1	Bruce S. Sostek, admitted pro hac vice	
2	bruce.sostek@tklaw.com Richard L. Wynne, Jr., admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>	
_	richard.wynne@tklaw.com	
3	Adrienne E. Dominguez, admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>	
4	adrienne.dominguez@tklaw.com THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP	
_	One Arts Plaza	
5	1722 Routh Street, Suite 1500	
6	Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 969-1700	
U	Facsimile: (214) 969-1751	
7		
0	John V. Picone III, Bar No. 187226	
8	jpicone@hopkinscarley.com Christopher A. Hohn, Bar No. 271759	
9	chohn@hopkinscarley.com	
10	HOPKINS & CARLEY	
10	A Law Corporation The Letitia Building	
11	70 South First Street	
	San Jose, CA 95113-2406	
12	mailing address:	
13	P.O. Box 1469	
	San Jose, CA 95109-1469	
14	Telephone: (408) 286-9800 Facsimile: (408) 998-4790	
15	1 desimile. (400) 770-4770	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16	BROADCOM CORPORATION and AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL SALES	DTE
17	LIMITED.	IIL.
1.0	II	D-2
18	United States I Northern Distri	
19	SAN FRANCIS	
30		
20	Province Corporative Avade	C N- 2:20 04/77 ID
21	BROADCOM CORPORATION AND AVAGO	Case No. 3:20-cv-04677-JD
22	TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL SALES PTE.	
22	LIMITED,	PLAINTIFFS' PATENT LOCAL RULE 3-1 AND
23	Plaintiffs,	3-2 DISCLOSURES
24		
∠ '+	v.	
25	Manny av. Tyro	
26	NETFLIX, INC.,	
02	Defendant.	
27		
28		

PLAINTIFFS' PATENT LOCAL RULE 3-1 AND 3-2 DISCLOSURES

PLAINTIFFS' PATENT LOCAL RULE 3-1 AND 3-2 DISCLOSURES

11. U.S. Patent No. 7,457,722

27

28

Case No. 3:20-cv-04677-JD Netflix, Inc. - Ex. 1021, Page 000002

Claim Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22.

12. U.S. Patent No. 8,365,183

Claim Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20.

B. Patent Local Rule 3-1(b)

1. U.S. Patent No. 7,266,079

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 1, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix's Content Delivery Network ("CDN") infringes the '079 Patent. Netflix's CDN is sometimes known as "Open Connect" and comprises a number of network elements, including Open Connect Appliances ("OCAs"). The accused functionality within Netflix's CDN is implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

2. U.S. Patent No. 8,259,121

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 2, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix's CDN infringes the '121 Patent. Netflix's CDN is sometimes known as "Open Connect" and comprises a number of network elements, including OCAs. The accused functionality within Netflix's CDN is implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

3. U.S. Patent No. 8,959,245

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 3, Plaintiffs assert that the processes performed using Netflix's CDN infringe the '245 Patent. Netflix's CDN is sometimes known as "Open Connect" and comprises a number of network elements, including OCAs. The accused functionality within Netflix's CDN is implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to refine the identification of infringing

instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

4. U.S. Patent No. 8,270,992

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 4, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix's CDN infringes the '992 Patent. Netflix's CDN is sometimes known as "Open Connect" and comprises a number of network elements, including OCAs. The accused functionality within Netflix's CDN is implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

5. U.S. Patent No. 6,341,375

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 5, Plaintiffs assert that the processes performed using Netflix's CDN infringe the '375 Patent. Netflix's CDN is sometimes known as "Open Connect" and comprises a number of network elements, including OCAs. The accused functionality within Netflix's CDN is implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

6. U.S. Patent No. 8,572,138

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 6, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix's cloud-based distributed computing systems, which rely on the Titus/Fenzo architecture and microservices, and which Netflix uses to power various aspects of Netflix's business, such as video streaming, recommendations, machine learning, big data, content encoding, studio technology, internal engineering tools, and other Netflix workloads infringe the asserted claims of the '138 Patent. The accused functionality within the accused Netflix systems is implemented

in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to update and refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

