

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2

Date: April 15, 2021 Case: Mediatek Inc., et al. -v- Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation (PTAB)

Planet Depos Phone: 888.433.3767 Email:: <u>transcripts@planetdepos.com</u> www.planetdepos.com

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY



1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 2 -----3 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD 4 _____ 5 MEDIATEK INC. and MEDIATEK USA, INC., 6 Petitioner, 7 v. 8 NIPPON TELEGRAPH AND TELEPHONE CORPORATION, 9 Patent Owner. 10 _____ 11 Case No. IPR2020-01404 12 13 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TIM WILLIAMS, PH.D. 14 CONDUCTED REMOTELY 15 Thursday, April 15, 2021 16 9:07 a.m. 17 18 19 20 21 Job No.: 366194 22 Pages: 1 - 103 23 Reported By: Charlotte Lacey, RPR, CSR No. 14224 24 25

Г

1	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TIM WILLIAMS, PH.D.,
2	CONDUCTED VIRTUALLY.
3	
4	
5	
6	Pursuant to notice, before Charlotte Lacey,
7	Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of
8	California.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER MEDIATEK INC. and MEDIATEK
3	USA, INC.:
4	GREGORY S. NIEBERG, ESQUIRE
5	WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC
6	600 Atlantic Avenue
7	Boston, Massachusetts 02210
8	(617) 646-8000
9	
10	ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER NIPPON TELEGRAPH AND
11	TELEPHONE CORPORATION:
12	BRYAN ATKINSON, ESQUIRE
13	DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC
14	607 West 3rd Street, Suite 2500
15	Austin, Texas 78701
16	(512) 770-4200
17	
18	ALSO PRESENT:
19	Drew Halton, Videographer
20	Jillian Barricelli, AV Technician
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Tran	script of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021	4
1		I N D E X	
2	WITNESS		PAGE
3	TIM WILLIAMS,	PH.D.	
4	Examination by	Mr. Atkinson	6
5	Examination by	Mr. Nieberg	99
6			
7			
8	IN	DEX OF EXHIBITS	
9	EXHIBITS	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
10	Exhibit 14	IPR2020-01404 Decision Granting	33
11		Institution	
12	Exhibit 1003	Declaration of Tim A.	6
13		Williams, Ph.D.	
14	Exhibit 1005	Publication No. US 2003/0206532 A1	33
15	Exhibit 1007	Publication No. US 2003/0220112 A1	92
16	Exhibit 1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,560,292	22
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Transcript of Tim A Williams Ph D Volume 2

I	Conducted on April 15, 2021 5	1
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins volume 2,	09:06:42
3	tape number 1, in the videotaped deposition of Tim	09:06:45
4	Williams, Ph.D., in the matter of MediaTek Inc.,	09:06:49
5	et al., versus Nippon Telegraph and Telephone	09:06:49
6	Corporation in the U.S. District Court for the	09:07:02
7	Western District of Texas, Austin Division, Number	09:07:04
8	IPR2020-01404.	09:07:07
9	Today's date is April 15, 2021. The	09:07:10
10	time on the video monitor is 9:07 a.m. The	09:07:19
11	videographer today is Drew Halton representing	09:07:19
12	Planet Depos.	09:07:19
13	All participants are attending remotely.	09:07:24
14	Would counsel please voice identify	09:07:24
15	themselves and state whom they represent.	09:07:29
16	MR. ATKINSON: Bryan Atkinson for patent	09:07:29
17	owner Nippon Telegraph and Telephone.	09:07:36
18	MR. NIEBERG: Greg Nieberg from Wolf	09:07:42
19	Greenfield, representing the MediaTek petitioners.	09:07:45
20	And also joining me is Nathan Speed also of Wolf	09:07:47
21	Greenfield.	09:07:54
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter	09:07:56
23	today is Charlotte Lacey, representing Planet	09:07:56
24	Depos.	09:07:56
25	Would the reporter please swear in the	09:07:56
		I

	Conducted on April 15, 2021	6	
1	witness.		09:07:57
2	THE REPORTER: Will counsel please		
3	stipulate that in lieu of formally swearing in the		
4	witness, the reporter will instead ask the witness		
5	to acknowledge that their testimony will be true		
6	under the penalties of perjury, that counsel will		
7	not object to the admissibility of the transcript		
8	based on proceeding in this way, and that the		
9	witness has verified that he is, in fact, Tim		
10	Williams, Ph.D		09:08:24
11	MR. NIEBERG: That is fine for the		09:08:24
12	MediaTek petitioners.		09:08:26
13	MR. ATKINSON: That is fine for NTT.		09:08:28
14	THE REPORTER: Dr. Williams, do you		09:08:28
15	hereby acknowledge that your testimony will be		09:08:28
16	true under the penalties of perjury?		09:08:40
17	THE WITNESS: I do.		09:08:40
18	THE REPORTER: Thank you.		09:08:42
19	Proceed, Counsel.		09:08:43
20	EXAMINATION		09:08:45
21	BY MR. ATKINSON:		09:08:45
22	Q. Dr. Williams, I'd like to ask you to		09:08:46
23	turn back to paragraph 52 of your declaration, if		09:08:49
24	you wouldn't mind, which is Exhibit 1003, in case		09:08:53
25	you need the number.		09:09:01

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 7	1
1	And if you're there, can do you see	09:09:20
2	your paragraph 52?	09:09:22
3	A. Just got there, paragraph 52.	09:09:22
4	Q. Yes. All right. Do you see, third line	09:09:28
5	down, where it says well, I guess beginning in	09:09:30
6	the second line, but "If channel 3 were the	09:09:34
7	mandatory channel, then the STA could not transmit	09:09:37
8	packets over idle channels 1 and 2 at time (1)	09:09:42
9	because mandatory channel is not also idle."	09:09:44
10	Do you see that?	09:09:48
11	A. Yes.	09:09:49
12	Q. Just to understand what you you're	09:09:52
13	meaning here. Is it fair to say that the method	09:09:57
14	shown in figures 1 and 2 of the patent results in	09:10:03
15	preventing transmission to otherwise idle channels	09:10:07
16	when the mandatory channel, i.e., channel 3, is	09:10:12
17	not idle?	09:10:19
18	A. From the same transmitter, that's true.	09:10:20
19	Q. Okay. And do you recall testifying that	09:10:23
20	the purpose of the '551 is to avoid the problem of	09:10:27
21	power leakage?	09:10:33
22	MR. NIEBERG: Object to the form.	09:10:35
23	A. Yes, that's one of the purposes.	09:10:36
24	Q. And how does preventing transmission on	09:10:39
25	otherwise idle channels, based on the status of	09:10:43

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 8	
1	the mandatory channel, avoid the problem of power	09:10:46
2	leakage, in your opinion?	09:10:50
3	A. In this example, channels 1 and 2 may be	09:10:53
4	used by other stations. And so the ability to	09:10:59
5	prevent transmission on channels 1 and 2 by	09:11:07
6	inquiring whether channel 3 is idle or busy allows	09:11:11
7	for for prevention of interference by some	09:11:16
8	other transmitter talking to another station.	09:11:25
9	Q. And the interference you referenced,	09:11:29
10	that's the power leakage that we talked about	09:11:33
11	previously; is that correct?	09:11:36
12	A. Interference well, the patent calls	09:11:37
13	it power leakage, but it's that's the form of	09:11:40
14	interference. And interference can be caused by	09:11:44
15	another transmitter transmitting on the channel	09:11:48
16	that you're you intend to receive.	09:11:51
17	Q. Okay. All right. Would you mind	09:12:02
18	turning to paragraph 120 of your declaration,	09:12:08
19	please.	09:12:10
20	A. I'm there.	09:12:35
21	Q. Okay. All right. This this	09:12:36
22	paragraph, and the subsequent paragraphs, are	09:12:44
23	discussing the Lundby reference; is that correct?	09:12:54
24	A. Yes.	09:12:58
25	Q. Does the Lundby reference explicitly	09:12:59

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2		
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 9	
1	discuss the problem of power leakage in in its	09:13:07
2	specification?	09:13:10
3	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:13:18
4	A. The words "power leakage" don't appear	09:14:28
5	in Lundby. However, he is talking about	09:14:32
6	interference in terms of Eb over N0 ratio. So the	09:14:36
7	interference would appear as in the NO term of	09:14:43
8	that Eb over NO, which is discussed in column 1,	09:14:49
9	line 14 on to line 25, setting up the basic	09:14:54
10	problems that are being addressed by Lundby	09:15:00
11	Lundby.	09:15:06
12	Q. So is it fair to say that Lundby	09:15:06
13	discusses or let me strike that.	09:15:13
14	Is it your understanding that Lundby	09:15:15
15	does generally discusses interference but does	09:15:17
16	not discuss the specific problem of power leakage;	09:15:24
17	is that correct?	09:15:28
18	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:15:28
19	A. Lundby determines Lundby deals with	09:15:50
20	whether it's desirable to transmit signals over	09:15:54
21	one channel or two channels. So it's making a	09:15:58
22	decision on how to organize the transmissions.	09:16:03
23	And part of that is the avoidance of interference	09:16:07
24	to other stations.	09:16:11
25	Q. At paragraph 120 of your your	09:16:25

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 10	
1	declaration, refer to Lundby as teaching	09:16:29
2	transmission over a primary and secondary channel;	09:16:32
3	is that correct?	09:16:34
4	A. Sorry. You faded out when you talked	09:16:34
5	about the paragraph. Which paragraph?	09:16:36
6	Q. Paragraph 120, the same one, same	09:16:36
7	paragraph.	09:16:39
8	A. Yes. And your question is what?	09:16:40
9	Q. It Lundby discusses transmission over	09:16:41
10	a primary and secondary channel; is that correct?	09:16:46
11	A. It does.	09:16:49
12	Q. And what are the primary, secondary	09:16:51
13	channels referred to in Lundby?	09:16:54
14	A. In Lundby, that are different coded	09:16:57
15	channels.	09:17:01
16	Q. Okay. Do you regard the channels	09:17:03
17	discussed in Lundby as being distinct frequency	09:17:11
18	ranges?	09 : 17 : 17
19	A. Lundby	09:17:18
20	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:17:19
21	A. Lundby, again, as we discussed last	09:17:21
22	week, Lundby, in its paragraph column 1,	09:17:23
23	lines really, lines 5 to 35, discusses the	09:17:27
24	problem with communication systems and the	09:17:33
25	desirability of lowering the Eb over NO	09:17:36

11

1		1
1	requirements to deliver a particular bit error	09:17:43
2	rate. So the teachings of Lundby apply to a broad	09:17:46
3	number of channels. Lundby then goes into	09:17:51
4	CDMA-based architectures and discusses a CDMA	09:17:56
5	implementation of the concept that they are	09:18:02
6	they have invented.	09:18:04
7	Q. In the preferred embodiment of channels	09:18:06
8	discussed in the preferred embodiment of Lundby,	09:18:10
9	are those specific channels in the preferred	09:18:12
10	embodiment distinct frequency ranges?	09:18:17
11	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:18:22
12	A. No. They're CDMA-based separation	09:18:22
13	between the channels in the primary embodiment.	09:18:27
14	However, Lundby discusses broader applications of	09:18:32
15	their invention.	09:18:36
16	Q. Do the primary and secondary channels	09:18:38
17	described in the preferred embodiment of Lundby	09:18:41
18	occupy the same frequency range or different	09:18:44
19	frequency ranges?	09:18:48
20	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:19:06
21	A. I believe Lundby is silent on that	09:19:31
22	aspect.	09:19:34
23	Q. Do you have any understanding as to	09 : 19 : 37
24	whether the channels described in Lundby occupy	09:19:40
25	the same or different frequency ranges?	09:19:43

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 12	
1	A. I believe Lundby teaches isn't is	09:19:45
2	silent on that particular point.	09:19:49
3	Q. Is it possible for there to be power	09:19:56
4	leakage between co-channels that occupy the same	09:19:59
5	frequency range?	09:20:05
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:20:06
7	A. I don't understand the question.	09:20:08
8	Q. So if these co-channels that we're	09:20:09
9	discussing, if they were to occupy the same	09:20:15
10	frequency range do you follow that so far?	09:20:18
11	A. Yes.	09:20:21
12	Q. Is it possible to be for there to be	09:20:22
13	power leakage as you understand that term to be	09:20:25
14	used between those code-based channels that	09:20:27
15	occupy the same frequency range?	09:20:33
16	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:20:36
17	A. Yes.	09:20:52
18	MR. NIEBERG: Outside the scope.	09:20:54
19	A. The Eb over NO, the noise floor for a	09:20:56
20	particular channel would be increased by the	09:21:00
21	second coded channel.	09:21:02
22	Q. How are codes assigned in CDMA systems	09:21:21
23	such as CDMA2000?	09:21:25
24	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; scope.	09:21:29
25	A. I don't recall the details.	09:21:35

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 13	1
1	Q. You don't know how you don't know, as	09:21:38
2	you sit here today, how codes are assigned in a	09:21:43
3	CDMA2000?	09:21:45
4	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; scope.	09:21:46
5	A. I don't recall	09:21:48
6	MR. NIEBERG: Form.	09:21:49
7	A. I don't recall the algorithm for	09:21:50
8	assigning codes.	09:21:57
9	Q. Do you have any general understanding as	09:21:58
10	to how codes are assigned in CDMA2000?	09:22:00
11	A. I don't recall.	09:22:04
12	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; scope, form.	09:22:05
13	A. I don't recall.	09:22:07
14	Q. Do you recall, sitting here today,	09:22:10
15	whether Lundby describes how a primary channel	09:22:14
16	how the primary channel's code is determined?	09:22:18
17	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:22:22
18	A. If you'd like to point me to a	09:22:34
19	reference, I'd be happy to discuss it.	09:22:38
20	Q. Well, I don't I don't know that it	09:22:42
21	does, and I'm just asking whether you whether	09:22:45
22	you have any have you made any if you've	09:22:48
23	made any determination as to whether Lundby	09:22:50
24	describes how codes are assigned.	09:22:53
25	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:22:58

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 14	
1	A. I don't recall. It was not important to	09:23:00
2	the forming of my opinion.	09:23:04
3	Q. And why do you why do you feel it was	09:23:05
4	not important?	09:23:07
5	A. Because the code-based nature of the	09:23:09
6	disclosures in Lundby of the channels is not	09:23:13
7	important in my opinion.	09:23:17
8	Q. Do you recall whether Lundby describes	09:23:25
9	any modifications to how codes are assigned and	09:23:27
10	generated in CDMA systems?	09:23:33
11	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:23:35
12	A. I don't recall, and it was not important	09:23:39
13	to my opinion.	09:23:41
14	Q. When you say it's not important, would	09:23:52
15	it be fair to say that in Lundby, assigning the	09:23:55
16	code the a code to a primary channel would	09:23:58
17	happen as it normally would in conventional CDMA	09:24:06
18	systems?	09:24:12
19	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; scope form.	09:24:12
20	A. Don't understand the question.	09:24:17
21	Q. Well, when you say it was not important,	09:24:19
22	is that because you you don't regard how Lundby	09:24:21
23	would assign a code as being different from how	09:24:28
24	typical CDMA systems assign codes?	09:24:33
25	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:24:36

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 15	
]
1	A. I have not expressed that opinion.	09:24:39
2	Q. What is your opinion as to why the	09 : 24 : 57
3	assignment of codes is why is the assignment of	09:25:01
4	codes unimportant in Lundby?	09:25:03
5	A. Because of the teachings of Lundby are,	09:25:07
6	as I describe in starting in paragraph 120 of	09:25:10
7	my declaration, are fundamentally the decision to	09:25:14
8	transmit on a single channel or to involve	09:25:21
9	secondary channels and not with the CDMA nature of	09 : 25 : 25
10	Lundby the Lundby disclosure.	09 : 25 : 29
11	Q. In the CDMA systems, do you have any	09 : 25:47
12	understanding as to how primary channels are	09:25:51
13	assigned codes?	09:25:55
14	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:25:58
15	A. Which CDMA system are we discussing?	09:26:01
16	Q. To take it as an example, CDMA2000 or	09:26:05
17	if you're not familiar with CDMA2000, you can	09:26:08
18	propose a typical CDMA system.	09:26:17
19	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:26:21
20	Q. For now, do you know as to CDMA2000?	09:26:21
21	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	09:26:26
22	A. I don't recall how codes are assigned,	09:26:30
23	and it's not a topic that I opined on or important	09:26:34
24	to my in understanding my opinion.	09:26:38
25	Q. Do you have any high-level understanding	09:26:41
		I

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2	
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 16	
1	as to how systems of a CDMA2000 system would	09:26:44
2	search for and assign codes?	09:26:49
3	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:26:51
4	A. Not that I've expressed an opinion on.	09:26:54
5	Q. Well, do you have an understanding, or	09:26:59
6	do you not or do you have no understanding as	09:27:01
7	to how	09:27:03
8	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope	09:27:04
9	sorry.	09:27:05
10	Q are assigned?	09:27:06
11	A. I have expressed no opinion, and it's	09:27:09
12	not important to the understanding of my opinion	09:27:14
13	in this case.	09:27:16
14	Q. So is that a "No," you don't have an	09:27:17
15	understanding, or "Yes," you do have an	09:27:19
16	understanding?	09:27:21
17	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:27:21
18	A. That's a I have not expressed an opinion	09:27:22
19	in this case on that subject, and it's not	09:27:25
20	important to an understanding of my opinion on the	09:27:30
21	relevant topics of the subject.	09:27:32
22	Q. So I understand that you that you	09:27:35
23	have a that your position is you haven't	09:27:39
24	expressed any opinion. I'm asking if you have any	09:27:41
25	understanding as to how codes are assigned in	09:27:44

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 17	
1	CDMA2000.	09:27:48
2	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:27:50
3	A. I don't recall.	09:27:52
4	Q. Do you know whether CDMA conventional	09:28:04
5	CDMA systems such as CDMA2000 check to see whether	09:28:08
6	codes are unavailable?	09:28:14
7	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:28:16
8	A. I don't recall.	09:28:18
9	Q. Prior to determining whether a code to	09:28:33
10	be strike that.	09:28:36
11	Prior to determining a code to be	09:28:36
12	assigned to a prior to a primary channel in	09:28:39
13	Lundby, is there any teaching in Lundby suggesting	09:28:41
14	that one particular code or particular codes could	09:28:45
15	be primary but others could not be?	09:28:49
16	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:28:52
17	Q. Do you have any understanding, as you're	09:30:29
18	sitting here today? This is taking a bit of time.	09:30:32
19	A. Can I have the question back, please.	09:30:39
20	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:30:41
21	Q. Sure. Prior to determining a code to be	09:30:42
22	assigned to a primary channel, is there any	09:30:45
23	teaching in Lundby suggesting that one particular	09:30:46
24	code or any particular codes could be primary but	09:30:49
25	others not?	09:30:52

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021	
		1
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:30:54
2	A. Not that I recall, but if you point me	09:31:27
3	to a section, I'll be happy to discuss them.	09:31:29
4	Q. Suppose you have two codes in an	09:31:42
5	example; 1234, that's code 1, and 5678, that's	09:31:45
6	code 2. If, at the beginning of a transmission,	09:31:52
7	one of these codes must be assigned to Lundby's	09:31:56
8	primary channel, does Lundby teach or suggest any	09:31:59
9	reason for to prefer one of these example codes	09:32:03
10	over another?	09:32:06
11	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:32:07
12	A. Not that I recall, and it has nothing to	09:32:12
13	do with my opinion in this case.	09:32:16
14	Q. So you would regard either of these	09:32:18
15	codes of these example codes as being	09:32:21
16	capable of being assigned to a primary channel; is	09:32:29
17	that correct?	09:32:33
18	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:32:33
19	A. I have not expressed that opinion.	09:32:35
20	Q. So do you know, sitting here today?	09:32:39
21	A. Do I know what?	09:32:43
22	Q. Whether one of those example codes could	09:32:45
23	be would be preferred to the other for	09:32:50
24	assignment to the primary channel to the	09:32:55
25	primary channel according to the teachings of	09:32:57

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 19	
1	Lundby?	09:33:01
2	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:33:01
3	A. I have no opinion on this subject.	09:33:03
4	Q. After a code is assigned to a channel	09:33:14
5	for a particular user, is it possible for that	09:33:18
6	code to become unavailable to that same user in $$	09:33:21
7	in conventional CDMA systems?	09:33:26
8	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:33:29
9	A. I don't recall.	09:33:32
10	MR. NIEBERG: Relevance.	09:33:33
11	Q. In the absence of anything going wrong	09:33:40
12	after a primary code has been assigned to a user,	09:33:44
13	would one expect that code to become unavailable	09:33:47
14	to that user?	09:33:50
15	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:33:52
16	A. I don't understand the question.	09:33:55
17	Q. So in the absence of any errors, once a	09:33:59
18	code is assigned to a user, i.e., there's a	09:34:03
19	channel code assigned to that user, would you	09:34:09
20	expect that code to become unavailable to that	09:34:13
21	user in a conventional CDMA system?	09:34:17
22	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope,	09:34:21
23	relevance.	09:34:25
24	A. I have no opinion on this matter, and it	09:34:26
25	has no relevance to my opinion in this case.	09:34:29
		l l

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2	
Conducted on April 15, 2021	

20

ĺ		1
1	Q. Do you recall whether Lundby teaches	09:34:35
2	anything regarding checking the availability of	09:34:39
3	the primary code after it has already been	09:34:41
4	assigned to a user?	09:34:45
5	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:34:49
6	A. I don't recall, and it has no relevance	09:34:52
7	on my opinion.	09:34:56
8	Q. Does Lundby teach checking the	09:35:06
9	availability of a secondary code to be assigned to	09:35:09
10	a secondary channel?	09:35:13
11	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:35:16
12	A. I don't recall, and it has no relevance	09:35:21
13	to my opinion in this case.	09:35:24
14	Q. In a system implementing the teachings	09:35:36
15	of Lundby, if a code originally assigned to a	09:35:41
16	primary channel becomes unavailable for some	09:35:46
17	reason, would it be fair to say that, applying the	09:35:50
18	teachings of Lundby, that another code's	09:35:53
19	availability would be checked?	09:35:57
20	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:36:01
21	A. I have no opinion on this matter, and it	09:36:02
22	doesn't have any relevance to my opinion in this	09:36:05
23	case.	09:36:11
24	Q. Why do you regard the assignment of	09:36:30
25	codes for the primary and/or secondary channel to	09:36:33
		1

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 21 1 09:36:37 be unimportant for your opinions in this case? 2 09:36:43 Because the -- the teachings of Lundby Α. 09:36:47 3 apply regardless of whether there are coded 09:36:51 4 transmissions or not. The teachings of Lundby in 09:36:56 5 figure 2 apply to communication systems other than 6 09:36:59 CDMA systems. And you're asking me about the 09:37:03 7 details of assignments of particular 8 channelization methods in CDMA which is in 09:37:10 9 09:37:13 embodiments discussed in Lundby but is not 10 important to my decision -- to my opinion in this 09:37:16 09:37:19 11 case. 09:37:27 12 You would agree that the -- that the Ο. 13 09:37:31 preferred embodiment described in Lundby is a CDMA 09:37:35 14 system, would you not? 15 09:37:38 Α. Yes. We discussed that earlier. 09:37:46 16 Ο. Is it your understanding that in CDMA 09:37:50 17 systems, the assignment of codes is used in order 09:37:57 18 to provide channels to such systems? 19 09:38:01 MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope. 09:38:10 20 Α. No. Not -- not entirely. 09:38:21 21 You do not regard those assignment of Q. 09:38:24 22 codes as being part of the process of assigning 23 channels in CDMA systems; is that -- is that 09:38:28 09:38:33 24 correct? 25 09:38:33 A. I believe --

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 22	
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:38:33
2	A. I believe you changed the question.	09:38:36
3	Could I have the question again, please.	09:38:38
4	MR. ATKINSON: Can I have the question	09:38:38
5	reread.	09:38:38
6	(The requested portion of the record was	09:38:38
7	read back by the reporter.)	09:39:03
8	A. In a CDMA system, the assignment of	09:39:03
9	codes is part of the provision of channels for	09:39:06
10	communications, yes. There are there are also	09:39:11
11	frequency-based channels utilized in CDMA systems.	09:39:18
12	Q. Turning to the Lundby reference, which	09:39:28
13	is Exhibit 1008. Would you mind opening that? Or	09:39:36
14	you may already have it open. Do you have that	09:39:47
15	open?	09:39:49
16	A. I do.	09:39:50
17	Q. And would you mind turning to column 2,	09:39:52
18	lines 53 to 61, please?	09:39:56
19	A. I'm there.	09:39:59
20	Q. Okay. Do you agree that Lundby this	09:39:59
21	describes two problems that its methods can solve,	09:40:08
22	specifically being code limited and power limited?	09:40:13
23	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:40:19
24	A. I believe we discussed this on Friday.	09:40:31
25	So what Lundby said, and starting on line 57, is	09:40:33

23

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 25	l
1	what is desirable "is a way to increase the system	09:40:40
2	performance of a telecommunications system," and	09:40:43
3	primarily they mean the number of users in the	09:40:47
4	delivered bit error rate to the mobile devices.	09:40:52
5	Often measured in number of users. So	09:40:58
6	that's the number of users on the system that a	09:41:00
7	telecommunications system can simultaneously	09:41:04
8	support.	09:41:09
9	So the desire is as we discussed on	09:41:09
10	Friday, is, given an FCC allocation of a set of	09:41:11
11	channels, for an operator to provide	09:41:14
12	telecommunication service, that operator would	09:41:17
13	like to be able to service the largest number of	09:41:21
14	users that they possibly can.	09:41:25
15	And what Lundby teaches and what's known	09:41:28
16	in the art at the time of Lundby is that the Eb	09:41:31
17	over NO transmission power relative to the noise	09:41:35
18	level in the system is important in determining	09:41:42
19	the delivered bit error rate.	09:41:46
20	So what Lundby teaches is that the	09:41:48
21	selection of codes is limited, as we discussed on	09:41:51
22	Friday. The ideal is to have one single channel	09:41:54
23	with an infinite number of codes that are all	09:41:59
24	mutually orthogonal, and that would service an	09:42:03
25	infinite number of users.	09:42:07

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 24	
1	And the limited power, per the FCC,	09:42:10
2	limits the amount of power that can be transmitted	09:42:14
3	in a particular band.	09:42:19
4	So these are both constraints on the	09:42:21
5	number of users that can actually be serviced by	09:42:23
6	that frequency allocation from the FCC.	09:42:27
7	Q. So what I'm not sure I understand	09:42:29
8	your response. Is your response that Lundby	09:42:31
9	describes problems other than being code limited	09:42:36
10	or power limited? Or are those the problems that	09:42:40
11	it that Lundby addresses?	09:42:44
12	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:42:46
13	A. This paragraph in Lundby discusses the	09:42:53
14	desirability of being able to serve the largest	09:42:58
15	number of users. And what I talked about was the	09:43:01
16	environment that Lundby is discussing.	09:43:07
17	Q. So is that a yes or a no? I'm not sure	09:43:11
18	I understand.	09:43:14
19	A. Please ask your question.	09:43:17
20	Q. So the question is does Lundby	09:43:18
21	explicitly describe other specific problems other	09:43:23
22	than being code limited or power limited?	09:43:26
23	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:43:29
24	A. Well, yes. The as I just discussed,	09:43:36
25	the environment that Lundby is teaching is, given	09:43:42
_ •	ene environmente enat Lanaby 15 teatening 15, given	

