragraph that starts on Line	04:53:48
13	26 and ends on Line 38 is talking about	04:53:51
14	interference or leakage. So that's the that's	04:53:57
15	the subject of this paragraph.	04:54:01
16	Q. And this one is specifically referencing	04:54:02
17	at least two channel power leakage since it says	04:54:09
18	"another wireless channel," is it not?	04:54:14
19	MR. NIEBERG: Object to the form.	04:54:16
20	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the	04:54:21
21	question.	04:54:22
22	Q. So do you see where it says "another	04:54:22
23	wireless channel" on Line 36?	04:54:25
24	A. Yes, I see it.	04:54:36
25	Q. Okay. That's referring to you know,	04:54:37

139

1	that's not referring to the same channel leaking	04:54:43
2	upon itself. That's referring to a second	04:54:48
3	another wireless channel, is it not?	04:54:50
4	A. Well, we just had a discussion of the 50	04:54:52
5	megahertz channel and the 20 megahertz channel.	04:55:01
6	Q. Yeah, but that's not discussed in I	04:55:04
7	don't see anything about 20 megahertz and 50	04:55:06
8	megahertz in this paragraph.	04:55:10
9	A. Yes, but you do see the disclosure the	04:55:12
10	ISM band which is well known to not be channelized	04:55:15
11	by the FCC. So we've discussed this many times	04:55:19
12	today.	04:55:22
13	Q. Do you have any understanding of the term	04:55:22
14	"code-based channels"?	04:55:38
15	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	04:55:42
16	THE WITNESS: In what context?	04:55:43
17	Q. Just either generally or to the extent	04:55:46
18	that you can answer within a CDMA system.	04:55:52
19	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	04:55:58
20	THE WITNESS: Can I have the question	04:56:04
21	again, please?	04:56:06
22	Q. Do you have any understanding of whether	04:56:07
23	there or do you have a oh, sorry. Strike	04:56:20
24	that.	04:56:23
25	Do you have an understanding of what	04:56:24

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	140
1	code-based channels are in the context of a CDMA	04:56:31
2	system?	04:56:37
3	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	04:56:37
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	04:56:39
5	Q. What are code-based channels in the CDMA	04:56:40
6	system?	04:56:44
7	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	04:56:45
8	THE WITNESS: They are channels sorry.	04:56:46
9	I interfered with you, Greg.	04:56:48
10	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope.	04:56:49
11	THE WITNESS: They are channels, not the	04:56:51
12	same kind of channels we have been discussing in	04:56:54
13	the '551, that are separated based on the code	04:56:57
14	applied to that channel.	04:57:03
15	Q. Okay. What does power leakage mean with	04:57:04
16	respect to such code-based channels in the CDMA	04:57:18
17	system?	04:57:23
18	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, scope, form.	04:57:23
19	THE WITNESS: I have not expressed an	04:57:25
20	opinion about this.	04:57:27
21	Q. Okay. Do you understand do you have an	04:57:28
22	opinion about whether it's possible for one	04:57:33
23	code-based channel to have power leakage with	04:57:36
24	another code-based channel in the CDMA system.	04:57:40
25	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	04:57:43

11

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 141				
1	THE WITNESS. Not that I've expressed in	04:57:45		
2	this case	04:57:48		
ر ا	O I mean de veu have an opinion sitting	04.57.49		
<u>с</u>	Q. I mean, do you have an opinion sitting	04.57.53		
5	MP NIEPEPC: Objection form score	04.57.57		
5	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	04.58.00		
0	THE WITNESS: I don't think it's I	04.58.00		
7	don't think your question is relevant to this case,	04:58:04		
8	but I can give you a technical answer.	04:58:06		
9	Q. Sure. Go ahead.	04:58:08		
10	A. Can I have the question again?	04:58:09		
11	Q. What is your understanding of do you	04:58:12		
12	have an understanding about whether there could be	04:58:17		
13	power leakage between code-based channels in the	04:58:19		
14	CDMA system?	04:58:22		
15	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	04:58:24		
16	THE WITNESS: Again, it's not relevant to	04:58:26		
17	this particular case, but to the extent that the	04:58:29		
18	codes used to separate the channels, and, again,	04:58:33		
19	it's not the channels we are talking about here in	04:58:37		
20	the '551 case, to the extent those codes are not	04:58:40		
21	mutually orthogonal, there would be interference	04:58:44		
22	between the decoding of those channels if they are	04:58:50		
23	transmitted simultaneously.	04:58:52		
24	Sorry. Was there a question pending?	04:59:20		
25	Q. Can code-based channels occupy the same	04:59:21		

		Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	142
1	frequenc	y range in a CDMA system?	04:59:33
2		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	04:59:35
3		THE WITNESS: The same as what?	04:59:37
4	Q.	Like let's say that the range of the	04:59:39
5	range tr	ansmitted by a channel coded with code one	04:59:44
6	is zero	to twenty and the range of frequencies	04:59:51
7	transmit	ted in for code Channel 2 or code two is	05:00:00
8	also is	zero to twenty in a CDMA system, is that	05:00:05
9	possible	?	05:00:10
10		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	05:00:10
11		THE WITNESS: You wouldn't have	05:00:11
12	transmis	sion at zero.	05:00:14
13	Q.	Well	05:00:15
14	Α.	20 megahertz is not a	05:00:16
15	Q.	Let's pick another range.	05:00:19
16	Α.	20 megahertz is not a radio frequency.	05:00:24
17	Q.	Let's go with, you know, say 2,400 to	05:00:24
18	2,420, o	r something like that.	05:00:28
19		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	05:00:30
20		THE WITNESS: So, again, I haven't opined	05:00:33
21	on this,	and it's not your question isn't	05:00:38
22	relevant	to the '551 case. So I can answer	05:00:40
23	technica	lly.	05:00:48
24	Q.	Yes, please.	05:00:50
25	Α.	If you have two coded transmissions both	05:00:51

143

1	occupying the same frequency at the same time,	05:00:59
2	those two code transmissions can interfere with	05:01:11
3	each other if the codes are not mutually if the	05:01:15
4	codes don't have zero auto correlation, zero	05:01:26
5	correlation between the two.	05:01:31
6	Q. And whenever you talk about oh, I'm	05:01:32
7	sorry. Go ahead.	05:01:36
8	A. If the two if the two codes don't have	05:01:37
9	zero cross correlation, then they will interfere	05:01:41
10	with each other at the receiver.	05:01:47
11	Q. And does that have to do with	05:01:49
12	orthogonality or some other aspect?	05:01:55
13	A. Yes. Orthogonality is another way to say	05:01:56
14	zero cross correlation.	05:02:00
15	Q. So is it your understanding that non-zero	05:02:06
16	cross correlation is a type of power leakage?	05:02:09
17	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	05:02:15
18	THE WITNESS: I've not expressed an	05:02:19
19	opinion.	05:02:20
20	Q. Do you know sitting here today?	05:02:21
21	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	05:02:25
22	THE WITNESS: Again, power leakage is used	05:02:26
23	in the '551 and the if we have two code-based	05:02:31
24	channels, the interference is not based on	05:02:46
25	leakage leakage of power. The interference is	05:02:51
	Conducted on April 9, 2021	144
----	--	----------
1	based on nonorthogonality.	05:02:57
2	Q. So just to clarify. Is that a, yes, it is	05:03:02
3	due to hour power leakage or, no, it is not due to	05:03:08
4	power leakage?	05:03:12
5	A. Well, it again, what does "it" mean?	05:03:14
6	What do you mean by "it"?	05:03:21
7	MR. ATKINSON: Can we have the last answer	05:03:23
8	read back, please.	05:03:25
9	(Pending answer read.)	05:04:03
10	THE WITNESS: So it's not based on power	05:04:03
11	leakage.	05:04:06
12	Q. Okay. Hold on just a second.	05:04:12
13	Do you mind turning to Figure 1 of the	05:04:30
14	of the '551 Patent?	05:04:47
15	A. Yes.	05:04:48
16	Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about this	05:04:52
17	flow chart earlier.	05:04:55
18	Is it your understanding that Figure 1	05:04:58
19	represents at least one of the ways per the way	05:05:03
20	that the '551 Patent attempts to solve the problem	05:05:07
21	of power leakage?	05:05:11
22	A. So Column 3, Lines 33 to 35, "It's an	05:05:30
23	object of the present invention to avoid leakage	05:05:35
24	power from affecting an adjacent channel so as to	05:05:39
25	improve the throughput of simultaneous	05:05:42

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	145
1	transmission."	05:05:47
2	And then Column 5, Line 4, "Figure 1 is a	05:05:48
3	flow chart according to the first embodiment of the	05:05:50
4	present invention.	05:05:54
5	"Figure 2 is a time chart of an exemplary	05:05:55
6	option operation in the first embodiment of the	05:06:00
7	present invention."	05:06:02
8	Column 5, Line 48, "Figure 1 is a flow	05:06:15
9	chart of a wireless packet communication method	05:06:18
10	according to the first embodiment of the present	05:06:20
11	invention."	05:06:23
12	So yes.	05:06:25
13	Q. Okay. Would you mind turning to Paragraph	05:06:26
14	52 of your Declaration?	05:06:51
15	A. Fifty-two. Yes.	05:06:55
16	Q. Yes.	05:06:56
17	A. Okay.	05:07:01
18	Q. Let me get there myself. Okay.	05:07:01
19	So you see Figure 2, I guess, like	05:07:19
20	reproduced above the Paragraph 52? Do you see	05:07:23
21	that?	05:07:28
22	A. I do.	05:07:28
23	Q. Then you say, "If channel 3 were the	05:07:29
24	mandatory channel, then the STA could not transmit	05:07:35
25	packets over idle channels 1 and 2 at time (1)	05:07:39
		I

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 146			
1	because the mandatory channel is not also idle."	05:07:44	
2	Do you see that?	05:07:47	
3	A. Yes.	05:07:47	
4	Q. All right. Is it your understanding that	05:07:50	
5	if the mandatory channel in this example you	05:07:56	
6	describe were not is not idle or it is not	05:08:00	
7	idle, that there could be no transmission on	05:08:05	
8	Channel 2? I'm sorry. Hold on. Strike that.	05:08:11	
9	Okay. I'm sorry. The hold on. Okay.	05:08:15	
10	Yeah, so the hypothetical is at Channel 3 is the	05:08:25	
11	mandatory channel.	05:08:29	
12	A. Yes.	05:08:30	
13	Q. Would it be possible for the	05:08:31	
14	A. The spec talks about Channel 1 being the	05:08:34	
15	mandatory channel.	05:08:38	
16	Q. Okay. But in 52 you say, at Figure 2	05:08:39	
17	example, at time T1, 1 and 2 are idle while Channel	05:08:45	
18	3 is busy transmitting a wireless packet, right?	05:08:49	
19	A. Yes.	05:08:54	
20	Q. Yeah. Then you say if channel 3 were the	05:08:56	
21	mandatory channel, then you cannot transmit packets	05:09:00	
22	over idle Channels 1 and 2?	05:09:06	
23	A. Depends on one at time one.	05:09:10	
24	Q. Right, because the mandatory channel,	05:09:14	
25	a/k/a Channel 3, is not also idle; is that right?	05:09:18	

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	147
1	A. Yes, you read that correctly.	05:09:19
2	Q. So at least with respect to Channels 1 and	05:09:22
3	2, there could be no transmission in this example?	05:09:26
4	A. If the transceiver that if the	05:09:37
5	transmitter that intends to transmit on Channels 1	05:09:47
6	and 2 were established to have a mandatory channel	05:09:51
7	of Channel 3, that would be true.	05:09:57
8	In other words, if you look at the flow	05:10:00
9	chart, there would be a test of the mandatory	05:10:02
10	channel, and if it were not idle, the loop would	05:10:06
11	just loop back to continually testing the mandatory	05:10:13
12	channel.	05:10:18
13	Q. So would it be fair to say that this test	05:10:20
14	of the idleness of Channel 3 is determinative of	05:10:27
15	whether that transceiver can at that time transmit	05:10:32
16	on Channels 1 or 2?	05:10:37
17	A. I'm not sure I understood your question,	05:10:49
18	but if you look at Figure 1, flow chart, which	05:10:51
19	Figure 2 is an example of, you have the test of the	05:10:55
20	mandatory channel before you create the packets to	05:11:00
21	be transmitted. So there's there's the	05:11:04
22	requirement that the mandatory channel be available	05:11:10
23	before you can transmit.	05:11:14
24	Q. Right. Well, at least on Channels, you	05:11:16
25	know, 1 and well, so in the flow chart, we look	05:11:24

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021	148
1	at the mandatory channel being idle or not idle.	05:11:30
2	And if it's no, you go in a loop, and if it's yes,	05:11:34
3	you go down, and you can and you transmit on	05:11:39
4	in this example, that hypothetical that we're going	05:11:44
5	through with Figure 2, you cannot transmit on	05:11:47
6	Channels 1 or 2 when the mandatory Channel 3 is not	05:11:56
7	idle; is that correct?	05:12:03
8	A. So let's assume that in this hypothetical	05:12:04
9	Channel 1, 2 and 3 are all are all transmissions	05:12:16
10	by the same transceiver, just to make it simple.	05:12:23
11	We can do it for other transceivers too, but let's	05:12:28
12	just make it simple that Channels 1, 2 and 3 are	05:12:32
13	all transmissions by the same transceiver.	05:12:33
14	Q. Okay.	05:12:38
15	A. Okay. So if you go down through this flow	05:12:38
16	chart, you get to S2, the flow chart of Figure 1,	05:12:41
17	you get to S2 which is search idle wireless channel	05:12:45
18	by carrier sense, and there's the decision, is the	05:12:52
19	mandatory channel idle?	05:12:54
20	So in our hypothetical the mandatory	05:12:56
21	channel is number 3, and at high point one, Channel	05:12:58
22	3 is being used for transmission which is shown by	05:13:05
23	the hashed box.	05:13:08
24	So that that decision would be no, and	05:13:11
25	we would loop back around to from S3 back to S2 $$	05:13:17

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	149
1	and we would just stay in that loop until the	05:13:22
2	Channel 3 transmission ended.	05:13:25
3	Q. Okay. Let's expand that hypothetical a	05:13:28
4	little.	05:13:33
5	A. Sorry. Then once it ends, then S3 would	05:13:34
6	succeed on getting yes, and then you would fall	05:13:40
7	through to S4 where you look at your packets you	05:13:42
8	look at your channels and the transceiver would	05:13:48
9	say, Oh, I have three channels to transmit. So it	05:13:51
10	would organize its packets based on its	05:13:54
11	availability of having three channels to transmit,	05:13:57
12	and then it would take those packets and send them	05:14:02
13	out over the Channels 1, 2 and 3.	05:14:04
14	Q. Okay. I want to modify that just a bit	05:14:09
15	and say let's say that there that	05:14:13
16	transceiver that the transceiver we're talking	05:14:19
17	about is not itself transmitting on Channel 3, but	05:14:22
18	let's say that it detects a transmission by another	05:14:30
19	STA, S-T-A, on Channel 3. Okay?	05:14:40
20	A. That's what the patent says, that other	05:14:46
21	transceivers aren't informed as to which channel is	05:14:49
22	the mandatory channel.	05:14:54
23	Q. Right, yeah. Just to be clear, we're	05:14:56
24	keeping Channel 3 the mandatory channel in this	05:14:58
25	hypothetical. The only thing I'm changing is other	05:15:02

150

		1
1	than it being its own	05:15:04
2	A. The transmitters the second transmitter	05:15:06
3	may know that Channel 3 is the mandatory channel.	05:15:12
4	The second transmitter may make its decisions based	05:15:15
5	on Figure 1 and have a result showing Figure 2.	05:15:19
6	Q. Okay. So just to be clear, we're I'm	05:15:23
7	talking about Transceiver 1. But here, the same as	05:15:26
8	prior sample, rather than transmitting on mandatory	05:15:34
9	Channel 3, it detects a transmission by another STA	05:15:38
10	on Channel 3. Okay?	05:15:42
11	A. Yes.	05:15:45
12	Q. So would the determination of nonidleness	05:15:45
13	be determinative of whether the original station we	05:15:57
14	were talking about being able to transmit on	05:16:01
15	Channels 1 and 2?	05:16:05
16	A. Would it be	05:16:08
17	Q. Would the mandatory channel be	05:16:10
18	determinative of whether transmission would be	05:16:13
19	possible for the original station on Channels 1 and	05:16:16
20	2 where mandatory Channel 3 detects nonidleness?	05:16:22
21	A. I'm not sure I understand your	05:16:30
22	hypothetical. So Channel 3 is transmitting.	05:16:32
23	Q. Well, Channel 3 doesn't transmit. Channel	05:16:35
24	3 either has a transmission or it doesn't have a	05:16:39
25	transmission. I'm pausing in the earlier	05:16:41
		1

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 151			
1	example	05:16:44	
2	A. There is potential radiation on Channel 3	05:16:44	
3	in your hypothetical, and the question is whether a	05:16:48	
4	second	05:16:50	
5	Q. It's not by Channel 1. It's by something	05:16:52	
6	else. I'm sorry. I said Channel 1. I meant	05:16:54	
7	Station 1.	05:16:58	
8	A. So you've got Station 1 and Station 2, and	05:17:01	
9	Station 2 is currently transmitting on Channel 3.	05:17:11	
10	Q. Correct. The mandatory channel, Channel	05:17:17	
11	3, and that's detected by Station 1.	05:17:19	
12	A. So that's either informed by the system or	05:17:23	
13	that's determined by carrier sense in this S2 state	05:17:28	
14	of Figure 1.	05:17:34	
15	Q. Correct.	05:17:37	
16	A. So the carrier sense is the mandatory	05:17:38	
17	channel is not idle.	05:17:40	
18	Q. Correct.	05:17:42	
19	A. Okay. So your question is what?	05:17:42	
20	Q. Can is that determination is that	05:17:46	
21	determination at step S3 in this example	05:17:51	
22	determinative whether the determine whether or	05:17:53	
23	not transmission is possible for that station on	05:17:57	
24	Channels 1 and 2, or 2, either one?	05:18:00	
25	A. And by "that station," you mean Station 1?	05:18:18	

	Conducted on April 9, 202	1 15	2
1	Q. Station 1.		05:18:21
2	A. And Station 1 is configured to	be capable	05:18:23
3	of transmitting on Channel 1 and Channe	1 2?	05:18:26
4	Q. It can it can transmit on a	ll on,	05:18:29
5	you know, all three channels.		05:18:34
6	A. And it knows the mandatory cha	nnel is	05:18:35
7	Channel 3?		05:18:38
8	Q. Right.		05:18:38
9	A. So it will, according to the t	eachings of	05:18:39
10	the patent, it will Station 1 will i	dle itself.	05:18:44
11	It will just keep circling between S2 a	nd S3 until	05:18:49
12	Station 2 stops transmitting on Channel	3. Then	05:18:55
13	the carrier sense algorithm will determ	ine that	05:19:02
14	Channel 3 is available. Then Station 1	will	05:19:06
15	transmit on the mandatory channel, Chan	nel 3, and	05:19:12
16	potentially Channel 1 or Channel 2 depe	nding on its	05:19:19
17	configurations.		05:19:23
18	Q. Okay.		05:19:24
19	A. That's the teachings of the pa	tent.	05:19:29
20	Q. And in this example, it can't	transmit on	05:19:31
21	Channels 1 and 2 until Channel 3 is det	ermined to	05:19:36
22	be idle; is that correct?		05:19:38
23	A. Yes. And according to the cla	im, it must	05:19:39
24	transmit on Channel 3. "It" being Stat	ion 1.	05:19:47
25	Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that	pecause	05:19:56

153

1	transmission on these two channels depends on	05:20:10
2	whether Channel 3, the mandatory channel, is idle,	05:20:14
3	that this determination of idleness of Channel 3 is	05:20:19
4	more important than the determination of Channels 1	05:20:25
5	and 2?	05:20:29
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	05:20:31
7	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by	05:20:32
8	"determination of Channels 1 and 2"?	05:20:34
9	Q. Well, I actually don't know if there is a	05:20:36
10	determination of Channels 1 and 2 in this example,	05:20:38
11	but let's say that the idle state of mandatory	05:20:44
12	Channel 3 is more important than the idle states of	05:20:49
13	Channels 1 and 2. Would that be accurate?	05:20:53
14	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	05:20:55
15	THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean	05:20:57
16	by "more important." I think I think the claims	05:20:58
17	in the flow charts are and their disclosures of	05:21:06
18	the spec are straightforward about this. You	05:21:10
19	must you must transmit on Channel 3 in this	05:21:13
20	example.	05:21:15
21	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any embodiments	05:21:15
22	discussed in the '551 Patent where there are	05:21:28
23	transmissions on adjacent channels when the	05:21:33
24	mandatory channel is not idle or busy?	05:21:36
25	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	05:21:42
		1

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	154
1	THE WITNESS: When the mandatory channel	05:21:46
2	is not idle or	05:21:48
3	Q. Can you transmit on adjacent channels?	05:21:51
4	A. It's both cases, and it's idle or not	05:21:54
5	idle.	05:21:58
6	Q. Not idle. Whenever mandatory Channel 3 is	05:21:58
7	not idle, is there any description in '551 that	05:22:01
8	indicates that transmissions can also occur on	05:22:08
9	Channel 1 and Channel 2, the adjacent channels?	05:22:14
10	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	05:22:17
11	THE WITNESS: By a device that is	05:22:24
12	compliant with the '551?	05:22:28
13	Q. Yeah, yeah. So any embodiments in the	05:22:30
14	'551 patent, not I mean, obviously you cannot	05:22:33
15	follow '551 Patent and do such a thing. But	05:22:38
16	following the teachings of the embodiments of the	05:22:43
17	'551 Channel I mean, the '551 Patent, are there	05:22:45
18	any embodiments where transmission on adjacent	05:22:48
19	channels, such as Channel 1 and 2, are possible	05:22:53
20	while another station is using Channel 3 and that's	05:22:57
21	detected?	05:23:04
22	MR. NIEBERG: Objection to form.	05:23:04
23	THE WITNESS: And in this hypothetical,	05:23:09
24	Channel 3 is the mandatory channel?	05:23:11
25	Q. Correct, correct. Channel 3 is the	05:23:13
		I

155

		i i
1	mandatory channel.	05:23:16
2	A. I have not opined on this hypothetical.	05:23:18
3	Q. Are you aware of anything any teachings	05:23:21
4	of that as you sit here today?	05:23:26
5	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	05:23:32
6	THE WITNESS: Just so I understand the	05:23:39
7	hypothetical, so in this architecture, Channel 3 is	05:24:23
8	the mandatory channel, Channels 1 and 2 are not	05:24:28
9	mandatory channels of another '551 compliant	05:24:30
10	transceiver?	05:24:37
11	Q. No, just just for that transceiver. So	05:24:40
12	you have the transceiver	05:24:43
13	A. Which transceiver?	05:24:46
14	Q. Transceiver 1. I don't know whether we	05:24:48
15	don't know whether other transceivers would be	05:24:52
16	practicing the '551 Patent or not, but what we're	05:24:54
17	saying is, okay, Transceiver 1 is practicing the	05:25:00
18	'551 Patent.	05:25:04
19	A. You mean Transceiver 2? That was the one	05:25:06
20	in our example that was transmitting on Channel 3.	05:25:09
21	Channel 1, you were asking about whether	05:25:13
22	it could transmit on Channels 1 and 2.	05:25:15
23	Q. I'm sorry. Channel 3, the mandatory	05:25:18
24	channel. Actually, withdrawn.	05:25:21
25	Would you agree that the '551 Patent	05:25:25
		1

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	156
1	treats the, quote, mandatory channel different than	05:25:32
2	other adjacent channels?	05:25:36
3	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	05:25:40
4	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by	05:25:47
5	"different"?	05:25:48
6	Q. Not the same.	05:25:49
7	A. Okay. So the mandatory channel has	05:25:52
8	restrictions placed upon it that other channels do	05:26:03
9	not have restrictions placed upon it.	05:26:08
10	Q. According to the teachings of the '551	05:26:11
11	Patent?	05:26:13
12	A. Yes. I mean, well, let me be	05:26:15
13	more precise. A transceiver complying with the	05:26:18
14	'551 Claim 1 would have particular criteria placed	05:26:22
15	upon the mandatory channel that would not be placed	05:26:29
16	on adjacent channels.	05:26:31
17	Q. Okay. All right. Let's go back to	05:26:33
18	Lundby, which is hold on. I will help you out.	05:26:42
19	It's Exhibit 1008. Yeah.	05:26:49
20	A. Yes.	05:26:52
21	Q. Okay. Would you mind looking at Column 2,	05:26:53
22	Lines 53, I think that's right, 53 to 61? Column	05:27:03
23	2, Lines 53 to 61. Tell me when you're there.	05:27:11
24	A. I'm there.	05:27:17
25	Q. Okay. I went too far.	05:27:17
25	Q. Okay. I went too far.	05