7. U.S. Patent No. 6,744,387

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 7, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix's video encoding pipeline, and the systems associated therewith, infringes the asserted claims of the '387 Patent. Netflix's video encoding pipeline provides for video encoding according to the H.264 and H.265 Recommendations. The accused functionality within Netflix's video encoding pipeline is implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to update and refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

8. U.S. Patent No. 6,982,663

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 8, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix's video encoding pipeline, and the systems associated therewith, infringes the asserted claims of the '663 Patent. Netflix's video encoding pipeline provides for video encoding according to the H.264 and H.265 Recommendations. The accused functionality within Netflix's video encoding pipeline is implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to update and refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

9. U.S. Patent No. 9,322,283

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 9, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix's video encoding pipeline, and the systems associated therewith, infringes the asserted claims of the '283 Patent. Netflix's video encoding pipeline provides for video encoding according to the H.265 Recommendation. The accused functionality within Netflix's video encoding pipeline is

implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to update and refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

10. U.S. Patent No. 8,548,976

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 10, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix's cloud-based distributed computing systems, which rely on the Titus/Fenzo/Eureka architecture and microservices, and which Netflix uses to power various aspects of Netflix's business, such as video streaming, recommendations, machine learning, big data, content encoding, studio technology, internal engineering tools, and other Netflix workloads infringe the asserted claims of the '976 Patent. The accused functionality within the accused Netflix systems is implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to update and refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

11. U.S. Patent No. 7,457,722

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 11, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix's cloud-based distributed computing systems, which rely on the Titus/Fenzo/Atlas architecture and microservices, and which Netflix uses to power various aspects of Netflix's business, such as video streaming, recommendations, machine learning, big data, content encoding, studio technology, internal engineering tools, and other Netflix workloads infringe the asserted claims of the '722 Patent. The accused functionality within the accused Netflix systems is implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to update and refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

12. U.S. Patent No. 8,365,183

As described in more detail in the attached chart, Exhibit 12, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix's cloud-based distributed computing systems, which rely on the Titus/Fenzo architecture, and which Netflix uses to power various aspects of Netflix's business, such as video streaming, recommendations, machine learning, big data, content encoding, studio technology, internal engineering tools, and other Netflix workloads infringe the asserted claims of the '183 Patent.

The accused functionality within the accused Netflix systems is implemented in a combination of software and hardware elements. Plaintiffs reserve the right to update and refine the identification of infringing instrumentalities as discovery proceeds and Netflix provides its mandatory disclosures under P.R. 3-4.

C. Patent Local Rule 3-1(c)

1. U.S. Patent No. 7,266,079

The chart attached as Exhibit 1 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '079 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6) and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

2. U.S. Patent No. 8,259,121

The chart attached as Exhibit 2 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '121 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

3. U.S. Patent No. 8,959,245

The chart attached as Exhibit 3 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '245 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that

are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

4. U.S. Patent No. 8,270,992

The chart attached as Exhibit 4 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '992 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

5. U.S. Patent No. 6,341,375

The chart attached as Exhibit 5 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '375 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

6. U.S. Patent No. 8,572,138

The chart attached as Exhibit 6 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '138 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

7. U.S. Patent No. 6,744,387

The chart attached as Exhibit 7 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '387 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

8. U.S. Patent No. 6,982,663

The chart attached as Exhibit 8 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '663 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

9. U.S. Patent No. 9,322,283

The chart attached as Exhibit 9 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '283 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

10. U.S. Patent No. 8,548,976

The chart attached as Exhibit 10 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '976 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

11. U.S. Patent No. 7,457,722

The chart attached as Exhibit 11 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '722 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

12. U.S. Patent No. 8,365,183

The chart attached as Exhibit 12 identifies (i) where and how each limitation of each asserted claim of the '183 Patent is found within each Accused Instrumentality; and (ii) limitations that

28

are governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6); and the identity of the structures, acts, or materials in the Accused Instrumentality that perform the claimed function.