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 25	
-		
1	a frequency allocation, a set of channels by the	09:43:47
2	FCC with a limited power per the FCC and a limited	09:43:52
3	frequency range per the FCC, how do you service as	09:43:57
4	a as a service provider, the largest number of	09:44:03
5	users? That's the environment that Lundby is	09:44:08
6	discussing.	09:44:10
7	Q. But is that specifically discussed	09:44:13
8	within the Lundby itself, that environment?	09:44:16
9	A. Yes.	09:44:21
10	Q. Okay. Where is that?	09:44:21
11	A. In this sentence in this paragraph	09:44:26
12	starting on 50 column 2, line 53 to 61. That's	09:44:31
13	the environment that Lundby is describing for the	09:44:37
14	invention that Lundby is creating.	09:44:42
15	Q. All right. Would you mind turning to	09:44:46
16	figure 2 of Lundby?	09:44:50
17	A. Yes.	09:44:52
18	Q. Would you agree that the method shown in	09:44:55
19	figure 2 is a flowchart representative of Lundby's	09:44:58
20	methods?	09:45:03
21	A. Yes.	09:45:08
22	Q. How does the method shown in figure 2	09:45:14
23	revolve the issues of being power limited?	09:45:17
24	A. By being able to transmit	09:45:24
25	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	09:45:27

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 26	,
]
1	MR. NIEBERG: Okay.	09:45:28
2	Objection; form.	09:45:29
3	Q. You can answer.	09:45:37
4	A. By being able to transmit in two	09:45:47
5	different streams, you can lower the required	09:45:50
6	power, so you can reduce the power leakage, per	09:45:54
7	the '551, caused by this transmission.	09:45:59
8	Q. Is there any point in the decision tree	09:46:19
9	of figure 2 or the text describing figure 2	09:46:22
10	describing checking of a channel's idle state?	09:46:28
11	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:46:33
12	A. So the disclosure of Lundby applies to	09:48:08
13	the state 4 in figure 2 of the '551 process. So	09:48:13
14	Lundby applies after the the state 3 of	09:48:26
15	figure 2 in the '551 decision.	09:48:33
16	Q. Where is the term "idle" or "idle state"	09:48:39
17	used in Lundby?	09:48:43
18	A. As I said, Lundby applies to the S4	09:48:47
19	state in figure 2 of the '551. So it's post the	09:48:52
20	decision of whether there is a transmission to	09:48:59
21	that will occur.	09:49:02
22	Q. Where does Lundby identify the '551	09:49:05
23	patent in this explicit text?	09:49:10
24	A. It doesn't.	09:49:13
25	Q. And is the term "idle" "idle" or	09:49:15

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 27			
1	"idle state" used in Lundby?	09:49:18		
2	A. The disclosures of Lundby apply after	09:49:22		
3	state 3 in figure 2 of the '551.	09:49:25		
4	Q. Is it fair to say that Lundby uses	09:49:31		
5	neither of the terms "idle," "idle state," nor	09:49:36		
6	identifies the '551 patent explicitly?	09:49:41		
7	A. Yes, that's true. However, in my	09:49:47		
8	opinion, the teachings of Lundby apply to S4 in	09:49:50		
9	figure 2 of the '551.	09:49:55		
10	Q. In the decision tree depicted in	09:49:58		
11	figure 2 and the coming and the accompanying	09:50:02		
12	text, is there any situation illustrated where	09:50:09		
13	transmission would not occur at all?	09:50:14		
14	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:50:20		
15	A. Well, as I just discussed, the teachings	09:50:22		
16	of Lundby in this figure 2 apply after there's	09:50:29		
17	been a decision to transmit. So there's no	09:50:35		
18	determination of whether to transmit disclosed by	09:50:40		
19	Lundby. It's the process of transmitting and	09:50:44		
20	whether that process would be would occur on	09:50:47		
21	one channel or multiple channels.	09:50:51		
22	Q. But in both embodiments, there is	09:50:56		
23	transmission on at least one channel; is that	09:50:59		
24	correct? Both strike that.	09:51:06		
25	In both branches of the decision tree,	09:51:06		

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 28				
1	there is transmission on at least one channel in	09:51:10		
2	Lundby; is that correct?	09:51:13		
3	A. The primary channel is present in both	09:51:19		
4	element 1252 and element 1250 of figure 5 of	09:51:24		
5	Lundby, correct.	09:51:33		
6	Q. Turning to back to paragraph 120 of	09:51:42		
7	your declaration?	09:51:46		
8	A. Yes.	09:51:48		
9	Q. Do you see about seven lines down	09:51:52		
10	"Lundby teaches the transmitting device (e.g.,	09:51:57		
11	base station) determines whether it is desirable	09:52:02		
12	to transmit signals over one channel (a primary	09:52:05		
13	signal) or two channels (a primary and a secondary	09:52:08		
14	channel)."	09:52:12		
15	Do you see that?	09:52:12		
16	A. I do.	09:52:12		
17	Q. Okay. Does this sentence in your	09:52:13		
18	declaration describe the purpose of Lundby?	09:52:20		
19	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	09:52:27		
20	A. The purpose of Lundby. I don't	09:52:30		
21	understand the question.	09:52:33		
22	Q. So do you understand there Lundby as	09:52:34		
23	providing a solution to a problem?	09:52:42		
24	A. Yes, I discuss that in the previous	09:52:47		
25	sentence. "Lundby discloses a method for	09:52:51		

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2
Conducted on April 15, 2021

29

	Conducted off April 13, 2021 23	ı
1	'improving the transmission of information signals	09:52:54
2	in a communication system having a base station	09:52:56
3	and a remote station.'"	09:53:00
4	Q. And does this sentence describe how	09:53:02
5	Lundby achieves that purpose?	09:53:04
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	09:53:08
7	A. No.	09:53:09
8	Q. Why or why why not?	09:53:09
9	A. I don't I don't understand the	09:53:13
10	question.	09:53:17
11	Q. Well, so we're I'm trying to	09:53:18
12	understand what you're trying to say when you say	09:53:22
13	that Lundby "Lundby teaches" and, you know,	09:53:25
14	the remainder of the sentence. Is that in	09:53:30
15	connection with the purpose described in the prior	09:53:33
16	sentence?	09:53:36
17	A. Yes.	09:53:41
18	Q. Okay. All right. If we can turn to	09:53:42
19	paragraph 123. You state "The decision tree in	09:54:00
20	figure 2 is independent of the type of wireless	09:54:09
21	communication being used."	09:54:12
22	Do you see that?	09:54:14
23	A. Yes.	09:54:16
24	Q. What are you basing this upon in Lundby?	09:54:18
25	A. The statements that I've pointed out	09:54:26

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2
Conducted on April 15, 2021

30

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 50	l
1	many times in Lundby of discussing the multiple	09:54:29
2	types of wireless communication systems that	09:54:32
3	Lundby is addressing and my understanding of	09:54:36
4	Lundby.	09:54:38
5	Q. Is there any specific statement from	09:54:42
6	Lundby Lundby that you're relying upon for this	09:54:46
7	statement?	09:54:50
8	A. Well, we've discussed this, you know,	09 : 54 : 52
9	four or five times now. Lundby is not specific in	09:54:54
10	terms of being applicable to a CDMA system until	09:54:59
11	they get to an embodiment. So Lundby's abstract,	09:55:07
12	as well as column 1, lines 5 to 22, describe	09:55:14
13	general communication systems, and then they go	09:55:25
14	into a specific with a CDMA-based system, which I	09:55:28
15	would have expected, given that this is a Qualcomm	09:55:34
16	author in the year 2000. And column 20, lines 20	09:55:37
17	to 32, also show that Lundby is applicable to	09:55:49
18	other types of communication systems other than	09:55:56
19	CDMA.	09:55:59
20	Q. Would you mind turning, in the	09:56:19
21	specification of Lundby, to column 4, lines 25,	09:56:21
22	to, like, around 35?	09:56:26
23	A. Yes.	09:56:37
24	Q. Do you see a sentence starting around	09:56:38
25	line 38 "Any parameter within a CDMA communication	09:56:41
		1

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 31				
1	system can be used as the basis of the decision in	09:56:46		
2	decision block 246"?	09:58:54		
3	A. Sorry. Somebody is rustling papers, and	09:56:57		
4	you were breaking up there. Can you repeat the	09:56:57		
5	question, please?	09:57:00		
6	Q. I said, do you see the sentence at	09:57:00		
7	line 30 "Any parameters within a CDMA	09:57:00		
8	communication system can be used as the basis of	09:57:03		
9	the decision in decision block 246"?	09:57:06		
10	A. I do.	09:57:13		
11	Q. Is it your opinion that the text here	09:57:13		
12	describes is describing what is shown in	09:57:18		
13	figure 2?	09:57:20		
14	A. An example an embodiment of figure 2,	09:57:24		
15	yes.	09:57:33		
16	Q. Is it your opinion that this that	09:57:33		
17	this sentence would inform a person of ordinary	09:57:35		
18	skill of ordinary skill in the art's	09:57:39		
19	understanding of figure 2?	09:57:42		
20	A. Don't understand the question.	09:57:46		
21	Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the	09:57:47		
22	art understand that the teachings of the sentence	09:57:50		
23	would apply to figure 2 of Lundby?	09:57:54		
24	A. In an embodiment, yes. However, a	09:57:59		
25	POSITA would also understand that this disclosure	09:58:02		

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 32	
1	of any parameter would apply to communication	09:58:06
2	systems other than just CDMA systems.	09:58:13
3	Q. Does Lundby include instructions for	09 : 58 : 32
4	for checking for the availability of a code?	09:58:41
5	A. I don't recall, and it's not important	09:58:49
6	to my decision in this case.	09:58:50
7	Q. Where in Lundby does it suggest using an	09:58:56
8	additional channel that does not rely upon coding?	09:59:00
9	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	09:59:05
10	A. Well, this entire discussion of	09 : 59:12
11	figure 2.	09:59:18
12	Q. And the discussion referred to	09:59:23
13	specifically refers to CDMA communication systems;	09:59:27
14	is that correct?	09:59:33
15	A. As an example, yes, as Lundby says, an	09:59:33
16	exemplary embodiment, he's talking about the a	09:59:39
17	code-based CDMA communication systems. But a	09:59:45
18	POSITA would understand that the teachings of	09:59:47
19	Lundby apply beyond the restrictions of a	09:59:50
20	code-based CDMA system.	09:59:55
21	Q. We can either take a break. I'm about	10:00:03
22	to start the with Shpak, or or I can go	10:00:06
23	ahead and and we can go ahead and move on to	10:00:10
24	Shpak, whichever you'd prefer.	10:00:15
25	A. Let's take a break.	10:00:18

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 33	j
]
1	MR. ATKINSON: Okay.	10:00:22
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off record,	10:00:22
3	10 o'clock.	10:00:24
4	(A recess ensued from 10:00 a.m. to	10:09:41
5	10:15 a.m.)	10:15:27
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, 10:15.	10:15:28
7	BY MR. ATKINSON:	10:15:31
8	Q. All right. Dr. Williams, would you mind	10:15:32
9	turning to paper 14. And you may want to have	10:15:36
10	Exhibit 1005, which is the Shpak reference; you	10:15:40
11	know, you may want to have it handy as well. But	10:15:45
12	paper 14, if you want to get it. Let me know when	10:15:54
13	you have it.	10:15:56
14	A. Okay. I have them.	10:16:24
15	Q. All right. Would you turn to page	10:16:25
16	hold on. I think it's 21 yes, 21 of paper 14.	10:16:27
17	A. 5-1?	10:16:35
18	Q. 21. Of paper 14.	10:16:39
19	A. Okay. Go ahead.	10:16:51
20	Q. All right. Do you see the sentence	10:16:53
21	starting with "On this record"?	10:16:56
22	Do you see that paragraph?	10:17:02
23	A. No. Maybe I have the wrong page number.	10:17:07
24	What's the page number?	10:17:10
25	Q. Page 21 of paper 14, the the board's	10:17:12

		Conducted on April 15, 2021	4
			7
1	decision.		10:17:18
2	Α.	Yes. 21.	10:17:18
3	Q.	21.	10:17:20
4	Α.	Yes. Go ahead.	10:17:24
5	Q.	And do you see the the first full	10:17:26
6	paragraph	that starts with "On this record"?	10:17:30
7		Do you see that?	10:17:33
8	Α.	I do.	10:17:33
9	Q.	And do you see where the board talks	10:17:36
10	about the	mandatory channel?	10:17:45
11		Do you see that in this paragraph?	10:17:53
12	Α.	Yes.	10:17:53
13	Q.	And do you see where it says that	10:17:53
14	mandatory	channel means "one channel in a wireless	10:17:56
15	multichan	nel communication system over which	10:18:10
16	transmiss	ion must occur before transmission may	10:18:00
17	occur ove	r the other wireless channels"?	10:18:02
18		Do you see that do you see that?	10:18:05
19	Α.	Yes. We discussed this on Friday.	10:18:11
20	Q.	Under the PTAB's construction, does	10:18:14
21	Shpak	the Shpak reference by itself, disclose a	10:18:19
22	mandatory	channel?	10:18:26
23	_	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:18:30
24	Objection	-	10:19:52
25	Q.	Are you do you need anything from me	10:19:54
	Σ.		

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 35	
1		10.10.57
1	or?	10:19:57
2	A. No, I'm just just considering your	10:19:59
3	question since I haven't opined on that before.	10:20:02
4	So, again, I'm forming a new opinion	10:21:50
5	here.	10:21:53
6	Q. Let me ask this. Do you have an opinion	10:22:24
7	on whether Shpak discloses a mandatory channel	10:22:28
8	according to the board's construction of that	10:22:32
9	term?	10:22:36
10	A. Sounds like the same question. I have	10:22:37
11	not considered that that question. If you'd	10:22:44
12	like me to, I will form a new opinion.	10:22:55
13	Q. Well, let's let me ask this. You are	10:22:58
14	aware of the of what Shpak calls the common	10:23:01
15	frequency channel? Are you aware of Shpak	10:23:06
16	discussing that?	10:23:09
17	A. You faded out there, but I think you	10:23:10
18	said common frequency channel.	10:23:13
19	Q. Yeah. I'm sorry. Let me try and get	10:23:14
20	the sound.	10:23:17
21	A. That's correct. He does discuss common	10:23:18
22	frequency channel.	10:23:21
23	Q. Would the common frequency channel meet	10:23:23
24	the language from the board of one channel in a	10:23:27
25	wireless multichannel communication system?	10:23:33

Transcript of Tim A Williams Ph D Volume 2
	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 36	1
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	10:23:42
2	Q. Let me well, let me clarify. Does	10:23:54
3	the common frequency channel meet the language	10:23:57
4	quoted here from the from the board for	10:24:01
5	mandatory channel?	10:24:04
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	10:24:08
7	A. I think you're asking the same question.	10:24:10
8	This is a a opinion I have not formed yet since	10:24:12
9	the board's construction is different from what I	10:24:20
10	considered.	10:24:24
11	Q. So have you considered whether the	10:24:27
12	the arguments presented in your declaration are	10:24:32
13	consistent with the board's construction?	10:24:36
14	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:24:42
15	A. You're breaking up there, but I think	10:24:45
16	you said have I considered whether my opinion is	10:24:48
17	consistent with the board's construction?	10:24:52
18	Q. Well, the the opinions you've	10:24:54
19	presented in your declaration, have you considered	10:24:57
20	whether they are consistent with the board's	10:24:59
21	construction?	10:25:02
22	A. Again, I think you're asking	10:25:05
23	MR. NIEBERG: Objection.	10:25:06
24	A the same question. So we	10:25:07
25	discussed	10:25:10

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 37 10:25:10 1 MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope. 2 10:25:12 We discussed on Friday my opinion Α. 10:25:15 3 regarding the board's construction. So that would 10:25:21 4 apply here. I believe that the board, with all 10:25:26 5 due respect, has incorrectly construed this term. 6 10:25:33 Okay. And you don't know, sitting here Ο. 10:25:38 7 today, whether the common frequency channel in 8 Shpak meets the board's construction for mandatory 10:25:41 9 10:25:48 channel? Is that -- am I understanding that 10 10:25:52 correctly? 10:25:52 11 I have not formed an opinion on that. Α. 10:26:14 12 Ο. Give me just one second. All right. 13 10:26:33 Would you turn to page 35 of -- of paper 14, 10:26:40 14 please. 10:26:47 15 Α. Yes. 10:26:47 16 Ο. And you'll see at the paragraph at the 10:26:50 17 top of the page, wherein the board states "Based 10:26:55 18 on our preliminary construction of 'mandatory 19 10:27:00 channel, ' multiple transmission channels are 10:27:00 20 required, which is not taught by Shpak." 10:27:05 21 Do you see that? 22 10:27:06 Α. Yes. 23 Do you agree with the PTAB's statement? 10:27:08 Ο. 10:27:16 24 That Shpak doesn't teach the constructed Α. 25 10:27:23 interpretation of mandatory channel?

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 38	
1		10.07.00
1	Q. Yes, that's the question.	10:27:29
2	A. Is that your question?	10:27:30
3	Q. Yes.	10:27:32
4	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:27:47
5	A. Well, I guess my question is whether	10:27:57
6	this sentence from the board means that Shpak	10:28:00
7	doesn't teach multiple transmission channels or	10:28:03
8	that Shpak doesn't teach the mandatory channel.	10:28:07
9	Q. Whether it whether you agree that it	10:28:12
10	does not teach multiple transmission channels	10:28:15
11	based on their construction of mandatory channel.	10:28:20
12	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:28:26
13	Q. I'm asking whether you agree or disagree	10:28:32
14	with the board's statement.	10:28:35
15	A. I've not formed an opinion on that.	10:28:37
16	And, again, I refer you to our discussion on	10:28:45
17	Friday regarding my opinion about the board's	10:28:49
18	construction.	10:28:52
19	Q. Just to make sure you're you're	10:28:55
20	like just make clear your opinion that	10:28:59
21	the that with all due respect, that the	10:29:05
22	that the board's construction for mandatory	10:29:08
23	channel is incorrect; is that correct?	10:29:12
24	A. Yes, the temporal nature of the	10:29:14
25	construction is not part of the disclosure is	10:29:19

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 39	
1	one why I disagree with the board.	10 : 29 : 23
2	Q. Turning back to your declaration, if you	10:29:29
3	go to paragraph 126, which is on page	10:29:37
4	A. I'm there.	10:29:41
5	Q 78.	10:29:42
6	A. I'm there.	10:29:44
7	Q. In paragraph 126, you describe combining	10:29:52
8	Shpak with Lundby; is that fair?	10:29:59
9	A. Yes.	10:30:05
10	Q. Okay. To clarify, is it your opinion	10:30:05
11	that a person of ordinary skill would use Lundby's	10:30:07
12	teachings relating to the primary and secondary	10:30:12
13	channels to add a channel to Shpak in addition to	10:30:13
14	Shpak's common frequency channel?	10:30:17
15	A. You paraphrased that correctly.	10:30:23
16	Q. Okay. So I just want to make sure I	10:30:27
17	understand. Is it your view that if another	10:30:40
18	channel is added to Shpak and used in the manner	10:30:44
19	taught by Lundby with the primary and secondary	10:30:49
20	channels, that this would make Shpak's common	10:30:54
21	frequency channel a mandatory channel under the	10:30:57
22	PTAB's construction?	10:31:00
23	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:31:02
24	A. One more time, I have not expressed an	10:31:05
25	opinion regarding the PTAB's construction on this	10:31:10

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 40	
1	reference with regards to this reference.	10:31:12
2	Q. When you were forming your opinion,	10:31:22
3	based on your construction of mandatory channel,	10:31:26
4	is it your view that if another channel is added	10:31:30
5	to Shpak and used in a manner as taught by	10:31:34
6	Lundby	10:31:38
7	A. Sorry. You faded out right there at the	10:31:38
8	end. Another channel is added to Shpak.	10:31:41
9	Q. So if let me let me restart.	10:31:44
10	So to clarify, is it your view that	10:31:48
11	under your construction that if another channel	10:31:51
12	were to be added to Shpak and used in the manner	10:31:53
13	taught by Lundby for the primary and secondary	10:31:58
14	channels, that would make Shpak's common frequency	10:32:01
15	channel a mandatory channel under your	10:32:04
16	construction?	10:32:07
17	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:32:12
18	A. Well, as I discuss in paragraph 125, a	10:32:30
19	person of ordinary skill in the art would have	10:32:34
20	readily seen a parallel between Lundby's primary	10:32:37
21	channel and Shpak's common frequency channel	10:32:41
22	because they serve similar roles as the default	10:32:43
23	channel used by the transmitting device.	10:32:50
24	Q. So is that a yes, it so is that a yes	10:32:53
25	or a or a no?	10 : 32 : 57

of Tim A Williams Dh D. Volumo ? • ,

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 41	1
		1
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection to form.	10:33:06
2	Q. Do you want me to read the question	10:33:09
3	again?	10:33:10
4	A. Yes, please.	10:33:12
5	Q. Is it your view, applying your	10:33:14
6	construction, that if another channel is added to	10:33:17
7	Shpak and used in the manner taught by Lundby for	10:33:19
8	the primary and secondary channels, that this	10:33:24
9	would make Shpak's common frequency channel a	10:33:27
10	mandatory channel under your construction?	10:33:30
11	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:33:35
12	A. So as we discussed, Lundby doesn't	10:35:45
13	disclose the decision to transmit based on the	10:35:53
14	idle nature of the what Lundby calls the	10:35:56
15	primary channel. And Shpak's disclosures relate	10:36:03
16	to Lundby's in terms of 802.11 implementations of	10:36:10
17	the channels. And the teaching of Lundby combined	10:36:15
18	with Shpak would allow for a POSITA to understand	10:36:21
19	the use of multiple channels.	10:36:28
20	Q. So just to I make sure I understand, it	10:36:38
21	is your opinion that Shpak combined with Lundby	10:36:41
22	would make the Shpak the common frequency	10:36:45
23	channel in Shpak a mandatory channel under your	10:36:49
24	construction? Do I have that correct or	10:36:53
25	incorrect?	10:36:56

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 42	
]
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:36:57
2	A. Well, I have not expressed the opinion	10:37:04
3	that either the primary channel or the common	10:37:06
4	frequency channel is the mandatory channel. What	10:37:10
5	I'm talking about is the applicability of Shpak in	10:37:17
6	the 802.11 context to incorporate the teachings of	10:37:22
7	Lundby with regards to incorporation of a second	10:37:28
8	channel in the transmissions.	10:37:31
9	Q. Have you formed an opinion as to whether	10:37:32
10	either the common frequency channel or the primary	10:37:34
11	channel the strike that.	10:37:39
12	Have you formed an opinion as to whether	10:37:43
13	either the common frequency channel taught in	10:37:46
14	Shpak or the primary channel taught in Lundby meet	10:37:50
15	your construction for mandatory channel?	10:37:56
16	A. I have not	10:38:02
17	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:38:03
18	A expressed that opinion.	10:38:04
19	Q. Could you restate that? We kind of had	10:38:06
20	a talking over.	10:38:10
21	A. I have not expressed that opinion.	10:38:13
22	Q. So sitting here today, you don't have an	10:38:16
23	opinion as to whether either of those channels	10:38:21
24	meet your construction of mandatory channel?	10:38:23
25	A. That's correct.	10:38:31

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 43	
1	Q. And just to clarify, you also haven't	10:38:31
2	have not formed an opinion as to whether either	10:38:34
3	meets the PTAB's construction for mandatory	10:38:38
4	channel?	10:38:40
5	A. That's correct.	10:38:44
6	Q. Okay.	10:38:45
7	Would you mind turning to paragraph 140	10:38:51
8	and 141 of your declaration, which is on I	10:38:54
9	passed it. Sorry. 140 is on page 87.	10:39:13
10	A. Yes.	10:39:22
11	Q. All right. Do you see about midway	10:39:22
12	through the paragraph where you have a statement,	10:39:25
13	"The Shpak-Lundby access point would have applied	10:39:27
14	Lundby's decision tree to select which channels to	10:39:32
15	use, A, the primary channel, or B, the primary	10:39:36
16	secondary channels when desirable."	10:39:39
17	Do you see that statement?	10:39:42
18	A. I do.	10:39:44
19	Q. All right. Which decision tree are you	10:39:44
20	referring to in Lundby? Is it figure 2 or	10:39:47
21	figure 5?	10:39:53
22	A. Either figure would apply.	10:41:01
23	Q. Did you have a particular one in mind	10:41:03
24	when you were when you were making or	10:41:07
25	whenever you were writing this paragraph? Or	10:41:11

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 44	
1	or did you not or did you have both in mind?	10:41:15
2	A. Either.	10:41:29
3	Q. Okay.	10:41:31
4	A. The only difference is whether where	10:41:37
5	the stream is encoded, pre decision or post	10:41:40
6	decision.	10:41:46
7	Q. Okay. Wait one second. If you'll if	10:41:47
8	you see in hold on the paragraph 141,	10:41:57
9	about about six lines from the bottom of	10:42:08
10	page 88, you'll see that there's a sentence	10:42:11
11	talking about using Lundby's decision tree to	10:42:17
12	transmit on the primary channel or the primary and	10:42:20
13	secondary channels	10:42:24
14	A. Yes.	10:42:25
15	Q and you reference figure 2?	10:42:26
16	A. Yes.	10:42:28
17		10:42:20
18	Q. Is that statement applicable only to	10:42:29
	figure 2, or would it also be applicable to	
19	figure 5 as well?	10:42:35
20	A. That statement would use either	10:43:14
21	flowchart. In other words, Lundby, as we	10:43:18
22	discussed before, is post the decision to	10:43:41
23	transmit.	10:43:45
24	Q. Taking figure 2 of Lundby as an example,	10:43:48
25	since you since you do reference that in your	10:43:56
		1

Transcript of Tim A Williams Ph D Volume 2

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021

45

1		1
1	declaration, how would the decision tree in in	10:44:00
2	Lundby be modified to to include the how	10:44:05
3	would you modify figure 2, if at all, to include	10:44:13
4	Shpak in the combination?	10:44:17
5	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:44:22
6	A. I have not expressed this opinion.	10:44:24
7	Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether	10:44:32
8	figure 2 would be modified to include the	10:44:35
9	teachings of Shpak?	10:44:41
10	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:44:45
11	Q. In forming your opinion?	10 : 44 : 47
12	MR. NIEBERG: Scope.	10:44:50
13	A. Well, Shpak Shpak's common frequency	10:44:56
14	channel is a mandatory channel because the CCA	10:45:01
15	algorithm inherent in 802.11 checks for the idle	10:45:09
16	nature of the channel before transmissions. So	10:45:14
17	that checking of of the decision to transmit or	10:45:17
18	not is occurs before figure 2 in Lundby.	10:45:22
19	So adding to figure 2 the decision to	10:45:29
20	transmit or not based on the CCA technology of	10:45:35
21	802.11 would include the key elements of the	10:45:41
22	mandatory channel definition per the plain and	10:45:48
23	ordinary meaning.	10:45:54
24	Q. So just so I understand, it's it's	10:45:54
25	your position that the the decision taught in	10:45:58