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 157				
1		I quess did I say Column 2, right, or	05:27:24	
2	did I sa	y Column 3?	05:27:32	
3	Α.	You said Column 2.	05:27:32	
4	Q.	Okay. Good. Yay.	05:27:33	
5		What is just as a general matter,	05:27:35	
6	what w	what do you perceive to be the problem that	05:27:54	
7	Lundy	that Lundby is trying to address?	05:27:59	
8		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	05:28:11	
9	Q.	If you have such an understanding.	05:28:13	
10	Α.	Column 3, Line 64, "A method is taught	05:28:16	
11	from imp:	roving the transmission of information	05:28:18	
12	signals :	in a communications system having a base	05:28:21	
13	station a	and a remote station."	05:28:25	
14	Q.	Okay. And you see around Line 58 to 59, I	05:28:35	
15	guess, ma	aybe 60, the whole sentence, I guess, of	05:28:58	
16	Α.	Of Column 2?	05:29:05	
17	Q.	Yeah, for Column 2, and it talks about the	05:29:07	
18	number o:	f users that the telecommunications system	05:29:12	
19	can simu	ltaneously support.	05:29:18	
20		Do you see that?	05:29:21	
21	Α.	Yes.	05:29:21	
22	Q.	Are you familiar with the term "code	05:29:22	
23	limited"	as it is used in the in the Lundby	05:29:26	
24	patent?		05:29:34	
25	Α.	Yes.	05:29:34	

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 158				
1	O. Okav. And what does it mean to be code	05:29:37		
2	limited?	05:29:39		
3	A. If you have, for example, an infinite	05:29:42		
4	number of codes, all of which have zero auto	05:29:50		
5	correlation, the zero cross correlation, sorry,	05:29:53		
6	then you could put an infinite number of users on	05:30:05		
7	the same channel.	05:30:09		
8	Q. Okay. So is you mentioned having an	05:30:11		
9	infinite number of codes.	05:30:13		
10	Does code limited refer to there not being	05:30:16		
11	such an infinite number of codes or	05:30:21		
12	A. Yes. There are practical limits to the	05:30:23		
13	number of codes that you can use for for this	05:30:31		
14	purpose.	05:30:37		
15	Q. Okay. And what about the phrase "power	05:30:39		
16	limited"? Do you have an understanding of what	05:30:45		
17	that means?	05:30:47		
18	A. Yes.	05:30:47		
19	Q. What does that mean in the context of the	05:30:48		
20	Lundby patent?	05:30:52		
21	A. Well, we've been discussing all morning	05:30:53		
22	the FCC limits, the amount of intentional radiation	05:30:55		
23	in a particular band and on particular channels.	05:31:00		
24	So that would be the power limit.	05:31:04		
25	Q. Okay. If you will look at Column 1 around	05:31:06		

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	159
1	Lines well, I don't know whether it is it is	05:31:18
2	unclear whether it is 21 or 22 because	05:31:20
3	A. I'm there.	05:31:25
4	Q the patents anyway, so around	05:31:26
5	line we'll call it 21 where it starts, "CDMA	05:31:31
6	systems," do you see that?	05:31:36
7	A. Yes.	05:31:37
8	Q. All right. It talks about "the sum of the	05:31:38
9	power required by each link in the system," do you	05:31:41
10	see that, in CDMA systems?	05:31:45
11	A. Yes.	05:31:49
12	Q. Is there something particular about CDMA	05:31:49
13	systems that look to the overall capacity of the	05:31:57
14	system	05:32:00
15	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form.	05:32:04
16	Q as described here?	05:32:08
17	The overall power capacity, I'm sorry, the	05:32:08
18	sum of power required.	05:32:12
19	A. Power capacity.	05:32:12
20	Q. So when I when I say "power capacity,"	05:32:16
21	I'm referencing it says, "The sum of the power	05:32:21
22	required," do you see that, "by each link in the	05:32:24
23	system"?	05:32:27
24	A. Yes.	05:32:30
25	Q. Is that related to the concept of being	05:32:30

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	160
1	power limited?	05:32:36
2	A. I don't understand the question, but if	05:32:41
3	you read the two sentences before that, "In	05:32:43
4	practice, communications systems of this type are	05:32:46
5	power limited." So that's the for example, the	05:32:48
6	FCC limits. "In power limited systems the	05:32:51
7	transmitter cannot necessarily transmit the amount	05:32:56
8	of power required to maintain a desired bit error	05:32:58
9	rate." So you can't provide a, for example, ten	05:33:03
10	minus seven bit error rate at the receiver based on	05:33:08
11	increasing the transmit power that you are	05:33:13
12	transmitting to that receiver.	05:33:16
13	So the power limits apply to all	05:33:17
14	communication systems, all legal communication	05:33:24
15	systems.	05:33:27
16	Q. Okay. And this amount of power, is that	05:33:27
17	measured with respect to a single transceiver, or	05:33:34
18	is it measured with respect to the all of the	05 <b>:</b> 33 <b>:</b> 38
19	devices in the system?	05:33:43
20	A. What do you mean by "this power"?	05:33:44
21	Q. What do you understand "this power" to	05:33:51
22	refer to?	05 <b>:</b> 33 <b>:</b> 54
23	A. Well, this the FCC	05 <b>:</b> 33 <b>:</b> 54
24	Q. Or the amount of power that's that's	05 <b>:</b> 33 <b>:</b> 58
25	described in, I guess, Line 20.	05:34:02
		-

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021	61
1	A. The FCC mandates the maximum amount of	05:34:05
2	power in a particular band for use with	05:34:09
3	communications systems. Even in the ISM band,	05:34:16
4	there's a maximum amount of power that can be	05:34:19
5	transmitted. So that's the that's the limit on	05:34:21
6	how much power you can put into the transmitters.	05:34:28
7	Q. And when it's talking about overall	05:34:31
8	capacity of the system, that's at Line 24, I guess,	05:34:33
9	is that referring to a single device in the system	05:34:40
10	or to the system or to multiple devices that are	05:34:43
11	within the system?	05:34:50
12	A. Yeah. Yes, that sentence is somewhat	05:34:54
13	shorthand for the entire set of books and papers,	05:34:58
14	but basically the power that each of the	05:35:04
15	transmitters in the system is is intentionally	05:35:10
16	radiating determines the overall user capacity in	05:35:17
17	the system.	05:35:20
18	Q. Okay. So at least potentially multiple	05:35:21
19	devices are being looked at and not just a single	05:35:27
20	device or determine the overall	05:35:32
21	A. Well, we're designing a communications	05:35:34
22	system here. We have to comply with the federal	05:35:38
23	government's requirements, and we want to be able	05:35:42
24	to provide service to as many users as possible,	05:35:47
25	which is the capacity term.	05:35:51
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	162
1	And so the question is, how do you do	05:35:54
2	that? And what Mr. Lundby is pointing out is that	05:35:57
3	the number of users that you can service in the	05:36:03
4	system is limited by the radiated power by the	05:36:06
5	transceivers in the system.	05:36:13
6	Q. Like the accumulative power of the of	05:36:14
7	the transceivers in the system, correct?	05:36:19
8	A. Yes, because the more transceivers, the	05:36:21
9	more intentionally radiated power, the more	05:36:26
10	interference, the more power leakage, to use the	05:36:30
11	'551 term, in the system.	05:36:35
12	Q. If you look at the Lundby at you know,	05:36:43
13	it discusses NCDMA systems or it references CDMA	05 <b>:</b> 36 <b>:</b> 52
14	systems at numerous points. There's around Lines	05:37:00
15	21 to 22 and 26 to 27, 37 to '8 and then like Line	05:37:04
16	51.	05:37:18
17	Do you see those?	05:37:21
18	A. I'm not sure where you are reading, but go	05:37:22
19	ahead with your question.	05:37:25
20	Q. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm looking at Column 1.	05:37:26
21	A. Oh, yes. I see the word CDMA.	05:37:28
22	Q. You see CDMA systems come up, repeated	05:37:33
23	quite a few times and so anyway.	05:37:37
24	Are there issues in CDMA systems where	05:37:45
25	being power limited and/or code limited are	05:37:49

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	163
1	applicable that are different from FDMA systems?	05:37:55
2	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	05:38:04
3	THE WITNESS: Well, again, CDMA systems	05:38:11
4	and FDMA systems are not mutually exclusive. So I	05:38:16
5	don't understand your question.	05:38:19
6	Q. Okay. Does Lundby explicitly address any	05:38:20
7	non-CDMA systems in its description?	05:38:29
8	A. In this spec, yes. We discussed it this	05:38:36
9	morning.	05:38:40
10	Q. Okay. And where was that at again?	05:38:40
11	A. Column 1, Line 7 to 10, "The present	05:38:47
12	invention relates to communications in general and,	05:38:51
13	in particular, it's improving the transmission of	05:38:54
14	information signals in a communications system,"	05:38:58
15	which does not limit this disclosure to CDMA.	05:39:00
16	Q. Does it identify	05:39:06
17	A. I'm not done with the question, answering	05:39:08
18	the question.	05:39:10
19	Q. Okay. I'm sorry. I thought you were.	05:39:11
20	A. Column 1, Line 11 to Line 22, "The quality	05:39:13
21	of a communication link over a noisy channel	05:39:36
22	depends on the energy to interference noise	05:39:37
23	ratio Eb over NO of the signal."	05:39:43
24	And then it goes, Practice to	05:39:46
25	communicate continues, "In practice,	05:39:47

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	164
1	communications systems of this type are power	05:39:50
2	limited. In power limited systems the transmitter	05:39:52
3	cannot necessarily transmit the amount of power	05:39:54
4	required to maintain a desired signal bit error	05:39:57
5	rate."	05:40:02
6	So then	05:40:03
7	Q. So let's just take this paragraph as an	05:40:04
8	as an example. It does reference CDMA systems	05:40:08
9	specifically in this paragraph at Line 21 to 22,	05:40:14
10	does it not?	05:40:18
11	A. Can I finish my answer?	05:40:19
12	Q. Well, so I don't want to go through the	05:40:21
13	whole patent, if if that's okay, just citing	05:40:24
14	every point where it says "communication."	05:40:31
15	Taking this as a representative example,	05:40:38
16	Paragraph 1, specifically identifies CDMA systems,	05:40:42
17	does it not?	05:40:46
18	A. After the section that I just read to you,	05:40:47
19	correct. They describe the problem, communications	05:40:52
20	systems, which apply to the (indiscernible) and	05:40:55
21	then somebody is clicking.	05:40:58
22	MR. NIEBERG: I apologize. I got knocked	05:41:07
23	off, and I had to reconnect.	05:41:08
24	THE WITNESS: So the patent, the Exhibit	05:41:13
25	1008, describes communication systems, and then	05:41:18

I	Conducted on April 9, 2021	165
1	they go on to a specific type of communication	05:41:19
2	systems, which is CDMA, in the following sentences	05:41:22
3	of that paragraph. So they're not limiting their	05:41:27
4	comments to CDMA systems.	05:41:29
5	Q. Is it fair to say that the '292 Patent,	05:41:38
6	the Lundby patent, does not specifically identify	05:41:45
7	any FDMA systems?	05:41:49
8	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	05:41:57
9	THE WITNESS: Specifically, yes, they are	05:42:07
10	talking about communication systems. He uses CDMA	05:42:11
11	as an example, and this patent was filed in 2000 by	05:42:15
12	a Qualcomm employee, and Qualcomm being important	05:42:21
13	in the development of CDMA technology. So I would	05:42:28
14	expect this employee to be discussing the CDMA.	05:42:31
15	Q. Is the word frequency division multiple	05:42:40
16	are the words frequency division multiple access or	05:42:42
17	FDMA, do those either of those appear anywhere	05:42:43
18	in Lundby? As you sit here today, do you know of	05:42:47
19	any place?	05:43:04
20	A. I'm just going to do a quick scan here.	05:43:06
21	Certainly, they don't limit their comments to just	05:43:18
22	CDMA systems.	05:43:21
23	Q. Just to be clear, the question is whether	05:43:28
24	the words FDMA or frequency division multiple	05:43:29
25	access do not appear in the Lundby patent; is that	05:43:33

		Conducted on April 9, 2021	166	
1	correct?			05:43:36
2	Α.	I will answer your question in a second.		05:43:37
3		FDMA does not appear. Frequency division		05:44:56
4	does not	appear.		05:45:12
5	Q.	Thank you.		05:45:13
6		In a typical CDMA system, is each code		05:45:32
7	assigned	to a single device or do multiple devices		05:45:37
8	compete	for the same code?		05:45:43
9		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.		05:45:46
10		THE WITNESS: I did not opine on this, and		05:45:51
11	it depen	ds on what system you're talking about.		05:45:54
12	Q.	Well, are you aware of any systems where		05:45:57
13	there	codes are assignable to multiple devices		05:46:04
14	at the s	ame time?		05:46:08
15		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.		05:46:09
16		THE WITNESS: I have not opined on this.		05:46:14
17	Q.	So you are not aware or you are aware		05:46:17
18	Α.	I have not opined on this.		05:46:20
19	Q.	as you sit here right now?		05:46:21
20	Α.	Not that I recall.		05:46:23
21	Q.	Okay. Does Lundby ever use the word idle		05:46:25
22	to descr	ibe a code-based channel?		05:46:47
23	Α.	The word idle does not appear in the		05:46:54
24	Lundby r	eference.		05:47:11
25	Q.	Okay. Does Lundby describe power leakage		05:47:19

# - -

167

l		1
1	anywhere? As you sit here today, do you know any	05:47:30
2	discussion of power leakage in Lundby?	05:47:37
3	A. The word power the words power leakage	05:47:43
4	or the concept of power leakage?	05:47:44
5	Q. Well, let's take it one at a time. Do the	05:47:45
6	words power leakage appear anywhere in Lundby?	05:47:48
7	A. No.	05:47:51
8	Q. And do you regard anything as describing	05:47:52
9	power leakage in Lundby?	05:47:56
10	A. Yes.	05:47:58
11	Q. Where?	05:47:58
12	A. "In CDMA systems, the sum of the power	05:47:58
13	required by each link in the system determines the	05:48:08
14	overall capacity of the system." That sentence we	05:48:08
15	were discussing earlier, which is Column 1, Lines	05:48:11
16	21 to 24.	05:48:15
17	Q. So that's describing power limit systems;	05:48:21
18	is that correct?	05:48:26
19	A. It's describing a practical system, yes.	05:48:26
20	Q. Okay. Is it describing power leakage?	05:48:33
21	A. Yes, as the term is used in the '551	05:48:39
22	Patent, yes.	05:48:43
23	Q. Can you explain, please?	05:48:43
24	A. So the power from multiple transmitters	05:48:45
25	we just discussed this earlier. The power from	05:48:53
		1

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	168
1	multiple transmitters occupy in the system	05:48:55
2	occupy the same frequency ranges will interfere	05:48:58
3	with each other, and they will raise the	05:49:02
4	intentionally radiated power level to the point	05:49:06
5	where the EB over NO cannot be maintained at a	05:49:09
6	point where you can deliver the required ten minus	05:49:16
7	seven or the ten minus nine bit error rate required	05:49:22
8	by the standardized CDMA system.	05:49:24
9	Q. How would code-based channels within a	05:49:28
10	common frequency range be adjacent to each other?	05:49:35
11	A. In?	05:49:40
12	Q. In the context that the '551 Patent	05:49:44
13	discusses adjacent channels.	05:49:47
14	A. In the standard compliant CDMA	05:49:50
15	architecture?	05:49:53
16	Q. Yes.	05:49:54
17	A. Well, adjacency they just would be.	05:49:54
18	Q. Can you explain why? Give me give me	05:50:12
19	an example of	05:50:16
20	A. In the band of the system its operating	05:50:18
21	in, the channels would receive intentionally	05:50:21
22	radiated power from other transmitters in that	05:50:29
23	band. So the same way that the '551 talks about	05:50:35
24	adjacent channel interference or power leakage.	05:50:41
25	You would get the same effects in a CDMA system.	05:50:47

#### Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021 169 1 05:50:51 So leaving aside the issue that there Ο. 05:50:53 2 could be other systems operating --3 05:50:59 Α. No. Here I'm talking about the standard 05:51:02 4 compliant FCC regulated cellular system, for 05:51:08 5 example. You would get the same kind of power 6 05:51:11 leakage that the '551 describes in the CDMA system. 05:51:15 7 Ο. But between code-based channels within the 8 05:51:21 system itself, is there a concept of adjacency 9 05:51:26 between those channels? Not between, you know, 10 05:51:29 potential other systems that are operating in the 05:51:33 11 same air space? 05:51:34 12 I don't understand the question, and I'm Α. 13 05:51:36 not talking about other systems operating in the 05:51:39 14 same, quote, unquote, air space. 15 05:51:41 Q. So in the CDMA system itself --05:51:45 16 Α. You're talking about the standard 05:51:50 17 compliant CDMA cellular system that is authorized 05:51:54 18 by the FCC. 19 05:51:54 Ο. Correct. 20 05:51:54 Α. So there would be no other licensed legal 05:51:58 21 transmitters in that bandwidth. 22 05:52:01 Q. Okay. How would one code-based channel be 23 adjacent to a second code-based channel in that 05:52:04 05:52:08 24 svstem? 25 05:52:08 Α. Are we talking about code-based

		Conducted on April 9, 2021	170	
1	channels			05:52:10
2		MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.		05:52:10
3		THE WITNESS: are we talking about		05:52:12
4	power le	akage?		05:52:12
5	Q.	What was it? We I didn't hear what you		05:52:14
6	said.			05:52:16
7	Α.	Are we discussing power leakage, or are we		05:52:18
8	discussi	ng code-based channels? Earlier we		05:52:21
9	Q.	We're discussing both. We're asking		05:52:25
10	whether	I'm asking		05:52:27
11	Α.	If you'd let me answer the question, that		05:52:28
12	would be	great.		05:52:32
13	Q.	I'm trying to form the question so that we		05:52:33
14	can answ	er the question. Would that be okay?		05:52:34
15	Α.	If you let me get the answer completely		05:52:37
16	out, tha	t would be great for understanding both of		05:52:40
17	our part	s.		05:52:42
18	Q.	Okay. Well, so what is your answer?		05:52:42
19	Α.	All right. I just answered.		05:52:47
20	Q.	Can you repeat the answer?		05:52:49
21	Α.	I think the court reporter can read it		05:52:53
22	back.			05:52:57
23	Q.	Can you repeat the answer?		05:52:57
24		(The Reporter read the record as follows:		05:53:32
25		Are we discussing power leakage, or are		05:53:32

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	171
1	we discussing code-based channels?	05:53:32
2	Earlier we)	05:53:32
3	THE WITNESS: Earlier we discussed the	05:53:32
4	distinction between those two.	05:53:34
5	Q. And what is the distinction between those	05:53:36
6	two?	05:53:38
7	A. So separating channels, not the channels	05:53:38
8	described by the '551 Patent, by codes does not	05:53:47
9	have a power leakage issue. Separating those	05:53:53
10	channels by codes has a code-limiting interference.	05:53:59
11	Power leakage is caused by intentional radiators on	05:54:11
12	the same set of frequencies used by the receivers	05:54:14
13	in the system.	05:54:19
14	Q. So let me see if I can understand it.	05:54:22
15	A. It's consistent with '551, and it's	05:54:25
16	consistent with Lundby, and it's consistent with	05:54:27
17	my my statement.	05:54:29
18	Q. So let me see if I understand, and you can	05:54:32
19	correct me if I'm wrong.	05:54:37
20	So are you saying that the concept of any	05:54:39
21	interference between channels in the code-based	05:54:47
22	system makes them adjacent channels within the	05:54:51
23	context of the '551?	05:54:56
24	A. No.	05:55:00
25	Q. Okay. What makes them adjacent within the	05:55:02

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021	172
1	context of the '551 CDMA system?	05:55:05
2	A. What is "them"?	05:55:08
3	Q. The channels, the communications on the	05:55:09
4	code-based channels.	05:55:16
5	A. So the FCC allocates a band of frequencies	05:55:28
6	for use. And let's talk about a CDMA based	05:55:34
7	cellular system. All right?	05:55:39
8	Q. Okay.	05:55:42
9	A. Within that band of frequencies, there are	05:55:43
10	individual channels allocated.	05:55:46
11	Q. And what are some examples of these	05:55:51
12	individual channels?	05:55:54
13	A. They're numbered in different bands	05:55:54
14	allocated to different cellular use. For example,	05:55:59
15	the 900 megahertz band has, I forget the number,	05:56:07
16	126 channels allocated within that band, let's say,	05:56:12
17	for example.	05:56:17
18	So there could be 126 transmitters	05:56:19
19	transmitting on each of those channels at any	05:56:23
20	geographic point in the country, and each of those	05:56:31
21	transmitters is intentionally radiating power that	05:56:36
22	may affect the receiver that's trying to listen to	05:56:41
23	one of those channels regardless of whether those	05:56:44
24	transmitters are CDMA, FDMA, CCSMA, et cetera.	05:56:48
25	Q. Are all 126 channels adjacent to each	05:56:56

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	173
1	other?	05:57:02
2	A. They are all within the same band.	05:57:02
3	Q. Okay. So within the context of the '551	05:57:06
4	Patent, you would view all 126 as adjacent?	05:57:09
5	A. Well, because	05:57:17
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope.	05:57:20
7	THE WITNESS: Again, I opined on this, but	05:57:22
8	the channels most affecting Channel 100 would	05:57:28
9	Channel 99 and Channel 101, and then other channels	05:57:32
10	may also have intentionally radiated power that	05:57:37
11	shows up in the Channel 1 range	05:57:41
12	Q. And to be	05:57:46
13	A by a third or by, for example, a third	05:57:47
14	order distortion or fifth order distortion within	05:57:50
15	the transmitter.	05:57:54
16	Q. Okay. And this 900 megahertz system	05:57:54
17	and you'll have to forgive me because I don't know	05:57:57
18	the systems that is a CDMA system?	05:58:02
19	A. We're taking this as an example, a	05:58:05
20	hypothetical system.	05:58:09
21	Q. Okay. So, I don't know, is it a CDMA	05:58:10
22	system or	05:58:14
23	A. That's where CDMA started, yeah, in a 900	05:58:15
24	megahertz band. I should say, that's where	05:58:22
25	cellular use of CDMA technology started in the 900	05:58:27

i	Conducted on April 9, 2021	174
1	megahertz frequency band.	05:58:31
2	Q. So, yes, I'm just yes or no or	05:58:33
3	A. Cellular technology was first applied to	05:58:39
4	using CDMA CDMA technology was first applied to	05:58:44
5	cellular use in the 900 megahertz band in the	05:58:48
6	United States.	05:58:52
7	Q. Okay.	05:58:52
8	MR. NIEBERG: We've been on the record for	05:59:11
9	a while. I don't know, Dr. Williams, if you want a	05:59:12
10	break or not? Counsel?	05:59:15
11	THE WITNESS: Fifteen minutes?	05:59:17
12	MR. NIEBERG: I think I can wrap up this	05:59:18
13	section in just a second if we so can I ask a	05:59:20
14	couple of more questions and then we can take a	05:59:26
15	break or	05:59:28
16	THE WITNESS: Sure.	05:59:29
17	MR. ATKINSON: Okay.	05:59:31
18	THE WITNESS: Unless anyone else wants to	05:59:31
19	take a break? I'm fine.	05:59:35
20	MR. ATKINSON: Well, so I don't think	05:59:36
21	that I think we are almost done. So I think I	05:59:38
22	would rather take a break like whenever there's a	05:59:41
23	natural stopping point, but if someone has, you	05:59:45
24	know, bathroom urgency, please let me know. All	05:59:47
25	right.	05:59:51

	Conducted on April 9, 2021 17:	5
1	MR. NIEBERG: I'm okay.	05:59:52
2	BY MR. ATKINSON:	05:59:56
3	Q. Is there such a thing as carrier sensing	05:59:56
4	in the context of CDMA systems?	06:00:00
5	MR. NIEBERG: Objection, form, scope,	06:00:03
6	THE WITNESS: Carrier sense multiple	06:00:08
7	access?	06:00:12
8	Q. Yes. Is CSMA we can take that as an	06:00:14
9	example.	06:00:19
10	Would CSMA be applicable to a CDMA system?	06:00:19
11	A. Yes.	06:00:24
12	Q. And	06:00:24
13	A. Like I said, all of these multiple access	06:00:28
14	techniques are not mutually exclusive.	06:00:31
15	Q. Are there any implemented CDMA systems	06:00:33
16	that use CSMA that you're aware of?	06:00:38
17	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	06:00:46
18	THE WITNESS: Standards compliant legal in	06:00:48
19	the United States potentially, but I can't think of	06:00:51
20	an example off the top of my head. In other words,	06:01:00
21	there is nothing about CSMA that precludes it from	06:01:06
22	being used.	06:01:10
23	MR. ATKINSON: Okay. Let's go ahead and	06:01:11
24	take a break.	06:01:13
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 6:01.	06:01:13
		4

	Conducted on April 9, 2021	76
1	(Brief break.)	06:04:10
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 6:55.	06:55:30
3	MR. ATKINSON: Okay. I guess I'll just	06:55:38
4	state for the record that Dr. Williams has	06:55:40
5	indicated during the break that he that he had	06:55:45
6	a a nose his nose won't stop bleeding, and he	06:55:47
7	indicated that he might have to go to the hospital,	06:55:54
8	and there's been a tentative agreement between	06:55:58
9	counsel to continue the remainder of the deposition	06:56:02
10	and that counsel will that we'll discuss	06:56:06
11	accommodations therefrom during the I guess,	06:56:09
12	during the continuous; is that accurate?	06:56:14
13	MR. NIEBERG: That's accurate.	06:56:16
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Anything else before we	06:56:21
15	close?	06:56:22
16	MR. ATKINSON: One thing I so I know	06:56:25
17	that during P tab depositions, typically during	06:56:27
18	the during the case you're not supposed to talk	06:56:31
19	to the witness. I you know, I would only I	06:56:36
20	would only say that, you know, maybe we can do that	06:56:40
21	until we can like connect and discuss, you know,	06:56:43
22	the continuance, you know, and then, you know, we	06:56:46
23	can begin to talk about you know, does that make	06:56:49
24	sense?	06:56:54
25	MR. SPEED: Yeah. No, that's fair. We	06:56:56