D. Patent Local Rule 3-1(d)

1. U.S. Patent No. 7,266,079

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '079 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

2. U.S. Patent No. 8,259,121

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '121 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

3. U.S. Patent No. 8,959,245

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '245 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

4. U.S. Patent No. 8,270,992

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '992 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

5. U.S. Patent No. 6,341,375

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringed the asserted claims of the '375 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

6. U.S. Patent No. 8,572,138

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '138 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

7. U.S. Patent No. 6,744,387

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '387 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

8. U.S. Patent No. 6,982,663

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '663 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

9. U.S. Patent No. 9,322,283

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '283 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

10. U.S. Patent No. 8,548,976

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '976 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

11. U.S. Patent No. 7,457,722

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '722 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

12. U.S. Patent No. 8,365,183

At the current time, Plaintiffs assert that Netflix directly infringes the asserted claims of the '183 Patent. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this assertion should discovery reveal the presence of others involved in the direct infringement of the asserted claims.

E. Patent Local Rule 3-1(e)

Each limitation of each asserted claim is literally present in the Accused Instrumentality. Limitations of the asserted claims that are also present under the doctrine of equivalents are identified in the claim charts attached as Exhibits 1–12. At this time, Plaintiffs contend that each of the Accused Instrumentalities literally infringes the Asserted Claims as set forth in Exhibits 1-12, unless otherwise specifically stated. In the event Netflix contends that any element of any claim is not literally present in the respective accused products, Plaintiffs reserve the right to assert infringement under the doctrine of equivalents, and will show that any structure that does appear, or the method step performed, is an equivalent structure or step that performs substantially the same function, in substantially the same way, to achieve substantially the same result.

As explained in more detail in Exhibit 7, Plaintiffs also allege, in the alternative, that claim elements 4.b., 4.d., 6.b., and 6.d. of U.S. Patent No. 6,744,387 are present under the doctrine of equivalents.

F. Patent Local Rule 3-1(f)

1. U.S. Patent No. 7,266,079

All of the asserted claims of the '079 Patent are entitled to a priority date of September 18, 2000, based on Provisional Application No. 60/233,388.

27 28

2. U.S. Patent No. 8,259,121

All of the asserted claims of the '121 Patent are entitled to a priority date of October 22, 2002, based on Provisional Application No. 60/420,151.

3. U.S. Patent No. 8,270,992

All of the asserted claims of the '992 Patent are entitled to a priority date of September 22, 2003, based on Provisional Application No. 60/504,876. The '992 Patent claims are entitled to claim priority to Application No. 12/464,255 (now U.S. Patent No. 7,983,689), which is a continuation of Application No. 11/689,995 (now U.S. Patent No. 7,532,893), which is a continuation of Application No. 10/875,891 (now U.S. Patent No. 7,221,946), and Provisional Application No. 60/504,876.

4. U.S. Patent No. 8,572,138

All of the asserted claims of the '138 Patent are entitled to a priority date of March 30, 2006, based on Provisional Application No. 60/787,280.

5. U.S. Patent No. 6,982,663

All of the asserted claims of the '663 Patent are entitled a priority date of July 10, 2002, based on Application No. 10/191,596 (now U.S. Patent No. 6,744,387).

6. U.S. Patent No. 9,332,283

All of the asserted claims of the '283 Patent are entitled to a priority date of September 27, 2011, based on Provisional Application No. 61/539,948.

7. U.S. Patent No. 8,548,976

All of the asserted claims of the '976 Patent are entitled to a priority date of May 21, 2004, based on Provisional Application No. 60/573,450.

G. Patent Local Rule 3-1(g)

1. U.S. Patent No. 7,266,079

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '079 Patent.

2. U.S. Patent No. 8,259,121

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '121 Patent.

3. U.S. Patent No. 8,959,245

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '245 Patent.

4. U.S. Patent No. 8,270,992

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '992 Patent.

5. U.S. Patent No. 6,341,375

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '375 Patent.

6. U.S. Patent No. 8,572,138

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '138 Patent.

7. U.S. Patent No. 6,744,387

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '387 Patent.

8. U.S. Patent No. 6,982,663

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '663 Patent.

PLAINTIFFS' PATENT LOCAL RULE 3-1 AND 3-2 DISCLOSURES

Case No. 3:20-cv-04677-JD

9. U.S. Patent No. 9,322,283

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '283 Patent.