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2	
ĺ	Conducted on April 15, 2021 46	l
1	Shpak would precede the decision tree shown in	10:46:03
2	figure 2 of Lundby? Is that am I understanding	10:46:10
3	that correctly?	10:46:14
4	A. The decision shown in Shpak	10:46:15
5	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:46:20
6	A. Don't understand the question.	10:46:21
7	Q. Well, maybe I don't understand your	10:46:22
8	answer. So I believe you you mentioned a a	10:46:24
9	decision from Shpak. Did I did I misunderstand	10:46:28
10	that?	10:46:33
11	A. Yes.	10:46:35
12	Q. I did I did misunderstand it? Or	10:46:37
13	"No," the Shpak Shpak	10:46:40
14	A. I believe that you misunderstood.	10:46:44
15	Q. Okay. Okay. Can you can you help me	10:46:46
16	clarify my misunderstanding?	10:46:50
17	A. So let's go up to Lundby, figure 2.	10:46:53
18	Q. Yes.	10:46:57
19	A. In Lundby figure 2, as we've discussed	10:46:58
20	before, there is no decision whether to transmit	10:47:01
21	or not, which is which is the decision of S3 in	10:47:03
22	the '551 patent, figure 2. Are you with me?	10:47:17
23	Q. Well, so I not not entirely,	10:47:25
24	'cause I'm not asking for the teachings of the	10:47:31
25	'551 patent. I'm asking about the Shpak combined	10:47:35

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2
Conducted on April 15, 2021

47

1	with Lundby and what and how Lundby would be	10:47:39
2	modified to accommodate Shpak.	10 : 47 : 46
3	A. So Shpak brings in the 802.11	10:47:53
4	technology. And the 802.11 technology, while	10:47:59
5	includes the CSMA processor, CCA. And that	10:48:06
6	process allows a device to determine the idle/busy	10:48:14
7	nature of the channel before transmission. And	10:48:20
8	that decision is the decision of block of S3 in	10:48:24
9	figure 2 of the '551. If you add the CSMA/CCA	10:48:29
10	process to Shpak, the disclosure of Shpak's common	10:48:40
11	frequency channel becomes a mandatory channel.	10:48:46
12	And the use of the decision tree from Lundby,	10:48:48
13	figure 2, does not include the decision to	10:48:56
14	transmit or not. The decision's already made	10:49:00
15	been made to transmit in Lundby figure 2.	10:49:04
16	So modifying Lundby figure 2 to include	10:49:07
17	a decision to transmit or not would include a	10:49:13
18	block before block 242 in figure 2 of Lundby,	10:49:19
19	which would decide whether to transmit or not.	10:49:25
20	And the teachings of Shpak with regards	10:49:29
21	to 802.11 systems would teach the determination of	10:49:33
22	CSMA/CCA state of busy or idle is a process to	10:49:37
23	determine whether the the channel is busy	10:49:44
24	the mandatory channel is busy or idle.	10:49:48
25	Q. So just so I understand, what specific	10:49:56

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2		
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 48	
1	text would you add to figure 2 in making the	10:50:03
2	the Shpak-Lundby combination?	10:50:10
3	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form, scope.	10:50:15
4	A. Well, I think it's clearly laid out in	10:50:58
5	paragraph 141 of my report.	10:51:01
6	Q. So where do you discuss modifying	10:51:07
7	figure 2 other than the the reference to	10:51:10
8	figure 2? I see you're referring to figure 2, but	10 : 51 : 15
9	I don't see you discussing a modification to	10:51:21
10	figure 2 or what that modification is in	10:51:24
11	paragraph 141.	10:51:28
12	A. Why do I need to modify it?	10:51:28
13	Q. Well, maybe I'm misunderstanding. Do	10:51:32
14	you do you regard the the Shpak-Lundby	10 : 51 : 35
15	combination that that you've discussed in 141	10:51:41
16	as modifying any any part of figure 2 of	10:51:45
17	Lundby?	10:51:49
18	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:51:53
19	A. Well, my opinion is that a POSITA	10:52:00
20	reading Lundby and a POSITA reading Shpak would be	10:52:03
21	taught to do the process described in 141, which	10:52:07
22	is a obviousness combination with regards to	10:52:12
23	element 1B of the patent of the '551 patent.	10:52:18
24	Q. And in making this obviousness	10:52:25
25	combination, would you modify figure 2 of Lundby	10:52:25

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 49	
1	or not?	10 : 52 : 42
2		10:52:42
3	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	
	A. To incorporate to anticipate element	10:52:43
4	1B? Is that your question?	10:52:46
5	Q. Well, so we're you're describing a	10:52:49
6	Shpak-Lundby combination in paragraph 141; is that	10:52:52
7	correct?	10:52:56
8	A. I am, with the addition of the	10:52:56
9	disclosure in Shpak of 802.11 which incorporates,	10:53:01
10	as was known to a POSITA, the CSMA/CCA algorithm.	10:53:05
11	Q. So is is that incorporation is	10:53:13
12	that happening prior to the steps described in	10:53:18
13	figure 2, or is it is in that combination,	10 : 53 : 22
14	would it add additional steps within the steps	10:53:27
15	described in figure 2?	10:53:32
16	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:53:33
17	A. Well, again, we're talking about how a	10:53:41
18	POSITA would understand these teachings. And as	10:53:44
19	I've just discussed, Lundby figure 2 is a	10:53:46
20	flowchart post the decision to transmit on the	10:53:52
21	mandatory channel or what Lundby calls the primary	10:53:56
22	channel.	10:54:01
23	So to incorporate a decision to	10:54:02
24	transmit, a POSITA would be taught to include the	10:54:07
25	802.11 CSMA/CCA algorithm prior to entering the	10:54:13

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 50	
1	flowchart of figure 2.	10:54:20
2		10:54:24
3	So prior to element 242, as I testified	10:54:30
4	earlier, there would be a decision to transmit or	10:54:34
4 5	not based on the state of the clear channel	10:54:40
	assessment in 802.11.	
6	Q. Where in the combination of figure 2	10:54:45
7	with the decision you described from Shpak, I	10:54:52
8	believe, would idle state of the primary channel	10:54:59
9	be checked?	10:55:04
10	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:55:07
11	A. I just discussed the determination of	10:55:10
12	the idle state of the primary channel, of a	10:55:14
13	mandatory channel, which is the CSMA/CCA algorithm	10:55:18
14	taught by the 802.11 standard.	10:55:25
15	Q. And just to be clear, that's that	10:55:28
16	decision is happening before the blocks shown in	10:55:32
17	figure 2; is that correct?	10:55:37
18	A. Yes.	10:55:41
19	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:55:42
20	A. As I've said, the Lundby teaching is	10:55:44
21	post decision to transmit. So Lundby figure 2	10:55:47
22	occurs after the decision to transmit.	10:55:53
23	Q. Where would the idle state of the	10:56:02
24	secondary channel be checked in this decision	10:56:05
25	tree, as modified by Shpak?	10:56:08

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 51	
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:56:20
2	A. It's not necessary to check the idle	10:56:20
3	nature of the secondary channel, according to the	10:56:24
4	'551 patent.	10:56:27
5	Q. So just to make sure I understand, it's	10:56:28
6	your position that the idle state in modified	10:56:30
7	figure 2 would be checked before the box of 242 $$	10:56:33
8	of figure 2; is that correct?	10:56:38
9	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:56:45
10	A. Basically. If you look at Lundby	10:56:56
11	figure 2 with regards to '551 figure 2, Lundby	10:56:59
12	is the process taught by Lundby, Lundby would	10:57:07
13	be incorporated within S4, and then the process S2	10:57:12
14	and S3 would be before entering the Lundby	10:57:16
15	figure 2.	10 : 57 : 20
16	Q. So would one have to refer to the '551	10:57:21
17	in order to make the combination of Shpak and	10:57:26
18	Lundby; is that am I understanding that?	10:57:31
19	A. No.	10:57:35
20	Q. What are what is a person of ordinary	10:57:35
21	skill referring to in order to make the idle state	10:57:39
22	determination in Lundby as modified by Shpak?	10 : 57 : 47
23	A. The 802.11 standard.	10:57:50
24	Q. And, specifically, they they would	10:57:56
25	have to refer to the to the clear channel	10:57:59

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 52	
1	assessment teachings of the of the 802.11	10:58:03
2	standard?	10:58:08
3	A. Correct. As I discuss in this	10:58:08
4	paragraph, paragraph 141.	10:58:20
5	Q. And how does how does Shpak get into	10:58:24
6	the scenario?	10:58:31
7	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:58:37
8	A. Don't understand the question.	10:58:38
9	Q. Well, you refer to the 802.11 standard.	10:58:41
10	But the paragraph 141 appears to be discussing	10:58:46
11	Shpak-Lundby combination, correct?	10:58:53
12	A. Yes.	10:58:57
13	Q. So I understand you you were	10:58:58
14	referring to the 802.11 standard, the clear	10:59:03
15	channel assessment teachings of the 802.11	10:59:11
16	standard. How does Shpak fit into that	10:59:16
17	determination?	10:59:19
18	A. Because Shpak is directed to 802.11	10:59:19
19	systems and discloses, in paragraph 3, the use of	10:59:25
20	802.11 extensions to perform communications.	10:59:31
21	Q. So is the determination of idle state	10:59:39
22	from the primary channel, is that coming in	10:59:43
23	through the 802.11 standard, or is that coming in	10:59:47
24	from or is that coming in from Shpak?	10:59:51
25	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	10:59:56

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021

53

		I
1	A. Through the 802.11 standard, as I	10:59:57
2	discussed before, Shpak, by itself, doesn't	11:00:01
3	disclose the mandatory channel. But the inclusion	11:00:04
4	of the 802.11 standard and the decision to	11:00:09
5	transmit based on the CCA would would teach a	11:00:12
6	POSITA that Shpak is discussing the mandatory	11:00:21
7	channel.	11:00:25
8	Q. So just so I understand your opinion,	11:00:31
9	the Shpak-Lundby combination is a combination of	11:00:33
10	802.11 standard plus Shpak plus Lundby. Is	11:00:42
11	that is that an accurate recitation of your	11:00:46
12	of your opinion?	11:00:50
13	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11:00:51
14	A. Shpak specifically incorporates 802.11	11:01:00
15	technology into its disclosures. So the teaching	11:01:03
16	of a POSITA reading Shpak and reading Lundby would	11:01:06
17	be informed as to element 1B of the claim.	11:01:10
18	Q. Just so I understand the position	11:01:17
19	your position, you're you're is that a yes	11:01:21
20	or a no that that it's a combination of 802.11	11:01:25
21	plus Shpak plus Lundby? That's being	11:01:29
22	MR. NIEBERG: Objection.	11:01:34
23	Q reference to 141?	11:01:34
24	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11:01:39
25	A. So Shpak, in paragraph 5, says "The	11:01:44

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 54	
1	802.11 standard provides a mechanism for collision	11:01:48
2	avoidance known as CCA, which requires a station	11:01:54
3	to refrain from transmitting when it senses other	11:01:57
4	transmissions on its frequency channel." So this	11:02:01
5	is a decision to transmit disclosure specifically	11:02:04
6	within Shpak. However, the 802.11 provides	11:02:07
7	details of the algorithms used for CSMA/CCA.	11:02:10
8	Q. Okay. So just so I understand the	11:02:18
9	your position, is it your position that that	11:02:22
10	the combination of Shpak plus Lundby alone	11:02:26
11	provides the teachings? Or is it the that you	11:02:30
12	describe in paragraph 141, or is it the teachings	11:02:35
13	of Shpak plus the 802.11 standard but Lundby that	11:02:38
14	makes the the combination you describe in	11:02:42
15	paragraph 141?	11:02:46
16	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11:02:48
17	A. Shpak-Lundby.	11:02:50
18	Q. Okay. And not not include not	11:02:58
19	include the 802.11?	11:03:00
20	A. A POSITA would have been directed to the	11:03:04
21	802.11 standard when reading Shpak. However,	11:03:08
22	Shpak has significant disclosure in it to disclose	11:03:11
23	the the determination of the idle/busy nature	11:03:15
24	of the channel prior to transmission.	11:03:18
25	Q. What happens in this combination if the	11:03:37

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 55 11:03:43 1 primary channel is determined to be busy? 2 11:03:56 If the mandatory channel is determined Α. 11:03:59 3 to be busy? Then it's -- the transmission is 11:04:03 4 delayed until the mandatory channel is available. 11:04:07 5 Q. And when you say "the mandatory 6 11:04:09 channel," you're referring to the primary channel? 7 11:04:13 I'm referring to the mandatory channel Α. 8 of claim element 1B. 11:04:15 9 11:04:22 Q. Well, so if you go to paragraph 141, you 10 11:04:25 say "Thus, the Shpak-Lundby access points would 11:04:31 11 have always sent packet transmissions using the 11:04:34 12 primary" -- and then you put in parenthesis --13 "mandatory channel." 11:04:37 11:04:38 14 Do you see that? 11:04:39 15 Α. Yes. 11:04:40 16 0. So the combination -- in the 11:04:42 17 combination, you're referring to the -- when you 11:04:46 18 say "the mandatory channel," you're referring to 19 11:04:48 primary channel; is that correct? 11:04:52 20 MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form. 11:04:57 21 I'm referring to the claim term Α. 11:05:01 22 "mandatory." 23 Understand you're referring to the claim 11:05:01 Ο. 11:05:04 24 term "mandatory." But I'm trying to understand 25 11:05:07 what you're pointing to as the mandatory channel.

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2		
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 56	
1	And is that the primary channel in the	11:05:10
2	Shpak-Lundby taught by Lundby in the	11:05:12
3	Shpak-Lundby combination?	11:05:15
4	A. Or Shpak's common frequency channel,	11:05:17
5	yes. As I say at the first sentence of 141,	11:05:20
6	"Shpak's 'common frequency channel' is a mandatory	11:05:24
7	channel for the Shpak-Lundby access point."	11:05:27
8	Q. So in either of the common frequency	11:05:30
9	channel or the primary channel in this	11:05:37
10	combination, what happens if the primary	11:05:40
11	channel what happens if if the primary	11:05:44
12	channel is busy?	11:05:47
13	A. There is no transmission, and	11:05:52
14	transmission waits until the mandatory channel is	11:05:55
15	available for transmission.	11:06:00
16	Q. Would the idle state of the secondary	11:06:07
17	channel still be checked?	11:06:11
18	A. I believe Shpak is silent on that.	11:07:09
19	Q. What about the Shpak-Lundby combination	11:07:17
20	you describe in paragraph 141? What does it do	11:07:22
21	for a for a secondary channel to check the	11:07:28
22	idle state of a secondary channel if the primary	11:07:32
23	channel is busy? Well, strike that.	11:07:35
24	In the combination, would the idle state	11:07:43
25	of the secondary channel of the secondary	11:07:46

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 57	
1	channel still be checked if the primary channel is	11:07:50
2	determined to be busy in the Shpak-Lundby	11:07:54
3	combination?	11:07:57
4	A. I've not expressed an opinion on this	11:10:04
5	point. This point is not important to my	11:10:08
6	determination of the disclosure of element 1B by	11:10:11
7	the Shpak-Lundby references. However, Lundby a	11:10:18
8	POSITA would understand that Lundby controls the	11:10:24
9	transmission on the second channel and that the	11:10:28
10	access points in Shpak, when arbitrating between	11 : 10 : 37
11	which access point will respond to the mobile's	11 : 10 : 42
12	request, will determine the available nature of	11 : 10 : 47
13	the second channel.	11:10:51
14	Q. So just to make sure I understand, in	11:10:55
15	the combination you describe in paragraph 141, the	11:11:01
16	idle state of the secondary channel is checked,	11:11:09
17	and that's taught by Shpak?	11 : 11 : 13
18	A. No.	11 : 11 : 17
19	Q. Okay. Where what did I miss?	11:11:18
20	A. You're understanding incorrectly.	11:11:21
21	Q. Can you clarify?	11 : 11 : 24
22	A. Not sure I can say it any clearer than	11 : 11 : 27
23	what I already said it.	11 : 11 : 30
24	Q. Well, I so I don't understand how	11 : 11 : 31
25	how have I mischaracterized what you said?	11 : 11 : 37

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 58	
1	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11:11:44
2	Q. Well, let me strike that.	11 : 11 : 57
3	Would in the combination you've	11:11:59
4	described, would the idle state of the secondary	11:12:02
5	channel be checked?	11:12:05
6	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11:12:10
7	A. So as I've testified before, Shpak is	11:12:12
8	silent on that. But, in my opinion, a POSITA	11:12:17
9	reading Lundby would understand that Lundby	11:12:22
10	controls the transmission facility of the second	11:12:26
11	channel due to system architecture. And that the	11:12:33
12	arbitration process described in Shpak would	11:12:40
13	provide for information regarding the idle/busy	11:12:43
14	nature of the second channel in the 802.11 system	11:12:46
15	for Shpak.	11:12:53
16	And the claim doesn't require	11:12:54
17	determining if the secondary channel is idle or	11:12:59
18	busy. The claim only determines the idle busy	11:13:04
19	nature of the mandatory channel. So the teachings	11:13:10
20	of Shpak and Lundby directly apply to the the	11:13:14
21	claim term 1B.	11:13:18
22	Q. What specifically are you relying upon	11:13:24
23	from Lundby in making this conclusion?	11:13:27
24	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	11:13:34
25	A. Lundby column 4, line 64 to 66.	11:15:37

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2	
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 59	
1	Q. So you're referring to where it says	11:15:52
2	A. Actually, 62 to 66. "The first	11 : 15 : 55
3	threshold value is zero in one embodiment, meaning	11:16:01
4	that there must be at least one available	11:16:04
5	spreading code. This check is done because,	11:16:06
6	although it is desirable to reduce power by	11:16:10
7	transmitting data over two channels, a code needs	11:16:11
8	to be available to allocate to the secondary	11:16:14
9	channel."	11:16:17
10	So, again, in Lundby, a POSITA would	11:16:17
11	understand that the teachings of Lundby, that	11:16:20
12	system controls the access to the second channel	11:16:23
13	so knows the idle/busy nature of the second	11:16:30
14	channel.	11:16:33
15	Q. So just so I understand, it's your	11:16:34
16	position that the checking of whether there is an	11:16:37
17	available spreading code is performing the step of	11:16:41
18	determining whether the secondary channel is idle,	11:16:48
19	is that am I taking that correctly?	11:16:52
20	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11 : 16 : 55
21	A. That is the disclosure in one embodiment	11:16:56
22	in Lundby. And that would teach a POSITA that the	11 : 16 : 58
23	system would assign the availability of access to	11:17:06
24	those secondary channels.	11:17:09
25	Q. So just I hate to belabor the point,	11 : 17 : 17

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021

60

I	Conducted on April 15, 2021 00	1
1	but I want to make sure that we're not talking	11:17:23
2	past each other. So you would agree that the	11 : 17 : 28
3	that this that this disclosure you're pointing	11 : 17 : 30
4	to in column 4, lines around 62 to 67, relates to	11 : 17 : 35
5	checking whether there are spreading codes	11 : 17 : 45
6	available for the secondary channel; is that	11 : 17 : 48
7	correct? Have I stated that accurately?	11:17:51
8	A. Well, the sentence says what it says.	11 : 17 : 53
9	But my opinion is that a POSITA reading this would	11:17:56
10	understand that the allocation of the availability	11:18:01
11	to transmit on secondary channels would be	11:18:06
12	controlled by the transmitter in Lundby. And	11:18:09
13	since the transmitter has control of the channel,	11:18:12
14	the transmitter would know the idle/busy nature of	11:18:15
15	the channel.	11:18:18
16	Q. And where does Lundby discuss the	11:18:22
17	idle/busy nature of the secondary channel?	11:18:25
18	A. Available for transmission.	11:18:30
19	Q. Where are you seeing that? Or are	11:18:38
20	you quoting Lundby? Or are those your words?	11 : 18 : 46
21	A. Lundby column 4, line 62 to 66, in part	11:18:49
22	reads "This check is done because, although it is	11:19:00
23	desirable to reduce power by transmitting data	11:19:05
24	over two channels, a code needs to be available to	11:19:08
25	allocate to the secondary channel." That	11:19:11

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2
Conducted on April 15, 2021

61

	1 /	
1	availability of the code to allocate to the	11 : 19 : 13
2	secondary channel is a determination of whether	11:19:15
3	the secondary channel is idle or busy in this	11:19:17
4	particular embodiment in Lundby.	11:19:21
5	Q. Okay. So the	11 : 19 : 25
6	A. I'd like to take a break.	11:19:27
7	Q. Sure.	11:19:30
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off record, 11:19.	11:19:33
9	(A recess ensued from 11:19 a.m. to	11:19:36
10	11:31 a.m.)	11:31:28
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, 11:31.	11:31:28
12	BY MR. ATKINSON:	11:31:32
13	Q. Dr. Williams, in the embodiment of	11:31:33
14	Lundby that you point to at the bottom of	11 : 31 : 35
15	column 4, is the primary channel busy or	11:31:41
16	unavailable?	11:31:45
17	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11 : 31 : 50
18	Q. Well, strike that.	11:31:54
19	In the embodiment you point to at the	11:31:56
20	bottom of column 4, is the primary channel busy?	11:31:59
21	Or do you know?	11 : 33 : 14
22	A. The disclosures in Lundby, again, don't	11 : 33 : 15
23	check whether the primary channel is idle or busy,	11:33:19
24	as we've discussed in figure 2. The decision at	11 : 33 : 26
25	246, figure 2, is whether there will be a	11:33:31

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021

62

1	Conducted on April 15, 2021 02	l
1	transmission on the primary channel or on the	11:33:36
2	primary channel and secondary channels. And so	11 : 33 : 39
3	there's already been a decision to transmit before	11 : 33 : 45
4	entering figure 2, as we've discussed before.	11 : 33 : 50
5	And the availability of the of the	11 : 33 : 53
6	channels in this particular example by the	11 : 33 : 57
7	availability of the codes, determines whether you	11:34:01
8	can transmit on just the primary channel or the	11:34:05
9	primary channel and secondary channels.	11:34:09
10	Q. Is there any embodiment described in	11:34:15
11	Lundby that describes a situation where the	11:34:24
12	primary channel is not available?	11:34:26
13	A. Not that I recall.	11:34:50
14	Q. Likewise, is there any embodiment in	11:34:55
15	Lundby where the availability of the secondary	11:34:58
16	channel is checked, even though the primary	11 : 35 : 02
17	channel is unavailable?	11 : 35:05
18	A. We just looked at a section that	11:35:09
19	describes that.	11:35:11
20	Q. That describes the primary channel being	11 : 35 : 13
21	unavailable?	11 : 35 : 17
22	A. Maybe I misunderstood your question.	11 : 35 : 21
23	Could I have the question again, please?	11 : 35 : 24
24	Q. Yes. Is there any embodiment in Lundby	11 : 35 : 26
25	where the availability of the secondary channel is	11 : 35 : 28

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2			
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 63	l	
1	checked, even though the primary channel is	11 : 35 : 33	
2	unavailable?	11:35:35	
3	A. Not that I recall.	11:35:38	
4	Q. In figure 2, as modified, you know, to	11:36:01	
5	include the teachings of of Shpak, does it	11:36:08	
6	indicate where there would be a transmission if	11:36:18	
7	the primary channel is not idle?	11:36:22	
8	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11:36:29	
9	A. Well, again, Lundby, in figure 2, is	11:36:35	
10	only discussing post the operations post the	11:36:40	
11	decision to transmit. So that's analogous to the	11:36:45	
12	S4 in figure 2 of the '551.	11:36:52	
13	Q. So where would transmission where the	11:36:57	
14	primary channel is not idle be indicated in	11:37:01	
15	figure 2?	11:37:06	
16	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11:37:10	
17	A. Well, you're assuming that there is a	11:37:17	
18	transmission if the primary channel is not idle.	11:37:19	
19	So I don't understand your question.	11:37:25	
20	Q. So in in figure 2, modified to	11:37:27	
21	include the teachings of Shpak, as you've	11:37:32	
22	discussed in your declaration, where would there	11:37:38	
23	be a decision regarding transmission where the	11:37:47	
24	primary channel is not idle?	11:37:50	
25	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	11:37:56	

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 64				
1	A. Where would there be a decision	11:37:59			
2	regarding whether the primary channel is idle?	11:38:03			
3	Q. No. Let me let me clarify. Where	11:38:06			
4	would there be a where would there be an	11:38:09			
5	indication as to whether there would be a	11:38:12			
6	transmission if the primary channel is not idle?	11:38:16			
7	A. That would be in the disclosure of Shpak	11:38:24			
8	by incorporation of the CC the CSMA/CCA	11:38:27			
9	algorithm from the 802.11 standards and the	11:38:33			
10	disclosure in Shpak.	11:38:41			
11	Q. And the decision that you're referring	11:38:42			
12	to from Shpak, you believe that would occur prior	11:38:45			
13	to step 242 in Lundby in that combination?	11:38:50			
14	A. Yes.	11 : 38 : 57			
15	Q. Okay. Can you point to anything or	11:39:00			
16	can you point me to Shpak, what you're relying	11:39:08			
17	upon for that conclusion?	11:39:11			
18	A. We just discussed it.	11:39:14			
19	Q. Yeah, can you just point me to the	11:39:18			
20	specific portion of Shpak that you're referring	11:39:21			
21	to?	11 : 39 : 24			
22	A. Paragraph 5, for example, where it says	11 : 39 : 29			
23	"The 802.11 standard provides a mechanism for	11:39:32			
24	collision avoidance known as clear channel	11:39:36			
25	assessment, which requires a station to refrain	11:39:36			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 65	
1	from transmitting when it senses other	11:39:40
2	transmissions on its frequency channel."	11:39:43
3	Q. Okay. And so where is it referring to a	11:39:48
4	determination of the primary channel as being not	11:40:00
5	idle? Is it just the general disclosure of clear	11:40:04
6	channel avoidance, or is there something more	11:40:10
7	specific?	11:40:13
8	A. Well, in order to avoid a collision, you	11:40:14
9	would not as the transmitter, you would not	11:40:17
10	transmit on a channel that was busy. That's	11:40:19
11	collision avoidance, as known by a POSITA.	11:40:26
12	Q. Okay.	11:40:31
13	A. And the details are in the 802.11 spec	11:40:32
14	in terms of what happens if it's determined that	11:40:37
15	that channel is busy, et cetera.	11:40:40
16	Q. So just so I understand, it's your	11:40:42
17	position that the combination of Shpak and Lundby	11:40:46
18	would perform this clear channel assessment prior	11:40:55
19	to block 246 that's described in paragraph 5 of	11:40:59
20	Shpak, that would occur prior to block 246 in	11:41:05
21	figure 2 of Lundby in the combination? Do I have	11:41:11
22	that accurately described?	11:41:15
23	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	11:41:19
24	A. Well, my opinion is, is that figure 2 of	11:41:21
25	Lundby is equivalent to S4 in figure 2 of the	11:41:24