177

1		
1	certainly won't talk to him about the substance of	06:56:57
2	anything, unless it coordinates with his medical	06 <b>:</b> 56 <b>:</b> 57
3	MR. ATKINSON: Right. Right. I	06:56:59
4	understand. You've got to talk to him about	06:57:05
5	rescheduling, so all right.	06:57:09
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Anything else?	06:57:09
7	MR. ATKINSON: Not for NTT.	06:57:13
8	MR. SPEED: Nothing for the Mediatek, and	06:57:15
9	thank you, everyone, for the accommodation.	06:57:19
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 6:57 p.m.	06 <b>:</b> 57 <b>:</b> 20
11	This concludes today's deposition of Tim Williams,	06:57:23
12	Ph.D. We are off the record.	06:57:26
13	MR. NIEBERG: We'll just want to review it	06:59:32
14	for any errors in the transcript but standard	06:59:40
15	order.	06:59:41
16	MR. ATKINSON: Standard order, plus rough.	
17	(Off the record at 6:59 p.m.)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
2	I, TIM A. WILLIAMS, Ph.D., do hereby
3	acknowledge that I have read and examined the
4	foregoing testimony, and the same is a true,
5	correct and complete transcription of the testimony
6	given by me and any corrections appear on the
7	attached Errata sheet signed by me.
8	
9	(DATE) (SIGNATURE)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER
2	I, Lori Roy, Registered Professional
3	Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter, the officer
4	before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a
6	true and correct record of the testimony given;
7	that said testimony was taken by me
8	stenographically and thereafter reduced to
9	typewriting under my supervision; that reading and
10	signing was requested; and that I am neither
11	counsel for or related to, nor employed by any of
12	the parties to this case and have no interest,
13	financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
15	hand this 23rd day of April 2021.
16	Acta: Day
17	Lore Koy
18	LORI ROY, RPR, CCR
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
Conducted on April 9, 2021

A	146:14, 149:17,	accommodating	84:1, 119:14,
ability	150:7, 150:14,	7:21	120:15, 153:9,
133.7 13/.19	153:18, 155:21,	accommodation	155:24
ablo	157:17, 158:15,	177:9	add
	159:8, 159:12,	accommodations	11:3
0:0, 15:14,	161:7, 165:10,	176.11	additional
24:20, 36:4,	166:11, 168:23,	accordance	23:11
36:19, 37:9,	169:3, 169:13,	31.24	address
70:18, 150:14,	169:16, 169:25,	accorded	157.7 163.6
161:23	170:3. 172:6.		
about	$175 \cdot 21 = 176 \cdot 23$	//:8	
29:13, 37:16,	177·1 177·A	according	93:16
37:20, 44:1,	1/1.1, 1/1.4	82:15, 138:1,	adjacency
48:14, 48:23,		145:3, 145:10,	168:17, 169:8
49:5, 55:15,	32:10, 74:17,	152:9, 152:23,	adjacent
56:18, 56:23,	145:20	156:10	125:19, 125:22,
57:23, 59:22,	absent	accumulative	126:15, 127:1,
60:19, 61:18,	83:23	162:6	127:3, 127:8,
68:3. 68:22.	abstract	accurate	127:19, 135:14,
70.1 - 71.12	76:8	7:11, 9:14,	135:18, 137:1,
72.9 75.17	accepted	9:25. 35:17.	137:5, 144:24,
75.20 76.8	80:24, 81:16	39.18 39.20	153:23, 154:3,
76.13 93.3	access	40.16 41.16	154:9. 154:18.
93.12 $93.10$	8:7, 28:12,	48.17 52.7	156:2. 156:16.
03.12, 03.19,	59:12. 59:21.	53.11 67.7	168:10, 168:13.
05:22, 05:24,	60:17. 62:20.	70.7 73.10	168.24 169.23
86:10, 92:6,	64.17, 64.18	01.12 01.0	171.22 $171.25$
93:16, 94:2,	64.19 64.20	01:13, 04:0,	172.25 $173.4$
94:5, 94:9,	64.21 $64.22$	00:13, 91:12,	admiccibility
94:17, 94:19,	64.23 64.24	9/:16, 10/:21,	
95:9, 95:20,	64.23, 64.24,	111:1, 114:11,	
96:12, 96:16,	65:5, 65:6, CE:7, CE:10	153:13, 1/6:12,	adopted
96:18, 98:9,	65:7; 65:10; (F:10 (F:10	1/6:13	9/:5, 9/:6
98:12, 98:24,	65:16, 65:18,	accurately	affect
106:7, 107:5,	65:23, 65:24,	105:20, 126:20	133:7, 134:18,
113:14, 113:24,	66:4, 66:18,	acknowledge	172:22
114:8, 117:21,	6/:13, 6/:24,	6:5, 178:3	affecting
121:18, 122:6,	68:5, 68:7,	acknowledgment	125:19, 127:1,
122:10, 123:5,	68:13, 69:3,	178:1	144:24, 173:8
123:19, 124:5,	69:10, 91:22,	actions	affirmed
126:14, 127:11,	91:23, 91:24,	42:9	6:15
127:21, 132:2,	92:24, 96:17,	activated	after
134:13, 134:14,	97:3, 99:4,	40:5	30:3, 45:8,
134:15, 134:16,	99:11, 129:4,	active	110:21. 110:23.
134:25, 138:10,	129:5, 165:16,	33.17 34.11	111.9. 112.5.
138:13. 139:7.	165:25, 175:7,	125.02	164.18
140:20, 140:22.	175:13		again
141:12, 141.19	accessing	110.7 110.0	7.1 17.11
143.6 144.16	67:10, 68:4		$7 \cdot \tau$ , $\pm 7 \cdot \pm \tau$ , 20.0 21.14
1, 1.1.10,	accommodate		20.20, 21.14, 20.20, 26.0
	7:24	12:18, 24:5,	29:20, 30:8,

Conducted on April 9, 2021

61:18, 63:22,	air	allocated	164:3
66:12, 66:13,	169:11, 169:14	52:11, 67:14,	amounts
68:6, 70:9,	al	67:19. 69:16.	33:9
81:1, 88:11,	5:4	69:18, 70:3,	annotation
99:7, 101:21,	algorithm	70:7, 172:10,	20:19
105:19, 110:12,	33.7 111.18	172:14. 172:16	another
111:19, 112:3,	111.25 112.1	allocates	19.10 40.8
112:7, 116:13,	112.13. 112.17.	64:8. 130:19.	98.6. 123.17.
116:21, 117:10,	113.3. 116.18.	172:5	125:7. 126:1.
118:15, 120:5,	117.22 118.10	allocation	131.14. 131.16.
123:21, 127:5,	118.11, 119.7	53.10. 85.18	131.25. 138.7.
139:21, 141:10,	119:21. 152:13	allow	138:18, 138:22,
141:16, 141:18,	algorithms	$62 \cdot 15$ $64 \cdot 17$	139.3. 140.24
142:20, 143:22,	$113.16 \cdot 117.10$	65.3	142:15. 143:13.
144:5, 163:3,	117.23 120.7	allowed	149:18, 150:9.
163:10, 173:7	all	52.10 52.20	154:20. 155:9
against	5.11 8.13	70.24 110.18	another's
27:16, 46:4,	9.8 10.1	111.9	131.12
126:1	10.13 $11.17$		answer
ago	13.11 $14.2$	63.23 126.6	7.19 17.23
107:10, 135:5	17.25 20.5	almost	62.13 76.3
agree	20.24, $22.14$	126.23 174.21	77.3. 89.18.
19:3, 81:5,	$25 \cdot 1$ $25 \cdot 3$	120.23, $1/4.21$	94.7 100.12
155:25	25.19 26.3	$71 \cdot 1  100 \cdot 9$	$101 \cdot 2 = 101 \cdot 22$
agreed	27:20, 29:15,	100.24 103.3	103:16. 103:20.
18:10, 19:1,	32:7. 35:25.	100.24, 103.3	104:4. 104:11.
19:8, 20:21,	48:22. 57:19.	3.20 12.13	104:14. 104:21.
21:4, 21:19,	57:20, 57:25,	12.23 $20.19$	105:4, 105:22,
22:9, 49:7,	64:14, 71:3,	$26 \cdot 1  20 \cdot 20$	107:8, 108:10,
73:16, 81:18,	71:11, 73:8,	20.4, 25.0,	109:7, 117:18,
82:3, 85:21,	78:10, 79:2,	11·15 15·8	128:3, 139:18,
91:4	80:7, 80:8,	76.17 122.11	141:8, 142:22,
agreed-upon	94:19, 95:14,	122.25 $123.2$	144:7, 144:9,
49:1, 82:14	96:6, 104:25,	126.16 $1/2.8$	164:11, 166:2,
agreement	120:9, 120:21,	$146 \cdot 1$ $146 \cdot 25$	170:11, 170:14,
2:6. 51:14.	122:5, 146:4,	154.8 $173.10$	170:15, 170:18,
53:10, 73:17,	148:9, 148:13,	although	170:20, 170:23
176:8	152:4, 152:5,	126·13 127·25	answered
ah	156:17, 158:4,	129.15	100:9, 100:24,
89:9	158:21, 159:8,	always	170:19
ahead	160:13, 160:14,	82.5	answering
16:23, 75:3,	160:18, 170:19,	amount	103:10, 103:14,
77:4, 79:4,	172:7, 172:25,	33.10 17.7	103:17, 110:1,
79:11, 80:11.	173:2, 173:4,	48.2 54.9	163:17
84:5, 104:8,	174:24, 175:13,	$54 \cdot 12  70 \cdot 22$	antennas
135:11, 141:9,	177:5	158.22 160.7	132:11
143:7, 162:19,	allocate	160.16, 160.24	any
175:23	66:14	161:1. 161:4	7:10, 7:14,
		····/ ···/	-,,

10 10 10 11	1 ( ) ) )	a walk i ka akuwa	1
18:16, 19:11,	162:23	architecture	155:21, 170:9,
19:16, 19:24,	anywnere	46:6, 4/:5,	1/0:10
20:17, 20:24,	136:2, 136:15,	65:1, 66:2,	
21:16, 22:3,	165:1/, 16/:1,	135:17, 155:7,	136:24, 143:12
23:18, 25:20,	16/:6	168:15	aspects
27:21, 29:10,	apologize	architectures	112:12
59:17, 47:14,	23:2, 31:9,	11/:23	assessment
55:5, 55:9, 50.17	78:18, 164:22	area	92:9
50.22 60.4	apparatus	52:20, 76:12,	assignable
74.13 $75.24$	32:4, 116:1,	98:18	166:13
83.10 89.12	116:2	areas	assigned
90.8 90.19		/6:25	166:/
92.18 102.3			associate
$102 \cdot 4$ $103 \cdot 12$	appear	52:21, 54:21,	54:19
102.1, 103.12, 104.6, 104.23	40:14, 104:21,	8/:20, 149:21	assume
105:6. 110:20.	165:17, 165:25,		41:6, 110:24,
114:18. 117:5.	166.22 167.6	31:20, 87:23,	132:20, 138:3,
117:13. 118:2.	170.6	96:3, IUU:I6,	148:8
118:3. 119:1.	170:0	148:25, 157:14,	
119:16, 121:25,		162.14	122:8
122:1, 125:24,	00:5, 04:0,	102:14	
126:7, 136:17,	95:1, 99:17, 99:27, 107.9	22.10 20.22	3:3, 4:3, 5:14,
139:13, 139:22,	107.16 163.1	52:10, 59:25	6:13, 6:20, 0.14, 0.21, 0.5
153:21, 154:7,	175.10	<b>ai c</b>	8:14, 8:21, 9:5, 0.11 10.2
154:13, 154:18,	applications	76.12 70.16	9:11, 10:2, 10:0 10:15
155:3, 163:6,	52.13	80.1 80.1	10.9, 10.13, 11.02, 12.6
165:7, 165:19,	applied	89.9 91.20	11.22, 12.0, 15.19, 16.12
166:12, 167:1,	76.18 140.14	98.18 105.13	16.18 17.13
171:20, 172:19,	174.3 174.4	109.11 119.21	$23 \cdot 1  21 \cdot 7$
175:15, 177:14,	applies	136:10	24.19 $24.25$
178:6, 179:11	$65 \cdot 2 = 74 \cdot 13$	arthur	26.4 26.9
anyone		6:23	26:13. 35:20.
18:13, 21:7,	$75 \cdot 4 = 77 \cdot 2$	aside	35:24, 49:18.
21:18, 70:18,	77:13, 77:17.	136:25, 169:1	49:21, 49:25,
174:18	91:20. 94:15.	asked	50:4, 50:19,
anything	160:13, 164:20	21:7. 21:18.	57:5, 57:11,
9:13, 11:2,	applying	76:24, 95:8,	57:18, 57:23,
19:17, 58:23,	51:4, 79:22	110:1	58:1, 70:14,
90:11, 101:18,	appropriate	asking	71:4, 71:8,
101:25, 102:3,	18:18, 19:5,	24:18, 42:19,	74:24, 75:2,
102:7, 102:23,	21:24, 22:4,	49:24, 60:9,	75:10, 75:16,
104:23, 118:2,	26:1, 28:19	60:15, 85:24,	77:21, 78:1,
133:6, 139:7,	appropriateness	86:1, 86:21,	78:14, 78:17,
176-14 177 0	27:10	101:24, 102:6,	78:22, 78:25,
1/0:14, 1/1:2,	april	103:23, 104:2,	79:6, 84:5,
1//:0	1:18, 5:8,	104:8, 104:10,	91:24, 120:9,
allyway	179:15	105:3, 109:25,	120:12, 120:15,
5/:25, 159:4,			

120:20, 144:7,	135:13, 144:23	52:3, 52:6,	bands
174:17, 174:20,	avoidance	52:8, 52:9,	52:2, 52:12,
175:2, 175:23,	92:8, 107:14,	52:15, 52:16,	62:15, 172:13
176:3, 176:16,	116:18	52:17, 53:4,	bandwidth
177:3, 177:7,	aware	53:8, 53:16,	52:6, 124:1,
177:16	11:4, 12:13,	54:6, 54:17,	129:6, 129:8,
atlantic	60:6, 90:20,	54:21, 59:6,	129:9, 169:21
3:15	92:18, 101:17,	62:2, 62:3,	barricelli
attached	101:24, 103:12,	62:5, 63:11,	3:21
178:7	104:23, 117:4,	63:15, 67:19,	base
attempting	117:14, 118:2,	68:8, 70:5,	76:10, 157:12
46:7	118:5, 118:6,	70:6, 70:16,	based
attempts	119:1, 124:21,	70:18, 70:23,	6:8, 26:25,
144:20	125:1, 136:3,	71:18, 71:21,	29:4, 50:23,
attending	136:17, 153:21,	72:11, 72:13,	58:16, 58:22,
5:12	155:3, 166:12,	72:14, 72:15,	79:17, 89:6,
attenuated	166:17, 175:16	72:17, 72:20,	111:21, 140:13,
54:9. 54:12	away	72:22, 72:24,	143:24, 144:1,
attenuation	10:2, 11:21,	73:10, 73:11,	144:10, 149:10,
54:17	38:2, 38:3,	73:14, 73:16,	150:4, 160:10,
austin	53:23, 78:19	73:17, 82:12,	172:6
1:3. 3:7. 5:7	В	82:15, 82:18,	basically
authorized	b	83:11, 84:15,	35:18, 39:21,
84:24, 169:17	₩ 73•5	84:18, 84:21,	45:10, 48:20,
authors	h-e-a-c-h	84:22, 84:25,	56:25, 131:17,
117.21	7.6	85:2, 85:17,	161:14
auto	/.0 bachelor's	85:18, 85:21,	bathroom
110.10 143.4	136.6	85:23, 86:4,	174:24
158.4	hack	87:11, 87:12,	beach
availability	16.2 29.15	87:13, 89:17,	7:2, 7:5
116:21, 149:11	29.10 $29.24$	89:21, 89:22,	because
available	$31 \cdot 20$ $38 \cdot 22$	91:5, 93:8,	38:3, 38:22,
23.10, 29.19	51.20, 50.22, 57.7	93:20, 93:21,	47:5, 71:5,
$32 \cdot 8 = 44 \cdot 11$	78.2 78.10	99:4, 99:18,	83:1, 87:19,
46:22. 74:15.	78.13 79.10	99:20, 121:15,	88:4, 89:21,
97:9. 97:19.	80.2 106.19	123:3, 123:25,	91:15, 94:9,
99:14. 99:15.	118:17. 122:16.	126:5, 126:6,	99:8, 106:12,
110:13. 114:12.	137:13. 144:8.	126:10, 127:6,	106:16, 123:3,
114:13, 115:1,	147:11. 148:25.	130:18, 130:20,	128:13, 130:18,
115:4, 115:11,	156:17. 170:22	130:21, 130:23,	146:1, 146:24,
116:23, 116:25,	background	130:25, 139:10,	152:25, 159:2,
147:22, 152:14	89:14	158:23, 161:2,	162:8, 173:5,
avenue	backs	161:3, 168:20,	173:17
3:15	112:10. 112:11.	108:23, 172:3, 172:0, 172:15	becomes
avoid	112:19	172.16 $172.0$	123:18, 135:23,
38:10, 46:5,	band	$173 \cdot 21  177 \cdot 1$	137:15, 138:8,
46:20, 47:25,	51:11, 51:24.	171.5	138:9
125:18, 126:25,	,,	11.3	been
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			11:7, 30:3,

### Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021

57.2 59.5	127.19 146.14	69.11 71.5	break
66.16 88.4	$148 \cdot 1$ $148 \cdot 22$	92.5 $97.1$	7.17 7.23
96.16 106.10	150.1 150.14	115.7 144.16	56.10 56.14
106.16 114.9	152.24 158.10	149.14 160.8	57.4 57.8
119.4 $140.12$	159.25 161.19	160.10 164.4	57.15 57.16
158.21 174.8	$162 \cdot 25 \cdot 165 \cdot 12$	168.7	69.13 75.18
176:8	175:22	bits	75.21 77.19
before	believe	$102 \cdot 12$ $102 \cdot 14$	77.22 77.24
2:6. 12:8.	17:15, 25:8,	blank	119:25. 120:4.
$12 \cdot 12 = 12 \cdot 19$	69.25 80.3	8.7	120.11, 120.18
15:4. 21:7.	87:7. 88:9.	bleeding	174:10, 174:15.
21:8. 21:25.	112:18, 117:8.	176.6	174.19, 174.22.
26:19, 26:23,	135.7	block	175:24. 176:1.
27:4. 28:22.	below	11.25 15.1	176:5
29:18, 35:10,	23:3	52.5	breaking
38:5, 40:23,	benefit	blocks	98:17
43:14, 43:19,	64 • 1 4		brief
44:10, 44:14,	besides	board's	77:24, 120:18,
45:22, 45:24,	96.11, 101.18	28.22	176:1
45:25, 46:10,	best	body	briefly
47:24, 75:18,	42.7	60.25 72.1	96:18
81:4, 95:20,	between	books	bring
113:25, 116:7,	12:14, 22:21,	161.13	78:12
126:20, 130:21,	23:8, 28:2,	boston	broad
135:23, 147:20,	29:21, 30:6,	3.16	113:22
147:23, 160:3,	38:11, 46:5,	both	broadcast
176:14, 179:4	48:4, 49:2,	5.18 16.5	84:22, 85:1
begin	66:14, 67:11,	18.2 $18.6$	broke
176:23	71:13, 72:21,	$18.9 \cdot 20.9$	111:14
beginning	82:14, 83:11,	20:20. 30:14.	brought
41:15, 45:14	84:16, 85:18,	44:24, 45:24,	7:14
begins	87:2, 87:23,	63:10, 65:19,	bryan
5:2, 38:15,	89:17, 93:20,	99:24, 100:2,	3:3, 5:14
40:23, 45:23	121:7, 121:8,	129:19, 142:25,	buffer
behalf	121:11, 122:11,	154:4, 170:9,	31:5, 34:17,
3:2, 3:11	123:1, 124:6,	170:16	34:22, 36:11,
behavior	132:18, 136:25,	bottom	37:6
42:1	141:13, 141:22,	71:13	bugeja
being	143:5, 152:11,	bounds	4:11, 92:23,
6:15, 14:11,	169:7, 169:9,	137:9	93:1, 93:5,
29:4, 29:21,	171:4, 171:5,	box	93:10, 93:19,
31:19, 32:25,	171:21, 176:8	24:20, 26:10,	93:23, 94:2,
41:2, 50:24,	big	28:7, 32:16,	94:18, 94:23,
79:18, 85:18,	54:15	34:17, 34:23,	95:3, 95:10,
89:24, 91:8,	bit	34:25, 40:5,	95:14
91:9, 94:23,	13:9, 23:13,	42:24, 43:1,	built
94:25, 95:1,	39:2, 42:14,	50:1, 148:23	52:1, 52:2
105:14, 109:20,	43:2, 54:1,	boxes	busy
		14:14, 41:8	22:21, 23:8,

Conducted on April 9, 2021

100.1 111.5	appahilitian	annad	172.25 174.2
111.7 111.00			174.E
111:7, 111:20,	35:9, 35:17		
111:23, 112:3,	capable	causes	Center
112:6, 112:16,	152:2	92:9, 133:6	50:23, 51:9,
112:19, 112:21,	capacity	ccr	51:17, 53:23,
114:9, 115:9,	32:22, 32:24,	1:29, 179:18	54:10, 54:13,
146:18, 153:24	159:13, 159:17,	ccsma	79:17, 83:2,
busyness	159:19, 159:20,	172:24	83:4, 83:7,
114:21	161:8, 161:16,	cda	83:25, 84:2,
butchering	161:25, 167:14	59:18	87:19, 87:22,
92:25	carrier	cdma	88:5, 88:8,
С	31:12. 31:14.	59.9 59.12	88:21, 89:7,
	33.7. 64.22.	59.15 59.18	89:23, 90:2,
	79.17 88.5	59.13, 59.10,	90:9, 90:11,
97:6, 106:24,	89.24 96.17	59.25, 00.2, 00.4, 62.16	90:19, 91:14,
113:12, 113:18,	05.24, 50.17, 06.21	00:4, 02:10,	93:18, 94:3.
113:21, 117:9,	90.19, 90.21, 97.2, 97.3	62:21, 64:6, 65:2	123.13. 124.11
117:22, 118:11,	97.2, 97.3,	65:2, 65:6,	Ceo
119:7	97:7, 97:13,	/4:8, /4:10,	7.9
call	97:22, 97:24,	139:18, 140:1,	cortain
39:2, 39:8,	98:2, 98:0,	140:5, 140:16,	
52:8, 53:6,	98:9, 98:13,	140:24, 141:14,	
53:7, 53:11,	98:18, 98:20,	142:1, 142:8,	
53:14, 64:16,	98:21, 99:12,	159:5, 159:10,	3/:16, 165:21,
72:11, 72:15,	106:11, 106:15,	159:12, 162:13,	
93:1, 93:5,	10/:20, 113:15,	162:21, 162:22,	certificate
125:25, 159:5	113:18, 115:22,	162:24, 163:3,	179:1
called	116:2, 118:10,	163:15, 164:8,	certified
7:2, 7:5, 41:7,	119:15, 121:18,	164:16, 165:2,	2:7, 179:3
70:4, 70:5,	148:18, 151:13,	165:4, 165:10,	certify
87:12, 87:13,	151:16, 152:13,	165:13, 165:14,	179:5
134:1	175:3, 175:6	165:22, 166:6,	cetera
calling	carriers	167:12, 168:8,	112:10, 172:24
13.17. 47.13.	50:24	168:14, 168:25,	changing
53:4. 83:7.	case	169:6, 169:15,	149:25
110:17	1:8, 8:8,	169:17, 172:1,	channelization
calls	10:10, 11:16,	172:6, 172:24,	52:15, 68:14,
38.11 72.13	12:11, 13:19,	173:18, 173:21,	91:4, 121:16,
72.14	21:9, 72:25,	173:23, 173:25,	123:4, 124:3,
can't	81:19, 81:21,	174:4, 175:4,	127:7, 129:12
9.3 24.20	82:3, 82:15,	175:10, 175:15	channelized
9.3, 24.20, 98.19, 128.3	115:14, 115:22,	ceivers	130:19, 139:10
152.20 $160.9$	123:13, 141:2,	132:4, 132:6	chart
175.19	141:7, 141:17,	cell	13:20. 14:3.
cannot	141:20, 142:22,	59:23, 60:2,	17:20. 20:20.
146.21 140.5	176:18, 179:12	60:5	28:7. 28:15.
140:21, 140:3,	cases	cellular	80.3 80.13
104:14, 100:7,	112:1, 115:23,	169:4, 169:17,	81.12 144.17
104:3, 100:3	154:4	172:7, 172:14,	145.3. 145.5
		, ··-,	
I I	1		