10. U.S. Patent No. 8,548,976

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '976 Patent.

11. U.S. Patent No. 7,457,722

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '722 Patent.

12. U.S. Patent No. 8,365,183

At the current time, Plaintiffs are not asserting that any of their own or licensees' products, devices, processes, methods, or acts practices any of the asserted claims of the '183 Patent.

H. Patent Local Rule 3-1(h)

1. U.S. Patent No. 7,266,079

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '079 Patent at least as early as March 13, 2014. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from March 13, 2014 through the time of trial and will be entitled to a continuing reasonable royalty for any infringing acts after that date.

2. U.S. Patent No. 8,259,121

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '121 Patent at least as early as March 13, 2014. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from March 13, 2014 through the time of trial and will be entitled to a continuing reasonable royalty for any infringing acts after that date.

3. U.S. Patent No. 8,959,245

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '245 Patent at least as early as February 17, 2015. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from February 17, 2015 through the time of trial and will be entitled to a continuing reasonable royalty for any infringing acts after that date.

4. U.S. Patent No. 8,270,992

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '992 Patent at least as early as March 13, 2014. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from March 13, 2014 through the time of trial and will be entitled to a continuing reasonable royalty for any infringing acts after that date.

5. U.S. Patent No. 6,341,375

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '375 Patent at least as early as March 13, 2014. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from March 13, 2014 through the expiration of the patent on July 14, 2019.

6. U.S. Patent No. 8,572,138

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '138 Patent at least as early as March 13, 2014. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from March 13, 2014 through the time of trial and will be entitled to a continuing reasonable royalty for any infringing acts after that date.

7. U.S. Patent No. 6,744,387

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '387 Patent at least as early as March 13, 2014. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from March 13, 2014 through the expiration of the patent on July 17, 2022.

PLAINTIFFS' PATENT LOCAL RULE 3-1 AND 3-2 DISCLOSURES

8. U.S. Patent No. 6,982,663

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '663 Patent at least as early as March 13, 2014. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from March 13, 2014 through the expiration of the patent on July 17, 2022.

9. U.S. Patent No. 9,322,283

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '283 Patent at least as early as May 3, 2016. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from May 3, 2016 through the time of trial and will be entitled to a continuing reasonable royalty for any infringing acts after that date.

10. U.S. Patent No. 8,548,976

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '976 Patent at least as early as June 22, 2014. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from June 22, 2014 through the time of trial and will be entitled to a continuing reasonable royalty for any infringing acts after that date.

11. U.S. Patent No. 7,457,722

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '722 Patent at least as early as June 22, 2014. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from June 22, 2014 through the time of trial and will be entitled to a continuing reasonable royalty for any infringing acts after that date.

12. U.S. Patent No. 8,365,183

Based on the information available, Netflix first infringed the '183 Patent at least as early as June 22, 2014. Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on Netflix's infringement that occurred from June 22, 2014 through the time of trial and will be entitled to a continuing reasonable royalty for any infringing acts after that date.

I. Patent Local Rule 3-1(i)

Netflix willfully infringes the following patents.

1. U.S. Patent No. 7,266,079

On or about September 26, 2019, Plaintiffs advised Netflix of their assertion that Netflix infringed the '079 Patent. Following that assertion, Plaintiffs and Netflix had an in-person meeting on October 24, 2019, where Plaintiffs reiterated their assertion of infringement. Despite this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '079 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

2. U.S. Patent No. 8,259,121

On or about September 26, 2019, Plaintiffs advised Netflix of their assertion that Netflix infringed the '121 Patent. Following that assertion, Plaintiffs and Netflix had an in-person meeting on October 24, 2019, where Plaintiffs reiterated their assertion of infringement. Despite this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '121 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

3. U.S. Patent No. 8,959,245

On or about September 26, 2019, Plaintiffs advised Netflix of their assertion that Netflix infringed the '245 Patent. Following that assertion, Plaintiffs and Netflix had an in-person meeting on October 24, 2019, where Plaintiffs reiterated their assertion of infringement. Despite this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '245 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