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021

66

	Conducted off April 15, 2021 00	ı
1	'551, and the addition of Shpak in the CCA process	11:41:30
2	to determine the idle/busy nature of the channel	11:41:34
3	to be transmitted on is MS3 of the figure 2 of the	11 : 41 : 39
4	'551. And that loop back to S2 would be performed	11 : 41 : 44
5	in a normal 802.11 CCA access point process.	11 : 41 : 49
6	Q. So is that a "Yes," I had it accurate,	11 : 41 : 59
7	or, "No," I did not?	11:42:01
8	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	11:42:05
9	A. No, you did not.	11:42:05
10	Q. Okay. What what was inaccurate?	11 : 42 : 07
11	A. Well, the most accurate statement is the	11:42:13
12	one I just gave.	11 : 42 : 15
13	Q. So I'm trying to understand what's the	11 : 42 : 17
14	difference between what I said and what you said?	11:42:19
15	What was the inaccuracy?	11 : 42 : 22
16	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	11 : 42 : 24
17	A. Well, figure 2 of figure 2 of Lundby	11 : 42 : 26
18	is the process post decision to transmit. And the	11:42:31
19	decision to transmit the disclosure in Shpak shows	11:42:39
20	that the decision to transmit is based on the idle	11:42:46
21	nature of the channel, the primary channel in	11:42:51
22	Lundby. And that disclosure in Shpak would be	11:42:55
23	equivalent to S3 and S2 in figure 2 of the '551,	11:43:04
24	while the disclosure in Lundby figure 2 is	11:43:11
25	equivalent to S4 in the '551 patent figure 2.	11:43:14

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 67			
1	Q. So just so I understand, where in	11:43:19	
2	figure 2 would the clear channel assessment	11:43:25	
3	described in paragraph 5 be done?	11:43:29	
4	A. Okay. Again, Lundby figure 2 does is	11:43:37	
5	post the decision to transmit.	11:43:42	
6	Q. So it would be before block let me	11:43:47	
7	see I want to make sure.	11:43:51	
8	So it would be before block 242 in	11:43:56	
9	Lundby? Is that do I have that correct?	11:44:01	
10	A. Well, the feedback loop from 252 and 250	11:44:04	
11	back to the top of 242 would have to be the	11:44:09	
12	decision to transmit would have to be included	11:44:17	
13	within that feedback loop. So with that	11:44:19	
14	understanding.	11:44:22	
15	Q. Okay. Just to make sure I have it, the	11:44:25	
16	way you would modify figure 2 is to include a box	11:44:29	
17	above 242 that references a clear channel	11:44:34	
18	assessment from paragraph 5 of Shpak and that the	11:44:41	
19	arrow going from boxes 250 and 252 to the top	11:44:46	
20	would rather than going to 242, would go to	11:44:54	
21	this to this new block that includes the	11:44:59	
22	disclosure from Shpak in paragraph 5. Is do I	11:45:02	
23	have that right?	11:45:08	
24	A. Yes, and that's that's completely	11:45:09	
25	analogous to figure 2 in the '551. That is the	11 : 45 : 12	

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2				
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 68	L		
1	teaching of figure 2 in '551.	11:45:17		
2	Q. Okay. So in this modified figure 2	11:45:30		
3	to to include the Shpak-Lundby combination,	11:45:39		
4	what would happen if the primary channel is	11:45:45		
5	determined to be busy but the secondary channel is	11 : 45 : 50		
6	idle in the Shpak-Lundby combination?	11 : 45 : 56		
7	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11:46:00		
8	A. The mandatory channel idle determination	11:46:03		
9	would fail, and there would be no transmission.	11:46:08		
10	Q. So there would be no transmission on the	11:46:22		
11	secondary channel? Do I have do I have that	11:46:26		
12	right?	11:46:27		
13	A. That's correct.	11:46:29		
14	Q. And why would there be no transmission	11:46:34		
15	on the secondary channel?	11:46:37		
16	A. Because the mandatory channel was not	11:46:39		
17	idle.	11:46:42		
18	Q. And why why would there not be a	11:46:48		
19	transmission on the secondary channel where the	11:46:52		
20	primary channel is is determined to be not	11:46:55		
21	idle?	11:47:01		
22	A. Because Lundby teaches to transmit on	11:47:05		
23	the primary and the secondary channels in block	11:47:08		
24	250. So the determination is not the	11:47:11		
25	determination to transmit is not based on the	11:47:17		

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 69	
1	state of the secondary channels. The	11:47:21
2	transmission the decision to transmit is based	11:47:24
3	on the state of the primary channel.	11:47:26
4	Q. And we're adding the decision we're	11:47:34
5	adding the decision to transmit on the whether	11:47:37
6	or not to transmit on the primary channel, is that	11:47:41
7	coming from Shpak?	11:47:43
8	A. Yes. Again, figure 2 of Lundby, you've	11:47:47
9	already decided to transmit before you enter	11:47:52
10	figure 2 of Lundby, which is equivalent to S4 in	11:47:56
11	figure 2 of the '551.	11:48:06
12	Q. Where in Shpak is there discussions on	11:48:13
13	multiple on more than on any channel other	11:48:20
14	than the hold on.	11:48:24
15	A. Sorry. Was that a question?	11:48:45
16	Q. Hold on. I'm forming the question.	11:48:46
17	Sorry.	11:48:49
18	Where, in Shpak, is there any discussion	11:49:07
19	of a channel other than the common frequency	11:49:12
20	channel?	11:49:15
21	A. So a POSITA reading Shpak and reading	11:49:46
22	Lundby would be motivated to combine those two	11:49:50
23	because Lundby teaches the advantages of including	11:50:00
24	a second channel within the transmission decision	11:50:05
25	process.	11:50:09

		Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 70	
1	Q.	So there is a no discussion of a of a	11:50:10
2	secondary	channel in Shpak; is that correct?	11 : 50 : 13
3		MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11:50:18
4	Α.	There's not a preclusion in Shpak of	11:50:32
5	multiple	channel transmissions.	11:50:35
6	Q.	But there's no discussion; is that	11:50:40
7	correct?		11:50:45
8	Α.	There's no preclusion of it.	11:50:45
9	Q.	No preclusion, but also no discussion,	11:50:47
10	correct?		11:50:52
11		MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	11:50:52
12	Α.	I don't see a preclusion of it.	11:50:53
13	Q.	Well, so I'm not asking if there is a	11:50:56
14	preclusio	n. I understand that you that you're	11:51:01
15	saying th	ere's no preclusion. I'm asking if there	11:51:02
16	is a disc	ussion.	11:51:06
17		MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	11:51:07
18	Α.	Only in the reference to 802.11.	11:51:08
19	Q.	And the reference you're you're	11:51:19
20	referring	to, is that is that the is that	11:51:22
21	the sente	nce in paragraph 5 that says "802.11	11:51:26
22	standard	provides a mechanism for collision	11:51:30
23	avoidance	known as clear channel assessment"?	11:51:34
24	That's th	e sentence you're referring to?	11:51:37
25	Α.	No.	11:51:38

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2			
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 71		
1	Q. No. What are you referring to?	11:51:38	
2	A. Paragraph 3.	11:51:40	
3	Q. Okay. Which portion of paragraph 3	11:51:45	
4	specifically?	11 : 51 : 47	
5	A. The entire paragraph.	11 : 51 : 47	
6	Q. So I don't I don't see anything	11:51:54	
7	discussing channels in paragraph 3. So what are	11 : 51 : 57	
8	you specifically pointing to?	11:52:00	
9	A. Paragraph 3 refers to the 802.11	11:52:04	
10	standards, which include multiple channels.	11:52:07	
11	Q. So I understand the 802.11 standards	11:52:12	
12	include multiple channels. Is there any	11:52:16	
13	discussion of these multiple channels in Shpak	11:52:18	
14	itself?	11:52:25	
15	A. I don't see an explicit disclosure of	11:54:11	
16	multiple multiple channels, but I don't see a	11:54:15	
17	preclusion of it. So it's silent on that point,	11:54:20	
18	only pointing to 802.11.	11:54:32	
19	Q. Okay. So since it's silent, how would	11:54:38	
20	Shpak teach what to do in the event of multiple	11:54:42	
21	channels is busy, given that there isn't an	11:54:46	
22	explicit discussion of multiple channels in Shpak?	11:54:50	
23	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	11:54:53	
24	A. You're assuming that there that	11:54:55	
25	multiple determination of multiple channels are	11:54:57	
72

1	<u>^</u>	
1	busy is a requirement.	11 : 55:00
2	Q. I maybe I mis I misspoke. How can	11:55:01
3	Shpak teach what to do when one of multiple	11:55:08
4	channels is busy, given that it does not teach	11 : 55 : 13
5	or does given that there's no discussion of	11:55:17
6	multiple channels in Shpak?	11 : 55 : 20
7	A. The Shpak-Lundby access point would	11:55:23
8	include multiple channels, and Shpak teaches the	11:55:26
9	determination of the busy/idle nature of the	11:55:32
10	channel before transmission.	11 : 55 : 37
11	Q. Okay. So just so I understand, Shpak	11:55:44
12	teaches the determination it's your position	11:55:47
13	that Shpak teaches the determination of the busy	11:55:52
14	idle character of a single channel; is that	11:55:56
15	accurate?	11 : 56 : 00
16	A. Directly and multiple channels	11:56:00
17	indirectly.	11:56:04
18	Q. And multiple channels indirectly, what	11 : 56 : 05
19	are what are you relying upon for the multiple	11:56:08
20	channels indirectly?	11 : 56 : 11
21	A. Well, let me be clear. The availability	11 : 56 : 13
22	of multiple channels for use by the 802.11 access	11 : 56 : 17
23	point as specified in the 802.11 standards, not	11 : 56 : 22
24	the determination of busy/idle on multiple	11 : 56 : 30
25	channels.	11:56:34
		1

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2
Conducted on April 15, 2021

73

	Conducted off April 15, 2021	
1	Q. So let me see if I understand. Is it	11 : 56 : 40
2	your position that the teaching of 802.11 clear	11:56:47
3	channel assessment for multiple channels provides	11:56:53
4	the disclosure in Shpak for multiple channels? Is	11:56:58
5	that what you're relying upon?	11:57:04
6	A. Don't understand the question.	11:57:06
7	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	11 : 57 : 07
8	Q. What are you relying upon from Shpak for	11 : 57 : 09
9	the teaching of of determining whether multiple	11 : 57 : 12
10	channels are idle or not?	11 : 57 : 17
11	A. There's no requirement in the claim to	11 : 57 : 23
12	determine whether multiple channels are available	11 : 57 : 26
13	or idle.	11:57:31
14	Q. Is there a determination in the claim to	11:57:32
15	determine whether a mandatory channel is idle?	11:57:36
16	A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear the entire	11:57:45
17	question.	11:57:49
18	Q. You reference the requirements of the	11:57:49
19	claim. There is a requirement to determine if a	11:57:51
20	mandatory channel is idle in the claim; is that	11:57:57
21	correct?	11:58:00
22	A. There's a requirement that says only	11:58:00
23	when the mandatory channel is idle in claim	11:58:02
24	element 1B of claim 1 of the '551 patent.	11:58:07
25	Q. And is there is does the claim of	11 : 58 : 15

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2		
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 74	
1	the '551 patent require determining whether any	11:58:20
2	other channels are idle?	11:58:24
3	A. No.	11:58:30
4	Q. So it's your position that claim 1 would	11:59:12
5	transmit without determining whether other	11:59:15
6	channels besides the mandatory channel are busy or	11:59:17
7	idle?	11:59:21
8	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	11:59:24
9	A. A claim the '551 claim 1 infringing	11:59:39
10	device would transmit only when the mandatory	11:59:46
11	channel is idle.	11:59:48
12	Q. So you don't you don't believe there	11:59:51
13	would be any determination as to whether other	11:59:54
14	channels besides the mandatory channel are busy or	11:59:57
15	idle in claim 1; is that correct?	12:00:00
16	A. That could occur, but an infringing	12:00:04
17	device would transmit only when the mandatory	12:00:07
18	channel is idle.	12:00:11
19	Q. So turning back to Shpak, is it fair to	12:00:30
20	say that Shpak does not teach what to do in the	12:00:41
21	event one of multiple or teach what to do in	12:00:43
22	the event one of multiple channels is busy?	12:00:49
23	MR. NIEBERG: Objection; form.	12:00:55
24	A. One of multiple channels yes. It	12:01:01
25	says to test the use the CCA algorithm to	12:01:07

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 75	
1	determine whether to transmit or not.	12:01:13
2	Q. But only as to a single channel, not as	12:01:15
3	multiple channels; is that correct?	12:01:19
4	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:01:21
5	A. Don't understand the question.	12:01:22
6	Q. So Shpak teaches teaches doing	12:01:24
7	doing the clear channel assessment in relation to	12:01:29
8	the what was it the common frequency	12:01:40
9	channel, I believe, it was called; is that	12:01:40
10	correct?	12:01:42
11	A. Yes. Common channel.	12:01:42
12	Q. Right. The so it teaches doing clear	12:01:44
13	channel's assessment in relation to the common	12:01:47
14	channel. It does not teach the clear channel	12:01:50
15	assessment to multiple channels; is that correct?	12:01:54
16	A. Well, not exactly. In paragraph 5, the	12:01:59
17	author's pointing to 802.11 process of CCA to	12:02:02
18	determine whether to transmit or not and doesn't	12:02:07
19	restrict that determination just to the common	12:02:10
20	channel.	12:02:13
21	Q. Right. It your position is it	12:02:17
22	doesn't restrict it to only that channel, but the	12:02:20
23	only thing it's discussing is that channel; is	12:02:23
24	that correct?	12:02:28
25	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:02:28

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 76	
1	A. The Shpak is primarily discussing the	12:02:31
2	common channel.	12:02:40
3	Q. Where is its discussion relating to	12:02:40
4	other channels?	12:02:45
5	A. As we discussed before, Shpak does not	12:02:46
6	discuss other channels except in its reference to	12:02:52
7	802.11.	12:02:56
8	Q. Okay.	12:02:56
9	So in the Shpak-Lundby combination, what	12:03:23
10	would happen if the clear channel assessment	12:03:27
11	determined that the primary channel was busy but	12:03:33
12	the secondary channel was idle? Would there be a	12:03:35
13	transmission on the secondary channel?	12:03:40
14	A. We've discussed this before. No. If	12:03:47
15	you look at figure 2 of Lundby, the primary	12:03:50
16	channel is included in both branches emanating	12:03:53
17	from decision block 246. So it would be against	12:04:00
18	the teachings of these disclosures to transmit on	12:04:07
19	the primary channel when the primary channel was	12:04:11
20	busy.	12:04:12
21	Q. Okay. So just just to break it	12:04:18
22	apart, so you've referenced Lundby and blocks	12:04:21
23	the 246 decision tree. Is there anything that	12:04:31
24	you're relying upon for Shpak for your	12:04:37
25	determination that there would not be a	12:04:41

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 77	
1	transmission on the secondary channel?	12:04:43
2	A. Yes. The CCA algorithm would prevent	12:04:53
3	transmission on the common frequency channel	12:04:57
4	based based on the understanding of a POSITA at	12:05:03
5	the time of that algorithm. And since Lundby	12:05:08
6	calls for the transmission of the common frequency	12:05:12
7	channel, a/k/a, the primary channel in figure 2,	12:05:17
8	in both branches, then a POSITA would understand	12:05:22
9	not to transmit in that case.	12:05:28
10	Q. Okay. So I understand that Shpak	12:05:32
11	it's your position that Shpak teaches clear	12:05:36
12	channel assessment on the primary channel, which	12:05:40
13	in in this in the combined Shpak-Lundby	12:05:46
14	embodiment is the mandatory channel. Is that	12:05:51
15	is that am I characterizing you correctly so	12:05:57
16	far?	12:06:01
17	A. You're close.	12:06:01
18	Q. Okay. What in Shpak teaches a clear	12:06:02
19	channel anything relating to a clear channel	12:06:12
20	assessment for the secondary channels?	12:06:15
21	A. Well, as we discussed, Shpak does not	12:06:18
22	discuss the secondary explicitly.	12:06:21
23	Q. So just to be clear, Shpak does not	12:06:30
24	describe using multiple channels between a mobile	12:06:35
25	device and an access point; is that correct?	12:06:39

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021	78
1	A. No.	12:06:41
2	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:06:42
3	Q. So likewise, Shpak does not describe	12:06:49
4	what would happen if there were multiple channels	12:06:52
5	provided between a mobile device and an access	12:06:55
6	point and one of those channels was busy; is that	12:06:58
7	correct?	12:07:03
8	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:07:03
9	A. Incorrect.	12:07:06
10	Q. How is that incorrect?	12:07:08
11	A. Well, the previous question was	12:07:10
12	incorrect, and this is incorrect. So you're	12:07:12
13	drawing	12:07:17
14	Q. I thought	12:07:18
15	A. You're drawing general conclusions from	12:07:19
16	our discussion, which are incorrect.	12:07:25
17	Q. So let me go back. Let me make sure I	12:07:28
18	understand your your answers.	12:07:30
19	Does Shpak describe using multiple	12:07:32
20	channels between a mobile device and an access	12:07:35
21	point?	12:07:39
22	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:07:42
23	A. Not specifically. But by reference to	12:07:44
24	the 802.11 standard, that is a possibility.	12:07:48
25	Q. But Shpak itself does not specifically	12:07:54

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 79	7
1	describe multiple multiple channels, correct?	12:07:56
2	A. And this is something that a POSITA	12:08:00
3	would bring to the disclosure.	12:08:02
4	Q. So since Shpak does not describe	12:08:11
5	multiple channels, it is also fair to say it does	12:08:14
6	not describe what to what would happen if one	12:08:20
7	of a plurality of channels is busy; is that	12:08:23
8	correct?	12:08:29
9	A. Sorry.	12:08:29
10	MR. NIEBERG: Object to the form.	12:08:30
11	A. Somebody is typing on a keyboard. I	12:08:31
12	can't quite hear the question.	12:08:35
13	Q. Shpak since Shpak does not describe	12:08:36
14	multiple does not explicitly describe multiple	12:08:39
15	channels, it's also fair to say that it does not	12:08:42
16	describe what would happen if multiple channels	12:08:45
17	if one of multiple channels provided between the	12:08:49
18	mobile device and access point was busy; is that	12:08:53
19	correct?	12:08:57
20	A. Incorrect.	12:08:57
21	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:08:58
22	A. Incorrect.	12:08:59
23	Q. And how is that incorrect?	12:09:00
24	A. 'Cause Shpak points to the CCA	12:09:02
25	algorithm, like we've discussed before, from	12:09:04

80

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 80	I
1	802.11, which determines whether a channel is	12:09:07
2	available for transmission.	12:09:10
3	Q. But it does not itself describe multiple	12:09:13
4	channels, correct? Shpak itself does not describe	12:09:17
5	multiple channels. It references 802.11.	12:09:20
6	A. That's correct.	12:09:26
7	Q. Okay. All right. Is is there	12:09:26
8	anything in Lundby that supports your conclusion	12:09:43
9	that there would not be a transmission on the	12:09:46
10	secondary channel?	12:09:49
11	A. In what circumstance?	12:09:54
12	Q. In the circumstance where there is not a	12:10:01
13	transmission of on a secondary channel where	12:10:07
14	where the primary channel is idle.	12:10:11
15	A. Well, to the extent I understand your	12:10:18
16	question, block 252 discloses that	12:10:22
17	Q. So .252 says	12:10:24
18	A in Lundby.	12:10:24
19	Q "transmit on primary channel." Is	12:10:27
20	that is that correct?	12:10:28
21	A. Right.	12:10:30
22	Q. So is there a transmission on the	12:10:32
23	primary channel when the primary channel is	12:10:35
24	unavailable or not idle?	12:10:40
25	A. Well, again, figure 2 in Lundby is	12:10:45

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2	
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 81	l
1	post occurs post the decision to transmit.	12:10:51
2	Q. So is it accurate to say that Lundby is	12:10:57
3	silent on the situation where the primary channel	12:11:01
4	is not idle?	12:11:07
5	MR. NIEBERG: Object to the form.	12:11:13
6	A. Incorrect.	12:11:25
7	Q. Why?	12:11:26
8	A. Well, about half an hour ago, we looked	12:11:28
9	at the clause in Lundby requiring the availability	12:11:32
10	of the codes to transmit. And if those codes are	12:11:35
11	not available, then there would be a busy nature	12:11:38
12	of the channels, and there would be no capacity in	12:11:41
13	the system to transmit on the primary channel in	12:11:48
14	Lundby.	12:11:51
15	Q. And where is Lundby discussing so	12:11:53
16	where is where is Lundby discussing the codes	12:12:00
17	being unavailable?	12:12:04
18	A. Well, we just looked at it.	12:12:07
19	Q. Well, can you point me to it or?	12:12:11
20	A. Hang on.	12:12:14
21	So another disclosure well, we'll	12:12:34
22	come back to this.	12:12:37
23	So column 4, basically lines 55 to 67,	12:12:51
24	discuss the determination of the availability of	12:12:57
25	codes in order to transmit. Also in column 2,	12:13:02
		1

82

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 82	l
1	line 46 to 52 discuss the limited amount of power	12:13:12
2	that can be transmitted by a base station limiting	12 : 13 : 18
3	the availability of channels if the base station	12 : 13 : 22
4	cannot transmit to a particular user based on the	12 : 13 : 26
5	limitations of the base station. So that would be	12:13:31
6	a channel busy status.	12:13:34
7	Q. So the discussion in column 4 seems	12:13:39
8	appears and correct me if I'm wrong. It	12:13:49
9	appears to relate to whether there are any	12:13:54
10	channels available for transmission; is that	12 : 13 : 56
11	correct?	12:14:04
12	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:14:04
13	A. In this paragraph discussing the	12 : 14 : 32
14	decision block in 246 at figure 2 of Lundby where	12:14:34
15	it discloses determining whether the spreading	12:14:41
16	codes are available to in order to allocate	12 : 14 : 46
17	between a first and a second channel.	12:14:49
18	Q. So is this describing a situation where	12:14:58
19	the code for the secondary channel is available	12:15:02
20	but the code for the primary channel is not? Or	12 : 15 : 07
21	is it instead describing a check to see whether	12:15:15
22	there are any codes available?	12 : 15 : 20
23	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12 : 15 : 22
24	A. Well, no. First of all, again, entering	12 : 15 : 22
25	figure 2, there has been a decision to transmit.	12 : 15 : 31

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2
Conducted on April 15, 2021

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 83	
	Conducted on April 13, 2021 63	1
1	And that decision to transmit is, according to	12 : 15 : 35
2	Lundby, determined in part by the disclosures in	12 : 15 : 40
3	column 2, lines 46 to 52, whether there can be a	12:15:50
4	transmission from this base station at this time.	12:15:56
5	Also, the allocation of a code to the	12:15:59
6	primary channel of Lundby is understood by a	12:16:03
7	POSITA to be present if that primary channel must	12:16:08
8	be transmitted based on the decision to transmit.	12 : 16 : 14
9	And then the decision block of 246 is whether to	12:16:17
10	allocate a second channel based on the	12:16:22
11	availability of codes.	12 : 16 : 26
12	Q. Where does it describe the code for the	12:16:33
13	secondary channel being available but the code for	12 : 16 : 35
14	the primary channel being unavailable?	12:16:38
15	A. Well, again, before entering figure 2,	12:16:44
16	the decision has already been made to transmit,	12 : 16 : 49
17	which means that the code is available or the	12 : 16 : 52
18	channel is available in the disclosure of Lundby.	12 : 16 : 54
19	A situation in which that that channel would	12 : 16 : 58
20	not be available is disclosed in figure 2 in	12:17:01
21	column 2, lines 46 to 52. In that situation,	12:17:06
22	there would not be an ability to transmit on the	12:17:13
23	primary channel. And then column 4 disclosure	12:17:16
24	regards the code the decision to transmit on a	12:17:18
25	single channel or multiple channels based on the	12:17:23

84

1	Conducted off April 15, 2021 04	1
1	availability of codes.	12 : 17 : 28
2	Q. But there's no discussion so is it	12:17:31
3	fair to say that it's describing whether or not	12 : 17 : 36
4	any code is available and not just whether the	12:17:39
5	code so saved to the primary or secondary channel	12:17:43
6	is available?	12:17:50
7	A. Don't understand the question.	12:17:51
8	Q. So where so I understand where	12:17:56
9	that you're describing what happens when the	12:17:58
10	primary channel is available. Where does it	12:18:02
11	describe what happens when the secondary channel	12:18:06
12	is available but the primary channel is not	12:18:08
13	available?	12:18:11
14	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:18:14
15	A. Again, before entering figure 2 decision	12:18:18
16	process, you have already decided to transmit. So	12:18:22
17	you already know that the primary channel is	12:18:27
18	available to transmit on because both branches	12 : 18 : 30
19	coming out of the decision block 246 include	12 : 18 : 33
20	transmission on the primary channel, and it would	12 : 18 : 38
21	be against the teachings of the patent to transmit	12 : 18 : 40
22	on a channel that was currently being occupied,	12:18:43
23	which is a cause of power leakage or interference	12 : 18 : 48
24	to a receiver receiving information on that	12 : 18 : 52
25	particular channel.	12 : 18 : 55

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2	
Conducted on April 15, 2021	

85

		1
1	Q. There's no there's no explicit	12:19:01
2	discussion in Lundby, though, of of not	12:19:05
3	transmitting other than in the situation where no	12:19:11
4	codes are available; is that right?	12:19:15
5	A. Incorrect.	12:19:19
6	Q. Okay. How is that incorrect?	12:19:20
7	A. Look at column 2, lines 46 to 52.	12:19:22
8	Q. Column 2, lines 46 to 52. I don't see	12 : 19 : 31
9	what you're referring to.	12:19:48
10	A. Do you see column 2, lines 46 to 52,	12:19:50
11	the this is a disclosure of the	12:19:54
12	non-transmission on a primary channel due to the	12:20:00
13	limits of the system being used in the	12:20:05
14	communication system, which is a situation in	12:20:08
15	which the primary channel is busy, is not	12:20:12
16	available. So it it tells the user to not	12:20:19
17	transmit, which is equivalent to S3 in figure 2 of	12:20:22
18	the '551.	12:20:39
19	Q. In this situation described in	12:20:41
20	figures I mean on in figures on lines 46	12:20:44
21	to 52 of column 2, where does it describe the	12:20:49
22	secondary channels being available in the system?	12:20:54
23	A. This is a decision on whether the	12:21:03
24	primary channel would be available.	12:21:06
25	Q. Correct. So it does not relate to the	12:21:09

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2
Conducted on April 15, 2021

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 86	
1	situation which the primary channel is available	12:21:15
2	but the secondary channels are unavailable; is	12:21:18
3	that is that right? Because no spreading codes	12:21:21
4	are available.	12:21:29
5	A. Are you talking about column 4?	12 : 21 : 31
6	Q. No. Column 2.	12:21:33
7	A. No. Incorrect.	12:21:35
8	Q. Why?	12:21:38
9	A. Column column 2 doesn't talk about	12:21:39
10	spreading codes.	12:21:43
11	Q. Maybe I'm in the wrong spot.	12:21:47
12	It says, "Therefore, even if there are	12:21:51
13	additional spreading codes available"?	12:21:53
14	A. You're reading from column 4.	12:21:56
15	Q. I think this is in column 2. Column 2,	12:21:58
16	line line 40	12:22:02
17	A. Yeah. Right. So even if there are	12:22:07
18	additional spreading codes available, you're	12:22:09
19	limited by the amount of power that can be	12:22:12
20	transmitted by the base station.	12:22:14
21	Q. Right.	12 : 22 : 15
22	A. So that limits whether that	12:22:16
23	determines whether you can transmit on any	12:22:19
24	channel. It also limits whether you can transmit	12:22:24
25	on a primary channel.	12:22:27