Conducted on April 9, 2021

145:9, 147:9,	claimed	code-based	125:16, 125:17,
147:18, 147:25,	29:8	139:14, 140:1,	135:7, 135:9,
148:16	claims	140:5, 140:16,	135:10, 135:11,
charts	27:20, 29:1,	140:23, 140:24,	135:13, 138:2,
153:17	29:13, 29:15,	141:13, 141:25,	144:22, 145:2,
chat	40:24, 41:19,	143:23, 166:22,	145:8, 156:21,
16:10, 16:13,	41:25, 42:2,	168:9, 169:7,	156:22, 157:1,
16:19, 24:20,	48:10, 113:13,	169:22, 169:23,	157:2, 157:3,
26:10, 49:25	153:16	169:25, 170:8,	157:10, 157:16,
checking	clarify	171:1, 171:21,	157:17, 158:25,
110:25, 111:1	39:1, 97:1,	172:4	162:20, 163:11,
checks	104:18, 144:2	code-limiting	163:20, 167:15
112:5	clean	171:10	columns
chicago	101:7, 110:2	coded	18:6
9:18	clear	102:12, 102:14,	combinations
chip	28:25, 29:1,	142:5, 142:25	64:25
52:2	49:3, 75:5,	codes	come
chopping	92:8, 93:19,	61:22, 62:25,	68:14, 130:15,
66 <b>:</b> 7	94:21, 99:21,	63:7, 141:18,	162:22
circle	102:6, 104:2,	141:20, 143:3,	comes
31:20	114:4, 126:24,	143:4, 143:8,	19:18, 29:9,
circling	131:21, 136:8,	158:4, 158:9,	97:4
152:11	149:23, 150:6,	158:11, 158:13,	coming
citation	165:23	166:13, 1/1:8,	16:19, 91:16,
123:7	clearly		92:1, 119:22,
cite	77:17, 91:7,	coding	120:5, 125:23
87:6	91:15, 106:4,	74:12	comments
cites	129:14	COILEE	165:4, 165:21
116:4	CLICKING	/8:12	commercial
citing	164:21	collection	84:22, 84:25
164:13	CLOSE	98:16, 99:10,	committee
cklw	5/:3, 123:15,	107.14 112.16	85:21, 8/:14,
84:23	1/6:15	10/:14, 113:16	91:5
claim			
4:13, 4:16,	12:23	12:24, 13:7	34:10, 50:21,
14:12, 14:17,			79:15, 105:6, 169.10
17:16, 18:1,	59:13, 59:14,	40:4, 92:0,	
19:5, 20:6,	60:10, 62:20,	107:14, 110:10	
21:1, 21:20,	63:3, 63:4,	14.16 14.22	ol:10
21:25, 22:4,	63.23 64.21	14:10, 14:22, 23.10, 25.12	
22:15, 25:11,	65.6 65.17	23.19, 23.12, 76.10, 77.5	79:13, 103:23
37:18, 42:10,	66.2 66.3	90.6 $96.3$	
44:1, 4/:1/,	1/0.13 $1/2.5$	$102 \cdot 14$ 118 · 8	27:3, 00:10, 75.5, 76.10
48:8, 48:16,	142.7, $143.2$	118.14, 118.15	76.16 96.7
δU:3, δ1:12, 112-14 112 00	157.22 158.1	118.16, 118.20	97.17 116.1
113:14, 113:22, 115.21, 117.0	158:10, 162:25.	122:4. 122:25.	116.2 116.6
$1 = 2 \cdot 2 2 $	166:6, 166:8	123:8. 123:11.	136.7 145.9
152:23, 156:14	100.0, 100.0		100./, 110. <i>J</i> ,

160.14 162.21	computed	77.0 01.12	125.6 126.9
160:14, 163:21,		//:0, 01:13, 100.E 100.10	155:0, 150:0
164:14, 164:23,	/2:24	101.14 102.10	
163:1, 163:10	computer	101:14, 102:18,	68:9, 68:11
communications	24:11, 24:17,	102:20, 102:24,	Context
58:14, 59:1,	78:20	103:5, 1/1:15,	19:2, 20:1,
59:3, 76:13,	concept		22:5, 32:21,
79:12, 96:22,	136:21, 159:25,	constraints	48:24, 51:25,
96:23, 96:24,	167:4, 169:8,	37:21	58:2, 58:3,
114:4, 136:4,	171:20	construct	79:24, 80:1,
136:22, 157:12,	conception	44:9	80:25, 81:2,
160:4, 161:3,	91:19	construction	85:8, 88:14,
161:21, 163:12,	concepts	4:14, 4:16,	88:15, 94:10,
163:14, 164:1,	122:19	14:16, 14:23,	97:16, 97:25,
164:19, 172:3	concerns	18:3, 18:4,	98:1, 98:25,
companies	46:9	18:14, 18:17,	99:1, 99:2,
52:2	concludes	19:1, 19:9,	99:21, 112:14,
company	177:11	19:12, 20:10,	117:13, 121:5,
6:25, 7:5, 7:8,	concurrent	20:11, 21:19,	139:16, 140:1,
9:16, 89:12	45:10, 47:14	21:24, 22:4,	158:19, 168:12,
compatible	concurrently	22:20, 23:3,	171:23, 172:1,
77:10, 77:11,	40:15, 41:16,	23:6, 23:20,	173:3, 175:4
126:11	46:11, 46:12	23:25, 24:3,	contextualize
compete	configurations	24:4, 25:9,	105:19
166:8	152:17	25:10, 25:11,	contextualized
complete	configured	25:13, 25:16,	59:4, 61:19,
7:11, 178:5	152:2	26:1, 26:2,	66:13, 81:2,
completely	confirming	27:11, 27:15,	88:12, 98:6,
129:18, 170:15	116:5	27:16, 27:18,	101:22, 103:23,
compliant	confused	28:17, 28:23,	104:13
46:7, 62:17,	13:21, 128:24	29:25, 80:3,	continually
94:16, 94:18,	connect	80:21, 81:11,	32:5, 147:11
123:24, 124:19,	176:21	81:12	continuance
124:24, 127:9,	connection	constructions	176:22
127:12, 127:14,	8:23, 10:18,	15:4, 15:11,	continue
127:20, 130:6,	21:17, 21:19,	1/:19, 18:10,	31:21, 176:9
130:25, 154:12,	109:9, 132:18,	20:20, 20:25,	continues
155:9, 168:14,	133:5	21:8, 25:21	28:15, 163:25
169:4, 169:17,	consider	construe	continuous
175:18	21:8, 21:18,	81:6	176:12
complies	66:21, 72:18,	construed	contrary
76:16, 94:3	80:19, 81:8,	14:18	48:13
comply	81:11	contained	control
126:8, 127:7,	considered	93:21, 137:11	9:5, 10:10,
161:22	21:6, 21:23,	contend	12:2, 15:25,
complying	95:7	30:10, 33:22,	29:16, 30:20,
124:2, 156:13	considering	35:14	34:8, 49:22,
compound	35:5, 35:6	contention	49:23, 71:4,
35:3	consistent	34:20, 129:19,	71:6, 73:23,
	43:21, 45:17.		
	, ,		

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021

		1 /	
118:18	147:1	25:25, 26:2	D
controlling	correlation	cover	data
69:3	109:16, 143:4,	12:10, 85:12,	29.10 21.5
conventional	143:5, 143:9,	86:3	20:10, SI:J,
107:6. 115:25	143:14, 143:16,	covers	31:11, 31:24,
coordinates	158:5	113.22	32:5, 34:16,
177.2	correlations	create	34:22, 34:25,
concration	110.10	66.9 147.20	35:11, 35:16,
	could	croating	37:5, 39:24,
1:5	17.11 20.12		40:3, 40:7,
copy	1/:11, 30:13,	42:18	40:8, 40:10,
16:15	32:25, 34:8,	Criteria	40:11, 44:9,
corporation	42:20, 43:10,	65:15, 65:17,	44:11, 44:12,
5:5, 5:16,	4/:5, 65:18,	156:14	46:21, 46:23,
12:14, 12:22,	65:19, 66:7,	critical	58:14, 58:25,
13:3	68:25, 74:19,	106:2	96:22, 96:23,
correct	77:3, 94:15,	cross	115:2
9:19, 9:22,	118:12, 119:13,	143:9, 143:14,	date
11:7, 11:10,	119:24, 124:18,	143:16, 158:5	5:8, 178:9
11:13, 13:3,	127:8, 129:15,	csm	dav
15:11, 17:20,	131:13, 132:9,	107:3	50:22. 79:16.
23:5, 25:21,	132:10, 134:4,	csma	110.23 179.15
27:16, 30:15,	134:6, 141:12,	$97 \cdot 4 = 97 \cdot 6$	decades
30:22. 33:1.	145:24, 146:7,	97.18 98.16	110.12
41.12 41.20	147:3, 155:22,	98.18 99.7	
48.25 52.23	158:6, 169:2,	00.13 106.24	
53.17 56.3	172:18	99:13, 100:24, 107.20	4:18, 28:7,
63.16 67.2	counsel	107.15 107.24	29:3, 29:9,
67.25 60.4	5.12. 6.2. 6.6.	107:15, 107:24,	29:10, 31:13,
07:23, 09:4, 70.2, 70.12	6.18 8.16	108:15, 109:2,	31:16, 32:16,
70:3, 72:12,	11.24 15.20	109:9, 110:18,	148:18, 148:24
73:0, 07:25,	24.8 $19.23$	113:8, 113:12,	decisions
93:7, IIU:8,	174.10 $176.9$	113:18, 113:21,	150:4
111:2, 114:10,	176.10 170.11	116:17, 117:6,	declaration
114:17, 114:22,	1/0.10, 1/9.11	117:9, 117:13,	4:8, 10:17,
115:9, 119:8,	170.00	117:22, 118:4,	11:4, 49:15,
123:20, 124:10,	1/2:20	118:11, 119:7,	50:7, 71:1,
125:3, 127:1,	coupie	175:8, 175:10,	73:20, 78:3,
132:19, 133:2,	9:16, 9:23,	175:16, 175:21	79:24, 94:1,
135:15, 148:7,	174:14	cup	94:4, 105:17,
151:10, 151:15,	court	78:13	106:6, 106:20,
151:18, 152:22,	1:1, 2:7, 4:13,	current	113:23, 120:22,
154:25, 162:7,	5:6, 5:23, 8:14,	105:7	137:14, 145:14
164:19, 166:1,	12:11, 13:19,	currently	declares
167:18, 169:19,	21:3, 21:18,	6:24, 151:9	112.20
171:19, 178:5,	24:2, 25:17,	cv	decoding
179:6	70:10, 81:19,	4.9. 8.23 9.3	1/1.22
corrections	81:21, 82:3,	9.4.9.10 9.14	dodi cotod
178:6	82:15, 170:21		
correctly	court's	29.15	66:22, 67:5
100:12. 124:14.	25:12, 25:16.	20.13	
	,,		

defendants	degree	45:17, 65:5,	113:21, 116:12,
1:13, 3:11,	136:7	74:17, 89:14,	116:15, 119:6,
12:15, 14:23,	deliver	97:15, 98:15,	119:12, 119:20,
17:19, 18:3,	168:6	100:6, 106:5,	150:12, 151:20,
20:10, 23:19,	denoted	113:10, 119:11,	151:21, 153:3,
25:10	83:13, 83:14	120:2, 159:16,	153:4, 153:8,
define	depending	160:25, 171:8	153:10
50:22, 51:10,	135:16. 135:17.	describes	determinative
53:5, 54:16,	152:16	42:6, 102:13,	147:14, 150:13,
58:23, 60:20,	depends	105:13, 164:25,	150:18, 151:22
62:9, 79:16,	56:8. 57:11.	169:6	determine
83:6, 83:17,	59:16. 81:2.	describing	27:1, 28:19,
84:12, 85:3,	96:8, 97:25,	167:8, 167:17,	31:15, 33:5,
88:2, 89:5,	111:18, 111:25.	167:19, 167:20	33:8, 33:19,
95:11	112:12, 134:24.	description	34:14, 35:9,
defined	146:23, 153:1.	39:18, 52:7,	37:3, 39:19,
52:6, 53:9,	163.22, 166.11	66:9, 76:4,	65:14, 65:16,
58:16, 59:15,	depicted	125:11, 154:7,	65:19, 97:9,
60:22, 61:14,	40.15 $42.25$	163:7	97:18, 97:22,
61:18, 61:21,	44.23	descriptions	109:5, 110:13,
61:22, 61:23,	depicts	90:2. 101:19	111:4, 111:20,
71:25, 83:2,		design	113:17, 115:17,
83:3, 83:25,	41.14 dopo	65·2	116:3, 116:22,
93:25. 95:17.	2.01 2.00	designated	116:24, 117:11,
105:16	J:21, J:22	39.12 116.8	117:24, 119:13,
defines		designing	119:14, 120:7.
53:22. 62:7.	1/8:1	161.21	151:22, 152:13,
71:21, 85:4,		desired	161:20
85:17. 85:25.	5:11, 5:22,	160.0 164.4	determined
88:9, 89:6	5:24 dama ai ti an	$d_{0+2}$	73:15, 113:15,
defining			113:20. 151:13.
67:24, 72:2.	1:15, 2:1, 5:3,	120:4	152:21
72:6. 86:12	/:15, 8:19,		determines
definition	10:7, 12:4,	111:5, 115:2	22:22, 23:8,
19.5 49.2	15:22, 24:14,		34:12, 38:8,
49.7 79.21	/5:14, 92:2,	39:17, 116:20	39:16, 108:1,
80:12, 80:19.	93:3, 104:15,		110:15, 111:7,
80:23, 80:25,	103:3, 176:9,	109:18, 110:4,	111:23, 112:15,
81:13, 81:15,	1//:11, 1/9:4	110:14, 151:11,	112:19, 114:25,
81:18, 81:21,			161:16, 167:13
82:11, 82:14.	5/:24, 105:/,	detection	determining
82:16, 82:22,		107:20, 108:6,	32:11, 114:21
82:24, 83:4,		108:14, 108:18,	developed
83:9, 84:2,	42:9, 53:13,		25:20
86:2, 86:13.	14:10, 100:23,		development
86:22, 87:8.	166.22 166.25	149:18, 150:9,	165:13
100:18, 101:15,	100:22, 100:20		device
114:11			34:24, 35:5.
	33:10, 43:22,	34:4, 34:5,	
	1		

Conducted on April 9, 2021

35:15, 36:1,	122:19, 124:3,	discusses	59:13, 59:14,
36:10, 36:24,	128:2, 128:15,	124:16, 135:1,	60:17, 62:20,
36:25, 37:5,	128:20, 128:25,	162:13, 168:13	64:19, 64:20,
37:9, 37:16,	132:11, 134:22,	discussing	64:21, 64:22,
39:4, 39:6,	137:1, 156:1,	59:5, 81:3,	65:6, 65:7,
42:2, 42:10,	156:5, 163:1,	90:11, 95:15,	65:15, 65:17,
45:7, 48:10,	172:13, 172:14	103:3, 105:16,	65:22, 66:1,
106:13, 110:18,	differentiated	107:7, 122:24,	66:2, 66:3,
114:1, 127:12,	108:19	123:1, 125:1,	66:6, 66:18,
127:13, 127:14,	difficult	125:21, 140:12,	67:17, 67:22,
127:15, 127:16,	17:2, 82:13	158:21, 165:14,	67:23, 68:7,
127:18, 154:11,	digital	167:15, 170:7,	68:15, 68:23,
161:9, 161:20,	96:23	170:8, 170:9,	68:24, 69:2,
166:7	direct	170:25, 171:1	165:15, 165:16,
devices	26:14	discussion	165:24, 166:3
35:4, 52:3,	directly	60:13, 73:4,	doctor
116:5, 160:19,	17:20	75:24, 85:10,	9:7, 10:12,
161:10, 161:19,	disagree	90:8, 90:19,	16:16, 16:20,
166:7, 166:13	27:22	94:4, 100:4,	17:2, 71:10,
devil's	disclose	100:5, 127:23,	73:23, 84:7
111:5	125:6	139:4, 167:2	document
diagonal	disclosed	discussions	8:25, 10:20,
41:8	46:2, 77:9	8:11	10:24, 11:12,
dickinson	disclosure	displayed	11:14, 11:18,
3:4, 5:15	42:7, 100:15,	10:24	11:22, 12:8,
died	118:7, 119:19,	distinction	13:13, 13:15,
135:23	139:9, 163:15	72:21, 82:14,	13:19, 15:25,
differ	disclosures	93:20, 171:4,	26:19, 29:11,
65 <b>:</b> 6	27:19, 119:18,	171:5	50:18, 63:25,
difference	153:17	distinguish	/3:24, /4:2,
30:6, 44:3,	discuss	109:12	80:5, 90:14,
44:4, 47:15,	77:14, 122:13,	distinguishes	
47:20, 67:11,	122:15, 176:10,	89:22	accuments
83:10, 100:22	176:21	distortion	15:15, 17:8,
different	discussed	173:14	
50:8, 57:20,	18:13, 51:5,	district	
61:7, 65:10,	70:8, 76:24,	1:1, 1:2, 4:13,	34:23, 34:25,
67:6, 67:10,	83:3, 83:16,	5:6, 12:11,	37:10
77:16, 80:20,	84:14, 87:21,	13:19, 21:3,	
80:21, 84:24,	90:3, 98:23,	21:17, 25:17,	33:12, 33:14,
92:15, 92:20,	107:10, 107:18,	26:1, 81:19,	04:13, 113:21, 115:12, 115:10
93:17, 93:24,	124:20, 125:13,	81:20, 82:3,	115:13, 115:16,
94:8, 94:12,	130:11, 135:3,	82:15	162.17 $174.21$
96:13, 97:13,	136:2, 136:10,	divided	down
9/:20, 98:15,	137:19, 139:6,	68:8	Q.16 20.10
yö:∠⊃, yy:⊥,	139:11, 153:22,	divides	22.10 20.22
99:2, IUI:II,	163:8, 167:25,	73:17	32:10, 39:23, 09.17, 102.12
101:20, 121:22,	171:3	division	90.17, 102:13,
		1:3, 5:7,	

Conducted on April 9, 2021

113:25, 148:3,	104:15, 105:23,	174:18, 176:14,	environments
148:15	115:6, 123:15,	177:6	64:6
downloading	143:3, 143:10,	elsewhere	equal
50:3	159:9, 159:22,	118:23	128:13, 129:25
dozen	161:14, 166:6,	embodiment	equate
103:21, 104:12	167:13, 168:3,	42:7, 145:3,	121:6
dr	168:10, 172:19,	145:6, 145:10	equipment
6:21, 8:22,	172:20, 172:25	embodiments	52:1, 121:14
9:6, 10:10,	earlier	43:22, 43:25,	errata
10:16, 12:2,	42:15, 49:6,	45:17, 74:8,	178:7
12:7, 15:24,	69:25, 70:8,	74:10, 77:7,	error
30:21, 78:2,	75:18, 79:9,	153:21, 154:13,	160:8, 160:10,
86:4, 120:21,	83:3, 83:17,	154:16, 154:18	164:4, 168:7
174:9, 176:4	84:14, 93:7,	employed	errors
draw	96:16, 96:17,	6:24, 179:11	177:14
82:13	107:18, 127:21,	employee	essential
drawing	129:14, 144:17,	165:12, 165:14	1:6, 12:23
40:17, 93:19	150:25, 167:15,	encoder	essentially
drawings	16/:25, 1/0:8,	102:15	80:20
8:10	1/1:2, 1/1:3	end	established
drew	easier	10:23, 45:12,	147:6
3:22, 5:10,	13:10	45:15, 102:14,	et
5:22	easy	116:16	5:4, 112:10,
drifting	55:3	ended	172:24
54:22		149:2	even
due	96:9, 163:23,	ending	55:25, 65:18,
27:20, 144:3	168:5	41:15	82:17, 122:2,
duly		ends	126:13, 136:6,
6:15	123:15, 138:6,	40:7, 138:13,	161:3
duplex		149:5	event
67:11, 135:21,		energy	8:9
136:15, 136:20	168:25	96:8, 109:22,	ever
duplexing	either	109:23, 111:3,	55:8, 77:14,
67:10, 67:11,	8:/, 15:10,	163:22	166:21
67:22, 68:3,	1/:18, 21:15,	engineering	every
134:24, 134:25,	44:2, 44:5,	136:4, 136:23	28:11, 32:2,
135:16, 135:17,	45:12, 47:21,	ensure	103:9, 103:25,
136:2, 136:4,	47:22, 05:20, 120.24, 122.0	114:1	104:15, 105:23,
136:14, 136:18,	120:24, 132:9,	entire	115:4, 164:14
136:20	159:17, 150:24, 151:10 151:04	34:9, 52:19,	everyone
during	165.17	54:5, 58:24,	8:16, 10:4,
176:5, 176:11,	165:17	62:22, 66:21,	11:24, 15:20,
176:12, 176:17,	102,13 105.14	66:22, 67:3,	24:8, 177:9
176:18	102:13, 103:14	67:4, 69:16,	everything
E	136.21	99:17, 99:20,	123:3, 126:23
each		161:13	ex
34:11, 93:17,	<b>5135</b>	entirety	92:23
	15/:20, 151:0,	103:19	exact
			111:18, 112:17

exactly	13:12, 13:13,	83:3, 83:5,	163:4, 165:7,
47:14	13:17, 13:18,	83:7, 83:12,	165:17, 165:24,
examination	13:20, 13:25,	83:18, 117:9,	166:3, 172:24
4.2. 6.18. 6.19	14:1. 15:19.	139:17. 141:17.	features
examined	15:21, 15:22,	141:20	77:9
6·17, 178·3	16:3, 16:16,		federal
example	24:7, 24:14,	fact	161:22
$28.6 \cdot 38.13$	42:2, 49:9,		feel
54.22 59.17	49:15, 49:24,	6:9, 31:17	11.3
59.23 60.12	75:10, 75:14,		felt
77.16 83.11	80:4, 90:5,	8:2, 39:13,	128.8 134.6
94.22 $94.24$	91:21, 92:2,	66:6, 14/:13,	fow
94.22, 94.24, 90.5, 105.12	92:25. 93:3.	152:25, 165:5,	110.0 125.5
113.17 110.7	95:21, 100:4.	176:25	162.22
127.15 127.6	106:19, 156:19,	fall	
127:13, 137:0,	164.24	149:6	
140:3, 140:17, 147.10	expand	familiar	85:9
14/:3, 14/:19,	1/9.3	51:23, 51:24,	rirteen (
148:4, 151:1,	evpect	59:8, 62:24,	174:11
151:21, 152:20,		66:23, 157:22	fifth
153:10, 153:20,	105:14	far	173:14
155:20, 158:3,		36:12, 44:23,	fifty-two
160:5, 160:9,	52:10, 70:19	63:12, 156:25	145:15
164:8, 164:15,	explain	faster	figure
165:11, 168:19,	44:4, 47:21,	47:1	28:6, 29:25,
169:5, 172:14,	54:1, 167:23,	fcc	30:1, 30:17,
172:17, 173:13,	168:18	52:8, 52:11,	31:3, 32:9,
173:19, 175:9,	explanation	52:14, 53:10,	32:15, 38:13,
175:20	55:21	60:23. 61:18.	39:22, 40:13,
examples	explicitly	62:16. 64:8.	41:10, 41:14,
172:11	125:13, 163:6	66:17. 69:11.	42:6, 42:9,
excellent	exponential	69:15. 69:17.	45:2, 100:17,
8:8, 8:13	112:11	69:19, 69:21,	101:13, 101:14,
exclusive	exponentially	70:3, 70:7,	101:19, 102:10,
64:25, 65:11,	112:6, 112:9	70:17. 71:25.	103:2, 103:5,
65:13, 163:4,	expressed	72:6. 72:14.	105:12, 106:3,
175:14	17:24, 18:20,	72:23. 73:14.	106:7, 106:10,
exemplary	18:22, 19:15,	82:16. 83:5.	106:11, 106:15,
145:5	19:17, 19:22,	85:5. 87:21.	114:24, 144:13,
exhibit	20:3, 23:22,	126.9 130.19	144:18, 145:2,
4:6, 4:7, 4:8,	27:20, 135:12,	130.21 - 139.11	145:5, 145:8,
4:9, 4:10, 4:11,	140:19, 141:1,	158.22 160.6	145:19, 146:16,
4:12, 4:13,	143:18	160.22, 161.1	147:18, 147:19,
4:16, 8:15,	expression	169.1 169.18	148:5, 148:16,
8:18, 8:19,	11:15, 31:3	172.5	150:5, 151:14
10:3, 10:6,	extent	fcc-mandated	filed
10:7, 10:17,	42:8. 44:2.		8:23, 165:11
11:23, 12:1,	47:20, 53:22.	fdma	final
12:4, 12:11.	56:10, 73:13		25.12, 25.16
. ,		UU:1, 103:1,	

### Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021

financial	following	129:17, 137:11,	165:24, 166:3,
179:13	14:3, 36:12,	142:6, 171:12,	168:2, 168:10,
find	154.16. 165.2	172:5. 172:9	174:1
50.6 00.12	follows	frequency	friday
10:0, 09:13,			1.10
136:20	6:17, 170:24	18:5, 19:4,	1:18
finds	foregoing	20:12, 20:14,	front
112:21	178:4, 179:4,	22:9, 22:20,	15:12
fine	179:5	23:7, 48:25,	full
6:11. 6:13.	forget	49:6, 49:11,	6:22
13.1 13.8	172.15	50:23. 51:5.	fundamental
10.1 10.0	formi	$51 \cdot 9$ $51 \cdot 17$	110.12 111.10
19:1, 19:9,		52.1 $52.24$	110:12, 111:19,
21:4, 24:19,	1/3:1/	53.1, 55.24,	136:21
50:1, 74:4,	forgot	54:11, 56:22,	fundamentally
81:7, 174:19	78:12	58:15, 58:16,	46:19
finish	formally	58:24, 61:21,	further
57:9, 77:3,	<b>-</b> 6·3	61:24, 61:25,	54:1. 115:24
164.11	format	62:7, 62:8,	<u> </u>
first		63:11. 63:15.	G
	46:21	64.3 64.5	general
6:15, 9:4,	formatting	64.12 64.20	76:14, 83:9,
12:10, 15:6,	40:10	04.12, 04.20,	107:16, 157:5,
42:7, 44:25,	formed	65:7, 65:15,	163.12
45:1, 55:23,	25:19, 27:9,	65:22, 66:1,	generally
55:25, 56:6,	48.9 48.14	66:5, 66:6,	
56:10. 73:22.	forty-fivo	66:18, 66:22,	21:11, 58:5,
114.1 120.25		67:17, 67:18,	96:22, 99:22,
14.1, 120.23,	120:14	67:22, 67:23,	99:23, 99:25,
145:5, 145:6,	forward	68.7 68.8	100:6, 107:3,
145:10, 1/4:3,	66:23, 67:4	(0.15, 00.0)	107:8, 108:12,
174:4	found	68:15, 68:23,	139.17
five	30.4	68:24, 69:2,	generation
44:22, 46:13	foundation	69:16, 69:23,	
flow		71:18, 79:17,	32:13, 39:24,
28.7 28.15	43:12	80:13, 82:3,	40:8
144.17 $145.2$	four	82:13, 83:2,	generic
144:17, 145:5,	45:10, 113:24	83:4, 83:7,	59:2, 80:24,
145:8, 14/:8,	fourth	83.25 84.2	81:16, 81:22,
147:18, 147:25,	137:14	07.10 00.5	82:8, 103:23
148:15, 148:16,	frequencies	07.19, 00.01	geographic
153:17	$22 \cdot 12$ 29 · 23	88:8, 88:21,	172.20
fm	22.12, 29.23,	89:7, 89:16,	
84:21, 84:22,	64:11, 66:6,	89:24, 90:3,	getting
84.25 85.2	66:21, 67:3,	90:9, 90:11,	26:18, 43:8,
03.0	67:5, 70:2,	91:14, 92:6,	57:3, 78:8,
55.0	82:19, 82:21,	92:11, 93:18,	95:25, 120:24,
locus	83:13, 84:12,	94:3. 98:2.	149:6
135:12	84:16, 84:17,	98:24. 106:15	aia
follow	84:20, 85:2,	123.17 127.22	84:16, 124.19
154:15	85:3. 85:6-	120.E 140.1	126.5
follow-up	87.12 90.20	142:1,	
7:20	102.14 102.00	142:16, 143:1,	grganertz
followed	123:14, 123:22,	165:15, 165:16,	51:23, 52:6,
10.0	124:11, 125:8,		1
40:9			

	_	_	
53:4, 54:6,	goals	guard	held
54:21, 59:6,	38:10	135:25	2:2
70:6, 71:21,	qoes	quess	hello
82:12, 89:17,	27:16, 112:1,	37:2, 41:8,	129:1
89:18, 91:6,	112:4, 112:6,	82:17, 96:3,	help
93:20	112:9, 118:8,	100:2, 106:24,	8:10, 156:18
give	163:24	111:6, 132:21,	here
9:5, 10:9,	going	145:19, 157:1,	5:2, 10:23,
49:21, 49:22,	11:18, 13:16,	157:15, 160:25,	11:5, 11:19,
71:8, 78:13,	14:2, 14:4,	161:8, 176:3,	12:25, 13:22,
80:7, 91:21,	15:14, 16:9,	176:11	15:9, 17:21.
91:24, 92:24,	16:12, 16:14,	quide	18:23, 19:18,
110:2, 141:8,	16:15. 31:1.	92:5	21:16, 22:2.
168:18	52:21, 54:7,	auv	23:17, 26:5,
given	57:2. 57:14.	31.10	$27.23 \cdot 30.19$
14:25, 15:24,	57:19, 57:24,	<u> </u>	31:2. 31:10.
22:8, 66:16,	73:15. 73:16.	n	31:14. 44:23.
69:11, 69:15,	79:9, 103:24.		51.8. 53.4
73:14, 85:5,	132:25. 148:4.	66:15, 66:16	$56.7 \cdot 56.19$
86:2. 89:15.	165.20	halfway	71 • 1 71 • 17
99:7, 178:6,	good	38:17	90.21 93.19
179:6	157.4	halton	$94 \cdot 2$ $94 \cdot 17$
gives	government	3:22, 5:10,	95.23 97.7
69.21	85.16 85.17	5:22	$101 \cdot 17 = 102 \cdot 1$
aivina	87.13	hand	$102.7 \cdot 103.12$
<b>7</b> •11	government's	179:15	106.2 107.5
7.11 GO		hang	107.16 $110.12$
7.22 9.7	araduating	31:7, 129:2	111.19 114.12
10.12 11.19		happen	115·8 117· <i>A</i>
16.2 30.23	130:0	42:17	117.1/ 117.18
30.24 43.5		happened	119.17 122.6
<i>11</i> , <i>1</i>	4:18	9:22	122.8 122.00
50.11 $74.4$	great	happens	123.19 124.21
75.3 77.4	16:22, 170:12,	79:3, 112:15	$125 \cdot 12, 129 \cdot 10$
78.10 79.4	1/0:16	hashed	136.3. 136.17.
79.11 80.11	greater	40:5, 40:11,	137.20 137.23
84.5 89.12	46:8, 53:16,	41:3, 41:7,	$141 \cdot 4 = 141 \cdot 19$
103.8 103.24	55:17, 55:24	148:23	143.20 150.7
104.8 104.25	greenfield	head	155:4. 159:16.
105.23 $106.20$	3:14, 5:19	54:25, 55:1,	161.22 165.18
120.12 $120.15$	greg	55:7, 56:16,	165.20 166.19
135.11 137.8	35:21, 68:18,	175:20	$167 \cdot 1 = 169 \cdot 3$
1/1.9 $1/2.17$	140:9	heading	here's
1/3.7 $1/8.2$	gregory	26:24	70.17
148.3 148.15	3:12, 5:17	hear	hereby
156.17 $162.18$	group	74:24, 78:7.	178·2 179·5
164.12, 165.1	57:13	116:13, 170:5	herein
175.23 176.7	guarantees	heard	77.7
±,0.20, ±,0.1	34:9	12:16, 55:8	/ / • /
		,,	

Conducted on April 9, 2021

hereunto	155:7, 173:20	146:17, 146:22,	153:16, 165:12
179:14	I	146:25, 147:10,	improve
high	i's	148:1, 148:7,	125:20, 144:25
110:16, 148:21	58:14, 58:25	148:17, 148:19,	improving
higher	identified	151:17, 152:10,	76:8, 76:14,
108:22, 108:24,	88:20, 88:21,	152:22, 153:2,	157:11, 163:13
109:3, 109:20,	119:16	153:11, 153:12,	inaccurate
109:23, 110:5,	identifies	153:24, 154:2,	66:9, 70:15,
110:9	164.16	154:4, 154:5,	107:23, 126:22,
highly	identify	154:6, 154:7,	128:10, 128:12
59:3, 61:19,	5.13 52.15	166:21, 166:23	inappropriate
66:12, 81:1,	88.7 163.16	idleness	19:12, 21:1,
88:12, 98:6,	165.6	34:5, 34:9,	40:22
101:21, 103:22,	idle	34:14, 38:5,	inc
104:13	22.21 23.8	117:11, 119:7,	1:10, 1:11,
hitting	23.9 $28.2$	119:12, 119:13,	5:4, 13:4, 13:5,
75:17	23.3, 20.2, 28.8, 28.9	119:15, 119:20,	13:6
hold	28.14 28.16	120:7, 147:14,	included
26:16, 48:22,	29.2 $29.5$	153:3	28:20, 47:4,
49:3, 78:8,	29.18 30.4	ieee	51:13
84:4, 131:7,	30.11 30.12	94:22	includes
144:12, 146:8,	31.12. 31.15.	illinois	91:4
146:9, 156:18	31:17, 31:18,	9:18	including
hopefully	31:21, 31:23.	illustrate	12:15, 23:11
80:14	31:25, 32:6,	8:10	inclusion
horizontal	32:12. 32:17.	imagine	29:22
129:4	32:20, 32:22,	41:6, 42:12,	inconsistent
hospital	33:6. 33:23.	42:24, 43:16,	43:23, 45:18,
176:7	35:9, 39:17,	44:18	101:20, 102:4,
hour	39:19, 40:9,	immediate	102:23, 103:12,
57:3, 120:13,	44:7, 45:21,	11:20	104:22, 104:24,
144:3	46:20, 97:9,	immediately	106:8, 118:22
however	108:1, 113:15,	111:8	incorporated
136:20	113:20, 113:21,	impeding	62:20
hypothetical	114:3, 114:4,	28:1	incorrect
36:24, 37:15,	114:8, 114:12,	implementation	20:1, 47:22,
38:14, 42:18,	114:25, 115:8,	34:10	63:19, 114:23,
43:6, 44:17,	115:10, 115:17,	implemented	125:4, 126:19
46:4, 46:14,	115:22, 115:23,	175:15	increase
47:12, 48:10,	116:4, 116:7,	implementing	38:20, 47:6
63:12, 68:24,	116:12, 116:15,	116:4	increasing
132:21, 133:12,	116:20, 117:3,	implicated	129:4, 160:11
133:14, 134:14,	117:5, 117:12,	41:19, 41:22	independent
146:10, 148:4,	117:19, 117:25,	implied	93:17
148:8, 148:20,	118:21, 118:23,	105:24	indicated
149:3, 149:25,	145:25, 146:1,	implies	55:22, 63:14,
150:22, 151:3,	146:6, 146:7,	29:20	67:23, 69:1,
154:23, 155:2,		important	176:5, 176:7
		153:4, 153:12,	

Conducted on April 9, 2021

	-	1 /	-
indicates	instead	134:10, 134:11,	inventors
154:8	6:4	137:9, 143:2,	47:4
indicating	institution	143:9, 168:2	involved
41.10, 41.11	4.19 29.10	interfered	52.14. 58.11
128.8	institution's	140:9	involves
indicative	29.9	interference	107.19
110.16	instrument	38.10 47.2	inr
individual		17.25 96.8	
172.10 172.12	52:9, 70:19	121.7 $121.11$	5:7 
1/2:10, 1/2:12		121.7, 121.11, 122.11	
	119:19	122.3, 122.11, 122.14	52:12, 70:16,
70:20	intended	122.12, 122.14, 124.00, 125.00	/1:21, 82:12,
industry	34:16, 34:21,	124:0, 123:0,	85:18, 89:16,
51:14, 53:10,	77:6	131:11, 131:13,	91:5, 126:5,
59:3, 72:5,	intending	131:15, 132:1,	127:6, 130:18,
72:7, 72:13,	34:24	132:5, 132:7,	130:20, 130:25,
73:15, 97:4,	intends	132:17, 133:20,	139:10, 161:3
107:9, 130:24	35:15, 129:9,	138:14, 141:21,	issue
inference	137:10, 147:5	143:24, 143:25,	27:25, 137:19,
121:8, 131:24	intention	162:10, 163:22,	169:1, 171:9
infinite	70:23	168:24, 1/1:10,	issued
158:3, 158:6,	intentional	171:21	24:3
158:9, 158:11	40:2, 53:1,	interferes	issues
information	53:23, 97:8,	122:1, 130:3,	162:24
31:19, 32:13,	112:22, 122:1,	133:19	it'll
32:25, 36:3,	123:21, 130:7,	interfering	17:3
36:4, 36:10,	133:6. 137:7.	46:16, 127:9,	itself
36:14, 37:14,	158:22. 171:11	129:11, 133:4	13:19, 14:17,
39:5, 41:2,	intentionally	internal	37:6. 90:16.
42:12, 42:15,	33:11. 33:19.	52:14, 106:13	133:11, 133:24,
42:21, 43:14,	34:13, 107:24.	interpretation	134:3, 134:7,
44:25, 45:1,	108:2. 108:6.	29:15, 40:17	134:10, 134:12,
63:5, 63:24,	108.11 - 108.14	interpreted	139:2. 149:17.
64:2, 76:9,	$108.17 \cdot 108.18$	123:2	152:10. 169:8.
76:15, 83:10,	109.2 109.8	interrupt	169:15
98:3. 129:9.	109.12 $109.20$	78:18	T
137:10, 157:11,	109.24 $110.5$	interrupted	<u> </u>
163:14	110.17 117.1	7:3	Jillian
informed	124.18 132.6	interruption	3:21, 118:19
149.21 151.12	135.22 161.15	31.9	job
infringe	162.9 168.4	invention	1:27
12.10 18.10	162.9, 100.4,	27.10 20.8	joining
42.10, 40.10,	173.10	76.13 77.6	5:18
infringing	interest	117.0 125.19	joint
26.25 27.1	170.12	$1 1 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 4 5 \cdot 1 $	4:16, 12:18,
inhoront	interfore	144.23, $143.4$ , 145.7, $145.11$	25:11, 80:2,
		162.10	81:12
	124:4, 133:11,	inventiona	K
	133:10, 133:23,		keep
95:19	134:2, 134:6,	31:4	13.16 14.2

14:3, 42:24,	150:3, 152:5,	leakage	left
57:14, 57:19,	153:9, 153:15,	38:11, 38:20,	14:6, 16:2,
152:11	155:14, 155:15,	46:5. 46:9.	42:14. 43:1
keeping	159:1. 162:12.	46:20. 47:2.	legal
149.24	165:18. 167:1.	47.25, 121.1	160·1/ 169·20
kind	169:9. 173:17.	$121 \cdot 4$ , $121 \cdot 6$ ,	175.18
17.7 $37.17$	173:21. 174:9.	$121 \cdot 24 = 122 \cdot 3$	length
37.18 /1.5	174:24, 176:16.	$122 \cdot 15$ , $122 \cdot 17$	32.2
52.23 75.16	176:19. 176:20.	122.18, 122.24.	lens
$92 \cdot 1  106 \cdot 5$	176:21, 176:22,	123.6 123.16	90.25
$112 \cdot 2  1/0 \cdot 12$	176:23	123.23 124.6	
169.5	knowledge	$124 \cdot 16$ , $125 \cdot 2$	1255 47.0 55.00
	$105 \cdot 7$ $124 \cdot 22$	125.12 125.18	4/:2, 33:23,
04.02	125.10 130.14	125.12, 125.10, 125.25, 126.25	55:25, 70:21,
04:23 Irleam	known	$131 \cdot 2$ $131 \cdot 3$	81:22, 82:8
	02.9 130.10	$131 \cdot 1  131 \cdot 8$	
84:23	bowe	131.9 $133.5$	55:18
knew	152.6	135.2 $135.13$	let's
55:1	152:0	136.25 137.4	13:11, 38:14,
knocked	L	137.18 138.6	38:25, 39:1,
164:22	labeled	139.11 $139.14$	39:8, 39:10,
know	14:1, 14:22	130.11, 130.14,	39:11, 39:15,
7:18, 8:9,	land	140.23 141.13	41:6, 42:11,
12:7, 15:16,	97:4	140.25, 141.15, 142.16, 142.22	42:13, 43:17,
17:3, 17:5,	lands	143:10, 143:22, 142.25, 144.2	44:21, 45:4,
21:10, 30:25,	99:9	143.23, 144.3,	45:22, 57:9,
36:20, 37:13,	language	144.4, 144.11,	57:16, 57:18,
42:12, 43:17,	14:15, 20:11,	162.10 166.25	57:19, 62:18,
44:4, 44:20,	23:12, 27:22,	167.2 167.2	63:6, 65:13,
44:21, 44:23,	50:20, 51:1,	167:2, 167:3,	78:22, 90:13,
47:21, 50:1,	53:12, 80:17,	167:4, 167:6,	118:15, 120:15,
52:2, 54:20,	83:23, 138:6	167:9, 167:20,	123:25, 124:10,
54:21, 54:24,	large	168:24, 169:6,	134:13, 142:4,
54:25, 55:8,	52:5. 56:14.	170:4, 170:7,	142:15, 142:17,
56:7, 56:15,	123:18	170:25, 171:9,	148:8, 148:11,
56:21, 57:12,	larger		149:3, 149:15,
57:13, 58:12,	46·17 54·13	leaking	149:18, 153:11,
58:25, 60:9,	73.9. 73.10.	139:1	156:17, 164:7,
62:13, 79:23,	73.11	least	167:5, 172:6,
81:9, 85:8,	last	14:23, 19:2,	172:16, 175:23
86:14, 96:4,	9.16 9.22	40:13, 103:21,	level
96:22, 102:3,	29.14 $144.7$	104:12, 114:20,	55:23, 55:24,
102:7, 103:16,	lator	121:5, 138:17,	56:1, 108:21,
104:3, 104:5,	45.6 112.2	144:19, 147:2,	108:22, 108:24,
105:6, 105:9,	$1 \rightarrow m$	147:24, 161:18	109:3, 109:15,
110:21, 117:17,	21.10	Leave	109:17, 110:3,
117:18, 124:6,		57:13, 82:17	110:16, 111:3,
138:25, 142:17,	101.04	leaving	168:4
143:20, 147:25,	⊥∠⊥ <b>∶</b> ∠4	136:24, 169:1	license
			69 <b>:</b> 22

	R		
licensed	lines	29:24, 30:17,	76:21, 76:22,
70:17. 169:20	41:9. 71:12.	49:9. 55:2.	76:23, 76:25,
lieu	78:16. 113:25.	55:3, 75:6,	77:2, 77:13,
6.3	122:4. 124:13.	76:19, 78:19,	77:14, 95:21,
likelv	125:17. 126:14.	82:10, 83:5,	100:3, 100:13,
11.17	135.8 135.13	84:1. 88:12.	101:9, 101:12.
1 imi+	$138 \cdot 2 = 144 \cdot 22$	90:4. 90:13.	101:18, 102:9.
52.16 85.0	156:22. 156:23.	90:16. 99:13.	102:13, 103:1.
110.5 $110.10$	159:1. 162:14.	104:15, 147:8,	103:9, 103:20,
158.24 161.5	167:15	147:18, 147:25,	103:25, 104:16,
163.15 165.21	link	149:7, 149:8,	104:17, 104:22,
167.17	26.9. 66.23.	158:25. 159:13.	104:24, 105:1,
limitations	66.24 67.4	162:12	105:25, 156:18,
	67.5 - 96.7	looked	157:7, 157:23,
limitod	159.9 159.22	161:19	158:20, 162:2,
	$163 \cdot 21 = 167 \cdot 13$	looking	162:12, 163:6,
//:/, 94:23,	listen	9:12. 16:3.	165:6, 165:18,
94:2J, 121:22, 157.02 150.0	115.15 126.1	32:5. 74:3.	165:25, 166:21,
157:23, 150:2,	172.22	78.25. 82.11	166:24, 166:25,
160.1 160.5	listening	114:15, 156:21.	167:2, 167:6,
160.6 162.4	115.13	162:20	167:9, 171:16
160:0, 102:4,	listens	looks	lundby's
102:23, 104:2	11/1.1	9:25. 32:6.	74:7, 74:9,
14.10 14.24	litigation	112:7	74:13, 100:15
165.3	12.13 21.18		lundy
limite	li++1e	147:10, 147:11,	4:12, 157:7
64.12 117.0	13.9 23.13	148:2. 148:25.	
130.21 $158.12$	39.1  42.14	149:1	 
158.22 160.6	43.1 69.13	lori	
160.13	71:5. 144:16.	1:29, 2:6,	04.9 ma
line	149:4	5:23, 179:2,	2.16
38.9 41.9	llc	179:18	mad
73:22. 77:5.	1:6. 9:17	lot	52.21
90.6. 96.3.	locally	111:3, 121:18	JZ.ZI mado
102:14. 118:8.	74:5	lower	
118:9, 118:12,	location	66:15, 84:11,	2.10, 29.2, 84.22
118:14, 118:16,	35:8	110:6	maintain
118:20, 121:1.	long	luckily	61.10 160.9
122:25, 124:11,	41:2. 44:20.	10:22	164.4
137:14, 137:19,	44:23. 70:21	lunch	104:4
138:5, 138:12,	longer	119:24, 120:3,	160.5
138:13, 138:23,	46:16. 61:5.	120:10	mako
145:2, 145:8,	112.4 - 112.5	lundby	
157:10, 157:14,	112:7. 112:10	74:18, 75:4,	0:10, 13:9, 17.4, 20.1
159:5, 160:25,	look	75:6, 75:9,	1/.4, 29.1,
161:8, 162:15,	10:10. 12:9.	75:20, 75:25.	18·3 51·20
163:11, 163:20,	15:14. 16:4.	76:5, 76:7,	$52 \cdot 1 = 66 \cdot 10$
164:9	24:6, 28:5.	76:12, 76:17,	52.4, 00.19,
		1	I designed and the second s

Conducted on April 9, 2021

70:22, 100:11,	154:1, 154:6,	maybe	13:2, 13:4,
100:19, 101:2,	154:24, 155:1,	30:18, 55:20,	13:5, 13:6,
116:12, 116:15,	155:8, 155:9,	78:20, 80:6,	13:7, 15:22,
148:10, 148:12,	155:23, 156:1,	129:13, 157:15,	21:11, 21:17,
150:4, 176:23	156:7, 156:15	176:20	24:14, 75:14,
makes	manner	mean	92:2, 93:3,
22:10, 22:12,	66:1, 66:3,	44:25, 57:5,	177:8
28:25, 82:8,	66:6, 95:15,	73:11, 78:18,	medical
110:22, 171:22,	95:17, 112:11	113:5, 140:15,	52:9, 70:20,
171:25	many	141:3, 144:5,	177:2
mandates	46:22, 59:7,	144:6, 151:25,	medium
161:1	59:20, 64:17,	153:7, 153:15,	98:24, 106:17
mandatory	68:25, 75:4,	154:14, 154:17,	meets
22:15, 23:25,	97:20, 113:7,	155:19, 156:4,	87:7
25:7, 25:22,	113:8, 113:9,	156:12, 158:1,	megahertz
27:1, 28:3,	113:17, 117:23,	158:19, 160:20	55:9, 56:5,
28:8, 28:9,	119:10, 130:24,	meaning	56:9, 56:13,
28:12, 28:14,	139:11, 161:24	14:18, 14:25,	56:18, 56:21,
28:16, 28:18,	marker	23:20, 55:10,	56:23, 71:14,
29:3, 29:4,	8:8	98:23	83:19, 83:22,
29:6, 29:17,	marketing	means	84:21, 87:3,
29:21, 30:3,	62:21, 83:18,	27:2, 32:21,	124:1, 127:16,
30:11, 30:12,	83:21	32:22, 32:25,	127:17, 127:25,
30:14, 31:15,	mask	34:4, 55:13,	128:1, 128:5,
31:17, 31:18,	51:10, 51:18,	69:2, 109:17,	128:6, 128:9,
32:6, 32:17,	53:18, 53:20,	115:9, 158:17	128:10, 128:13,
33:6, 35:10,	53:22, 54:1,	meant	128:14, 128:17,
36:17, 37:7,	54:7, 55:14,	121:4, 135:10,	128:18, 129:7,
38:4, 38:5,	55:16, 55:17,	151:6	129:8, 129:11,
38:19, 39:12,	56:9, 56:10,	measure	129:12, 129:15,
43:20, 44:8,	56:11, 83:8,	55:14, 116:24	129:16, 129:17,
45:21, 46:21,	83:16, 83:18,	measured	129:18, 130:2,
47:10, 47:11,	83:25, 84:3,	160:17, 160:18	130:3, 130:4,
114:25, 145:24,	84:7, 84:9,	measurement	130:7, 130:8,
146:1, 146:5,	84:12, 87:20,	111:13, 111:16	139:5, 139:7,
146:11, 146:15,	88:8, 90:3,	measures	139:8, 142:14,
146:21, 146:24,	90:9, 90:12,	107:24, 112:3,	142:16, 172:15,
14/:6, 14/:9,	91:14, 126:8,	116:21, 117:1	173:16, 173:24,
14/:11, 14/:20,	130:22, 137:9,	measuring	1/4:1, 1/4:5
14/:22, 148:1,	137:12	115:18	mentioned
148:6, 148:19,	mass	mechanism	96:17, 108:11,
148:20, 149:22,	84:7	92:7	109:8, 110:3,
149:24, 130:3,	mathematically	mediatek	128:5, 129:14,
150.20 151.10	8/:25	1:10, 1:11,	130:13, 130:18,
151.16 152.6	matter	4:6, 5:4, 5:19,	13/:15, 158:8
152.15 $152.0$	5:4, 11:8,	6:11, 8:19,	
152.13, 153.2,	157:5	10:7, 11:9,	
± , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		12:4, 12:15,	
	161:1, 161:4		00:10, 00:17