87

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 87	ı
1	Q. Right. But it doesn't address the	12 : 22 : 29
2	situation where the secondary channel is available	12 : 22 : 32
3	but a primary channel is not available 'cause	12 : 22 : 36
4	there are no spreading codes available at all. Is	12:22:40
5	that accurate? I let me clarify. They're	12 : 22 : 45
6	available, but the system won't allow them to be	12 : 22 : 53
7	used.	12 : 22 : 57
8	A. Well, the situation in column 2 would	12 : 23:05
9	shut down all transmission from the base station.	12 : 23 : 07
10	When it comes out of that state, if the secondary	12 : 23 : 12
11	channels become available, the the base station	12:23:18
12	won't transmit until the primary channel is	12:23:38
13	available, in your hypothetical.	12:23:41
14	Q. Is there any discussion in Lundby	12 : 23 : 58
15	regarding a situation where where transmission	12:24:00
16	is possible on code on codes on secondary	12:24:09
17	codes but not permitted because the primary code	12:24:19
18	is unavailable?	12:24:22
19	A. I believe your hypothetical is an	12:24:38
20	inherency situation where the a communication	12:24:46
21	system would inherently operate by prioritizing	12:24:50
22	the primary channel over secondary channels in	12:24:59
23	terms of transmission.	12:25:02
24	Q. Let me ask it this way. Is there	12:25:07
25	anything in Lundby that teaches us that teaches	12:25:09

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 88	
1	anything other than the system simply polling the	12 : 25 : 16
2	pool of codes until an available code is found?	12:25:22
3	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	12:25:27
4	A. I don't see a disclosure of polling the	12:25:41
5	available pool of codes.	12:25:47
6	Q. So in column 2 where we were I guess	12:25:49
7	around lines 44 to the end, it's this is	12:25:52
8	this is describing asking this is asking	12:26:00
9	whether a code is available in transmission being	12:26:03
10	allowed, is it not? Any any code.	12:26:07
11	A. No.	12:26:11
12	Q. Why?	12:26:18
13	A. This is limiting the transmit power of	12:26:18
14	the base station.	12:26:21
15	Q. Right.	12:26:22
16	A. Through the capabilities in the base	12:26:23
17	station.	12:26:25
18	Q. And so it's not allowing additional	12:26:27
19	transmissions on any code, is it not?	12:26:31
20	A. Depends what you mean by "it," but the	12:26:43
21	disclosure here is talking about not transmitting	12:26:46
22	on channels based on having the base station	12:26:50
23	having reached a power limited situation.	12:26:53
24	Q. But the power limited situation would	12:26:59
25	apply to all the codes; is that is that	12:27:03

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 89	
1	correct?	12:27:06
2	A. All the codes available to that base	12:27:06
3	station?	12:27:09
4	Q. Yes.	12:27:10
5	A. Yes. True.	12:27:11
6	Q. In CDMA systems generally, if a first	12:27:22
7	code is checked as unavailable, wouldn't a typical	12 : 27 : 28
8	CDMA system proceed to check for the availability	12:27:35
9	of other codes until an available code is found?	12 : 27 : 39
10	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	12 : 27 : 44
11	A. I don't recall.	12 : 27 : 46
12	Q. So you don't know one way or the other	12:27:48
13	in typical CDMA systems?	12 : 27 : 51
14	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	12:27:56
15	A. I don't recall.	12 : 27 : 57
16	Q. In a CSMA carrier sent system where	12:28:12
17	multiple channels are available, if one channel is	12:28:19
18	available but another is idle, wouldn't there be a	12:28:22
19	transmission on the idle channel in typical CDMA	12:28:25
20	systems?	12:28:34
21	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	12:28:34
22	A. Are you talking about a hypothetical	12:28:39
23	system?	12:28:41
24	Q. I'm talking about most typical	12:28:41
25	carrier sent systems.	12:28:44
		1

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

90

	Conducted on April 15, 2021 90	
1	A. They use CDMA.	12 : 28 : 52
2	Q. No, no, not necessarily CDMA. Just	12 : 28 : 55
3	CSMA systems generally.	12 : 28 : 58
4	A. I don't have your hypothetical in mind.	12:29:01
5	Q. So in a typical CSMA not CDMA, but	12 : 29:03
6	CSMA in a typical CSMA system where multiple	12 : 29 : 10
7	channels are available between a client and an	12 : 29 : 16
8	access point, if one channel is found to be busy	12 : 29 : 18
9	but another is idle, wouldn't there be an idle	12 : 29 : 22
10	a transmission on the idle channel in a typical	12 : 29 : 27
11	CSMA system?	12:29:30
12	A. Depends on the system. Depends on the	12:29:31
13	programming of the system.	12:29:33
14	Q. So is there a typical behavior in CSMA	12 : 29 : 45
15	systems generally? Or do you or is that	12 : 29 : 50
16	something you don't know?	12 : 29 : 53
17	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12 : 29 : 56
18	A. Well, that's like asking is there a	12 : 29 : 57
19	typical behavior in a TDMA system. Depends on the	12:30:01
20	system.	12 : 30:05
21	Q. Well, so what happens in most CSMA	12:30:06
22	systems, if you know?	12:30:10
23	A. What do you mean by "most"?	12:30:11
24	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	12:30:13
25	Excuse me.	12 : 30 : 16

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 91	
1	Q. More more often than not.	12 : 30 : 17
2	A. It depends on the system.	12:30:25
3	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	12:30:25
4	Q. Do you have an opinion on whether on	12:30:28
5	whether CSMA systems generally determine whether	12:30:31
6	or not to how they behave?	12:30:35
7	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	12:30:40
8	A. Depends on the system.	12:30:41
9	Q. So is that a yes or a no?	12:30:43
10	A. It's a I don't understand your question,	12:30:50
11	and the answer to your question depends on more	12:30:52
12	specifics regarding the nature and the system	12:30:55
13	we're discussing.	12:31:00
14	Q. Well, so let me clarify. So if we were	12:31:01
15	talking about people, it would be fair to say that	12:31:06
16	most people have ten fingers and toes, would it	12 : 31 : 13
17	not?	12 : 31 : 17
18	A. Statistically, I'd say that's true.	12:31:20
19	Q. But there's some that don't, correct?	12:31:22
20	A. Correct.	12 : 31 : 27
21	Q. So in a typical CSMA system, where one	12 : 31 : 27
22	channel is found to be busy but another is idle,	12:31:34
23	would there be a transmission on the idle channel	12:31:37
24	in typical CSMA systems?	12:31:40
25	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	12:31:42

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021	92
1	A. Depends on the system.	12:31:44
2	Q. Do you do you have an opinion on	12:31:49
3	whether there there is a behavior one way or	12:31:51
4	the other in most CSMA systems as implemented?	12:31:56
5	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	12:32:00
6	A. I need more information in your	12:32:04
7	hypothetical.	12:32:07
8	Q. Well, so in the universe of CSMA systems	12:32:07
9	actually implemented, you know, in the world,	12:32:12
10	where one channel is found to be busy but another	12:32:18
11	is idle, would there be a transmission on the idle	12:32:21
12	channel in those systems?	12:32:24
13	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form, scope.	12:32:29
14	A. I have not opined on this.	12:32:32
15	Q. So you don't have a you don't have a	12:32:34
16	opinion, sitting here today, one way or the other?	12:32:37
17	A. No.	12:32:43
18	Q. All right.	12:33:04
19	I'd like to refer you to Exhibit 1007,	12:33:06
20	Bugeja.	12:33:17
21	MR. NIEBERG: Counsel, I think we have	12:33:18
22	about 10 or 12 minutes left on the record.	12:33:20
23	MR. ATKINSON: Oh. Why don't we	12:33:23
24	well, can we go off the record and then check	12:33:24
25	time?	12:33:28

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021	93
1	MR. NIEBERG: Sure.	12:33:29
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off record 12:33.	12:33:29
3	(A recess ensued from 12:33 p.m. to	12:33:32
4	12:34 p.m.)	12:34:00
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record. 12:34.	12:34:04
6	BY MR. ATKINSON:	12:34:07
7	Q. All right. Turning to the Bugeja	12:34:08
8	reference, 1007, do you have that?	12:34:12
9	A. Bugeja, yes.	12:34:15
10	Q. How did you how did you pronounce	12:34:16
11	it I think I remember. We have different	12:34:20
12	pronunciations. How how are you pronouncing	12:34:27
13	it?	12:34:29
14	A. I pronounce it Bugeja.	12:34:29
15	Q. Okay. I'll try I'll try to conform	12:34:31
16	with your	12:34:32
17	A. Or we can just call it the '112 too.	12:34:32
18	Q. Okay. The '112.	12:34:35
19	So it describes the '112 reference	12:34:38
20	describes multichannel access points; is that	12:34:45
21	correct?	12:34:48
22	A. Yes.	12:34:48
23	Q. What's your understanding as to what a	12:34:48
24	multichannel access point is?	12:34:50
25	A. It's an access point that's capable of	12:34:53

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2		
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 94	
1	transmitting and receiving on multiple	12:34:58
2	frequencies.	12:35:02
3	Q. Okay. And if you wouldn't mind turning	12:35:02
4	in your in your declaration to paragraph 166.	12:35:05
5	It's on page 103.	12:35:22
6	A. 166?	12 : 35:35
7	Q. Yeah. Page 103, PDF page 111, but	12 : 35 : 37
8	numbered page 103.	12:35:43
9	A. Sounds like somebody's having fun.	12 : 35 : 51
10	Q. Nobody here.	12 : 35 : 55
11	A. Nobody here.	12:35:57
12	Okay. I'm on 166.	12 : 35 : 59
13	Q. All right. So in paragraph 166 of your	12:36:02
14	declaration, you refer to figure 4 of Bugeja of	12:36:09
15	'112. Do you see that?	12:36:12
16	A. I do.	12:36:13
17	Q. And you describe client 410 in figure 4	12:36:13
18	which is assigned the primary channel or any of	12:36:17
19	the secondary channels. Do you do you see	12:36:19
20	that?	12:36:22
21	A. Yes.	12:36:23
22	Q. All right. Now, looking at	12:36:23
23	paragraph 166 of your declaration, at one point	12:36:32
24	you say, "Assigned the primary channel or any of	12:36:34
25	the secondary channels."	12:36:37

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 95	
1	Do you see that?	12:36:39
2	A. Yes.	12:36:47
3	Q. Okay. Does this mean that client 410 in	12:36:48
4	the '112 is assigned only one channel for use?	12:36:55
5	A. So in the in the disclosure of the	12:38:38
6	'211 [sic], the the example in figure 4 is a	12:38:46
7	situation in which the available channels are	12:38:52
8	are down to one channel in the extended region,	12:38:56
9	physical geographic region.	12:39:02
10	However, if there were only two a	12:39:05
11	POSITA would understand that if there are only two	12:39:09
12	circles of in figure 4 and channel 6 were	12:39:11
13	available to be used in the extended region for	12:39:17
14	access point 402, there could be two	12:39:22
15	extended-range channels, in which case the access	12:39:31
16	point could assign two channels to a particular	12:39:35
17	access point, for example, 408 or particular	12:39:40
18	station, for example, 408.	12:39:44
19	Q. Okay. So let me see if I understand	12:39:46
20	your statement. So is or is device 408	12 : 39 : 55
21	assigned one channel, or is it assigned multiple	12:40:03
22	channels?	12:40:08
23	A. In the example of the 211, Bugeja, the	12:40:08
24	station 408 is assigned to a single channel,	12:40:16
25	channel 11, because it's in the extended region	12:40:21

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2		
	Conducted on April 15, 2021 96	l
1	for access point 402. However, a POSITA would	12:40:24
2	understand that that could be extended to multiple	12:40:29
3	channels if they those multiple channels were	12:40:32
4	available.	12:40:35
5	Q. Right. But it only it only ascribes	12:40:37
6	assigning one channel to that device; is that	12:40:43
7	correct?	12:40:46
8	A. Correct. But it doesn't limit it to	12:40:46
9	only a single channel. So if there were a	12:40:48
10	channel in two cases. If there were a	12:40:54
11	channel 13, 15, 17 available in this particular	12:40:56
12	communication system, channels 11 and 13 could be	12:41:01
13	assigned to station 408. Or if one of the three	12:41:07
14	circles was not present, then you could also	12:41:12
15	incorporate a second frequency in the extended	12:41:16
16	geographic region.	12:41:21
17	Q. Okay. So just just so I'm to make	12:41:22
18	sure I understand your position. Your position is	12:41:26
19	that it isn't that it is not disclosed in the	12:41:29
20	reference itself, but it would be within the	12 : 41 : 35
21	knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the	12:41:39
22	art? Am I accurately describing your position?	12:41:42
23	A. Well, the yes, you are. The the	12:41:44
24	embodiment described in the two in the '112	12:41:51
25	is is limited to only three channels. But if	12:41:56

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2	
Conducted on April 15, 2021	

97

	1 /	1
1	there were, for example, seven channels available	12:42:00
2	in this architecture of figure 4, a POSITA would	12:42:03
3	understand that multiple channels could be	12:42:07
4	assigned to that extended region of access point	12:42:10
5	402.	12:42:15
6	Q. Okay.	12:42:20
7	All right. Would you turn to	12:42:36
8	paragraph 187 of your declaration, please?	12:42:38
9	A. 187?	12:42:41
10	Q. Yes. 187.	12:42:43
11	A. Yes. Go ahead.	12:42:46
12	Q. All right. So here you describe	12:42:47
13	channel 11. Would the language requiring the	12:42:56
14	mandatory channel to be one channel in a	12:43:07
15	wireless multichannel communications system under	12:43:10
16	the PTAB's construction, would channel 11 meet	12:43:13
17	that construction?	12:43:18
18	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:43:19
19	A. Well, as we've discussed before, I have	12:43:24
20	issues with the the construction mandatory	12:43:27
21	channel per the PTAB. So I have not expressed an	12:43:33
22	opinion on that.	12 : 43 : 35
23	Q. Do you have an opinion, sitting here	12:43:36
24	today?	12:43:39
25	A. Not at this time. And I explained my	12:43:39

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2
Conducted on April 15, 2021

98

		I
1	reasons for that on Friday.	12:43:48
2	Q. How could channel 11 be one channel in a	12:43:54
3	wireless multichannel system if it is the only	12 : 43 : 57
4	channel assigned to client 408?	12:44:01
5	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:44:05
6	A. Well, there are multiple channels in	12:44:12
7	this communication system.	12:44:14
8	Q. Correct. But for the as to the	12:44:18
9	device	12:44:22
10	A. Can I have the question again, please.	12:44:29
11	Q. So given that device 408, I believe it	12:44:35
12	is	12:44:39
13	A. Yes.	12:44:39
14	Q is assigned only a single channel and	12:44:39
15	the example is channel 11, how can channel 11 be	12:44:46
16	one channel in a wireless multichannel system when	12:44:53
17	it's the only the when it is the only channel	12:44:59
18	assigned to device 408?	12:45:01
19	MR. NIEBERG: Object to form.	12:45:03
20	A. Well, there are multiple channels within	12:45:03
21	this system, so it's a multichannel system.	12 : 45 : 06
22	Q. But as as to device 408, how does	12:45:09
23	device 408 have access to multiple channels?	12 : 45 : 15
24	A. When device 408 moves to the right and	12:45:18
25	enters the 404 circle, device 408 will communicate	12:45:24

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 99	
1		10 45 21
1	on channel 1. If device 408 if station 408	12:45:31
2	moves to the south, it will be captured by access	12:45:38
3	point 410 and communicated on channel 6.	12:45:43
4	MR. NIEBERG: Counsel, I think we're out	12:45:51
5	of time now.	12:45:55
6	MR. ATKINSON: All right. Yeah. That's	12 : 45 : 55
7	fine. Do you have any redirect or	12:45:57
8	MR. NIEBERG: Yeah, I may have a few	12:46:00
9	minutes of.	12:46:03
10	So, Dr. Williams, do you want to take a	12:46:04
11	break, or do you want to get to that now?	12:46:06
12	THE WITNESS: Yes. Let's take a	12:46:09
13	ten-minute break, and then we can do whatever you	12:46:11
14	need.	12 : 46 : 13
15	MR. NIEBERG: Okay. So about ten	12:46:14
16	minutes?	12:46:15
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, please.	12 : 46 : 15
18	MR. ATKINSON: That's fine.	12:46:16
19	MR. NIEBERG: Okay. Great.	12:46:17
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off record. 12:46.	12:46:18
21	(A recess ensued from 12:46 p.m. to	12:46:20
22	12:53 p.m.)	12:54:01
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record, 12:53.	12:54:02
24	EXAMINATION	12:54:05
25	BY MR. NIEBERG:	12:54:05

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 10)
1	Q. Hi, Dr. Williams. I have a few minutes	12:54:06
2	of redirect.	12:54:09
3	You testified earlier today regarding	12:54:10
4	the number of channels disclosed in Shpak,	12:54:12
5	Exhibit 1005. Do you recall that?	12:54:16
6	A. Yes.	12:54:19
7	Q. Can you turn to paragraph 67 of your	12:54:20
8	declaration, and read that paragraph.	12:54:24
9	A. I have.	12:54:54
10	Q. Okay. What are you explaining in	12:54:55
11	paragraph 67 of your declaration?	12:54:59
12	A. The availability in the United States of	12 : 55 : 01
13	three channels at least three channels for	12 : 55 : 05
14	the 2.4-gigahertz band in 802.11.	12 : 55 : 10
15	Q. And in paragraph 67, you cite to Shpak,	12 : 55 : 15
16	paragraph 0006, correct?	12 : 55 : 21
17	A. I do.	12:55:24
18	Q. Can you please turn to Shpak	12 : 55 : 26
19	paragraph 0006, and read that paragraph.	12 : 55 : 29
20	A. Okay. I have.	12 : 55 : 52
21	Q. And what is Shpak describing in	12 : 55 : 54
22	paragraph 0006?	12 : 55 : 59
23	A. The available of multiple frequencies to	12:56:00
24	be used in 802.11 wireless LANs throughout the	12:56:04
25	in the United States and throughout the world.	12 : 56:08
		1

	Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 10	1
1	Q. Are those multiple wireless channels	12:56:11
2	available to Shpak's access points?	12:56:14
3	MR. ATKINSON: Objection; form, leading.	12:56:17
4	A. Yes.	12:56:19
5	Q. I believe you testified earlier today	12 : 56 : 23
6	that a POSA would have known that the 802.11	12:56:25
7	standard offered multiple transmission channels to	12:56:30
8	Shpak's access points; is that correct?	12:56:34
9	A. Yes.	12:56:36
10	MR. ATKINSON: Objection; leading.	12:56:37
11	Q. Is Shpak paragraph 6 consistent with	12:56:38
12	your testimony that POSAs would have known that	12:56:42
13	the 802.11 standard offered multiple channels to	12:56:45
14	Shpak's access point?	12:56:48
15	MR. ATKINSON: Objection; leading.	12:56:51
16	A. Yes, it is.	12:56:52
17	Q. Okay. Has anything that you've heard or	12:56:53
18	testified to during your deposition today or your	12:56:55
19	first part, I believe, Friday changed any of your	12:56:59
20	opinions that you offered with respect to the '551	12:57:02
21	patent in your declaration?	12:57:09
22	MR. ATKINSON: Objection; leading.	12:57:11
23	A. No.	12:57:12
24	MR. NIEBERG: That's all I have.	12:57:13
25	MR. ATKINSON: Okay. Nothing here.	12 : 57 : 16

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021 102				
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Anything else before	12:57:17		
2	we close?	12 : 57 : 19		
3	MR. NIEBERG: I believe that's all.	12 : 57 : 20		
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:57.	12 : 57 : 22		
5	This concludes the deposition of Tim	12:57:25		
6	Williams, Ph.D. We're off the record.	12:57:28		
7	(The deposition concluded at 12:57 p.m.)	12 : 57 : 30		
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	DI ANET DEDOC			

1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER		
2			
3	I, Charlotte Lacey, the officer before whom the		
4	foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that		
5	the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of		
6	the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me		
7	stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting		
8	under my direction; that reading and signing was not		
9	requested; and that I am neither counsel for, related		
10	to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and		
11	have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its		
12	outcome.		
13			
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my		
15	hand this 3rd of May, 2021.		
16			
17			
18	- (1-7		
19	Curren		
20	Charlotte Lacey, RPR, CSR #14224		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

103

	eenaaeeea	oli April 15, 2021	
A	according	20:3, 26:14,	93:7, 94:13,
a1	18:25, 35:8,	27:2, 27:16,	94:22, 97:7,
4:14, 4:15	51:3, 83:1	50:22	97:12, 99:6,
ability	accurate	again	101:24, 102:3
8:4, 83:22	53:11, 66:6,	10:21, 22:3,	allocate
able	66:11, 72:15,	35:4, 36:22,	59:8, 60:25,
23:13, 24:14,	81:2, 87:5	38:16, 41:3,	61:1, 82:16,
25:24, 26:4	accurately	49:17, 59:10,	83:10
about	60:7, 65:22,	61:22, 62:23,	allocation
8:10, 9:5,	96:22	63:9, 67:4,	23:10, 24:6,
10:5, 21:6,	achieves	69:8, 80:25,	25:1, 60:10,
24:15, 28:9,	29:5	82:24, 83:15,	83:5
32:16, 32:21,	acknowledge	84:15, 98:10	allow
34:10, 38:17,	6:5, 6:15	against	41:18, 87:6
42:5, 43:11,	actually	76:17, 84:21	allowed
44:9, 44:11,	24:5, 59:2,	ago	88:10
46:25, 49:17,	92:9	81:8	allowing
56:19, 81:8,	add	agree	88:18
86:5, 86:9,	39:13, 47:9,	21:12, 22:20,	allows
88:21, 89:22,	48:1, 49:14	25:18, 37:23,	8:6, 47:6
89:24, 91:15,	added	38:9, 38:13,	alone
92:22, 99:15	39:18, 40:4,	60:2	54:10
above	40:8, 40:12,	ahead	already
67:17	41:6	32:23, 33:19,	20:3, 22:14,
absence	adding	34:4, 97:11 al	47:14, 57:23,
19:11, 19:17	45:19, 69:4,	ai 5:5	62:3, 69:9,
abstract	69:5		83:16, 84:16,
30:11	addition	algorithm	84:17
access	39:13, 49:8,	13:7, 45:15, 49:10, 49:25,	also
43:13, 55:10,	66:1	49:10, 49:23, 50:13, 64:9,	3:18, 5:20,
56:7, 57:10,	additional	74:25, 77:2,	7:9, 22:10, 30:17, 31:25,
57:11, 59:12,	32:8, 49:14,	77:5, 79:25	43:1, 44:18,
59:23, 66:5,	86:13, 86:18, 88:18	algorithms	70:9, 79:5,
72:7, 72:22,	address	54:7	79:15, 81:25,
77:25, 78:5,	87:1	all	83:5, 86:24,
78:20, 79:18,	addressed	5:13, 7:4,	96:14
90:8, 93:20,	9:10	8:17, 8:21,	although
93:24, 93:25,	addresses	23:23, 25:15,	59:6, 60:22
95:14, 95:15,	24:11	27:13, 29:18,	always
95:17, 96:1, 97:4, 98:23,	addressing	33:8, 33:15,	55:11
97:4, 98:23, 99:2, 101:2,	30:3	33:20, 37:4,	amount
101:8, 101:14	admissibility	37:12, 38:21,	24:2, 82:1,
accommodate	6:7	43:11, 43:19,	86:19
47:2	advantages	45:3, 80:7,	analogous
accompanying	69:23	82:24, 87:4,	63:11, 67:25
27:11	after	87:9, 88:25,	another
	19:4, 19:12,	89:2, 92:18,	8:8, 8:15,
	1J.7, 1J.12,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Conducted on April 15, 2021			
18:10, 20:18,	appears	asking	atkinson
39:17, 40:4,	52:10, 82:8,	13:21, 16:24,	3:12, 4:4,
40:8, 40:11,	82:9	21:6, 36:7,	5:16, 6:13,
41:6, 81:21,	applicability	36:22, 38:13,	6:21, 22:4,
89:18, 90:9,	42:5	46:24, 46:25,	33:1, 33:7,
91:22, 92:10	applicable	70:13, 70:15,	61:12, 92:23,
answer	30:10, 30:17,	88:8, 90:18	93:6, 99:6,
26:3, 46:8,	44:17, 44:18	aspect	99:18, 101:3,
91:11	applications	11:22	101:10, 101:15,
answers	11:14	assessment	101:22, 101:25
78:18	applied	50:5, 52:1,	atlantic
anticipate	43:13	52:15, 64:25,	3:6
49:3	applies	65:18, 67:2,	attending
any	26:12, 26:14,	67:18, 70:23,	5:13
11:23, 13:9,	26:12, 26:14, 26:18	73:3, 75:7,	austin
13:22, 13:23,		75:13, 75:15,	3:15, 5:7
14:9, 15:11,	apply 11:2, 21:3,	76:10, 77:12,	author
15:25, 16:24,		77:20	30:16
17:13, 17:17,	21:5, 27:2,	assign	author's
17:22, 17:24,	27:8, 27:16,	14:23, 14:24,	75:17
18:8, 19:17,	31:23, 32:1,	16:2, 59:23,	av
20:22, 26:8,	32:19, 37:4,	95:16	3:20
27:12, 30:5,	43:22, 58:20, 88:25	assigned	availability
30:25, 31:7,	applying	12:22, 13:2,	-
32:1, 48:16,		13:10, 13:24,	20:2, 20:9,
57:22, 62:10,	20:17, 41:5 april	14:9, 15:13,	20:19, 32:4, 59:23, 60:10,
62:14, 62:24,	1:15, 5:9	15:22, 16:10,	61:1, 62:5,
69:13, 69:18,		16:25, 17:12,	62:7, 62:15,
71:12, 74:1,	arbitrating 57:10	17:22, 18:7,	62:25, 72:21,
74:13, 82:9,		18:16, 19:4,	81:9, 81:24,
82:22, 84:4,	arbitration 58:12	19:12, 19:18,	82:3, 83:11,
86:23, 87:14,	architecture	19:19, 20:4,	84:1, 89:8,
88:10, 88:19,	58:11, 97:2	20:9, 20:15,	100:12
94:18, 94:24,	architectures	94:18, 94:24,	available
99:7, 101:19,		95:4, 95:21,	55:4, 56:15,
103:10	11:4	95:24, 96:13,	57:12, 59:4,
anything	arguments	97:4, 98:4,	59:8, 59:17,
19:11, 20:2,	36:12	98:14, 98:18	60:6, 60:18,
34:25, 64:15,	around	assigning	60:24, 62:12,
71:6, 76:23,	30:22, 30:24,	13:8, 14:15,	73:12, 80:2,
77:19, 80:8,	60:4, 88:7	21:22, 96:6	81:11, 82:10,
87:25, 88:1,	arrow	assignment	82:16, 82:19,
101:17, 102:1	67:19	15:3, 18:24,	82:22, 83:13,
apart	art	20:24, 21:17,	83:17, 83:18,
76:22	23:16, 31:22,	21:21, 22:8	83:20, 84:4,
appeal	40:19, 96:22	assignments	84:6, 84:10,
1:3	art's	21:7	84:12, 84:13,
appear	31:18	assuming	84:18, 85:4,
9:4, 9:7	ascribes	63:17, 71:24	
	96:5	· ·	