		_	
method	modify	62:15, 62:20,	60:12, 60:15,
46:3, 76:8,	42:11, 149:14	64:17, 64:18,	93:1, 99:7,
145:9. 157:10	modulated	64:19, 64:20,	99:9, 107:11
mic	98:3, 98:24.	64:21, 64:22,	nathan
78.6	106.17 $107.20$	64:23. 64:24.	3.13. 5.18
mid	moment	65:3. 65:6.	natural
54.17	110.21	65:7. 65:10.	17.10 174.23
might	monitor	65:16. 65:18.	
65.2 83.19	5.9	65:23, 65:24,	28.2 29.2
176.7	month	66:3, 66:18,	29.8 31.15
mimo	110.23	67:10, 67:13,	31.21 35.9
17.5 17.6	110:23 more	67:14, 67:24,	$38 \cdot 4  44 \cdot 8$
47.3, 47.0		68:4. 68:5.	45.21 46.20
	14:4, 1/:10,	68:7. 69:3.	126.7
0:21, 1:4, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10	23:2, JZ:24,	69:10, 72:24,	ncdma
19:18, 49:13,	52:25, 57:6,	74:14. 85:22.	162.13
51:8, /3:19,	62:21, 80:24,	87:11, 90:25.	
/8:2, IZU:ZI,	81:10, 81:17,	96:17, 97:2.	
144:13, 145:13,	81:21, 82:21,	99:4. 99:10.	57:1, 50:11,
156:21	101:23, 114:11,	117:10. 119:11.	160.7 164.2
	128:3, 153:4,	119:23. 120:1.	160:7, 164:5
160:10, 168:6,	153:12, 153:10,	120:6, 123:14,	
168:7	162.0 162.10	123:20, 124:4,	133:9
	174.14	124:12. 124:17.	
12:9, 17:3		130:20, 132:8.	/:1/, /:21,
minutes		161:10, 161:18.	1:22, 1:23, 8:4,
57:14, 120:13,	134:25, 158:21,	165:15, 165:16,	8:5, 8:9, 11:3,
135:5, 174:11	163:9	165:24, 166:7.	15:12, 16:4,
mischaracterize	most	166:13, 167:24.	3/:14, 49:9,
56:1	26:1, 64:14,	168:1. 175:6.	88:19, 89:9,
misreading	119:21, 1/3:8	175:13	90:4, 91:18,
124:13	move	must	91:23, 93:7,
misrepresented	42:13, 43:1	27:3, 29:17.	105:22, 133:17
126:18	moved	30:11. 54:3.	needs
misstating	61:7	152:23. 153:19	9:13
63:16, 63:17	moving	mutually	neignboring
misunderstanding	102:25	$64 \cdot 25 = 65 \cdot 11$	38:20
62:14, 67:7,	mowing	65:13, 141:21.	neither
134:5	31:10	143:3. 163:4.	45:18, 179:10
misunderstood	much	175:14	network
55:20	161:6	mvself	34:9, 64:9
mix	multichannel	25:2. 145:18	networking
67:16	27:2, 29:19	<u> </u>	58:6, 58:12
mixing	multiple		next
97:11, 97:12	20:6, 20:11,	nu	10:3, 11:22,
mode	20:13, 21:20,	96:9, 163:23,	14:14, 17:20,
42:7	22:5, 22:11,	168:5	18:1, 18:2,
modified	48:5, 59:12,	name	20:5, 22:15,
74:20	59:21, 60:17,	6:22, 33:25,	23:19, 86:10,
	1		
	1		

Conducted on April 9, 2021

87:1, 102:25,	nothing	30:16, 33:2,	159:15, 163:2,
106:20, 113:24	6:16, 105:21,	36:6, 37:11,	165:8, 166:9,
nine	124:25, 133:19,	37:25, 40:25,	166:15, 170:2,
168:7	175:21, 177:8	41:21, 42:3,	173:6, 175:5
nippon	novel	43:12, 43:24,	observing
1:4, 5:5, 5:15,	77:9	44:15, 45:19,	97:8
12:14, 12:21	ntt	47:16, 48:18,	obviously
node	6:13, 12:22,	51:6, 58:8,	154:14
114:1, 114:2	12:24, 177:7	58:19, 60:1,	occupancy
noise	number	60:8, 60:14,	111:21
96:9, 163:22	5:2, 5:7,	61:2, 61:16,	occupied
noisy	31:25, 54:18,	62:1, 62:4,	29:7, 31:19,
96:7, 163:21	54:23, 83:13,	62:10, 63:2,	47:7
non	83:14, 113:22,	64:7, 65:8,	occupy
127:11	118:13, 148:21,	65:21, 66:10,	38:18, 46:12,
non-busyness	157:18, 158:4,	67:8, 67:20,	48:1, 125:7,
114:21	158:6, 158:9,	68:1, 68:10,	127:15, 127:17,
non-cdma	158:11, 158:13,	68:17, 69:6,	141:25, 168:1,
163:7	162:3, 172:15	70:12, 74:21,	168:2
non-discussed	numbered	76:1, 76:6,	occupying
124:7	50:7, 50:10,	81:14, 81:23,	46:15, 123:2,
non-frequency	172:13	81:25, 82:1,	143:1
58:22	numbers	82:9, 82:23,	occur
non-intentionally	55:6, 83:17	87:9, 88:10,	27:4, 39:22,
108:20, 109:4,	numerous	89:11, 90:23,	40:23, 43:4,
109:13, 109:21	162:14	92:21, 94:13,	43:10, 131:4,
non-zero	0	95:12, 96:14,	136:25, 137:4,
143:15	o'clock	96:25, 100:8,	154:8
noncompliant	75:17	100:14, 100:23,	occurrence
127:13, 127:16,	object	101:6, 102:2,	109:16
127:18	6.7 27.24	102:5, 105:2,	occurring
nonconcurrent	35:2. 35:19.	103:11, 106:9,	28:18, 40:15,
48:12	35:22. 46:1.	108:5, 109:1,	42:14, 64:3
noncurrent	117:16, 125:18,	117.7 125.15	occurs
48:15	126:25. 137:2.	120.21 $131.5$	38:5, 134:20
nonidleness	138:19, 140:7,	135.4 136.13	odds
150:12, 150:20	144:23, 175:17	137.21 $130.15$	30:1
nonmandatory	objecting	139.19 $140.3$	officer
36:23, 43:19,	68:19, 74:25	140.10 140.18	179:3
47:12	objection	140.25 141.5	offset
nonorthogonality	17:22, 17:23,	141:15, 142:2,	54:10, 54:13,
144:1	18:19, 18:24,	142:10, 142:19,	54:24
normally	19:7, 19:19,	143:17. 143:21.	offsets
17:7, 79:25	20:2, 21:2,	153:6. 153:14.	54:14
nose	21:12, 21:21,	153:25, 154:10,	often
176:6	22:7, 23:1,	154:22, 155:5,	83:17
notes	28:21, 28:24,	156:3, 157:8,	oftentimes
7:14			110:9

oh	172:23, 176:16	orange	148:11, 149:20,
8:8, 20:18,	one's	99:9	149:25, 155:15,
23:4, 23:18,	92:10	order	156:2, 156:8,
24:18, 34:2,	only	48:1, 85:22,	168:3, 168:10,
49:25, 50:11,	4:6, 7:18,	89:9, 104:1,	168:22, 169:2,
50:15, 71:1,	58:11, 58:15,	104:11, 104:16,	169:10, 169:13,
73:25, 74:3,	111:12, 111:15,	105:20, 111:4,	169:20, 173:1,
99:12, 104:8,	114:3, 117:9,	116:11, 116:15,	173:9, 175:20
139:23, 143:6,	149:25, 176:19,	133:10, 133:11,	others
149:9, 162:20,	176:20	173:14, 177:15,	47:3
162:21	open	177:16	otherwise
old	24:7, 70:16,	ordinary	112:23, 179:13
61:10, 61:12,	91:18	88:25, 89:4,	out
97:21	operates	89:8, 91:20,	29:9, 35:11,
once	89:16	125:10, 126:16,	65:4, 75:7,
32:5, 54:12,	operating	136:9	75:19, 82:16,
99:20, 149:5	168:20, 169:2,	organize	97:4, 99:8,
one	169:10, 169:13	64:13, 115:2,	111:3, 135:23,
7:20, 14:4,	operation	149:10	149:13, 156:18,
14:11, 16:2,	37:17, 37:18,	original	162:2, 170:16
20:4, 22:20,	46:3, 145:6	150:13, 150:19	outcome
23:7, 27:2,	operator	orthogonal	179:13
31:4, 33:23,	64:9, 85:5	141:21	output
34:10, 34:23,	opine	orthogonality	102:15
35:5, 39:18,	11:9, 166:10	143:12, 143:13	outside
42:10, 45:4,	opined	ostensibly	37:20, 38:19,
45:5, 45:8,	95:5, 142:20,	135:22	48:18, 52:21,
45:12, 45:14,	155:2, 166:16,	other	53:1, 54:22,
4/:3, 4/:23,	166:18, 173:7	9:25, 12:15,	70:23, 80:25,
40:22, JU:17,	opinion	23:10, 27:4,	113:5, 123:24,
55.1 55.7	11:15, 15:10,	28:20, 29:18,	124:6, 137:9
60.11 62.19	17:18, 18:16,	30:15, 34:4,	
66·15 76· <i>A</i>	18:22, 18:23,	46:17, 53:12,	10:2, 21:3,
78.13 78.20	18:25, 19:23,	54:12, 58:5,	27:4, 28:18,
79.15 92.24	19:24, 20:4,	58:17, 58:24,	50:14, 50:25, 25.21, 40.11
107:13. 109:4.	20:17, 20:25,	59:7, 69:21,	50·25 57·3
113:18, 115:17,	22:3, 23:18,	72:1, 74:2, 77.10 02.10	68.18 79.13
115:22, 119:21,	25:22, 25:24,	77:10, 92:10,	79.18 88.6
123:16, 124:23,	25:20, 25:24,	94.13, 94.10, 95.2, 96.10	89.25 96.7
125:7, 126:14,	23.23, 27.10, 27.13, 48.9	111.21 $114.2$	102:12. 102:16.
130:24, 131:6,	<u>/8.1</u> / 88.16	115.6 115.16	116:8. 132:25.
131:11, 131:14,	95.9 1/0.20	115.22 115.23	145:25, 146:22,
138:16, 140:22,	140.22 $141.3$	117:6. 117:13.	149:13, 163:21,
142:5, 144:19,	143:19	118:4, 121:14.	163:23, 168:5
146:23, 148:21,	opposed	122:2, 123:15.	overall
151:24, 155:19,	46:10	143:3, 143:10,	159:13, 159:17,
167:5, 169:22,	option	143:12, 147:8,	161:7, 161:16,
	145:6	,,	
	1		

Conducted on April 9, 2021

161:20, 167:14	panel	125:25, 137:8,	period
overcome	24:3, 27:15,	141:17, 156:14,	38:18, 40:6,
82:22	28:1	158:23, 159:12,	46:16, 46:17,
own	panel's	161:2, 163:13	110:24, 111:8,
6:25, 24:10,	28:17	particularly	111:10, 112:4,
24:16, 24:17,	paper	125:21	112:5, 112:20,
35:11, 49:24,	4:18, 8:7,	parties	114:20
74:3, 150:1	26:5, 26:6,	- 18:25, 19:8,	periodically
owner	26:12, 29:11	21:4, 22:8,	111:1
7:9	papers	49:2, 81:5,	periods
P	113:7, 113:8,	81:19, 82:2,	112:2, 135:25
pacific	119:11, 161:13	179:12	perjury
57.21	paragraph	parts	6:6
packet	50:5, 50:21,	170:17	person
32.1 32.13	70:25, 71:11,	passages	53:6, 88:25,
58.14 58.25	72:3, 73:5,	75:7	89:4, 89:8,
82.6 115.25	73:19, 74:6,	passing	89:13, 91:19,
$116 \cdot 1  145 \cdot 9$	77:5, 78:11,	115:6	109:11, 125:10,
146.18	79:7, 79:23,	patents	126:15, 136:9
packet-based	81:15, 82:25,	20:12, 20:13,	person's
116:6	84:15, 85:13,	113:9, 159:4	93:1
packets	85:15, 86:3,	path	petition
$14 \cdot 8 = 17 \cdot 17$	86:6, 86:18,	67:12, 67:13	8:24, 10:18
18:5. 19:4.	86:23, 87:16,	pausing	petitioner
20:13, 20:14.	92:5, 93:9,	150:25	11:8
22:10. 22:12.	93:25, 106:20,	рс	petitioner's
28:10, 31:24,	106:21, 106:23,	3:14	8:24, 10:18
32:1, 49:7,	106:25, 115:24,	pdf	petitioners
49:12, 80:14,	116:16, 120:22,	50:11	5:20, 6:12
82:4, 82:8,	137:13, 138:12,	pen	ph
82:17, 82:18,	138:15, 139:8,	8:8	1:16, 2:2, 4:2,
116:8, 145:25,	145:13, 145:20,	penalties	5:4, 6:10, 6:14,
146:21, 147:20,	164:7, 164:9,	6:6	177:12, 178:2
149:7, 149:10,	164:16, 165:3	pending	phones
149:12	part	7:19, 12:13,	59:23, 60:2,
page	37:3	17:15, 70:11,	60:5
4:2, 4:6, 9:4,	participants	75:23, 141:24,	phrase
13:12, 14:5,	5:11	144:9	14:11, 158:15
25:4, 26:17,	particular	people	phrased
26:21, 26:24,	2/:21, 33:18,	58:15, 58:18,	81:22
29:10, 50:6,	54:8, 60:4,	84:1	pick
50:7, 50:10,	64:11, 64:12,	perceive	54:20, 65:4,
50:11, 79:5,	65:25, 69:22,	126:21, 157:6	142:15
79:7, 113:24	05.5 95.5 95.7	perceives	piece
pages	107.25 $112.2$	130:5	41:1
1:28	115.10 $110.20$	performing	place
pandemic	121.15, $121.10$	35:16	49:5, 118:3,
57:24	121.1J, 124.1J,	performs	119:1, 130:23,
		116:2	
	1		

Conducted on April 9, 2021

		· ·	
136:17, 165:19	75:19, 101:25,	potential	previously
placed	117:21, 122:4,	18:14, 47:15,	86:10, 114:9
106:10, 156:8,	135:1, 148:21,	69:3, 69:8,	primarily
156:9, 156:14,	164:14, 168:4,	124:7, 151:2,	97:7. 123:1
156:15	168:6, 172:20,	169:10	principles
plain	174:23	potentially	77·9
14:18. 14:25.	pointed	152:16. 161:18.	prior
23:20	124:23, 125:5	175:19	50·22 79·16
plaintiff	pointer	practical	137:19, 138:6.
12:20, 12:21	31:1	158:12, 167:19	150:8
plaintiffs	pointing	practice	probably
1:7, 3:2, 6:18,	76:25, 162:2	160:4, 163:24,	113:8
12:24, 14:16,	points	163:25	problem
17:19, 18:3,	91:2, 98:9,	practicing	17:9, 78:14,
20:9, 22:19,	113:12, 162:14	41:25, 155:16,	82:22. 137:16.
25:9	poosita	155:17	137:20, 138:8,
planet	77:1, 77:12,	preamble	138:9, 144:20,
3:21, 3:22,	88:17, 88:24,	14:18, 14:24	157:6, 164:19
5:11, 5:22, 5:23	89:14, 91:7,	preceding	proceed
pleading	91:16, 98:19,	26:25	31:23, 115:1
12:10	99:19, 101:16,	precise	proceeding
please	103:4, 106:4,	94:14, 156:13	6:8, 10:19,
5:12, 5:25,	117:22, 119:9,	precludes	21:16
6:2, 7:17, 8:15,	120:5, 124:22,	175:21	proceedings
8:17, 10:5,	125:6, 125:23,	preferred	11:19
11:25, 13:24,	127:5, 130:15,	74:7, 74:9	proceeds
15:21, 17:12,	136:6	preliminarily	28:10, 112:23
36:8, 49:17,	portion	27:1	process
64:1, 68:6,	14:1, 14:24,	preliminary	36:2, 107:15,
70:9, 75:11,	40:11	29:15	110:12, 112:24
78:3, 86:17,	posa	present	processing
101:8, 104:4,	74:12	3:9, 3:18,	40:3
110:2, 118:18,	position	3:20, 9:20,	professional
139:21, 142:24,	30:9, 40:20,	11:16, 76:13,	2:7, 179:2
144:8, 167:23,	40:21, 42:25,	77:6, 125:18,	proper
174:24	47:9, 48:12,	144:23, 145:4,	23:25
pllc	51:21, 85:7,	145:7, 145:10,	proposed
3:4	125:9, 126:3,	163:11	14:16, 14:23,
plurality	126:12	presented	15:3, 15:11,
14:7, 17:17	possible	82:24	17:18, 18:3,
plus	8:1, 8:11,	pretty	18:4, 20:10,
177:16	48:3, 140:22,	9:25	20:25, 22:19,
point	142:9, 146:13,	prevent	23:6, 23:20,
19:14, 20:4,	150:19, 151:23,	7:11	25:9, 25:10,
39:23, 45:20,	154:19, 161:24	prevented	25:21, 28:22,
55:2, 56:10,	possibly	36:21, 37:10,	80:21
56:14, 57:3,	7:20, 8:7,	111:10	protocol
62:19, 75:7,	21:17	previous	93:15, 94:22
		109:25, 110:1	

Conducted on April 9, 2021

protocols	question	57:6, 57:10,	137:7, 137:8,
136:1	7:19, 13:24,	174:14	151:2, 158:22
provide	17:11, 17:15,	quick	radiators
52:25, 64:13,	19:21, 21:14,	165:20	171:11
69:10, 83:10,	33:4, 35:4,	quickly	radio
93:16, 125:11,	36:7, 41:3,	8:1	84:22, 98:2,
160:9, 161:24	42:6, 42:9,	quite	142:16
provided	42:23, 44:6,	162:23	radiofrequency
18:5. 19:4.	44:17, 47:19,	quote	48:2
20:12. 20:14.	47:23, 55:19,	36:16, 79:13.	raise
$22 \cdot 10$ , $22 \cdot 12$	58:10. 58:21.	79.23 126.25	168.3
22:21, 23:7.	62:12, 63:20,	156.1 169.14	range
49.6. 49.11	65:24, 67:22,	quoted	52.22 51.1
67.4 80.13	68:3. 68:6.	14.24	52.22, 54.4, 54.5, 56.6
82.4	68:12, 70:9,		54.5, 50.0, 56.22
provides	70:11. 73:2.		50.22, 50.24,
69.2 92.7	75:23. 76:2.	00:9, 13/:10, 127.25	01:22, 01:24,
by:2, y2:7	86:5. 86:17.	157:25	01:23, 02:7, 02:7, 01:23, 02:7, 02
96.12 96.21	90:18. 91:1.		66.21 66.22
120.7	94:8. 100:10.	137:22	67.2 67.4
130:7	100:25. 101:7.	R	69.16 70.2
	101:23, 102:22.	radiated	72.12 $92.12$
107:13	103:8. 103:11.	33:11, 33:19,	73.12, 02.13, 02.13, 02.19, 02.10
	$103 \cdot 14 = 103 \cdot 17$	34:13, 40:2,	02:10, 02:20, 04.12
8:15, 11:23,	$103 \cdot 20 = 103 \cdot 24$	64:10, 107:25,	04:11, 04:12, 04.17
15:13, 15:18,	$104 \cdot 4 = 104 \cdot 9$	108:2, 108:6,	04:10, 04:17,
16:16, 26:4,	$104 \cdot 10 = 104 \cdot 11$	108:11, 108:15,	04:20, 0J:2, 0E-2, 0E-10
75:9	104.14 $104.19$	108:17, 108:19,	03:3, 03:12,
pulled	$104 \cdot 20 = 105 \cdot 4$	108:20, 109:2,	0/:2, 0/:/,
16:23	105.22 108.8	109:4, 109:8,	0/:11, 123:22,
purpose	$110 \cdot 1$ $110 \cdot 2$	109:12, 109:13,	120.1 $120.6$
97:22, 111:19,	116.13 118.25	109:24, 110:5,	128:1, 128:0,
158:14	119.2 119.3	110:7, 110:16,	128:9, 128:10,
purposes	124.9 $124.15$	110:17, 112:22,	128:15, 128:17,
12:25, 60:12,	128.3 $130.2$	117:2, 124:18,	128:18, 128:22,
70:19, 70:20,	132.16 138.21	130:22, 134:17,	129:16, 129:18,
76:17	139.20 $141.7$	135:22, 162:4,	142:1, 142:4,
pursuant	1/1.10 1/1.2/	162:9, 168:4,	142:5, 142:6,
2:6	142.21 $147.17$	168:22, 173:10	142:15, 168:10,
put	151.3 151.19	radiating	
10:2, 11:21,	160.2 162.1	161:16, 172:21	ranges
62:18, 80:8,	162.19 163.5	radiation	18:5, 19:4,
114:18, 126:7,	163.17 $163.18$	52:16, 53:1,	20:12, 20:14,
158:6, 161:6	165.23 166.2	53:23, 62:16,	22:10, 22:20,
Q	169.12 170.11	62:17, 70:23,	23:/, 49:6,
gualcomm	170.13 170.14	97:8, 122:1,	49:11, 51:5,
165.12	questions	123:22, 130:8,	53:2, 54:23,
quality	7.20 11.16	133:6, 134:17,	58:16, 62:8,
96.7 163.20	/.20, 11.10,	, , ,	64:5, 69:23,
	1	1	

Conducted on April 9, 2021

		1 ,	
71:13, 80:13,	18:15, 21:22,	recollection	referred
82:4, 89:17,	92:22, 96:18,	113:1, 113:2	49:8
127:22, 128:1,	138:4, 166:20	reconnect	referring
128:2, 128:20,	receive	164:23	11:18, 53:21,
129:19, 168:2	34:21, 35:8,	reconstruct	55:17, 56:24,
rate	67:13, 129:9,	28.10 31.24	86:14. 114:8.
102:15, 160:9,	137:10. 168:21	record	138:25. 139:1.
160:10, 164:5,	received	6.22 31.1	$139 \cdot 2 \cdot 161 \cdot 9$
168:7	109.22 109.23	77.23 77.25	refers
rather	115.18 117.1	77.23, 77.23, 05.21, 120.16	97.7 102.10
9.20 17.6	receiver	120.17 120.10	102.12 $127.4$
108.12 150.8	33.13 33.17	120:17, 120:19, 170.24, 174.9	refrain
174.22	22.01 $22.00$	175.25 176.2	
ratio	33.21, 33.22,	175:25, 176:2,	92:9
	34:3, 34:4,	177.17 170.6	
90:9, 103:23	34:11, 34:21,	1//:1/, 1/9:6	1:23, 113:2
	35:7, 123:23,	reduce	regard
1/9:15	126:1, 12/:10,	47:7	86:1, 87:15,
read	12/:20, 129:12,	reduced	128:20, 167:8
23:12, 70:11,	130:4, 130:9,	179:8	regarding
138:5, 144:8,	131:11, 131:15,	refer	11:15, 83:10
144:9, 147:1,	131:22, 131:23,	12:20, 12:24,	regardless
160:3, 164:18,	131:24, 132:5,	13:5, 13:6,	23:9, 136:16,
170:21, 170:24,	132:7, 132:18,	53:15, 55:12,	172:23
178:3	132:23, 132:24,	56:5, 58:15,	regards
reader	133:1, 133:8,	71:17, 72:2,	48:8, 101:13,
91:3	133:20, 133:24,	79:25, 96:21,	106:3, 118:9,
reading	133:25, 134:3,	97:3, 121:1,	122:22
27:8, 76:20,	134:15, 134:16,	158:10, 160:22	region
76:22, 101:16,	134:19, 134:21,	reference	123:17
103:6, 103:19,	135:23, 137:10,	88:7, 88:13,	registered
115:8, 124:14,	143:10, 160:10,	89:6, 91:22,	2.7. 179.2
162:18, 179:9	160:12, 172:22	94:24, 95:23,	regulated
ready	receiver's	107:4, 113:11,	169.1
43:14, 43:16,	132:1	116:16. 164:8.	relate
44:12, 50:2	receivers	166:24	22.10 121.17
real	171:12	referenced	121.20 $126.16$
84:6	receiving	4:6. 8:20.	121:20, 120:10
really	115:18, 133:7,	10.8 - 12.5	
35.1 35.3	134:19, 134:21	$15 \cdot 23 = 24 \cdot 15$	/3:5, 94:9,
18.5 62.22	reception	$26 \cdot 12$ $75 \cdot 15$	159:25, 179:11
40.5, 02.22,	35.16 102.11	85.12 92.3	relates
50.19 roacon	recheck	03.12, 92.3, 03.1	76:13, 163:12
	111.3	references	relating
10.2E 42-10	recipient	03.15 106.25	75:24
19:20, 43:18,	34.16	100.15 160.10	relationship
4/:3, 50:14,		100:13, 102:13	28:2, 29:21,
106:14		rererencing	32:9
	8:25, 1U:20	15/:18, 138:16,	relevant
15:5, 15:8,		129:21	141:7, 141:16,
	/4:⊥3		

1.40,00	1 4 4 1 0		166 10 170 10
142:22	144:19	retries	166:19, 170:19,
remainder	reproduced	112:20	172:7, 174:25,
176:9	145:20	reverse	177:3, 177:5
remember	request	66:23, 67:5	rough
55:2, 55:6,	7:18	review	55:13, 73:13,
56:16, 112:17,	requested	177:13	177:16
127:23	179:10	right	roughly
remote	required	8:4, 8:13, 9:5,	56:9
57:24, 76:11,	34:3, 34:5,	9:21, 10:1,	row
157:13	159:9, 159:18,	10:23, 11:5,	18:1, 22:15
remotely	159:22, 160:8,	11:17, 11:20,	roy
5:12	164:4, 167:13,	13:11, 14:2,	1:29, 2:6,
repeat	168:6, 168:7	15:7, 15:9,	5:23, 179:2,
13:24, 118:12,	requirement	16:20, 17:25,	179:18
131:13, 170:20,	54:2, 147:22	18:23, 19:13,	rpr
170:23	requirements	19:18, 20:5,	1:29, 179:18
repeated	126:8, 126:9,	20:24, 22:2,	rules
162:22	161:23	22:14, 24:6,	124:2
repeatedly	rescheduling	25:1, 25:3,	rulings
110.25	177•5	25:6, 25:19,	83·5
report	residual	26:3, 26:16,	running
19.11 51.3	135.24	26:23, 32:10,	66•11
80.24 84.15	respect	35:25, 36:15,	<u> </u>
85.13, 86.3,	27.14 27.20	43:7, 45:13,	<u> </u>
86.7 87.6	$61 \cdot 21$ $61 \cdot 22$	48:22, 52:18,	s-t-a
87.16 89.14	61.23 72.25	56:20, 57:19,	149:19
9/.19 $95.1/$	91.6 $113.4$	57:21, 57:25,	sl
reported	117.6 $117.13$	71:3, 71:11,	31:6, 34:18,
1.20	110.1 110. <i>1</i>	71:18, 74:11,	34:20, 34:25,
reporter	101.15 107.00	78:10, 79:2,	36:11, 36:14
	140.16 147.2	80:8, 87:22,	s2
2:7, 2:0, 5:25,	160.17 160.19	92:12, 93:13,	148:16, 148:17,
5:25, 6:2, 6:4,	rocroctfull.	93:19, 94:6.	148:25, 151:13,
8:14, /U:10, 170:01 170:04		96:6. 102:1.	152:11
170:21, 170:24,		102:7, 103:12,	s3
1/9:1, 1/9:3		104:3, 104:5,	28:6, 32:16,
		104:25, 105:9,	34:23, 34:25,
5:13, 5:19,	restricted	106:18, 107:5,	36:4, 148:25,
0:22, 10:10, 10:17, 54.24		113:10, 117:4,	149:5, 151:21,
12:17, 54:24,	restrictions	120:9, 120:21,	152:11
107:2	114:19, 126:10,	122:5, 122:10,	s4
representative	156:8, 156:9	128:15, 133:22,	36:20, 36:22,
164:15	restroom	141:4, 146:4,	115:1, 149:7
represented	1:22	146:18, 146:24,	sacks
129:1/	result	146:25, 147:24,	3:14
representing	150:5	149:23, 152:8.	said
5:11, 5:15,	results	156:17, 156:22.	33:25, 47:24,
5:22, 5:23	11:14	157:1, 159:8,	63:17, 81:4,
represents	retained	,,	
11:13, 11:14,	11:8		

81:25, 102:21.	171:12, 173:2.	54:7, 70:17.	141:15, 142:2.
115:10, 126:20.	178:4	71:13, 71:20.	142:10, 142:19.
129:14, 133:16.	sample	72:4, 74:6.	143:17, 143:21.
135:11, 151:6,	150:8	86:19, 93:15,	163:2, 165:8,
157:3, 170:6,	sav	94:21, 94:24,	166:9, 166:15,
175:13, 179:7	$18 \cdot 21 = 19 \cdot 22$	96:6. 113:12.	170:2, 173:6,
sake	25:16. 32:24.	115:21, 122:8,	175:5, 175:17
44:21	38:14. 38:25.	124:11, 125:17,	screen
same	39:10, 39:11,	126:25, 138:5,	8:18, 10:6,
13:2, 25:8,	39:15, 43:17,	138:6, 138:9,	10:25, 12:1,
32:1. 32:8.	44:21. 45:4.	138:17, 138:22,	13:14, 15:21,
35:7. 42:25.	45:22. 52:19.	149:20, 159:21,	16:1, 16:17,
63:11. 80:20.	53:20, 54:20,	164:14	24:13, 26:7,
91:19, 92:13,	55:8, 59:22,	scan	30:19, 39:11,
92:17. 93:24.	61:5. 63:6.	165:20	71:6, 74:1,
94:5. 94:11.	65:13, 66:6,	scenario	74:2, 75:11,
94:20. 95:10.	69:15, 75:22.	38:2. 38:3.	75:13. 80:8
95:15, 95:16.	76:20, 76:23.	46:14	screens
96:13. 96:15.	77:11. 79:12.	scheme	17:8
98:14. 98:22.	79:15. 83:21.	134.24 135.16	scroll
100:21. 100:22.	89:9. 109:17.	school	9:3. 13:12.
101:4. 101:10.	112:11. 115:25.	136.22	13:25. 23:12.
105:15. 106:5.	118:5. 118:24.	science	23:14. 25:1.
121:21. 122:12.	123:25. 124:1.	136.7	25.3. 71.5.
122:14, 122:18,	128:16, 132:14,	scientific	73:24
122:20. 122:24.	135:10, 142:4.	52.9 70.20	scrolling
123:2. 123:15.	142:17. 143:13.	S2.9, 70.20	27.7.50.14
125:8, 126:2,	145:23, 146:16,	17.22 17.23	50:18
126:17, 127:4,	146:20, 147:13,	18.19 18.24	search
127:13, 127:18,	149:9, 149:15,	10.19, 10.24, 10.7, 10.10	31:12. 31:21.
127:20, 128:9,	149:18, 152:25,	20.2 $21.2$	136.19, 148.17
128:17, 128:22,	153:11, 157:1,	20.2, 21.2, 21.15, 22.7	second
128:25, 129:19,	157:2, 159:20,	48.19 58.8	13.12 14.4
129:20, 129:23,	165:5, 172:16,	58.19 60.1	29:14, 29:23.
129:24, 130:1,	173:24, 176:20	60.8 60.14	31:7. 36:5.
132:10, 132:12,	saying	61 • 2 - 61 • 16 -	36:20. 36:22.
132:14, 134:21,	34:15, 35:22,	62:1, 62:10,	38:24, 45:5,
134:23, 135:14,	36:24, 37:1,	$63 \cdot 2 = 64 \cdot 7$	45:8. 45:12.
135:18, 135:19,	37:4, 63:18,	65:8, 65:21.	45:14, 48:22,
139:1, 140:12,	67:2, 67:3,	66:10. 67:8.	50:20, 55:24,
141:25, 142:3,	84:7, 88:22,	67:20, 68:10.	56:6, 56:14,
143:1, 148:10,	88:23, 89:7,	68:20, 69:6.	78:13, 78:20,
148:13, 150:7,	155:17, 171:20	77:8. 81:23.	78:23, 86:16,
156:6, 158:7,	says	82:1. 82:9.	86:18, 98:5,
166:8, 166:14,	14:7, 18:4,	82:23. 89:11.	122:16, 131:7,
168:2, 168:23,	25:4, 25:12,	139:19, 140:3.	139:2, 144:12,
168:25, 169:5,	26:25, 29:13,	140:10, 140:18,	150:2, 150:4.
169:11, 169:14,	32:11, 50:21,	140:25, 141:5.	151:4, 166:2,
	1	,;,	. ,

160.23 174.13	110.20 120.25	sonsing	shorthand
secondary	120.20, 120.20, 121.20	96.10 07.15	12.10 62.22
20.4 20.12	121.2, 124.11, 129.2, 137.14	175.2	12.19, 02.22, 02.10, 161.12
20.4, 20.13,	137.15 138.22	sentence	179.1 $179.3$
seconds	138.24 $139.7$	20.14 50.21	should
11.22 15.10	139.9. 145.19.	$72 \cdot 4$ 86 $\cdot 10$	1/1.3 $1/1.17$
16.13 16.15	145:20. 146:2.	86.16 86.18	14.25 16.20
section	157:14, 157:20.	87.1 92.16	19.18 80.6
29.13 /1.3	159:6. 159:10.	$94 \cdot 18 = 96 \cdot 11$	$115 \cdot 10, 173 \cdot 24$
125.5 $164.18$	159:22, 162:17,	113:25. 120:25.	showing
174.13	162:21, 162:22,	126:13, 157:15,	150.5
sections	171:14, 171:18	161:12, 167:14	shown
41.7 92.18	seeing	sentences	32.9 32.15
see	15:6, 42:23,	26:25, 160:3,	38.15. 39.23.
13.11 14.4	49:4, 90:8,	165:2	40.6 40.11
14.6 14.9	123:5	separate	45.2. 77.7.
14.0, 14.0,	seem	74:1, 141:18	84:15. 148:22
14.15. 14.20	27:25, 95:14	separated	shows
15.1. 15.25	seems	$98 \cdot 19$ 140 · 13	173.11
18.1. 18.2.	28:1	separating	shoak
18:7. 18:11.	seen	171.7.171.9	$4 \cdot 10 = 91 \cdot 22$
20:5. 20:7.	12:8. 15:3.	sequence	92.13 92.19
20:11, 20:15,	26:19	44·22	shut
20:19, 20:22,	send	service	9:15
22:14, 22:15,	16:7, 16:9,	161.24 162.3	side
22:17, 22:19,	16:15, 24:21,	set.	14:6. 17:4
22:23, 23:11,	26:9, 39:5,	9.8 10.13	signal
23:16, 23:20,	149:12	29.23 81.17	63.15, 96.9
24:20, 25:7,	sense	137:7. 161:13.	163:23. 164:4
25:8, 25:14,	22:10, 22:13,	171:12. 179:14	signals
26:5, 26:23,	31:12, 31:14,	sets	50:24, 76:9,
26:24, 27:6,	33:7, 64:23,	52:2. 60:25	76:15, 79:18,
27:8, 29:25,	93:24, 93:25,	setting	88:6, 89:24,
30:8, 40:19,	96:13, 96:15,	29:16. 60:24	157:12, 163:14
49:15, 50:6,	96:17, 97:2,	seven	signature
50:20, 51:1,	98:9, 98:13,	46:15. 160:10.	10:22, 178:9
57:18, 67:1,	99:4, 113:15,	168:7	signature-mig2k
71:11, 71:12,	113:18, 115:22,	several	179:16
71:15, 71:23,	116:2, 118:10,	22:20, 23:7,	signed
74:6, 75:16,	119:15, 148:18,	52:11, 60:7,	178:7
79:19, 80:14,	151:13, 151:16,	60:10, 72:8,	significant
80:17, 87:4,	152:13, 175:6,	83:17, 84:23	33:8, 34:12,
89:9, 90:9,	176:24	shalt	40:2, 64:10,
90:10, 92:5,	sensed	52:24, 52:25	112:2, 112:22
93:14, 98:5,	116:6, 116:11,	sharing	signing
102:23, 106:23,	116:15	121:18	179:10
106:24, 113:24,	senses	sheet	silent
114:5, 116:9,	92:10, 114:2	178:7	37:23

Conducted on April 9, 2021

simple	136:3, 136:16,	sorry	165:1
148:10, 148:12	141:3, 143:20	7:3, 13:3,	specifically
simplicity	situation	16:12, 17:22,	75:8, 77:14,
44:21	36:9, 37:24,	20:13, 20:18,	88:20, 91:2,
simply	43:9, 129:10	23:4, 23:18,	94:2, 94:17,
33:8, 130:19	skill	34:2, 38:9,	96:23, 96:24,
simultaneous	53:7, 89:1,	49:4, 49:14,	100:16, 106:7,
125:20, 125:22,	89:4, 89:8,	50:15, 57:23,	117:20, 118:1,
138:8, 144:25	91:20, 125:10,	58:4, 74:23,	118:11, 119:16,
simultaneously	126:16, 136:10	74:24, 78:25,	120:2, 130:11,
14:8, 16:5,	skilled	81:24, 84:10,	136:10, 138:16,
17:17, 30:2,	109:11, 119:21	88:15, 89:2,	164:9, 164:16,
32:3, 40:16,	sleep	99:12, 111:14,	165:6, 165:9
115:3, 141:23,	112:1, 112:4,	118:12, 120:24,	specification
157:19	112:6, 112:9	121:13, 122:14,	37:15, 75:6,
since	slightly	135:10, 139:23,	75:7, 90:6,
39:10, 97:21,	94:7	140:8, 141:24,	117:12, 118:3
138:17	sloping	143:7, 146:8,	specifics
single	41:9	146:9, 149:5,	56:8, 111:25
47.4 111.13	smallest	151:6, 155:23,	specified
111.15. 124.16.	48.2	158:5, 159:17,	130:24
125:1. 125:12.	solve	162:20, 163:19	specifies
131.22 132.8	144.20	sort	130:21
$135 \cdot 2 = 136 \cdot 24$	some	28:1	specifying
$160.17 \cdot 161.9$	8.10 28.1	sound	88:5
161:19, 166:7	$34 \cdot 4$ $50 \cdot 14$	8:2	spectral
sir	53.12 57.6	sources	$51 \cdot 10$ , $51 \cdot 18$
16.11	$72.1 \ 94.1$	109:24, 124:7	53.18 53.20
eit	72.1, 04.1, 07.5, 105.13	space	$53 \cdot 22 = 54 \cdot 1$
102.7 104.3	111.01 110.1	169:11, 169:14	$54 \cdot 7$ $55 \cdot 14$
102.7, 104.5, 104.5, 104.5	112.20 115.2	spacial	55.16. 55.17.
104.5, 104.24, 105.9, 119.17	1/3.12 172.11	64:21	83.8. 83.16.
$125 \cdot 2$ $155 \cdot 4$	somebody	speak	83:25. 84:3.
165.18 166.19	164.21	83.19 83.21	87.20. 88.8.
167.1	504.21	104:7	89:25, 90:3,
site	174.23	speaking	90:9, 90:12,
18.16	1/4.23	56·9	91:14. 126:8.
sites		speaks	130:22
90.10	10:13, 21:0,	14.17	spectrum
sitting	37:20, 41:19,	spec	52.12. 64.13.
11.5 15.0	41:24, 00:20,	91.3 102.13	64:14, 93:16
17.20 10.22	09:0; 90:0;	146.14 153.18	speed
10.10 22.2	142.10 151.5	163.8	3.13 5.18
19:10, 22:2,	142:10, 151:5,	specific	176.25 177.8
23:17, 30:7, 00.21, 101.17	sometimes	81.01 82.01	spread
102.1 $102.11$		91.11 91.13	63.11 63.15
$117 \cdot A  117 \cdot 1 A$		101.23 107.4	spreading
$\pm \pm / \cdot \pm ,  \pm \pm / \cdot \pm \pm ,$ 117.17 101.01		113.10 115.01	62.25 63.3
±±/•±/, ±∠4•∠⊥,		····, ····,	02.20, 00.0,
			1

### Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021

		_	
63:4, 63:5,	173:23, 173:25	step	subsequent
63:6, 63:14,	starting	31:6, 31:11,	38:6
63:22, 63:23,	42:15. 67:16	31:13. 36:11.	subset
63:24, 64:2	starts	36:20, 36:22,	53.16
sta	$45 \cdot 24$ $45 \cdot 25$	$38 \cdot 22 = 51 \cdot 19$	substance
145.24 149.19	87.1 118.8	$115 \cdot 1$ $151 \cdot 21$	177.1
150.9	138.12 159.5	stepped	
stand	130.12, 139.3	78.10	
8.17 10.4	22.21 22.0	70.19 still	127:25, 129:15
11.25 15.21	22:21, 23:0	SCIII 0.14 E7.E	
11.23, 13.21, 16.00, 10.12		9:14, 57:5,	149:6
10:0, 19:13,	5:13, 22:21,	57:6, 61:10,	suit
24:9, 39:11,	23:8, 23:10,	85:3, 112:3,	8:24
75:12, 93:2	29:22, 30:12,	112:6, 112:8,	suite
standard	33:24, 39:19,	127:9, 135:24	3:6
51:13, 53:5,	129:13, 151:13,	stipulate	sum
53:9, 55:4,	153:11, 176:4	6:3	159:8, 159:18,
56:22, 59:6,	statement	stop	159:21, 167:12
60:24, 62:18,	12:18, 25:11,	176:6	summary
62:19, 62:23,	78:16, 79:19,	stopping	70:8
71:21, 72:5,	125:3, 126:22,	174:23	super
83:20, 83:22,	171:17	stops	81:17
84:1, 85:25,	states	152:12	supervision
87:2, 89:16,	1:1, 14:23,	store	179:9
90:10, 90:17,	51:9, 51:11,	31:11	support
91:10, 92:7,	60:22, 69:12,	stored	157·19
107:12, 116:17,	75:8, 89:13,	31:5	supported
121:16, 123:4,	153:12, 174:6,	straightforward	
127:8, 168:14,	175:19	153.18	27:10
169:3, 169:16,	stating	stream	
177:14, 177:16	6:21, 7:4,	102.15	36:15, 61:10
standardized	20:20	street	supposed
51:13, 107:6,	station	2.5	130:5, 1/6:18
168:8	34:11, 76:10,	strike	sure
standards	76.11 92.9		8:5, 8:16,
60:25, 61:11,	150.13 150.19	23:23, 139:23,	11:24, 15:13,
61:13, 85:20,	151.7 151.8	146:8	15:20, 16:6,
87:14, 91:5,	151.9 151.11	Sturr	16:8, 17:14,
97:17. 104:14.	151.23 151.25	96:10	21:13, 23:15,
105:4, 175:18	152.1 152.2	subchannels	24:8, 35:13,
standby	152.1, 152.2,	47:6	38:23, 48:3,
31.25	152.10, 152.12,	subdivisions	50:8, 51:20,
start	154.20 157.12	73:9, 73:10	52:4, 57:5,
31.5 39.16	154:20, 157:15	subfrequencies	66:19, 75:9,
/3.18 /3.10		66:8	77:21, 100:11,
4J.10, 4J.19,		subject	100:19, 101:2,
44:10, 40:0, 45.6 45.7	stay	136:5, 138:15	141:9, 147:17,
45:0, 45:7,	54:2, 54:4,	submitted	150:21, 162:18,
4J:9, 40:9	149:1	10:17	174:16
	stenographically	subranges	swear
44:13, 99:8,	179:8	53:5, 53:12	5:25

swearing	175:10	130:23, 149:12,	170:3
6:3	svstem-wide	164:7, 167:5,	talks
sworn	34:8	174:14, 174:19,	56:22, 71:12,
6:15	systems	174:22, 175:8,	75:20, 76:7,
system	59:20, 61:8,	175:24	76:12, 92:6,
27:3, 29:16,	65:2, 74:8,	taken	93:16, 94:2,
29:19, 32:4,	74:10, 75:5,	179:4, 179:7	96:6, 96:12,
47:3, 59:15,	77:18, 79:13,	takes	98:9, 98:12,
59:16, 59:18,	94:16, 94:18,	44:24	113:14, 123:5,
60:16, 62:21,	95:1, 124:23,	taking	146:14, 157:17,
65:15, 65:17,	136:7, 159:6,	164:15, 173:19	159:8, 168:23
67:6, 67:17,	159:10, 159:13,	talk	tape
67:23, 68:8,	160:4, 160:6,	60:19, 78:15,	5:2
68:15, 68:16,	160:14, 160:15,	83:12, 83:19,	taught
68:21, 68:23,	161:3, 162:13,	83:22, 83:24,	76:8, 136:22,
68:24, 74:14,	162:14, 162:22,	113:13, 134:14,	157:10
74:15, 74:19,	162:24, 163:1,	143:6, 172:6,	teach
74:20, 76:10,	163:3, 163:4,	176:18, 176:23,	37:17, 106:4
76:16, 77:2,	163:/, 164:1,	177:1, 177:4	teaches
//:15, //:1/,	164:2, 164:8,	talked	38:2, 38:3,
10/:6, 11/:21,	164:16, 164:20,	35:21, 68:18,	44:7
122:2, 122:3, 124.24, 120.4	165.4 165.7	/5:1/, 86:10,	teaching
124:24, 130:4, 130.18, 140.2	165.10 165.22	98:23, 121:17,	/4:13, /4:22,
140.6 $140.2$	166.12 167.12	12/:21, 134:13,	106:2, 119:6
140.0, 140.1,	167.17. 169.2.	+ -1 kina	
$142 \cdot 1 = 142 \cdot 8$	169:10. 169:13.	37.16 37.20	27:17, 45:5,
151:12. 157:12.	173:18, 175:4,	/3.25 /8.23	45:10, 46:4,
157:18, 159:9,	175:15	49.5 55.15	47.10, 47.24,
159:14, 159:23,	<u> </u>	56.18 56.24	75.4 76.17
160:19, 161:8,	+1	61:18, 68:3.	77.1, 77.13
161:9, 161:10,	LI 15.8 116.17	68:21, 70:1,	77:17. 100:16.
161:11, 161:15,	+3.0, 140.17	94:5, 94:9,	101:12, 152:9,
161:17, 161:22,	19.16 176.17	94:17, 94:19,	152:19, 154:16,
162:4, 162:5,	tabs	95:20, 96:16,	155:3, 156:10
162:7, 162:11,	16.1	96:18, 107:5,	technical
163:14, 166:6,	take	114:7, 117:20,	19:13, 141:8
166:11, 167:13,	7:17. 12:9.	122:6, 122:10,	technically
167:14, 167:19,	17:3, 24:5,	123:19, 124:5,	142:23
168:1, 168:8,	31:8, 51:22,	126:14, 127:11,	technician
168:20, 168:25,	57:8, 57:12,	132:2, 133:20,	3:21, 8:16,
169:4, 169:6,	57:13, 57:15,	134:15, 138:10,	9:7, 10:4,
169:8, 169:15,	57:16, 75:18,	138:13, 141:19,	10:12, 11:24,
109:17, 109:24,	75:21, 77:19,	149:16, 150:7,	15:20, 15:24,
172.1 172.7	77:21, 82:16,	150:14, 161:/,	16:6, 16:8,
173.16 173.18	90:4, 119:24,	165:10, 166:11,	16:11, 16:14,
$173 \cdot 20$ $173 \cdot 22$	120:3, 120:10,	169:3, 169:13,	16:19, 16:22,
		109.10, 109.20,	1/:1, 1/:9,

24:8, 24:12,	29:20, 30:5,	147:13, 147:19	122:20, 149:25,
24:18, 24:22,	110:20, 114:18	tested	154:15, 175:3,
26:6, 30:20,	ten	30:3	176:16
30:23, 49:23,	160:9, 168:6,	testified	things
50:17, 71:9,	168:7	6:17, 48:21,	13:9, 75:20,
73:23, 75:12,	tentative	72:8, 87:10,	94:15, 97:13
78:17, 78:24,	176:8	95:13, 103:3,	think
79:2, 80:9, 93:2	term	103:21, 104:12,	11:20, 13:2,
technique	14:17, 17:16,	130:20	19:13, 19:25,
59:12, 59:21,	17:20. 18:1.	testify	23:2, 27:15,
60:17, 62:21,	18:17. 19:5.	6:15, 128:19	33:25, 34:18,
97:18, 99:8	20:6, 21:1,	testifving	35:21, 37:22,
techniques	21:20, 21:25,	134:5	38:1, 38:2,
64:24, 65:10,	22:4, 22:15,	testimony	38:23, 41:7,
97:5, 97:20,	23:25, 25:21,	6:5. 7:12.	44:19, 49:15,
98:17, 99:10,	55:9, 59:8,	56:2. 63:16.	51:23, 56:20,
107:11, 107:12,	62:22. 62:25.	93.7. 119.9.	57:2, 57:20,
107:14, 113:8,	79:22. 82:7.	126.18 178.4	68:18, 92:25,
113:18, 113:22,	85:11. 85:15.	178.5. 179.6.	94:8, 97:11,
115:16, 119:10,	85:17, 86:1,	179.7	97:14, 100:24,
119:23, 120:1,	86:22, 87:8,	testing	103:15, 107:18,
120:7, 175:14	87:16, 91:9,	32.17 33.15	114:11, 115:6,
technologies	97:2. 97:3.	32.17, 55.15, 33.23	119:18, 126:20,
7:2, 7:5, 58:7,	98:7. 107:3.	$38 \cdot 4$ $45 \cdot 21$	134:1, 141:6,
59:24	115:8, 117:5,	147.11	141:7, 153:16,
technology	117:12, 118:23,	tests	156:22, 170:21,
9:15, 9:17,	120:8, 121:4,	28.7	174:12, 174:20,
60:3, 60:5,	139:13, 157:22,	texas	174:21, 175:19
65:5, 97:21,	161:25, 162:11,	$1 \cdot 2  5 \cdot 7$	third
165:13, 173:25,	167:21	text	25:12, 121:1,
174:3, 174:4	termed	90.15	173:13
telecommunication	119:14	thank	thou
74:14	terming	7.25 8.3 9.9	52:24, 52:25
telecommunicatio-	36:16, 114:9	$10.14 \cdot 16.25$	thought
ns	terms	24:23, 26:11,	104:8, 134:5,
157:18	14:12, 59:5,	118:18, 166:5.	163:19
telegraph	66:23, 76:12,	177:9	three
1:4, 5:5, 5:16,	82:11, 91:11,	thanks	71:12, 93:17,
12:14, 12:21	91:13, 98:14,	80:10, 118:19	149:9, 149:11,
telephone	106:25, 115:11,	themselves	152:5
1:5, 5:5, 5:16,	130:25, 131:10	5:13	through
12:14, 12:21	test	thereafter	9:12, 9:15,
tell	28:15, 28:19,	179.8	9:17, 9:21,
37:12, 156:23	29:2, 32:11,	therefore	10:11, 11:19,
telling	34:25, 35:16,	114.14	12:9, 38:17,
105:21	38:7, 40:1,	therefrom	50:18, 51:19,
temporal	40:8, 44:7,	176:11	74:7, 103:8,
28:2, 29:8,	46:20, 147:9,	thing	103:25, 104:25,
, ,		94.5. 122.18	
		5, 122.10,	
-			