		-P	
85:16, 85:22,	45:20, 50:4,	97:19, 102:1,	beyond
85:24, 86:1,	53:5, 66:20,	103:3	32:19
86:4, 86:13,	68:25, 69:2,	beginning	bit
86:18, 87:2,	77:4, 82:4,	7:5, 18:6	11:1, 17:18,
87:3, 87:4,	83:8, 83:10,	begins	23:4, 23:19
87:6, 87:11,	83:25, 88:22	5:2	block
87:13, 88:2,	basic	behalf	31:2, 31:9,
88:5, 88:9,	9:9	3:2, 3:10	47:8, 47:18,
89:2, 89:9,	basically	behave	65:19, 65:20,
89:17, 89:18,	51:10, 81:23	91:6	67:6, 67:8,
90:7, 95:7,	basing	behavior	67:21, 68:23,
95:13, 96:4,	29:24	90:14, 90:19,	76:17, 80:16,
96:11, 97:1,	basis	92:3	82:14, 83:9,
100:23, 101:2	31:1, 31:8	being	84:19
avenue	because	9:10, 10:17,	blocks
3:6	7:9, 14:5,	14:23, 18:15,	50:16, 76:22
avoid	14:22, 15:5,	18:16, 21:22,	board
7:20, 8:1, 65:8	21:2, 40:22,	22:22, 24:9,	1:3, 34:9,
avoidance	45:14, 52:18,	24:14, 24:22,	35:24, 36:4,
9:23, 54:2,	59:5, 60:22,	25:23, 25:24,	37:4, 37:17,
64:24, 65:6,	68:16, 68:22,	26:4, 29:21,	38:6, 39:1
65:11, 70:23	69:23, 84:18,	30:10, 53:21,	board's
aware	86:3, 87:17,	62:20, 65:4,	33:25, 35:8,
35:14, 35:15	95:25	81:17, 83:13,	36:9, 36:13,
B	become	83:14, 84:22,	36:17, 36:20,
back	19:6, 19:13,	85:13, 85:22,	37:3, 37:8,
6:23, 17:19,	19:20, 87:11	88:9	38:14, 38:17,
22:7, 28:6,	becomes	belabor	38:22
39:2, 66:4,	20:16, 47:11	59:25	boston
67:11, 74:19,	been	believe	3:7
78:17, 81:22	19:12, 20:3,	11:21, 12:1,	both
band	27:17, 47:15,	21:25, 22:2,	24:4, 27:22,
24:3, 100:14	54:20, 62:3,	22:24, 37:4,	27:24, 27:25,
barricelli	82:25, 83:16	46:8, 46:14,	28:3, 44:1,
3:20	before	50:8, 56:18,	76:16, 77:8,
base	1:3, 2:6,	64:12, 74:12,	84:18
28:11, 29:2,	34:16, 35:3,	75:9, 87:19,	bottom
82:2, 82:3,	44:22, 45:16,	98:11, 101:5,	44:9, 61:14,
82:5, 83:4,	45:18, 46:20,	101:19, 102:3	61:20
86:20, 87:9,	47:7, 47:18,	besides	box
87:11, 88:14,	50:16, 51:7,	74:6, 74:14	51:7, 67:16
88:16, 88:22,	51:14, 53:2,	between	boxes
89:2	58:7, 62:3,	11:13, 12:4,	67:19
based	62:4, 67:6,	12:14, 40:20,	branches
6:8, 7:25,	67:8, 69:9,	57:10, 66:14,	27:25, 76:16,
37:17, 38:11,	72:10, 76:5,	77:24, 78:5,	77:8, 84:18
40:3, 41:13,	76:14, 79:25,	78:20, 79:17,	break
	83:15, 84:15,	82:17, 90:7	32:21, 32:25,
			1

		ipin 19, 2021	
61:6, 76:21,	calls	13:10, 14:10,	60:22, 61:23,
99:11, 99:13	8:12, 35:14,	14:17, 14:24,	82:21, 89:8,
breaking	41:14, 49:21,	15:9, 15:11,	92:24
31:4, 36:15	77:6	15:15, 15:16,	checked
bring	can't	15:17, 15:18,	20:19, 50:9,
79:3	79:12	15:20, 16:1,	50:24, 51:7,
brings	cannot	17:1, 17:4,	56:17, 57:1,
47:3	82:4	17:5, 19:7,	57:16, 58:5,
broad	capabilities	19:21, 21:6,	62:16, 63:1,
11:2	88:16	21:8, 21:13,	89:7
broader	capable	21:16, 21:23,	checking
11:14	18:16, 93:25	22:8, 22:11,	20:2, 20:8,
bryan	capacity	30:10, 30:19,	26:10, 32:4,
3:12, 5:16	81:12	30:25, 31:7,	45:17, 59:16,
bugeja	captured	32:2, 32:13,	60:5
92:20, 93:7,	99:2	32:17, 32:20,	checks
93:9, 93:14,	carrier	89:6, 89:8,	45:15
94:14, 95:23	89:16, 89:25	89:13, 89:19,	circle
busy	case	90:1, 90:2, 90:5	98:25
8:6, 47:6,	1:11, 6:24,	cdma-based	circles
47:22, 47:23,	16:13, 16:19,	11:4, 11:12,	95:12, 96:14
47:24, 54:23,	18:13, 19:25,	30:14	circumstance
55:1, 55:3,	20:13, 20:23,	certificate	80:11, 80:12
56:12, 56:23,	21:1, 21:11,	103:1	cite
57:2, 58:13,	32:6, 77:9,	certified	100:15
58:18, 59:13,	95:15, 103:10	2:7	claim
60:14, 60:17,	cases	certify	53:17, 55:8,
61:3, 61:15,	96:10	103:4	55:21, 55:23,
61:20, 61:23,	cause	cetera	58:16, 58:18,
65:10, 65:15,	46:24, 79:24,	65:15	58:21, 73:11,
66:2, 68:5,	84:23, 87:3	changed	73:14, 73:19,
71:21, 72:1,	caused	22:2, 101:19	73:20, 73:23,
72:4, 72:9,	8:14, 26:7	channel's	73:24, 73:25,
72:13, 72:24,	cc	13:16, 26:10,	74:4, 74:9,
74:6, 74:14,	64:8	75:13	74:15
74:22, 76:11,	cca	channelization	clarify
76:20, 78:6,	45:14, 45:20,	21:8	36:2, 39:10,
79:7, 79:18,	47:5, 47:9,	character	40:10, 43:1,
81:11, 82:6,	47:22, 49:10,	72:14	46:16, 57:21,
85:15, 90:8, 91:22, 92:10	49:25, 50:13,	characterizing	64:3, 87:5,
	53:5, 54:2,	77:15	91:14
C	54:7, 64:8,	charlotte	clause
california	66:1, 66:5,	1:23, 2:6,	81:9
2:8	74:25, 75:17,	5:23, 103:3,	clear 38:20, 50:4,
call	77:2, 79:24	103:20 check	38:20, 50:4, 50:15, 51:25,
93:17	cdma	17:5, 51:2,	52:14, 64:24,
called	11:4, 12:22,	56:21, 59:5,	65:5, 65:18,
75:9	12:23, 13:3,	JU.ZI, JY:J,	UJ.J, UJ.IO,
		.p.m 10, 2021	
--------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	----------------
67:2, 67:17,	21:3	88:6	communicated
70:23, 72:21,	codes	combination	99:3
73:2, 75:7,	12:22, 13:2,	45:4, 48:2,	communication
75:12, 75:14,	13:8, 13:10,	48:15, 48:22,	10:24, 21:5,
76:10, 77:11,	13:24, 14:9,	48:25, 49:6,	29:2, 29:21,
77:18, 77:19,	14:24, 15:3,	49:13, 50:6,	30:2, 30:13,
77:23	15:4, 15:13,	51:17, 52:11,	30:18, 30:25,
clearer	15:22, 16:2,	53:9, 53:20,	31:8, 32:1,
57:22	16:25, 17:6,	54:10, 54:14,	32:13, 32:17,
clearly	17:14, 17:24,	54:25, 55:16,	34:15, 35:25,
48:4	18:4, 18:7,	55:17, 56:3,	85:14, 87:20,
client	18:9, 18:15,	56:10, 56:19,	96:12, 98:7
90:7, 94:17,	18:22, 20:25,	56:24, 57:3,	communications
95:3, 98:4	21:17, 21:22,	57:15, 58:3,	22:10, 52:20,
close	22:9, 23:21,	64:13, 65:17,	97:15
77:17, 102:2	23:23, 60:5,	65:21, 68:3,	completely
co-channels	62:7, 81:10,	68:6, 76:9	67:24
12:4, 12:8	81:16, 81:25,	combine	concept
code	82:16, 82:22,	69:22	11:5
13:16, 14:16,	83:11, 84:1,	combined	concluded
14:23, 17:9,	85:4, 86:3,	41:17, 41:21,	102:7
17:11, 17:14,	86:10, 86:13,	46:25, 77:13	concludes
17:21, 17:24,	86:18, 87:4,	combining	102:5
18:5, 18:6,	87:16, 87:17,	39:7	conclusion
19:4, 19:6,	88:2, 88:5,	come	58:23, 64:17,
19:12, 19:13,	88:25, 89:2,	81:22	80:8
19:18, 19:19,	89:9	comes	conclusions
19:20, 20:3,	coding	87:10	78:15
20:9, 20:15,	32:8 collision	coming	conducted
22:22, 24:9,	54:1, 64:24,	27:11, 52:22,	1:14, 2:2
24:22, 32:4,	65:8, 65:11,	52:23, 52:24,	conform
59:5, 59:7,	70:22	69:7, 84:19	93:15
59:17, 60:24,	column	common	connection
61:1, 82:19,	9:8, 10:22,	35:14, 35:18,	29:15
82:20, 83:5,	22:17, 25:12,	35:21, 35:23,	considered
83:12, 83:13, 83:17, 83:24,	30:12, 30:16,	36:3, 37:7,	35:11, 36:10,
84:4, 84:5,	30:21, 58:25,	39:14, 39:20, 40:14, 40:21,	36:11, 36:16,
87:16, 87:17,	60:4, 60:21,	40:14, 40:21, 41:9, 41:22,	36:19
88:2, 88:9,	61:15, 61:20,	41:9, 41:22, 42:3, 42:10,	considering
88:10, 88:19,	81:23, 81:25,	42:13, 45:13,	35:2
89:7, 89:9	82:7, 83:3,	47:10, 56:4,	consistent
code's	83:21, 83:23,	56:6, 56:8,	36:13, 36:17,
20:18	85:7, 85:8,	69:19, 75:8,	36:20, 101:11
code-based	85:10, 85:21,	75:11, 75:13,	constraints
12:14, 14:5,	86:5, 86:6,	75:19, 76:2,	24:4
32:17, 32:20	86:9, 86:14,	77:3, 77:6	constructed
coded	86:15, 87:8,	communicate	37:24
10:14, 12:21,		98:25	construction
			34:20, 35:8,
	1		1

108

	Conducted on A	ipin 10, 2021	
36:9, 36:13,	79:1, 79:8,	date	declaration
36:17, 36:21,	79:19, 80:4,	5:9	4:12, 6:23,
37:3, 37:8,	80:6, 80:20,	deals	8:18, 10:1,
37:18, 38:11,	82:8, 82:11,	9:19	15:7, 28:7,
38:18, 38:22,	85:25, 89:1,	decide	28:18, 36:12,
38:25, 39:22,	91:19, 91:20,	47:19	36:19, 39:2,
39:25, 40:3,	93:21, 96:7,	decided	43:8, 45:1,
40:11, 40:16,	96:8, 98:8,	69:9, 84:16	63:22, 94:4,
41:6, 41:10,	100:16, 101:8,	decision	94:14, 94:23,
41:24, 42:15,	103:5	4:10, 9:22,	97:8, 100:8,
42:24, 43:3,	correctly	15:7, 21:10,	100:11, 101:21
97:16, 97:17,	37:10, 39:15,	26:8, 26:15,	default
97:20	46:3, 59:19,	26:20, 27:10,	40:22
construed	77:15	27:17, 27:25,	definition
37:5	could	29:19, 31:1,	45:22
context	7:7, 17:14,	31:2, 31:9,	delayed
42:6	17:15, 17:24,	32:6, 34:1,	55:4
control	18:22, 22:3,	41:13, 43:14,	deliver
60:13	42:19, 62:23,	43:19, 44:5,	11:1
controlled	74:16, 95:14,	44:6, 44:11,	delivered
60:12	95:16, 96:2,	44:22, 45:1,	23:4, 23:19
controls	96:12, 96:14,	45:17, 45:19,	depends
57:8, 58:10,	97:3, 98:2	45:25, 46:1,	88:20, 90:12,
59:12	counsel	46:4, 46:9,	90:19, 91:2,
conventional	5:14, 6:2, 6:6,	46:20, 46:21,	91:8, 91:11,
14:17, 17:4,	6:19, 92:21,	47:8, 47:12,	92:1
19:7, 19:21	99:4, 103:9	47:13, 47:17,	depicted
corporation	court	49:20, 49:23,	27:10
1:8, 3:11, 5:6	5:6, 5:22	50:3, 50:7,	depos
correct	creating 25:14	50:16, 50:21,	5:12, 5:24
8:11, 8:23,		50:22, 50:24, 53:4, 54:5,	deposition
9:17, 10:3,	CSMA	61:24, 62:3,	1:13, 2:1, 5:3,
10:10, 18:17,	47:5, 47:9,	63:11, 63:23,	101:18, 102:5,
21:24, 27:24,	47:22, 49:10, 49:25, 50:13,	64:1, 64:11,	102:7, 103:4
28:2, 28:5,	54:7, 64:8,	66:18, 66:19,	describe
32:14, 35:21,	89:16, 90:3,	66:20, 67:5,	15:6, 24:21,
38:23, 41:24, 42:25, 43:5,	90:5, 90:6,	67:12, 69:2,	28:18, 29:4,
42:25, 45:5, 49:7, 50:17,	90:11, 90:14,	69:4, 69:5,	30:12, 39:7,
51:8, 52:3,	90:21, 91:5,	69:24, 76:17,	54:12, 54:14,
52:11, 55:19,	91:21, 91:24,	76:23, 81:1,	56:20, 57:15,
60:7, 67:9,	92:4, 92:8	82:14, 82:25,	77:24, 78:3, 78:19, 79:1,
68:13, 70:2,	CSr	83:1, 83:8,	79:4, 79:6,
70:7, 70:10,	1:23, 103:20	83:9, 83:16,	79:13, 79:14,
73:21, 74:15,	currently	83:24, 84:15,	79:16, 80:3,
75:3, 75:10,	84:22	84:19, 85:23	80:4, 83:12,
75:15, 75:24,	D	decision's	84:11, 85:21,
77:25, 78:7,	data	47:14	94:17, 97:12
	59:7, 60:23		
	59.1, 00.25		

	Conducted	on April 15, 2021	110
described	76:25, 81:24	directly	25:7, 27:15,
11:17, 11:24,	determine	58:20, 72:16	30:8, 34:19,
21:13, 29:15,	47:6, 47:23,	disagree	36:25, 37:2,
48:21, 49:12,	57:12, 66:2,	38:13, 39:1	41:12, 44:22,
49:15, 50:7,	73:12, 73:15,	disclose	46:19, 48:15,
58:4, 58:12,	73:19, 75:1,	34:21, 41:13,	49:19, 50:11,
62:10, 65:19,	75:18, 91:5	53:3, 54:22	53:2, 61:24,
65:22, 67:3,	determined	disclosed	62:4, 63:22,
85:19, 96:24	13:16, 55:1,	27:18, 83:20,	64:18, 76:5,
describes	55:2, 57:2,	96:19, 100:4	76:14, 77:21,
13:15, 13:24,	65:14, 68:5,	discloses	79:25, 97:19
14:8, 22:21,	68:20, 76:11,	28:25, 35:7,	discusses
24:9, 31:12,	83:2	52:19, 80:16,	9:13, 9:15,
62:11, 62:19,	determines	82:15	10:9, 10:23,
62:20, 93:19,	9:19, 28:11,	disclosure	11:4, 11:14,
93:20	58:18, 62:7,	15:10, 26:12,	24:13
describing	80:1, 86:23	31:25, 38:25,	discussing
25:13, 26:9,	determining	47:10, 49:9,	8:23, 12:9,
26:10, 31:12,	17:9, 17:11,	54:5, 54:22,	15:15, 24:16,
49:5, 82:18,	17:21, 23:18,	57:6, 59:21,	25:6, 30:1,
82:21, 84:3,	58:17, 59:18,	60:3, 64:7,	35:16, 48:9,
84:9, 88:8,	73:9, 74:1,	64:10, 65:5,	52:10, 53:6,
96:22, 100:21	74:5, 82:15	66:19, 66:22,	63:10, 71:7,
description	device	66:24, 67:22,	75:23, 76:1,
4:9	28:10, 40:23,	71:15, 73:4,	81:15, 81:16,
desirability	47:6, 74:10,	79:3, 81:21,	82:13, 91:13
10:25, 24:14	74:17, 77:25,	83:18, 83:23,	discussion
desirable	78:5, 78:20,	85:11, 88:4,	32:10, 32:12,
9:20, 23:1,	79:18, 95:20,	88:21, 95:5	38:16, 69:18,
28:11, 43:16,	96:6, 98:9,	disclosures	70:1, 70:6,
59:6, 60:23	98:11, 98:18,	14:6, 27:2,	70:9, 70:16,
desire	98:22, 98:23,	41:15, 53:15,	71:13, 71:22,
23:9	98:24, 98:25,	61:22, 76:18,	72:5, 76:3,
details	99:1	83:2	78:16, 82:7, 84:2, 85:2,
12:25, 21:7,	devices	discuss	84:2, 65:2, 87:14
54:7, 65:13	23:4	9:1, 9:16,	discussions
determination	dickinson	13:19, 18:3,	69:12
13:23, 27:18,	3:13 difference	28:24, 35:21,	distinct
47:21, 50:11,		40:18, 48:6, 52:3, 60:16,	10:17, 11:10
51:22, 52:17,	44:4, 66:14		district
52:21, 54:23,	different	76:6, 77:22,	5:6, 5:7
57:6, 61:2,	10:14, 11:18, 11:25, 14:23,	81:24, 82:1 discussed	division
65:4, 68:8, 68:24, 68:25,	26:5, 36:9,	9:8, 10:17,	5:7
71:25, 72:9,	93 : 11	10:21, 11:8,	doing
72:12, 72:13,	directed	21:9, 21:15,	75:6, 75:7,
72:12, 72:13, 72:24, 73:14,	52:18, 54:20	22:24, 23:9,	75:12
74:13, 75:19,	direction	23:21, 24:24,	done
, I.	103:8	23.21, 27.27,	59:5, 60:22,
	100.0		,

Conducted on April 15, 2021			
67:3	embodiment	esquire	71:22, 85:1
down	11:7, 11:8,	3:4, 3:12	explicitly
7:5, 28:9,	11:10, 11:13,	et	8:25, 24:21,
87:9, 95:8	11:17, 21:13,	5:5, 65:15	27:6, 77:22,
dr	30:11, 31:14,	even	79:14
6:14, 6:22,	31:24, 32:16,	62:16, 63:1,	expressed
33:8, 61:13,	59:3, 59:21,	86:12, 86:17	15:1, 16:4,
99:10, 100:1	61:4, 61:13,	event	16:11, 16:18,
drawing	61:19, 62:10,	71:20, 74:21,	16:24, 18:19,
78:13, 78:15	62:14, 62:24,	74:22	39:24, 42:2,
drew	77:14, 96:24	exactly	42:18, 42:21,
3:19, 5:11	embodiments	75:16	45:6, 57:4,
due	21:9, 27:22	examination	97:21
37:5, 38:21,	employed	4:4, 4:5, 6:20,	extended
58:11, 85:12	103:10	99:24	95:8, 95:13,
during	encoded	example	95:25, 96:2,
101:18	44:5	8:3, 15:16,	96:15, 97:4
E	end	18:5, 18:9,	extended-range
e	40:8, 88:7	18:15, 18:22,	95:15
28:10	ensued	31:14, 32:15,	extensions
each	33:4, 61:9,	44:24, 62:6,	52:20
60:2	93:3, 99:21	64:22, 95:6,	extent
earlier	enter	95:17, 95:18,	80:15
21:15, 50:3,	69:9	95:23, 97:1,	F
100:3, 101:5	entering	98:15	facility
eb	49:25, 51:14,	except	58:10
9:6, 9:8,	62:4, 82:24,	76:6	fact
10:25, 12:19,	83:15, 84:15	excuse	6:9
23:16	enters	90:25	faded
either	98:25	exemplary	10:4, 35:17,
18:14, 32:21,	entire	32:16	40:7
42:3, 42:10,	32:10, 71:5,	exhibit	fail
42:13, 42:23,	73:16	4:10, 4:12,	68:9
43:2, 43:22,	entirely	4:14, 4:15,	fair
44:2, 44:20,	21:20, 46:23	4:16, 6:24,	7:13, 9:12,
56:8	environment	22:13, 33:10,	14:15, 20:17,
element	24:16, 24:25,	92:19, 100:5	27:4, 39:8,
28:4, 48:23,	25:5, 25:8,	exhibits	74:19, 79:5,
49:3, 50:2,	25:13	4:9	79:15, 84:3,
53:17, 55:8,	equivalent	expect	91:15
57:6, 73:24	65:25, 66:23,	19:13, 19:20	familiar
elements	66:25, 69:10,	expected	15:17
45:21	85:17	30:15	far
else	error	explained	12:10, 77:16
102:1	11:1, 23:4,	97:25	fcc
emanating	23:19	explaining	23:10, 24:1,
76:16	errors	100:10	24:6, 25:2, 25:3
	19:17	explicit	
		26:23, 71:15,	

		JII APIII 15, 2021	
feedback	77:7, 80:25,	four	generated
67:10, 67:13	82:14, 82:25,	30:9	14:10
feel	83:15, 83:20,	frequencies	geographic
14:3	84:15, 85:17,	94:2, 100:23	95:9, 96:16
few	94:14, 94:17,	frequency	gigahertz
99:8, 100:1	95:6, 95:12,	10:17, 11:10,	100:14
figure	97:2	11:18, 11:19,	give
21:5, 25:16,	figures	11:25, 12:5,	37:12
25:19, 25:22,	7:14, 85:20	12:10, 12:15,	given
26:9, 26:13,	financial	24:6, 25:1,	23:10, 24:25,
26:15, 26:19,	103:11	25:3, 35:15,	30:15, 71:21,
27:3, 27:9,	fine	35:18, 35:22,	72:4, 72:5,
27:11, 27:16,	6:11, 6:13,	35:23, 36:3,	98:11, 103:6
28:4, 29:20,	99:7, 99:18	37:7, 39:14,	go
31:13, 31:14,	fingers	39:21, 40:14,	30:13, 32:22,
31:19, 31:23,	91:16	40:21, 41:9,	32:23, 33:19,
32:11, 43:20,	first	41:22, 42:4,	34:4, 39:3,
43:21, 43:22,	34:5, 56:5,	42:10, 42:13,	46:17, 55:9,
44:15, 44:18,	59:2, 82:17,	45:13, 47:11,	67:20, 78:17,
44:19, 44:24,	82:24, 89:6,	54:4, 56:4,	92:24, 97:11
45:3, 45:8,	101:19	56:6, 56:8,	goes
45:18, 45:19,	fit	65:2, 69:19,	11:3
46:2, 46:17,	52:16	75:8, 77:3,	going
46:19, 46:22,	five	77:6, 96:15	19:11, 67:19,
47:9, 47:13,	30:9	frequency-based	67:20
47:15, 47:16,	floor	22:11	granting
47:18, 48:1,	12:19	friday	4:10
48:7, 48:8,	flowchart	22:24, 23:10,	great
48:10, 48:16,	25:19, 44:21,	23:22, 34:19,	99:19
48:25, 49:13,	49:20, 50:1	37:2, 38:17,	greenfield
49:15, 49:19,	follow	98:1, 101:19	3:5, 5:19, 5:21
50:1, 50:6,	12:10	full	
50:17, 50:21,	foregoing	34:5	greg
51:7, 51:8,	103:4, 103:5	fun	5:18
51:11, 51:15,	formally	94:9	gregory
61:24, 61:25,	6:3	fundamentally	3:4
62:4, 63:4,	formed	15:7	guess
63:9, 63:12,	36:8, 37:11,	G	7:5, 38:5, 88:6
63:15, 63:20,			Н
65:21, 65:24,	38:15, 42:9,	gave	half
65:25, 66:3,	42:12, 43:2	66:12	81:8
66:17, 66:23,	forming	general	halton
66:24, 66:25,	14:2, 35:4,	13:9, 30:13,	3:19, 5:11
67:2, 67:4,	40:2, 45:11,	65:5, 78:15	hand
67:16, 67:25,	69:16	generally	103:15
68:1, 68:2,	found	9:15, 89:6,	handy
69:8, 69:10,	88:2, 89:9,	90:3, 90:15,	33:11
69:11, 76:15,	90:8, 91:22,	91:5	hang
	92:10		81:20

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2
Conducted on April 15, 2021

	Conducted 0	1 /	
happen	54:6, 54:21,	80:24, 81:4,	inclusion
14:17, 68:4,	57:7, 95:10,	89:18, 89:19,	53:3
76:10, 78:4,	96:1	90:9, 90:10,	incorporate
79:6, 79:16	hypothetical	91:22, 91:23,	42:6, 49:3,
happening	87:13, 87:19,	92:11	49:23, 96:15
49:12, 50:16	89:22, 90:4,	illustrated	incorporated
happens	92:7	27:12	51:13
54:25, 56:10,	I	implementation	incorporates
56:11, 65:14,	ideal	11:5	49:9, 53:14
84:9, 84:11,	23:22	implementations	incorporation
90:21	identifies	41:16	42:7, 49:11,
happy	27:6	implemented	64:8
13:19, 18:3	identify	92:4, 92:9	incorrect
hate	5:14, 26:22	implementing	38:23, 41:25,
59:25	idle	20:14	78:9, 78:10,
hear	7:8, 7:9, 7:15,	important	78:12, 78:16,
73:16, 79:12	7:17, 7:25, 8:6,	14:1, 14:4,	79:20, 79:22,
heard	26:10, 26:16,	14:7, 14:12,	79:23, 81:6,
101:17	26:25, 27:1,	14:14, 14:21,	85:5, 85:6, 86:7
help	27:5, 41:14,	15:23, 16:12,	incorrectly
46:15	45:15, 47:6,	16:20, 21:10,	37:5, 57:20
here	47:22, 47:24,	23:18, 32:5,	increase
5:2, 7:13,	50:8, 50:12,	57:5	23:1
13:2, 13:14,	50:23, 51:2,	improving	increased
17:18, 18:20,	51:6, 51:21,	29:1	12:20
31:11, 35:5,	52:21, 54:23,	inaccuracy	independent
36:4, 37:4,	56:16, 56:22,	66 : 15	29:20
37:6, 42:22,	56:24, 57:16,	inaccurate	indicate
88:21, 92:16,	58:4, 58:13,	66:10	63:6
94:10, 94:11,	58:17, 58:18,	inc	indicated
97:12, 97:23,	59:13, 59:18,	1:5, 3:2, 3:3,	63:14
101:25	60:14, 60:17,	5:4	indication
hereby	61:3, 61:23,	include	64:5
6:15, 103:4	63:7, 63:14,	32:3, 45:2,	indirectly
hereunto	63:18, 63:24,	45:3, 45:8,	72:17, 72:18,
103:14	64:2, 64:6,	45:21, 47:13,	72:20
hi	65:5, 66:2,	47:16, 47:17,	infinite
100:1	66:20, 68:6,	49:24, 54:18,	23:23, 23:25
high-level	68:8, 68:17,	54:19, 63:5,	inform
15:25	68:21, 72:9,	63:21, 67:16,	31:17
hold	72:14, 72:24,	68:3, 71:10,	information
33:16, 44:8,	73:10, 73:13,	71:12, 72:8,	29:1, 58:13,
69:14, 69:16	73:15, 73:20,	84:19	84:24, 92:6
hour	73:23, 74:2,	included	informed
81:8	74:7, 74:11,	67:12, 76:16	53:17
however	74:15, 74:18,	includes	infringing
9:5, 11:14,	76:12, 80:14,	47:5, 67:21	74:9, 74:16
27:7, 31:24,		including	inherency
		69:23	87:20
	1		

		A	
inherent	K	101:15, 101:22	44:9, 60:4,
45:15	key	leakage	81:23, 83:3,
inherently	45:21	7:21, 8:2,	83:21, 85:7,
87:21	keyboard	8:10, 8:13, 9:1,	85:8, 85:10,
inquiring	79:11	9:4, 9:16, 12:4,	85:20, 88:7
8:6	kind	12:13, 26:6,	look
instead	42:19	84:23	51:10, 76:15,
6:4, 82:21	know	least	85:7
institution	13:1, 13:20,	27:23, 28:1,	looked
4:11	15:20, 17:4,	59:4, 100:13	62:18, 81:8,
instructions	18:20, 17:4,	left	81:18
32:3	29:13, 30:8,	92:22	looking
intend	33:11, 33:12,	let's	94:22
8:16	37:6, 60:14,	32:25, 35:13,	loop
interest	61:21, 63:4,	46:17, 99:12	66:4, 67:10,
103:11	84:17, 89:12,	level	67 : 13
interference	90:16, 90:22,	23:18	lower
8:7, 8:9, 8:12,	90.10, 90.22, 92:9	lieu	26:5
8:14, 9:6, 9:7,	knowledge	6:3	lowering
9:15, 9:23,	96:21	likewise	10:25
84:23	known	62:14, 78:3	lundby's
interpretation	23:15, 49:10,	limit	18:7, 25:19,
37:25	54:2, 64:24,	96:8	30:11, 39:11,
invented	65:11, 70:23,	limitations	40:20, 41:16,
11:6	101:6, 101:12	82:5	43:14, 44:11
±±•0			
invention		limited	M
invention 11:15, 25:14	knows	limited 22:22, 23:21,	
11:15, 25:14	knows 59 : 13	22:22, 23:21,	made
11:15, 25:14 involve	knows 59:13 L	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9,	made 13:22, 13:23,
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8	knows 59:13 L lacey	22:22, 23:21,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15,</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6,	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3,	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20,</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20,</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9,</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22,</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3,	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17,</pre>
<pre>11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21,</pre>	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14,</pre>
<pre>11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14,</pre>	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7,</pre>
<pre>11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3,</pre>	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14,</pre>
<pre>11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3, 80:4, 96:20</pre>	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24 largest	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24 line 7:4, 7:6, 9:9, 22:25, 25:12,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7, 67:15, 78:17,</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3, 80:4, 96:20 J	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24 largest 23:13, 24:14,	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24 line 7:4, 7:6, 9:9, 22:25, 25:12, 30:25, 31:7,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7, 67:15, 78:17, 96:17</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3, 80:4, 96:20 J jillian	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24 largest 23:13, 24:14, 25:4	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24 line 7:4, 7:6, 9:9, 22:25, 25:12, 30:25, 31:7, 58:25, 60:21,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7, 67:15, 78:17, 96:17 makes</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3, 80:4, 96:20 J jillian 3:20	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24 largest 23:13, 24:14, 25:4 last	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24 line 7:4, 7:6, 9:9, 22:25, 25:12, 30:25, 31:7, 58:25, 60:21, 82:1, 86:16	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7, 67:15, 78:17, 96:17 makes 54:14 making</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3, 80:4, 96:20 J jillian 3:20 job	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24 largest 23:13, 24:14, 25:4 last 10:21	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24 line 7:4, 7:6, 9:9, 22:25, 25:12, 30:25, 31:7, 58:25, 60:21, 82:1, 86:16 lines	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7, 67:15, 78:17, 96:17 makes 54:14</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3, 80:4, 96:20 J jillian 3:20 job 1:21	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24 largest 23:13, 24:14, 25:4 last 10:21 leading	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24 line 7:4, 7:6, 9:9, 22:25, 25:12, 30:25, 31:7, 58:25, 60:21, 82:1, 86:16 lines 10:23, 22:18,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7, 67:15, 78:17, 96:17 makes 54:14 making 9:21, 43:24,</pre>
<pre>11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3, 80:4, 96:20 J jillian 3:20 job 1:21 joining</pre>	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24 largest 23:13, 24:14, 25:4 last 10:21	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24 line 7:4, 7:6, 9:9, 22:25, 25:12, 30:25, 31:7, 58:25, 60:21, 82:1, 86:16 lines 10:23, 22:18, 28:9, 30:12,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7, 67:15, 78:17, 96:17 makes 54:14 making 9:21, 43:24, 48:1, 48:24,</pre>
11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3, 80:4, 96:20 J jillian 3:20 job 1:21	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24 largest 23:13, 24:14, 25:4 last 10:21 leading	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24 line 7:4, 7:6, 9:9, 22:25, 25:12, 30:25, 31:7, 58:25, 60:21, 82:1, 86:16 lines 10:23, 22:18,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7, 67:15, 78:17, 96:17 makes 54:14 making 9:21, 43:24, 48:1, 48:24, 58:23</pre>
<pre>11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3, 80:4, 96:20 J jillian 3:20 job 1:21 joining</pre>	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24 largest 23:13, 24:14, 25:4 last 10:21 leading	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24 line 7:4, 7:6, 9:9, 22:25, 25:12, 30:25, 31:7, 58:25, 60:21, 82:1, 86:16 lines 10:23, 22:18, 28:9, 30:12,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7, 67:15, 78:17, 96:17 makes 54:14 making 9:21, 43:24, 48:1, 48:24, 58:23 mandatory</pre>
<pre>11:15, 25:14 involve 15:8 ipr 1:11, 5:8 ipr-004 4:10 issues 25:23, 97:20 itself 25:8, 34:21, 53:2, 71:14, 78:25, 80:3, 80:4, 96:20 J jillian 3:20 job 1:21 joining</pre>	knows 59:13 L lacey 1:23, 2:6, 5:23, 103:3, 103:20 laid 48:4 language 35:24, 36:3, 97:13 lans 100:24 largest 23:13, 24:14, 25:4 last 10:21 leading	22:22, 23:21, 24:1, 24:9, 24:10, 24:22, 25:2, 25:23, 82:1, 86:19, 88:23, 88:24, 96:25 limiting 82:2, 88:13 limits 24:2, 85:13, 86:22, 86:24 line 7:4, 7:6, 9:9, 22:25, 25:12, 30:25, 31:7, 58:25, 60:21, 82:1, 86:16 lines 10:23, 22:18, 28:9, 30:12,	<pre>made 13:22, 13:23, 47:14, 47:15, 83:16 make 38:19, 38:20, 39:16, 39:20, 40:14, 41:9, 41:20, 41:22, 51:5, 51:17, 51:21, 57:14, 60:1, 67:7, 67:15, 78:17, 96:17 makes 54:14 making 9:21, 43:24, 48:1, 48:24, 58:23 mandatory</pre>

	Conducted on P	April 13, 2021	115
8:1, 34:10,	means	misunderstood	37:19, 38:7,
34:14, 34:22,	34:14, 38:6,	46:14, 62:22	38:10, 41:19,
35:7, 36:5,	83:17	mobile	69:13, 70:5,
37:8, 37:18,	measured	23:4, 77:24,	71:10, 71:12,
37:25, 38:8,	23:5	78:5, 78:20,	71:13, 71:16,
38:11, 38:22,	mechanism	79:18	71:20, 71:22,
39:21, 40:3,	54:1, 64:23,	mobile's	71:25, 72:3,
40:15, 41:10,	70:22	57:11	72:6, 72:8,
41:23, 42:4,	mediatek	modification	72:16, 72:18,
42:15, 42:24,	1:5, 3:2, 5:4,	48:9, 48:10	72:19, 72:22,
43:3, 45:14,	5:19, 6:12	modifications	72:24, 73:3,
45:22, 47:11,	meet	14:9	73:4, 73:9,
47:24, 49:21,	35:23, 36:3,	modified	73:12, 74:21,
50:13, 53:3,	42:14, 42:24,	45:2, 45:8,	74:22, 74:24,
53:6, 55:2,	97:16	47:2, 50:25,	75:3, 75:15,
55:4, 55:5,	meets	51:6, 51:22,	77:24, 78:4,
55:7, 55:13,	37:8, 43:3	63:4, 63:20,	78:19, 79:1,
55:18, 55:22,	mentioned	68:2	79:5, 79:14,
55:24, 55:25,	46:8	modify	79:16, 79:17,
56:6, 56:14,	method	45:3, 48:12,	80:3, 80:5,
58:19, 68:8,	7:13, 25:18,	48:25, 67:16	83:25, 89:17,
68:16, 73:15,	25:22, 28:25	modifying	90:6, 94:1,
73:20, 73:23,	methods	47:16, 48:6,	95:21, 96:2,
74:6, 74:10,	21:8, 22:21,	48:16	96:3, 97:3,
74:14, 74:17,	25:20	monitor	98:6, 98:20,
77:14, 97:14,	midway	5:10	98:23, 100:23,
97:20	43:11	more	101:1, 101:7,
manner	mind	39:24, 65:6,	101:13
39:18, 40:5,	6:24, 8:17,	69:13, 91:1,	must
40:12, 41:7	22:13, 22:17,	91:11, 92:6	18:7, 34:16,
many	25:15, 30:20,	most	59:4, 83:7
30:1	33:8, 43:7,	66:11, 89:24,	mutually
massachusetts	43:23, 44:1,	90:21, 90:23,	23:24
3:7	90:4, 94:3	91:16, 92:4	<u> </u>
matter	minutes	motivated	n0
5:4, 19:24,	92:22, 99:9,	69:22	9:6, 9:7, 9:8,
20:21	99:16, 100:1	move	10:25, 12:19,
maybe	mis	32:23	23:17
33:23, 46:7,	72:2	moves	nathan
48:13, 62:22,	mischaracterized	98:24, 99:2	5:20
72:2, 86:11 mean	57:25	ms3	nature
	miss	66:3	14:5, 15:9,
23:3, 85:20,	57:19	multichannel	38:24, 41:14,
88:20, 90:23, 95:3	misspoke	34:15, 35:25,	45:16, 47:7,
meaning	72:2	93:20, 93:24,	51:3, 54:23,
7:13, 45:23,	misunderstand	97:15, 98:3,	57:12, 58:14,
7:13, 45:23, 59:3	46:9, 46:12	98:16, 98:21	58:19, 59:13,
	misunderstanding	multiple	
	46:16, 48:13	27:21, 30:1,	
		1	

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2
Conducted on April 15, 2021

	Conducted on F	ipin 10, 2021	110
60:14, 60:17,	0	36:1, 36:6,	oh
66:2, 66:21,	o'clock	36:14, 36:23,	92:23
72:9, 81:11,	33:3	37:1, 38:4,	okay
91:12	object	38:12, 39:23,	7:19, 8:17,
necessarily	6:7, 7:22,	40:17, 41:1,	8:21, 10:16,
90:2	15:21, 28:19,	41:11, 42:1,	22:20, 25:10,
necessary	58:24, 63:25,	42:17, 45:5,	26:1, 28:17,
51:2	65:23, 66:8,	45:10, 46:5,	29:18, 33:1,
need	66:16, 70:11,	48:3, 48:18,	33:14, 33:19,
6:25, 34:25,	70:17, 71:23,	49:2, 49:16,	37:6, 39:10,
48:12, 92:6,	73:7, 75:4,	50:10, 50:19,	39:16, 43:6,
99:14	75:25, 78:2,	51:1, 51:9,	44:3, 44:7,
needs	78:8, 78:22,	52:7, 52:25,	46:15, 54:8,
59:7, 60:24	79:10, 79:21,	53:13, 53:22,	54:18, 57:19,
neither	81:5, 82:12,	53:24, 54:16,	61:5, 64:15,
27:5, 103:9	82:23, 84:14,	55:20, 58:1,	65:3, 65:12,
new	88:3, 89:10,	58:6, 59:20,	66:10, 67:4,
35:4, 35:12,	89:14, 89:21,	61:17, 63:8,	67:15, 68:2,
67:21	90:17, 90:24,	63:16, 68:7,	71:3, 71:19,
nippon	91:3, 91:7,	70:3, 74:8,	72:11, 76:8,
1:8, 3:10, 5:5,	91:25, 92:5,	74:23, 101:3,	76:21, 77:10,
5:17	92:13, 97:18,	101:10, 101:15,	77:18, 80:7,
nobody	98:5, 98:19	101:22	85:6, 93:15,
94:10, 94:11	objection	obviousness	93:18, 94:3,
noise	9:3, 9:18,	48:22, 48:24	94:12, 95:3,
12:19, 23:17	10:20, 11:11,	occupied	95:19, 96:17,
non-transmission	11:20, 12:6,	84:22	97:6, 99:15,
85:12	12:16, 12:24,	occupy	99:19, 100:10,
normal	13:4, 13:12,	11:18, 11:24,	100:20, 101:17,
66:5	13:17, 13:25,	12:4, 12:9,	101:25
normally	14:11, 14:19,	12:15	once
14:17	14:25, 15:14,	occur	19:17
nothing	15:19, 16:3,	26:21, 27:13,	one
18:12, 101:25	16:8, 16:17,	27:20, 34:16,	7:23, 9:21,
notice	17:2, 17:7,	34:17, 64:12,	10:6, 17:14,
2:6	17:16, 17:20,	65:20, 74:16	17:23, 18:7,
ntt	18:1, 18:11,	occurs	18:9, 18:22,
6:13	18:18, 19:2,	45:18, 50:22,	19:13, 23:22, 27:21, 27:23,
number	19:8, 19:15,	81:1 offered	28:1, 28:12,
5:3, 5:7, 6:25,	19:22, 20:5,		34:14, 35:24,
11:3, 23:3,	20:11, 20:20,	101:7, 101:13, 101:20	37:12, 39:1,
23:5, 23:6,	21:19, 22:1,		39:24, 43:23,
23:13, 23:23,	22:23, 24:12,	office	44:7, 51:16,
23:25, 24:5,	24:23, 26:2,	1:1 officer	59:3, 59:4,
24:15, 25:4,	26:11, 27:14,		59:21, 66:12,
33:23, 33:24,	29:6, 32:9,	103:3 often	72:3, 74:21,
100:4	34:23, 34:24,	23:5, 91:1	74:22, 74:24,
numbered		23:3, 91:1	,,
94:8			

_		Conducted on A	apin 13, 2021		11/
ſ	78:6, 79:6,	31:11, 31:16,	76:6, 85:3,	33:25, 37:13	
	79:17, 89:12,	35:4, 35:6,	88:1, 89:9,	papers	
	89:17, 90:8,	35:12, 36:8,	89:12, 92:4,	31:3	
	91:21, 92:3,	36:16, 37:2,	92:16	paragraph	
	92:10, 92:16,	37:11, 38:15,	others		
	94:23, 95:4,			6:23, 7:2, 7:3,	
		38:17, 38:20,	17:15, 17:25	8:18, 8:22,	
	95:8, 95:21,	39:10, 39:25,	otherwise	9:25, 10:5,	
	96:6, 96:13,	40:2, 41:21,	7:15, 7:25,	10:6, 10:7,	
	97:14, 98:2,	42:2, 42:9,	103:11	10:22, 15:6,	
	98:16	42:12, 42:18,	out	24:13, 25:11,	
	only	42:21, 42:23,	10:4, 29:25,	28:6, 29:19,	
_	44:4, 44:17,	43:2, 45:6,	35:17, 40:7,	33:22, 34:6,	
	58:18, 63:10,	45:7, 45:11,	48:4, 84:19,	34:11, 37:16,	
	70:18, 71:18,	48:19, 53:8,	87:10, 99:4	39:3, 39:7,	
	73:22, 74:10,	53:12, 57:4,	outcome	40:18, 43:7,	
_	74:17, 75:2,	58:8, 60:9,	103:12	43:12, 43:25,	
_	75:22, 75:23,	65:24, 91:4,	outside	44:8, 48:5,	
_	95:4, 95:10,	92:2, 92:16,	12:18	48:11, 49:6,	
	95:11, 96:5,	97:22, 97:23	over	52:4, 52:10,	
	96:9, 96:25,	opinions	7:8, 9:6, 9:8,	52:19, 53:25,	
	98:3, 98:14,	21:1, 36:18,	9:20, 10:2,	54:12, 54:15,	
	98:17	101:20	10:9, 10:25,	55:9, 56:20,	
	open	order	12:19, 18:10,	57:15, 64:22,	
	22:14, 22:15	21:17, 51:17,	23:17, 28:12,	65:19, 67:3,	
	opening	51:21, 65:8,	34:15, 34:17,	67:18, 67:22,	
	22:13	81:25, 82:16	42:20, 59:7,	70:21, 71:2,	
	operate	ordinary	60:24, 87:22	71:3, 71:5,	
	87:21	31:17, 31:18,	owner	71:7, 71:9,	
	operations	31:21, 39:11,		75:16, 82:13,	
	63:10	40:19, 45:23,	1:9, 3:10, 5:17	94:4, 94:13,	
	operator	51:20, 96:21	P	94:23, 97:8,	
	-	organize	packet	100:7, 100:8,	
	23:11, 23:12	9:22	55:11	100:11, 100:15,	
	opined	originally	packets	100:16, 100:19,	
	15:23, 35:3,	20:15	7:8	100:22, 101:11	
	92:14		page	paragraphs	
_	opinion	orthogonal	4:2, 4:9,	8:22	
	8:2, 14:2,	23:24	33:15, 33:23,		
	14:7, 14:13,	other	33:24, 33:25,	<pre>parallel 40:20</pre>	
_	15:1, 15:2,	8:4, 8:8, 9:24,	37:13, 37:17,		
_	15:24, 16:4,	18:23, 21:5,	39:3, 43:9,	parameter	
_	16:11, 16:12,	24:9, 24:21,	44:10, 94:5,	30:25, 32:1	
_	16:18, 16:20,	30:18, 32:2,	94:7, 94:8	parameters	
	16:24, 18:13,	34:17, 44:21,	pages	31:7	
_	18:19, 19:3,	48:7, 54:3,	1:22	paraphrased	
	19:24, 19:25,	60:2, 65:1,	paper	39:15	
_	20:7, 20:13,	69:13, 69:19,	33:9, 33:12,	parenthesis	
	20:21, 20:22,	74:2, 74:5,	33:16, 33:18,	55:12	
	21:10, 27:8,	74:13, 76:4,	55.10, 55:10,	part	
				9:23, 21:22,	
ļ					
- P					

117

Conducted on April 15, 2021			
22:9, 38:25,	permitted	81:19, 90:8,	77:11, 96:18,
48:16, 60:21,	87:17	93:24, 93:25,	96:22
83:2, 101:19	person	94:23, 95:14,	possibility
participants	31:17, 31:21,	95:16, 95:17,	78:24
5:13	39:11, 40:19,	96:1, 97:4,	possible
particular	51:20, 96:21	99:3, 101:14	12:3, 12:12,
11:1, 12:2,	petitioner	pointed	19:5, 87:16
12:20, 17:14,	1:6, 3:2	29:25	possibly
17:23, 17:24,	petitioners	pointing	23:14
19:5, 21:7,	5:19, 6:12	55:25, 60:3,	post
24:3, 43:23,	ph	71:8, 71:18,	26:19, 44:5,
61:4, 62:6,	1:13, 2:1, 4:3,	75:17	44:22, 49:20,
82:4, 84:25,	4:13, 5:4, 6:10,	points	50:21, 63:10,
95:16, 95:17,	102:6	55:10, 57:10,	66:18, 67:5,
96:11	physical	79:24, 93:20,	81:1
parties	95:9	101:2, 101:8	power
103:10	plain	polling	7:21, 8:1,
passed	45:22	88:1, 88:4	8:10, 8:13, 9:1,
43:9	planet	pool	9:4, 9:16, 12:3,
past	5:12, 5:23	88:2, 88:5	12:13, 22:22,
60:2	please	portion	23:17, 24:1,
patent	5:14, 5:25,	22:6, 64:20,	24:2, 24:10,
1:1, 1:3, 1:9,	6:2, 8:19,	71:3	24:22, 25:2,
3:10, 4:16,	17:19, 22:3,	posa	25:23, 26:6,
5:16, 7:14,	22:18, 24:19,	101:6	59:6, 60:23,
8:12, 26:23,	31:5, 37:14,	posas	82:1, 84:23,
27:6, 46:22,	41:4, 62:23,	101:12	86:19, 88:13,
46:25, 48:23,	97:8, 98:10,	posita	88:23, 88:24
51:4, 66:25,	99:17, 100:18	31:25, 32:18,	pre
73:24, 74:1,	pllc	41:18, 48:19,	44:5
84:21, 101:21	3:13	48:20, 49:10,	precede
pc	plurality	49:18, 49:24,	46:1
3:5	79:7	53:6, 53:16,	preclusion
pdf	plus	54:20, 57:8,	70:4, 70:8,
94:7	53:10, 53:21,	58:8, 59:10,	70:9, 70:12,
penalties	54:10, 54:13	59:22, 60:9,	70:14, 70:15,
6:6, 6:16	point	65:11, 69:21,	71:17
people	12:2, 13:18,	77:4, 77:8,	prefer
91:15, 91:16	18:2, 26:8,	79:2, 83:7,	18:9, 32:24
perform	43:13, 56:7,	95:11, 96:1,	preferred
52:20, 65:18	57:5, 57:11,	97:2	11:7, 11:8,
performance	59:25, 61:14,	position	11:9, 11:17,
23:2	61:19, 64:15,	16:23, 45:25,	18:23, 21:13
performed	64:16, 64:19,	51:6, 53:18,	preliminary
66:4	66:5, 71:17,	53:19, 54:9, 59:16, 65:17,	37:18
performing	72:7, 72:23,	59:16, 65:17, 72:12, 73:2,	present
59:17	77:25, 78:6,	72:12, 73:2, 74:4, 75:21,	3:18, 28:3,
perjury	78:21, 79:18,	/ 4.4, / J.21,	83:7, 96:14
6:6, 6:16			
1			1

		I - , -	
presented	pronounce	19:16, 22:2,	reading
36:12, 36:19	93:10, 93:14	22:3, 22:4,	48:20, 53:16,
prevent	pronouncing	24:19, 24:20,	54:21, 58:9,
8:5, 77:2	93:12	28:21, 29:10,	60:9, 69:21,
preventing	pronunciations	31:5, 31:20,	86:14, 103:8
7:15, 7:24	93:12	35:3, 35:10,	reads
prevention	propose	35:11, 36:7,	60:22
8:7	15:18	36:24, 38:1,	really
previous	provide	38:2, 38:5,	10:23
28:24, 78:11	21:18, 23:11,	41:2, 46:6,	reason
previously	58:13	49:4, 52:8,	18:9, 20:17
8:11	provided	62:22, 62:23,	reasons
primarily	78:5, 79:17	63:19, 69:15,	98:1
23:3, 76:1	provider	69:16, 73:6,	recall
prior	25:4	73:17, 75:5,	7:19, 12:25,
17:9, 17:11,	provides	78:11, 79:12,	13:5, 13:7,
17:12, 17:21,	54:1, 54:6,	80:16, 84:7,	13:11, 13:13,
29:15, 49:12,	54:11, 64:23,	91:10, 91:11,	13:14, 14:1,
49:25, 50:2,	70:22, 73:3	98:10	14:8, 14:12,
54:24, 64:12,	providing	quite	15:22, 17:3,
65:18, 65:20	28:23	79:12	17:8, 18:2,
prioritizing	provision	quoted	18:12, 19:9,
87:21	22:9	36:4	20:1, 20:6,
problem	ptab	quoting	20:12, 32:5,
7:20, 8:1, 9:1,	97:21	60:20	62:13, 63:3,
9:16, 10:24,	ptab's	R	89:11, 89:15,
28:23	34:20, 37:23,	range	100:5
problems	39:22, 39:25,	11:18, 12:5,	receive
9:10, 22:21,	43:3, 97:16	12:10, 12:15,	8:16
24:9, 24:10,	publication	25:3	receiver
24:21	4:14, 4:15	ranges	84:24
proceed	4:14, 4:15 purpose	10:18, 11:10,	receiving
6:19, 89:8		11:19, 11:25	84:24, 94:1
proceeding	7:20, 28:18, 28:20, 29:5,	rate	recess
6:8	29:15	11:2, 23:4,	33:4, 61:9,
		23:19	93:3, 99:21
process 21:22, 26:13,	purposes	rather	recitation
27:19, 27:20,	7:23	67:20	53:11
47:6, 47:10,	<pre>pursuant 2:6</pre>	ratio	record
47:22, 48:21,		9:6	22:6, 33:2,
51:12, 51:13,	put	9:0 reached	33:6, 33:21,
58:12, 66:1,	55:12	88:23	34:6, 61:8,
66:5, 66:18,	Q	read	61:11, 92:22,
69:25, 75:17,	qualcomm		92:24, 93:2,
84:16	30:15	22:7, 41:2, 100:8, 100:19	93:5, 99:20,
processor	question	readily	99:23, 102:6,
47:5	10:8, 12:7,	40:20	103:5
programming	14:20, 17:19,	40.20	redirect
90:13			99:7, 100:2
JU. 10			,