105:23, 117:19,	72:8, 87:11,	150:7, 155:10,	153:1, 154:18,
123:10, 123:11,	90:25, 103:22,	155:11, 155:12,	157:11, 163:13
124:13, 148:5,	104:12, 130:20,	155:13, 155:14,	transmissions
148:15, 149:7,	139:11, 162:23	155:17, 155:19,	29:7, 38:6,
164:12	title	156:13, 160:17	40:14, 41:15,
throughout	7:7	transceivers	48:13, 92:10,
52:12	today	38:21, 131:1,	121:21, 121:22,
throughput	5:10, 5:22,	148:11, 149:21,	125:22, 126:17,
47:6, 125:20,	7:10, 8:11,	155:15, 162:5,	132:2, 142:25,
144:25	11:19, 12:25,	162:7, 162:8	143:2, 148:9,
throwing	15:4, 17:21,	transcript	148:13, 153:23,
67:9	21:7, 21:8,	6:7, 84:8,	154:8
tim	21:16, 21:25,	177:14, 179:5	transmit
1:16, 2:1, 4:2,	23:17, 26:19,	transcription	18:5, 19:4,
4:8, 4:9, 5:3,	56:7, 72:9,	178:5	20:12, 20:14,
6:9, 6:14, 6:23,	90:21, 101:18,	translate	22:10, 22:12,
177:11, 178:2	103:22, 104:12,	30:25	28:11, 28:12,
time	117:15, 117:18,	transmission	29:4, 30:13,
5:8, 11:6,	119:17, 124:21,	22:22, 23:9,	30:14, 32:2,
15:6, 31:8,	125:2, 136:3,	27:3, 27:4,	32:6, 32:23,
32:1, 32:8,	136:17, 139:12,	28:3, 28:18,	32:24, 34:24,
32:11, 36:3,	143:20, 155:4,	29:16, 29:22,	35:15, 36:4,
38:15, 38:19,	165:18, 167:1	29:23, 30:6,	36:19, 37:8,
39:23, 40:6,	today's	30:7, 31:5,	42:20, 43:14,
40:11, 42:15,	5:8, 177:11	31:25, 32:14,	43:15, 43:17,
44:20, 44:24,	top	34:17, 34:22,	44:10, 44:24,
45:4, 45:8,	16:1, 25:4,	36:11, 37:5,	46:12, 46:13,
45:10, 45:22,	54:25, 55:1,	39:24, 40:7,	46:14, 46:23,
46:16, 46:17,	55:7, 56:16,	40:10, 40:22,	47:1, 49:6,
47:7, 47:11,	175:20	40:23, 41:12,	49:11, 52:24,
47:23, 48:3,	trans	44:22, 47:10,	54:8, 54:9,
54:10, 55:7,	35:15	47:11, 47:15,	64:10, 69:22,
57:18, 57:20,	transceiver	48:16, 52:11,	70:18, 70:21,
64:19, 75:17,	35:6, 35:8,	62:16, 67:12,	70:24, 80:13,
84:6, 110:22,	36:13, 38:25,	76:9, 76:15,	82:4, 82:5,
111:8, 112:2,	39:2, 39:7,	97:23, 99:14,	82:17, 82:18,
112:4, 112:5,	39:8, 39:15,	99:15, 102:11,	84:25, 85:6,
112:7, 112:10,	39:16, 41:12,	102:16, 102:18,	99:15, 99:16,
112:20, 123:15,	41:25, 46:6,	105:14, 114:14,	110:18, 111:9,
127:18, 132:12,	114:15, 131:14,	121:25, 125:20,	114:3, 114:16,
134:21, 135:21,	132:3, 132:15,	125:24, 126:9,	115:3, 121:10,
143:1, 145:5,	133:10, 133:13,	137:12, 138:8,	132:4, 132:6,
145:25, 146:17,	133:15, 134:10,	142:12, 145:1,	145:24, 146:21,
146:23, 147:15,	134:11, 134:14,	146:7, 147:3,	147:5, 147:15,
166:14, 167:5,	134:18, 135:20,	148:22, 149:2,	147:23, 148:3,
177:10	147:4, 147:15,	149:18, 150:9,	148:5, 149:9,
times	148:10, 148:13,	150:18, 150:24,	149:11, 150:14,
47:10, 47:15,	149:8, 149:16,	150:25, 151:23,	150:23, 152:4,

152:15, 152:20,	84:24, 85:22,	trying	160:4, 164:1,
152:24, 153:19,	121:7, 121:8,	37:2, 37:3,	165:1
154:3, 155:22,	121:10, 121:11,	89:3, 101:1,	types
160:7. 160:11.	121:18, 126:6.	101.3. 104.21.	58·5, 58·17
164.3	127.6 131.17	108.9 114.15	59.20 61.7
transmite	131.01 132.0	114.16 126.1	(1,17,7E,E
	150.2 161.6	114:10, 120:1,	01:17, 73:3,
32:1, 137:6	150:2, 161:6,	15/:/, 1/0:13,	//:18, 94:20
transmitted	161:15, 16/:24,	1/2:22	typewriting
31:19, 32:14,	168:1, 168:22,	tuned	179:9
33:1, 40:4,	169:21, 172:18,	33:18	typical
41:2, 42:13,	172:21, 172:24	turn	166:6
44:9, 44:11,	transmitting	10:3, 13:11,	typically
44:13, 50:24,	14:7, 17:16,	26:21. 28:6.	51:7. 108:21.
54:3. 63:5.	29:17. 30:2.	50.5, $70.25$	109.15 109.20
79.18 88.6	35.10. 36.2	80.2 92.23	110.0 124.10
80.25 102.16	36.15 36.21	00.2, 02.20, 02.20, 02.00	176.17
106.14 $115.2$	27.6 27.7	112-02	<u> </u>
141-00 140 F	3/.0, 3/.7,	113:23	U
141:23, 142:5,	JO:IJ, JO:IO,	turning	umts
142:/, 14/:21,	30:1/, 43:18,	49:13, 73:19,	61:3
161:5	43:19, 44:13,	78:2, 106:19,	unclear
transmitter	44:19, 45:5,	120:22, 137:13,	159.2
33:13, 35:7,	45:6, 45:7,	144:13, 145:13	under
35:11, 35:25,	45:9, 45:23,	twenty	
36:1, 36:9,	45:24, 45:25,	142:6, 142:8	6:6, 14:15,
39:5, 40:4,	46:9, 46:11,	two	23:19, 28:17,
64:10, 70:21,	92:10, 111:10,	7.20 15.14	29:14, 39:23,
111:22. 115:5.	112:23, 113:25,	17.3 17.8	40:24, 42:2,
123.25 129.6	114:2. 116:8.	17.3, 17.0	43:10, 62:6,
129.7 $129.11$	129:6. 129:8.	22.21, 23.0,	102:9, 104:14,
130.3 130.7	$132 \cdot 12$ $132 \cdot 22$	26:24, 30:2,	105:4, 107:20,
121.12 121.10	134.20 146.19	30:23, 63:6,	179:9
131:12, 131:10,	140.17 150.0	65:4, 65:9,	undergraduate
131:22, 131:25,	149:17, 130:0,	66:17, 67:16,	136:22
132:8, 132:10,	150:22, 151:9,	97:12, 115:23,	underneath
132:11, 132:14,	152:3, 152:12,	128:1, 131:17,	18.9
132:18, 132:22,	155:20, 160:12,	131:21, 132:11,	undorstand
132:23, 132:24,	1/2:19	136:25, 137:1,	
133:15, 133:16,	treats	137:4, 138:17,	10:10, 19:10,
133:17, 133:18,	156:1	142:7, 142:25,	19:20, 25:17,
133:19, 133:21,	true	143:2, 143:5,	30:8, 33:3,
133:23, 134:2,	6:5, 76:11,	143:8, 143:23,	34:15, 35:12,
134:6, 134:13,	147:7, 178:4,	153:1. 160:3.	35:13, 38:23,
134:18, 134:20,	179:6	171:4. 171:6	40:19, 41:5,
135:18, 135:19,	truth	+	41:10, 41:23,
147:5, 150:2,	6:16. 6:17.	3.7	42:5, 42:8,
150:4, 160:7.	$105 \cdot 21 = 105 \cdot 22$	5.7 +••1	42:23, 43:3,
164.2 173.15	+rv	LAL	43:4, 43:13,
transmitters	7.01 7.00	132:23, 137:6	44:3, 44:6,
	1.41, 1.423, 1.423, 1.444, 1	туре	44:10, 44:16,
40:0, 09:4,	39:1, 110:13	///:17, 143:16,	. ,
	1		
Conducted on April 9, 2021

		1 /	
45:11, 47:18,	144:18, 146:4,	118:22	59:12, 92:13,
48.11, 49.25.	157.9. 158.16.	1150	92:14, 92:16.
$51 \cdot 20$ $52 \cdot 4$	170.16	9.5 20.10	$92 \cdot 19  94 \cdot 11$
51.20, 52.1,	understood	21.1 $46.22$	92.12, 104.17
55:19, 58:9,		31:1, 46:22,	90:12, 104:17,
58:20, 59:19,	86:12, 107:16,	4/:6, 52:12,	109:13, 127:13,
61:12, 62:11,	115:11, 125:6,	53:13, 58:18,	154:20, 1/4:4
62:13, 63:12,	126:4, 126:5,	59:20, 59:23,	v
63:20, 65:12,	136:5, 136:9,	60:2, 60:12,	validity
66:20, 67:1,	147:17	65:18, 67:14,	11.9
67:21, 68:2,	undesirable	69:23, 73:15,	various
68:12, 73:2,	46:6	73:16, 85:15,	12.15 68.0
77:1, 77:12,	unintentionally	85:21, 88:17,	12.13, 00.9,
85:7, 86:5,	110.7	93:23, 98:13,	98:9
86:20, 88:17,	united	100:17. 101:13.	verified
89.3. 90.18		$102 \cdot 17$ $102 \cdot 25$	6:9
91.7 96.10	1.1, 31.9,	$103 \cdot 1$ $103 \cdot 25$	versa
97.12 99.19	51:11, 60:22,	103.4, 103.23,	109:21
100.10 100.20	69:12, 89:13,	104:10, 105:19,	version
100:19, 100:20,	174:6, 175:19	105:23, 105:24,	112:18, 113:3,
100:21, 101:2,	unless	114:12, 114:13,	113:6, 116:17
101:3, 103:4,	39:10, 134:4,	115:1, 115:12,	versus
104:17, 108:8,	174:18, 177:2	116:23, 116:25,	5 • 5
108:9, 109:7,	unlicensed	117:19, 130:17,	vertical
117:22, 119:3,	52:10	130:24, 158:13,	
119:10, 119:22,	unquote	161:2, 162:10,	129:5
120:6, 122:17,	36.17, 79.13	166:21, 172:6,	via
124:9, 125:24,	169.17	172:14, 173:25,	1:17, 2:2, 3:9,
126:3, 126:12,	uproctrictod	174:5, 175:16	3:18
126:16, 127:6,		user	vice
132:16. 138:20.	126:6	66.15 66.17	109:21
140.21 $150.21$	until	161.16	video
155.6 160.2	14:4, 38:16,	101.10	5:9
160.21 163.5	149:1, 152:11,		videoconference
160.12 171.14	152:21, 176:21	46:17, 64:14,	1.17 2.2 3.9
169:12, 1/1:14,	updated	64:18, 65:24,	2.10
1/1:18, 1//:4	9:13	66:14, 67:14,	
understanding	upper	68:9, 69:5,	
24:2, 32:20,	66:16, 84:11	69:7, 122:2,	3:22, 5:2,
37:23, 39:20,	upwards	157:18, 158:6,	5:10, 5:21,
41:18, 45:16,	11.9	161:24, 162:3	77:23, 77:25,
51:4, 88:24,		uses	120:17, 120:19,
88:25, 91:16,		35:8, 59:7,	175:25, 176:2,
92:12, 93:23,	1/4:24	60:16, 77:16,	176:14, 177:6,
95:1, 100:12,	usa	85:17, 95:10,	177:10
101:15, 103:6,	1:11, 13:6	95.16. 101.9.	videotaped
107:2, 124:23.	usage	101.12 103.13	1:15, 2:1, 5:3
127:3. 138:10.	14:18, 82:7,	107.13 116.17	view
139.13, 139.22	85:10, 86:22,	11Q•/ 11Q•/1	81:20, 173:4
139.25 $1/1.11$	102:4, 103:9,	165.10	vis-a-vis
1/1.10 1/2.15	104:22, 104:23,		133.5
171.12, 143:13,	104:24, 117:5,	using	100.0
	,	31:14, 35:16,	

216

Conducted on April 9, 2021

vision	144:19	114:20	widely
135:21	wcdma	whenever	80:24, 126:4
voice	60:19. 60:20.	56:22. 87:6.	widest
5:12	60:25, 61:3,	114:7, 143:6,	77:8
volume	61:9, 61:15,	154:6, 174:22	width
1:20	61:20, 62:3,	whereof	55:14
	62:5, 62:6,	179:14	wifi
wait	62:17, 62:19	whether	1:6, 12:23
78.20 78.22	we'll	15:10, 18:16,	williams
131.7	7:23, 8:5,	20:18, 20:25,	1:16, 2:1, 4:2,
wakes	39:2, 64:16,	21:23, 21:24,	4:8, 4:9, 5:3,
112:2. 112:4.	159:5, 176:10,	22:3, 22:22,	6:10, 6:14,
112:7	177:13	23:9, 25:20,	6:21, 6:23,
want	we're	28:8, 28:16,	8:22, 9:6,
13:21, 46:19,	11:5, 11:17,	28:19, 31:16,	10:10, 10:16,
46:21, 46:23,	26:18, 31:14,	32:12, 33:5,	12:2, 12:7,
48:1, 48:3,	32:16, 35:4,	33:8, 33:23,	15:24, 30:21,
57:14, 57:15,	36:16, 37:3,	34:12, 40:9,	78:2, 78:19,
75:18, 81:5,	4/:LJ, 40:2J,	4/:ZI, 48:9,	86:4, 120:21,
120:12, 149:14,	53:3, 55:15, 56.19, 56.22	48:10, 76:4,	1/4:9, 1/6:4,
161:23, 164:12,	50:10, 50:25, $57\cdot20, 61\cdot18$	89.6 95.10	1//:11, 1/8:2
174:9, 177:13	66.11 75.16	$101 \cdot 3  101 \cdot 4$	
wants	79.5 79.9	101.3, 101.4, 101.24, 102.7	97:4, 99:8
10:10, 37:8,	103:24, 105:16.	102:22, 102:23.	14.7 17.17
39:5, 174:18	114:15. 114:20.	103:11, 104:2,	14.7, 17.17,
water	134:15, 148:4,	104:21, 104:23,	19.6 20.6
7:22	149:16, 149:23,	108:1, 110:13,	$21 \cdot 20$ $22 \cdot 5$
waves	150:6, 155:16,	111:4, 111:20,	$22 \cdot 9_{-} 22 \cdot 11_{-}$
50:24, 79:17,	161:21, 170:9,	114:2, 115:17,	27:2. 27:5.
88:5, 89:24	173:19	116:3, 116:7,	28:11, 28:20,
way	we've	116:22, 116:25,	31:12, 32:2,
6:8, 17:7,	57:2, 59:5,	117:24, 118:25,	36:3, 36:20,
19:10, 50:21,	96:16, 114:9,	130:2, 139:22,	47:5, 58:5,
62:18, 65:1,	121:17, 139:11,	140:22, 141:12,	58:6, 58:12,
69:10, 79:15, 00:22, 02:12	158:21, 174:8	147:15, 150:13,	58:17, 60:16,
00:22, 92:13, 02.15	weeks	150:18, 151:3,	79:12, 81:6,
92.13, 92.17, 92.20, 94.11	9:16, 9:23	151:22, 153:2,	97:16, 106:17,
94.12 95.11	welcome	155:14, 155:15,	115:4, 115:25,
97.19 98.14	17:1	155:21, 159:1,	116:1, 116:3,
98:15, 101:9.	went	159:2, 165:23,	116:7, 117:24,
101:10, 101:11,	16:23, 95:20,	1/0:10, 1/2:23	122:9, 123:14,
115:17, 143:13,	156:25		123:16, 123:17,
144:19, 168:23	western	0:10, 1U3:21, 111.2 157.15	123:20, 124:12,
ways	1:2, 5:6	16/·13	138:1, 138:18,
64:17, 68:25,		wide	130:23, 139:3, 145.0, 146.10
113:17, 124:17,	/:19, 43:1/,	66.7. 70.2	1/8·17
	93:6, IIU:24,	00.1, 10.2	140:11

Conducted on April 9, 2021

wirelessly	128.3	wrong	00632
106.14	wolf	$34 \cdot 1 = 67 \cdot 2$	1 • 9
withdraw	3.14 5.18	81.10 123.11	01
58.13	word	171:19	175.25
withdrawn	18:10, 28:22.	<b>v</b>	01401
58:13. 155:24	59:2, 59:7,		5:7
within	61:19, 66:12,	<b>yay</b>	02210
13:25, 19:2,	86:13, 88:17,	107:4 Woah	3:16
20:1, 21:10,	90:24, 91:8,	<b>yean</b>	06
21:11, 21:15,	98:18, 98:20,	13.23 16.4	77:23
21:16, 22:5,	98:21, 100:17,	26.9 39.8	09
48:24, 51:13,	101:9, 101:10,	41 • 4 43 • 7	1:19, 5:9
51:24, 52:16,	101:13, 101:22,	45:1, 49:21.	1
52:20, 53:3,	102:4, 102:17,	50:1, 50:6.	
53:7, 53:16,	102:25, 103:4,	50:13, 60:18,	▲ 39·2/ 18·16
54:2, 54:4,	103:9, 103:13,	65:12, 72:4,	1/15.25
54:5, 55:3,	103:22, 103:25,	78:7, 78:21,	10
58:2, 58:3,	104:13, 104:16,	78:22, 80:14,	4.8 57.13
58:4, 58:14,	104:17, 105:20,	80:16, 93:5,	118.9 118.16
58:24, 58:25,	105:23, 105:24,	98:8, 118:19,	163.11
59:22, 63:11,	117:3, 117:19,	120:24, 122:8,	100
63:15, 64:3,	118:4, 118:21,	123:9, 123:21,	173.8
64:15, 64:16,	136:15, 136:20,	126:14, 134:4,	1001
69:22, 71:20,	162:21, 165:15,	139:6, 140:4,	4:7. 15:19.
72:10, 73:14,	166:21, 166:23,	146:10, 146:20,	15:21. 15:22.
85:7, 85:11,	167:3	149:23, 154:13,	30:21, 90:5
85:23, 85:25,	22.16 27.0	156:19, 157:17,	1003
88:14, 88:15,	23:10, 27:0,	161:12, 173:23,	4:8, 10:3,
88:18, 90:15, 01.2 01.15	147.8 165.16	176:25	10:6, 10:7,
91:3, 91:13, 01.16, 03.21	165.24 $167.3$	years	10:17, 49:15,
91.10, 95.21, 91.10, 96.22	167.6 $175.20$	119:11	106:19
97.16 99.21	work	yep	1004
$104.22 \cdot 110.24$	6.25. 11.15.	80:9	4:9, 8:15,
111.9. 112.14.	17:7. 21:11.	yourself	8:18, 8:19
122:24. 124:16.	21:16. 115:2.	65:23	1005
125:12, 128:1,	130:5, 132:13	Z	4:10, 91:21,
129:16, 129:18,	working	zero	91:25, 92:2
130:8, 130:23,	49:1, 80:9	142:6, 142:8,	1007
135:7, 135:19,	wouldn't	142:12, 143:4,	4:11, 92:25,
137:11, 139:18,	99:3, 99:4,	143:9, 143:14,	93:3
161:11, 168:9,	142:11	158:4, 158:5	1008
169:7, 171:22,	wrap	zones	4:12, 75:10,
171:25, 172:9,	174:12	57:20	75:13, 75:14,
172:16, 173:2,	wright	0	95:21, 100:4,
173:3, 173:14	3:4, 5:15	00	156:19, 164:25
without	writing	57:17, 57:20	
103:19, 104:25,	51:3		136:5, 1/3:9

Conducted on April 9, 2021

		1 /	
1055	77:25. 153:8	2020	135:8. 135:13.
A.13 2A.7	2 400	5.7	144.22
$4 \cdot 10, 24 \cdot 1, 0$	2,300	0.7	21
24:12, 24:14	71:14, 72:11,		
108	/3:12, 85:12,	1:18, 5:8,	50:5, 50:21,
84:21, 93:8	86:4, 87:2,	9:21, 179:15	70:25, 71:11,
11	87:23, 142:17	2023	72:3, 73:5,
57:17, 57:20,	2,420	4:16, 11:23,	78:11, 79:7,
75:17, 96:3,	142:18	12:1, 12:4,	79:23, 81:15,
118:8, 118:15,	2,441.75	13:18, 14:1,	82:25, 84:15,
118:16. 163:20	87:24	16:23, 49:10,	85:13, 85:15,
12	2.483	80:4	86:3, 86:6,
1.19 1.9	72.12 87.23	208 11	86:18, 87:16,
1.16 5.9	2 193 5	126.11	93.25
4.10, 5.9, 110.14	2,403.3	21	35
110:0, 110:14,	/1:14, /3:12,	21	00.6 106.21
118:16, 118:20	85:12, 86:4,	26:21, 159:2,	90:0, 100:21,
123	87:3	159:5, 162:15,	106:23, 106:25,
73:20, 74:6	2.4	164:9, 167:16	115:24, 116:16,
126	51:23, 52:6,	22	120:17, 125:17,
172:16, 172:18,	53:4, 54:5,	159:2, 162:15,	126:14, 135:8,
172:25, 173:4	54:20, 59:6,	163:20, 164:9	135:13, 144:22
13	70:6, 71:20,	23	36
118:14	82:12, 84:16,	120:19. 179:15	138:23
134	85:19, 89:17,	24	364163
79.5 79.7	91.5. 93.20	 1.13 161.8	1:27
14	124.19 126.5	167.16	37
1.10 0.00	2 138	2500	162.15
4:18, 26:5,	2.438	2500	20
26:6, 26:12,	89:17	3:6	38
29:11, 50:6,	2.483	26	89:17, 138:3,
50:7, 50:10,	84:16, 85:19	4:18, 122:25,	138:5, 138:13
50:11, 71:21,	20	123:10, 123:11,	39
71:25, 73:8,	1:9, 55:8,	124:11, 124:13,	29:10
73:17, 79:7	56:4, 56:9,	138:13, 162:15	3rd
16	56:13, 56:18,	27	3:5
113:24	56:21, 56:23,	124:13. 162:15	4
179	57:14, 77:5,	28	<u></u>
1:28	77:25, 83:19,	77.6	<b>1</b>
185	83:22. 127:16.	292	
94.01	127.25 128.6	1 ( E . E	41./5
1000	128.10 $128.13$	103:3	87:24
1999	120.10, 120.13, 120.17, 120.00	3	42
107:6, 107:13,	120.12 120.15	3	90:7
116:4, 116:5,	129:12, 129:15,	120:17, 122:9	4200
116:14, 118:10,	129:17, 129:25,	30	3:8
119:7	130:3, 130:4,	120.12 122.25	44
1:-cvada	130:8, 139:5,	123.10, 123.12	120:22, 137:13
1:9	139:7, 142:14,	138.2	45
2	142:16, 160:25	22	102.14
	2000		10
	165:11	125:1/, 126:14,	<b>40</b>
40:8, //:23,			145:8

483	118:16. 157:10	97:6, 98:1.	
20.10	646	107.6 107.12	
09:10	040	107:0, 107:12,	
5	3:17	112:18, 113:3,	
50	7	113:6, 113:13,	
	7 200 551	114:1, 116:4,	
124:1, 12/:1/,	7,280,551	116.5 116.11	
128:1, 128:5,	11:10	116.14 117.01	
128:9, 128:13,	742	110:14, 117:21,	
128:18, 129:7,	105.14	118:10, 119:7,	
129.11 129.16	744	121:16, 123:4,	
120.10 120.25		123:24, 124:2,	
129:10, 129:23,	105:14	124:3, 124:4,	
130:2, 130:6,	75	124.19 124.24	
139:4, 139:7	4:12	107.7 107.0	
51	770	12/:/, 12/:9,	
162:16	3.8	12/:11, 12/:12,	
511	5.0	127:15, 127:19,	
	/8/01	127:20, 130:6,	
29:16, 130:15	3:7	130:25. 135:21	
512	8	93	
3:8		<b>65</b>	
52	8	84:16, 85:19	
145.14 145.20	162:15	88	
145:14, 145:20,	80	93:8	
146:16	88.14	Q	
53	8000	<u> </u>	
156:22, 156:23	2 17	900	
55	3:17	172:15, 173:16,	
176.2	802.11	173:23, 173:25,	
	51:12, 51:25,	174.5	
57	53:5, 53:9,	02	
177:10	53.19 54.20	92	
58	50.6 70.1	4:10	
122:4. 157:14	J9:0, /U:1,	93	
59	/1:21, /2:4,	4:11	
	73:1, 73:5,	99	
15/:14, 1//:1/	74:15, 74:18,	112.10 112.2	
6	74:20, 75:25,	112:10, 113:3,	
6	76.5 76.11	113:6, 116:11,	
175.05 176.0	76.16 76.19	173:9	
1/5:25, 1/6:2,	76:16, 76:18,		
1//:10, 1//:1/	76:23, 76:24,		
60	77:2, 77:10,		
3:15, 157:15	77:13, 77:15,		
607	83:20, 83:22,		
2.5	83.23 85.25		
	07.1 00.16		1
ρт	0/:1, 09:10,		
122:4, 156:22,	90:10, 90:17,		
156:23	91:3, 91:10,		1
617	92:7, 93:15,		1
3.17	94:16, 94:18,		
	94.22. 94.24		
<sup>04</sup>	04.25 05.2		1
118:8, 118:14,	94:20, 90:2,		1
			1
	1		

#### Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D. Conducted on April 9, 2021