	Conducted	JII Apiii 15, 2021	120
reduce	38:17, 39:25,	repeat	restrict
26:6, 59:6,	58:13, 63:23,	31:4	75:19, 75:22
60:23	64:2, 87:15,	report	restrictions
reduced	91:12, 100:3	48:5	32:19
103:7	regardless	reported	results
refer	21:3	1:23	7:14
10:1, 38:16,	regards	reporter	revolve
51:16, 51:25,	40:1, 42:7,	2:7, 5:22,	25:23
52:9, 92:19,	47:20, 48:22,	5:25, 6:2, 6:4,	right
94:14	51:11, 83:24	6:14, 6:18,	7:4, 8:17,
reference	region	22:7, 103:1	8:21, 25:15,
8:23, 8:25,	95:8, 95:9,	represent	29:18, 33:8,
13:19, 22:12,	95:13, 95:25,	5:15	33:15, 33:20,
33:10, 34:21,	96:16, 97:4	representative	37:12, 40:7,
40:1, 44:15,	relate	25:19	43:11, 43:19,
44:25, 48:7,	41:15, 82:9,	representing	67:23, 68:12,
53:23, 70:18,	85:25	5:11, 5:19,	75:12, 75:21,
70:19, 73:18,	related	5:23	80:7, 80:21,
76:6, 78:23,	103:9	request	85:4, 86:3,
93:8, 93:19,	relates	57:12	86:17, 86:21,
96:20	60:4	requested	87:1, 88:15,
referenced	relating	22:6, 103:9	92:18, 93:7,
8:9, 76:22	39:12, 76:3,	require	94:13, 94:22,
references	77:19	58:16, 74:1	96:5, 97:7,
57:7, 67:17,	relation	required	97:12, 98:24,
80:5	75:7, 75:13	26:5, 37:20	99:6
referred	relative	requirement	roles
10:13, 32:12	23:17	72:1, 73:11,	40:22
referring	relevance	73:19, 73:22	rpr
43:20, 48:8,	19:10, 19:23,	requirements	1:23, 103:20
51:21, 52:14,	19:25, 20:6,	11:1, 73:18	rustling
55:6, 55:7,	20:12, 20:22	requires	31:3
55:17, 55:18,	relevant	54:2, 64:25	S
55:21, 55:23,	16:21	requiring	s2
59:1, 64:11,	rely	81:9, 97:13	51:13, 66:4,
64:20, 65:3,	32:8	reread	66:23
70:20, 70:24,	relying	22:5	s3
71:1, 85:9	30:6, 58:22,	respect	46:21, 47:8,
refers	64:16, 72:19,	37:5, 38:21,	51:14, 66:23,
32:13, 71:9	73:5, 73:8,	101:20	85:17
refrain	76:24	respond	s4
54:3, 64:25	remainder	57:11	26:18, 27:8,
regard	29:14	response	51:13, 63:12,
10:16, 14:22,	remember	24:8	65:25, 66:25,
18:14, 20:24,	93:11	restart	69:10
21:21, 48:14	remote	40:9	sacks
regarding	29:3	restate	3:5
20:2, 37:3,	remotely	42:19	
	1:14, 5:13		
	1		

121

	Conducted on P	-piii 10, =0=1	121
said	18:1, 18:11,	69:1, 70:2,	89:25
22:25, 26:18,	18:18, 19:2,	76:12, 76:13,	sentence
31:6, 35:18,	19:8, 19:15,	77:1, 77:20,	25:11, 28:17,
36:16, 50:20,	19:22, 20:5,	77:22, 80:10,	28:25, 29:4,
57:23, 57:25,	20:11, 20:20,	80:13, 82:19,	29:14, 29:16,
66:14, 103:6	21:19, 22:1,	83:13, 84:5,	30:24, 31:6,
same	32:9, 34:24,	84:11, 85:22,	31:17, 31:22,
7:18, 10:6,	36:1, 36:6,	86:2, 87:2,	33:20, 38:6,
11:18, 11:25,	37:1, 45:12,	87:10, 87:16,	44:10, 56:5,
12:4, 12:9,	48:3, 88:3,	87:22, 94:19,	60:8, 70:21,
12:15, 19:6,	89:10, 89:14,	94:25	70:24
	89:21, 90:24,	section	separation
35:10, 36:7,	91:3, 91:7,	18:3, 62:18	11:12
36:24	91:25, 92:5,	-	
saved	92:13	see	serve
84:5		7:1, 7:4, 7:10,	24:14, 40:22
say	search	17:5, 28:9,	service
7:13, 9:12,	16:2	28:15, 29:22,	23:12, 23:13,
14:14, 14:15,	second	30:24, 31:6,	23:24, 25:3,
14:21, 20:17,	7:6, 12:21,	33:20, 33:22,	25:4
27:4, 29:12,	37:12, 42:7,	34:5, 34:7,	serviced
55:5, 55:10,	44:7, 57:9,	34:9, 34:11,	24:5
55:18, 56:5,	57:13, 58:10,	34:13, 34:18,	set
57:22, 74:20,	58:14, 59:12,	37:16, 37:21,	23:10, 25:1
79:5, 79:15,	59:13, 69:24,	43:11, 43:17,	setting
81:2, 84:3,	82:17, 83:10,	44:8, 44:10,	9:9
91:15, 91:18,	96:15	48:8, 48:9,	seven
94:24	secondary	55:14, 67:7,	28:9, 97:1
saying	10:2, 10:10,	70:12, 71:6,	shorthand
70:15	10:12, 11:16,	71:15, 71:16,	2:7, 103:1
says	15:9, 20:9,	73:1, 82:21,	show
7:5, 32:15,	20:10, 20:25,	85:8, 85:10,	30:17
34:13, 53:25,	28:13, 39:12,	88:4, 94:15,	shown
59:1, 60:8,	39:19, 40:13,	94:19, 95:1, 95:19	7:14, 25:18,
64:22, 70:21,	41:8, 43:16,	seeing	25:22, 31:12,
73:22, 74:25,	44:13, 50:24,	60:19	46:1, 46:4,
80:17, 86:12	51:3, 56:16,		50:16
scenario	56:21, 56:22, 56:25, 57:16,	seems	shows
52:6		82:7	66:19
scope	58:4, 58:17, 59:8, 59:18,	seen	shpak's
12:18, 12:24,	59:24, 60:6,	40:20	39:14, 39:20,
13:4, 13:12,	60:11, 60:17,	select	40:14, 40:21,
13:17, 13:25,	60:25, 61:2,	43:14	41:9, 41:15,
14:11, 14:19,	61:3, 62:2,	selection	45:13, 47:10,
14:25, 15:14,	62:9, 62:15,	23:21	56:4, 56:6,
15:21, 16:3,	62:25, 68:5,	senses	101:2, 101:8,
16:8, 16:17,	68:11, 68:15,	54:3, 65:1	101:14
17:2, 17:7,	68:19, 68:23,	sent	shpak-lundby
17:16, 17:20,		55:11, 89:16,	43:13, 48:2,

	Conducted on April 15, 2021			
48:14, 49:6,	18:20, 37:6,	specific	25:12, 30:24,	
52:11, 53:9,	42:22, 92:16,	9:16, 11:9,	33:21	
54:17, 55:10,	97:23	24:21, 30:5,	starts	
56:2, 56:3,	situation	30:9, 30:14,	34:6	
56:7, 56:19,	27:12, 62:11,	47:25, 64:20,	state	
57:2, 57:7,	81:3, 82:18,	65 : 7	2:7, 5:15,	
68:3, 68:6,	83:19, 83:21,	specifically	26:10, 26:13,	
72:7, 76:9,	85:3, 85:14,	22:22, 25:7,	26:14, 26:16,	
77:13	85:19, 86:1,	32:13, 51:24,	26:19, 27:1,	
shut	87:2, 87:8,	53:14, 54:5,	27:3, 27:5,	
87:9	87:15, 87:20,	58:22, 71:4,	29:19, 47:22,	
sic	88:23, 88:24,	71:8, 78:23,	50:4, 50:8,	
95:6	95:7	78:25	50:12, 50:23,	
signal	six	specification	51:6, 51:21,	
28:13	44:9	9:2, 30:21	52:21, 56:16,	
signals	skill	specifics	56:22, 56:24,	
9:20, 28:12,	31:18, 31:21,	91:12	57:16, 58:4,	
29:1	39:11, 40:19,	specified	69:1, 69:3,	
signature-bi6ds	51:21, 96:21	72:23	87:10	
103:18	solution	speed	stated	
significant	28:23	5:20	60:7	
54:22	solve	spot	statement	
signing	22:21	86:11	30:5, 30:7,	
103:8	some	spreading	37:23, 38:14,	
silent	8:7, 20:16,	59:5, 59:17,	43:12, 43:17,	
11:21, 12:2,	91:19	60:5, 82:15,	44:17, 44:20,	
56:18, 58:8,	somebody	86:3, 86:10,	66:11, 95:20	
71:17, 71:19,	31:3, 79:11	86:13, 86:18,	statements	
81:3	somebody's	87:4	29:25	
similar	94:9	sta	states	
40:22	something	7:7	1:1, 37:17,	
simply	65:6, 79:2,	standard	100:12, 100:25	
88:1	90:16	50:14, 51:23,	station 8:8, 28:11,	
simultaneously	sorry	52:2, 52:9,		
23:7	10:4, 16:9,	52:14, 52:16,	29:2, 29:3, 54:2, 64:25,	
since	25:25, 31:3,	52:23, 53:1,	82:2, 82:3,	
35:3, 36:8,	35:19, 40:7, 43:9, 69:15,	53:4, 53:10, 54:1, 54:13,	82:5, 83:4,	
44:25, 60:13,	69:17, 73:16,	54:21, 64:23,	86:20, 87:9,	
71:19, 77:5,	79:9	70:22, 78:24,	87:11, 88:14,	
79:4, 79:13 single	sound	101:7, 101:13	88:17, 88:22,	
15:8, 23:22,	35:20	standards	89:3, 95:18,	
72:14, 75:2,	sounds	64:9, 71:10,	95:24, 96:13,	
83:25, 95:24,	35:10, 94:9	71:11, 72:23	99:1	
96:9, 98:14	south	start	stations	
sit	99:2	32:22	8:4, 9:24	
13:2	spec	starting	statistically	
sitting	65:13	15:6, 22:25,	91:18	
13:14, 17:18,		,,		

		Ipin 10, 2021	
status	61:7, 67:7,	89:25, 90:3,	59:22, 71:20,
7:25, 82:6	67:15, 78:17,	90:15, 90:22,	72:3, 72:4,
stenographically	93:1, 96:18	91:5, 91:24,	74:20, 74:21,
103:7	swear	92:4, 92:8,	75:14
step	5:25	92:12	teaches
59:17, 64:13	swearing	Т	12:1, 20:1,
steps	6:3	take	23:15, 23:20,
49:12, 49:14	system	15:16, 32:21,	28:10, 29:13,
still	15:15, 15:18,	32:25, 61:6,	68:22, 69:23,
56:17, 57:1	16:1, 19:21,	99:10, 99:12	72:8, 72:12,
stipulate	20:14, 21:14,	taken	72:13, 75:6,
6:3	22:8, 23:1,	103:4, 103:6	75:12, 77:11,
stream	23:2, 23:6,	taking	77:18, 87:25
44:5	23:7, 23:18,	17:18, 44:24,	teaching
streams	29:2, 30:10,	59:19	10:1, 17:13,
26:5	30:14, 31:1,	talk	17:23, 24:25,
street	31:8, 32:20,	86:9	41:17, 50:20,
3:14	34:15, 35:25,	talked	53:15, 68:1, 73:2, 73:9
strike	58:11, 58:14, 59:12, 59:23,	8:10, 10:4,	teachings
9:13, 17:10,	81:13, 85:13,	24:15	11:2, 15:5,
27:24, 42:11,	85:14, 85:22,	talking	18:25, 20:14,
56:23, 58:2,	87:6, 87:21,	8:8, 9:5,	20:18, 21:2,
61:18	88:1, 89:8,	32:16, 42:5,	21:4, 27:8,
subject	89:16, 89:23,	42:20, 44:11,	27:15, 31:22,
16:19, 16:21,	90:6, 90:11,	49:17, 60:1,	32:18, 39:12,
19:3	90:12, 90:13,	86:5, 88:21,	42:6, 45:9,
subscribed	90:19, 90:20,	89:22, 89:24,	46:24, 47:20,
103:14 subsequent	91:2, 91:8,	91:15 talks	49:18, 52:1,
8:22	91:12, 91:21,	34:9	52:15, 54:11,
suggest	92:1, 96:12,	tape	54:12, 58:19,
18:8, 32:7	97:15, 98:3,	5:3	59:11, 63:5,
suggesting	98:7, 98:16,	taught	63:21, 76:18,
17:13, 17:23	98:21	37:20, 39:19,	84:21
suite	systems	40:5, 40:13,	technician
3:14	10:24, 12:22,	41:7, 42:13,	3:20
support	14:10, 14:18,	42:14, 45:25,	technology
23:8	14:24, 15:11,	48:21, 49:24,	45:20, 47:4,
supports	16:1, 17:5,	50:14, 51:12,	53:15
80:8	19:7, 21:5, 21:6, 21:17,	56:2, 57:17	telecommunication
suppose	21:18, 21:23,	tdma	23:12 telecommunicatio-
18:4	22:11, 30:2,	90:19	ns
sure	30:13, 30:18,	teach	23:2, 23:7
17:21, 24:7,	32:2, 32:13,	18:8, 20:8,	telegraph
24:17, 38:19,	32:17, 47:21,	37:24, 38:7,	1:8, 3:10, 5:5,
39:16, 41:20,	52:19, 89:6,	38:8, 38:10,	5:17
51:5, 57:14,	89:13, 89:20,	47:21, 53:5,	telephone
57:22, 60:1,			1:8, 3:11, 5:5,

		ipin 10, 2021	
5:17	36:7, 36:15,	topic	27:18, 28:12,
tells	36:22, 48:4,	15:23	41:13, 44:12,
85:16	86:15, 92:21,	topics	44:23, 45:17,
temporal	93:11, 99:4	16:21	45:20, 46:20,
38:24	third	trademark	47:14, 47:15,
ten	7:4	1:1	47:17, 47:19,
91:16, 99:15	thought	transcript	49:20, 49:24,
ten-minute	78:14	6:7, 103:5	50:3, 50:21,
99:13	three	transmission	50:22, 53:5,
term	96:13, 96:25,	7:15, 7:24,	54:5, 60:11,
9:7, 12:13,	100:13	8:5, 10:2, 10:9,	62:3, 62:8,
26:16, 26:25,	threshold	18:6, 23:17,	63:11, 65:10,
35:9, 37:5,	59:3	26:7, 26:20,	66:18, 66:19,
55:21, 55:24,	through	27:13, 27:23,	66:20, 67:5,
58:21	43:12, 52:23,	28:1, 29:1,	67:12, 68:22,
terms	53:1, 88:16	34:16, 37:19,	68:25, 69:2,
9:6, 27:5,	throughout	38:7, 38:10,	69:5, 69:6,
30:10, 41:16,	100:24, 100:25	47:7, 54:24,	69:9, 74:5,
65:14, 87:23	thursday	55:3, 56:13,	74:10, 74:17,
test	1:15	56:14, 56:15,	75:1, 75:18,
74:25	tim	57:9, 58:10,	76:18, 77:9,
testified	1:13, 2:1, 4:3,	60:18, 62:1,	80:19, 81:1,
50:2, 58:7,	4:12, 5:3, 6:9,	63:6, 63:13,	81:10, 81:13,
100:3, 101:5,	102:5	63:18, 63:23,	81:25, 82:4,
101:18	time	64:6, 68:9,	82:25, 83:1,
testifying	5:10, 7:8,	68:10, 68:14,	83:8, 83:16,
7:19	17:18, 23:16,	68:19, 69:2,	83:22, 83:24,
testimony	39:24, 77:5,	69:24, 72:10,	84:16, 84:18,
6:5, 6:15,	83:4, 92:25,	76:13, 77:1,	84:21, 85:17,
101:12, 103:6	97:25, 99:5,	77:3, 77:6,	86:23, 86:24, 87:12, 88:13
texas	102:4	80:2, 80:9,	transmitted
3:15, 5:7	times	80:13, 80:22,	24:2, 66:3,
text	30:1, 30:9	82:10, 83:4,	82:2, 83:8,
26:9, 26:23,	today	84:20, 87:9,	86:20
27:12, 31:11,	5:11, 5:23,	87:15, 87:23,	transmitter
48:1	13:2, 13:14,	88:9, 89:19, 90:10, 91:23,	7:18, 8:8,
thank	17:18, 18:20,	92:11, 101:7	8:15, 60:12,
6:18	37:7, 42:22,	transmissions	60:13, 60:14,
themselves	92:16, 97:24,	9:22, 21:4,	65:9
5:15	100:3, 101:5,	42:8, 45:16,	transmitting
thereafter	101:18	54:4, 55:11,	8:15, 27:19,
103:7	today's	65:2, 70:5,	28:10, 40:23,
therefore	5:9	88:19	54:3, 59:7,
86:12	toes	transmit	60:23, 65:1,
thing	91:16	7:7, 9:20,	85:3, 88:21,
75:23	top	15:8, 25:24,	94:1
think	37:17, 67:11, 67:19	26:4, 27:17,	tree
33:16, 35:17,	0/:19	. ,	26:8, 27:10,

27:25, 29:19, 43:14, 43:19, 44:11, 45:1, 50:25, 76:2390:10, 90:14, 90:19, 91:21, 91:24, typing77:10, 78:18, 80:15, 84:7, 84:8, 91:10, 95:11, 95:19, 96:2, 96:18, 97:323:6, 23:14, 23:25, 24:5, 24:15, 25:546:1, 47:12, 50:25, 76:23typing 79:1196:2, 96:18, 97:327:4	
43:14, 43:19, 44:11, 45:1, 66:1, 47:12,90:19, 91:21, 91:24, typing80:15, 84:7, 84:8, 91:10, 95:11, 95:19, 96:2, 96:18,23:25, 24:5, 24:15, 25:540:1, 47:12, 50:25, 76:23,91:24, 12, 12, 79:11,80:15, 84:7, 84:8, 91:10, 95:11, 95:19, 96:2, 96:18,23:25, 24:5, 24:15, 25:5	
44:11, 45:1, 46:1, 47:12, 50:25, 76:2391:24 91:2484:8, 91:10, 95:11, 95:19, 96:2, 96:18,24:15, 25:5 uses 27:4	
46:1, 47:12, 50:25, 76:23typing 79:1195:11, 95:19, 96:2, 96:18,uses 27:4	
50:25, 76:23 79:11 96:2, 96:18, 27:4	
v	
1:3 unavailable understanding 32:7, 44:11,	
true 17:6, 19:6, 9:14, 11:23, 55:11, 77:24,	
6:5, 6:16, 19:13, 19:20, 13:9, 15:12, 78:19	
7:18, 27:7, 20:16, 61:16, 15:24, 15:25, utilized	
89:5, 91:18, 62:17, 62:21, 16:5, 16:6, 22:11	
103:5 $63:2, 80:24$ $16:12, 16:15, \mathbf{V}$	
10:10, 10:20, 10:20	—
35:19, 93:15 86:2, 87:18, 16:25, 17:17, 59:3	
trying 89:7 21:16, 30:3, verified	
trans	
54:20, 59:21, 77·4 93·23 5:5	
122 15 27 12 40:11, 40:15, understood video	
41:10, 41:23, 83.6	
videographer	
$15 \cdot 4 21 \cdot 1 \qquad 3:19, 5:2,$	
/:12, 12:7, 5:11, 5:22,	
$\begin{array}{c} 8:18, \ 22:12, \\ 12:13, \ 14:20, \\ 11 \ 100 \ 10 \\ 33:2, \ 33:6, \\ \end{array}$	
22:17, 25:15, 16:22, 19:16, 1:1, 100:12, 61:8, 61:11,	
28:6, 30:20, 24:7, 24:18, 100:25 93.5	
33:9, 39:2, 28:21, 28:22, universe 99:20 99:23	
[43:7, 74:19, 29:9, 29:12, 92:8 102.1 102.4]	
93:7, 94:3 31:20, 31:22, until videotaped	
two 31:25, 32:18, 30:10, 55:4, 1:13, 2:1, 5:3	
9:21, 18:4, 39:17, 41:18, 56:14, 87:12, view	
22:21, 26:4, 41:20, 45:24, 88:2, 89:9 39:17, 40:4,	
28.13 59.7	
95.14, 95.16, 52.12, 52.9, 39.11, 41.19	
96.10, 96.24 52.10, 54.0 (33.15, 44.20) VOICE	
type 55.22 55.24 47.12 52.19 5:14	
29·20 57·9 57·14 72·22 74·25 Volume	
37:80 $37:14$ $72:22$ $71:23$ types $57:24$ $58:9$ $90:1$ $95:4$	
W	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	—
offer and the second se	
89:7, 89:13, 73:1, 73:6, 82:4, 85:16 want	
89:19, 89:24, 75:5, 77:8, users 33:9, 33:11,	
90:5, 90:6, 23:3, 23:5,	

	Conducted on A	ipin 19, 2021	120
33:12, 39:16,	38:5, 38:9,	6:9, 6:17,	0220112
41:2, 60:1,	38:13, 42:9,	25:25, 99:12,	4:15
67:7, 99:10,	42:12, 42:23,	99:17, 103:14	02210
99:11	43:2, 44:4,	wolf	3:7
way	45:7, 46:20,	3:5, 5:18, 5:20	07
6:8, 23:1,	47:19, 47:23,	words	
67:16, 87:24,	59:16, 59:18,	9:4, 44:21,	1:16, 5:10
89:12, 92:3,	60:5, 61:2,		1
99:12, 92:3, 92:16	61:23, 61:25,	60:20	1
		world	7:8, 74:15
we'll	62:7, 64:2,	92:9, 100:25	10
81:21	64:5, 69:5,	wouldn't	33:3, 33:4,
we're	73:9, 73:12,	6:24, 89:7,	33:5, 33:6,
12:8, 29:11,	73:15, 74:1,	89:18, 90:9,	92:22
49:5, 49:17,	74:5, 74:13,	94:3	1003
60:1, 69:4,	75:1, 75:18,	wright	4:12, 6:24
91:13, 99:4,	80:1, 82:9,	3:13	1005
102:6	82:15, 82:21,	writing	4:14, 33:10,
we've	83:3, 83:9,	43:25	100:5
30:8, 46:19,	84:3, 84:4,	wrong	100: 5
61:24, 62:4,	85:23, 86:22,	19:11, 33:23,	
76:14, 79:25,	86:23, 86:24,	82:8, 86:11	4:15, 92:19,
97:19	88:9, 91:4,	<u>Y</u>	93:8
week	91:5, 92:3		1008
10:22	whichever	yeah	4:16, 22:13
west	32:24	35:19, 64:19,	103
3:14	williams	86:17, 94:7,	1:22, 94:5,
western	1:13, 2:1, 4:3,	99:6, 99:8	94:7, 94:8
5:7	4:13, 5:4, 6:10,	year	11
whatever	6:14, 6:22,	30:16	61:8, 61:9,
99:13	33:8, 61:13,	Z	61:10, 61:11,
whenever	99:10, 100:1,	zero	95:25, 96:12,
43:25	102:6	59:3	97:13, 97:16,
wherein	wireless		98:2, 98:15
37:17	29:20, 30:2,	.252	111
whereof	34:14, 34:17,	80:17	94:7
103:14	35:25, 97:15,	0	112
whether	98:3, 98:16,		93:17, 93:18,
8:6, 9:20,	100:24, 101:1	00	93:19, 94:15,
11:24, 13:15,	within	33:4	95:4, 96:24
13:21, 13:23,	25:8, 30:25,	0006	12
	31:7, 49:14,	100:16, 100:19,	92:22, 93:2,
14:8, 17:4, 17:5, 17:9,	51:13, 54:6,	100:22	93:3, 93:4,
	67:13, 69:24,	01404	93:5, 99:20,
18:22, 20:1,	96:20, 98:20	1:11, 4:10, 5:8	99:21, 99:22,
21:3, 26:20,	without	0206532	99:23, 102:4,
27:18, 27:20,	74:5	4:14	102:7
28:11, 35:7,	witness		120
36:11, 36:16,	4:2, 6:1, 6:4,		8:18, 9:25,
36:20, 37:7,	,,		

	Conducted on	April 13, 2021	127
10:6, 15:6, 28:6 123	1b	68:24 2500	4200 3:16
	48:23, 49:4,		
29:19	53:17, 55:8,	3:14	44
1234	57:6, 58:21,	252	88:7
18:5	73:24	67:10, 67:19,	46
125	2	80:16	82:1, 83:3,
40:18	2	3	83:21, 85:7,
1250	50:17, 51:8	30	85:8, 85:10,
28:4	2.4	31:7	85:20, 99:20,
1252	100:14	31	99:21
28:4	20	61:10, 61:11	5
126	30:16	32	5-1
39:3, 39:7	2000	30:17	33:17
13	12:23, 13:3,	33	50
96:11, 96:12	13:10, 15:16,	4:10, 4:14,	25:12
14	15:17, 15:20,	4:10, 4:14, 93:2, 93:3	512
4:10, 9:9,	16:1, 17:1,	95:2, 95:5 34	3:16
33:9, 33:12,	17:5, 30:16	93:4, 93:5	52
33:16, 33:18,	2003	93:4, 93:5 35	6:23, 7:2, 7:3,
33:25, 37:13	4:14, 4:15	10:23, 30:22,	82:1, 83:3,
140	2020	37:13	83:21, 85:7,
43:7, 43:9	1:11, 4:10, 5:8	366194	85:8, 85:10,
141	2021	1:21	85:21
43:8, 44:8,	1:15, 5:9,	38	53
48:5, 48:11,	103:15	30:25	22:18, 25:12,
48:15, 48:21,	21	3rd	99:22, 99:23
49:6, 52:4,	33:16, 33:18,	3:14, 103:15	55
52:10, 53:23,	33:25, 34:2,	4	81:23
54:12, 54:15,	34:3		- 551
55:9, 56:5,	211	40	7:20, 26:7,
56:20, 57:15	95:6, 95:23	86:16	26:13, 26:15,
14224	22	402	26:19, 26:22,
1:23, 103:20	4:16, 30:12	95:14, 96:1,	27:3, 27:6,
15	242	97:5	27:9, 46:22,
1:15, 5:9,	47:18, 50:2,	404	46:25, 47:9,
33:5, 33:6,	51:7, 64:13,	98:25	48:23, 51:4,
96:11	67:8, 67:11,	408	51:11, 51:16,
166	67:17, 67:20	95:17, 95:18,	63:12, 66:1,
94:4, 94:6,	246	95:20, 95:24,	66:4, 66:23,
94:12, 94:13,	31:2, 31:9,	96:13, 98:4,	66:25, 67:25,
94:23	61:25, 65:19,	98:11, 98:18,	68:1, 69:11,
17	65:20, 76:17,	98:22, 98:23,	73:24, 74:1,
96:11	76:23, 82:14,	98:24, 98:25,	74:9, 85:18,
187	83:9, 84:19	99:1	101:20
97:8, 97:9,	25	410	5678
97:10	9:9, 30:21	94:17, 95:3,	18:5
19	250	99:3	57
61:8, 61:9	67:10, 67:19,		22:25, 102:4,
	, ,		

Transcript of Tim A. Williams, Ph.D., Volume 2 Conducted on April 15, 2021

	T	
102:7	52:18, 52:20,	
102:7		
6	52:23, 53:1,	
6,560,292	53:4, 53:10,	
	53:14, 53:20,	
4:16		
600	54:1, 54:6,	
	54:13, 54:19,	
3:6		
607	54:21, 58:14,	
	64:9, 64:23,	
3:14		
	65:13, 66:5,	
61		
22:18, 25:12	70:18, 70:21,	
	71:9, 71:11,	
617		
	71:18, 72:22,	
3:8	72:23, 73:2,	
62		
	75:17, 76:7,	
59:2, 60:4,		
	78:24, 80:1,	
60:21	80:5, 100:14,	
64		
	100:24, 101:6,	
58:25	101:13	
646		
	87	
3:8	43:9	
66		
	88	
58:25, 59:2,	44:10	
60:21	44:10	
	9	
67		
	9	
60:4, 81:23,		
100:7, 100:11,	1:16, 5:10	
	92	
100:15		
	4:15	
7	99	
770		
	4:5	
3:16	1.0	
78		
39:5		
78701		
3:15		
8		
8000		
3:8		
802.11		
41:16, 42:6,		
45:15, 45:21,		
47:3, 47:4,		
47:21, 49:9,		
49:25, 50:5,		
50:14, 51:23,		
52:1, 52:9,		
52:14, 52:15,		
1		
1		