4. U.S. Patent No. 8,270,992

On or about September 26, 2019, Plaintiffs advised Netflix of their assertion that Netflix infringed the '992 Patent. Following that assertion, Plaintiffs and Netflix had an in-person meeting on October 24, 2019, where Plaintiffs reiterated their assertion of infringement. Despite

this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '992 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

5. U.S. Patent No. 6,341,375

On or about September 26, 2019, Plaintiffs advised Netflix of their assertion that Netflix infringed the '375 Patent. Following that assertion, Plaintiffs and Netflix had an in-person meeting on October 24, 2019, where Plaintiffs reiterated their assertion of infringement. Despite this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '375 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

6. U.S. Patent No. 8,572,138

On or about September 26, 2019, Plaintiffs advised Netflix of their assertion that Netflix infringed the '138 Patent. Following that assertion, Plaintiffs and Netflix had an in-person meeting on October 24, 2019, where Plaintiffs reiterated their assertion of infringement. Despite this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '138 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

7. U.S. Patent No. 6,744,387

On or about September 26, 2019, Plaintiffs advised Netflix of their assertion that Netflix infringed the '387 Patent. Following that assertion, Plaintiffs and Netflix had an in-person meeting on October 24, 2019, where Plaintiffs reiterated their assertion of infringement. Despite this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '387 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

8. U.S. Patent No. 6,982,663

On or about September 26, 2019, Plaintiffs advised Netflix of their assertion that Netflix infringed the '663 Patent. Following that assertion, Plaintiffs and Netflix had an in-person meeting on October 24, 2019, where Plaintiffs reiterated their assertion of infringement. Despite

this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '663 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

9. U.S. Patent No. 9,322,283

Through the filing of the Original Complaint on March 13, 2020, Plaintiffs provided notice to Netflix of Plaintiffs' assertion of infringement for the '283 Patent. Despite this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '283 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

10. U.S. Patent No. 8,548,976

Through the filing of the First Amended Complaint on June 22, 2020, Plaintiffs provided notice to Netflix of Plaintiffs' assertion of infringement for the '976 Patent. Despite this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '976 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

11. U.S. Patent No. 7,457,722

Through the filing of the First Amended Complaint on June 22, 2020, Plaintiffs provided notice to Netflix of Plaintiffs' assertion of infringement for the '722 Patent. Despite this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '722 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

12. U.S. Patent No. 8,365,183

Through the filing of the First Amended Complaint on June 22, 2020, Plaintiffs provided notice to Netflix of Plaintiffs' assertion of infringement for the '183 Patent. Despite this knowledge of Plaintiffs' patent rights and their infringement allegations, Netflix has continued to infringe the '183 Patent in willful disregard of Plaintiffs' rights.

28

1	Patent Local Rule 3-2 Disclosures			
2	In accordance with Patent Local Rule 3-2, Plaintiffs are producing the following documents			
3	A. Patent Local Rule 3-2(a)			
4	BRCM00000397-BRCM00000416			
5	B. Patent Local Rule 3-2(b)			
6	BRCM00000240-BRCM00000252			
7 8	C. Patent Local Rule 3-2(c)			
9	BRCM00009929-BRCM00014220; BRCM00015311-BRCM00015515			
10	D. Patent Local Rule 3-2(d)			
11	BRCM00000882-BRCM000009428			
12				
13	None			
14				
15	BRCM00000882-BRCM000009428			
16	5			
17	G. Patent Local Rule 3-2(g) PRCM0000001 PRCM00000220: PRCM00000253 PRCM00000206:			
18	BRCM0000001-BRCM00000239; BRCM00000253-BRCM00000396;			
19	BRCM00000417-BRCM00000670; BRCM00000683-BRCM00000701			
20	H. Patent Local Rule 3-2(h)			
21	None at this time			
22	I. Patent Local Rule 3-2(i)			
23	BRCM0000702			
2425	J. Patent Local Rule 3-2(j)			
26	BRCM00000394-BRCM0000396; BRCM00000671-BRCM00000682			
27				
28				

1	Dated: January 5, 2021	Respectfully submitted,
2	Dated. January 3, 2021	/s/ Richard L. Wynne, Jr. Bruce S. Sostek
3		Richard L. Wynne, Jr.
4		Adrienne E. Dominguez Attorney for Plaintiffs
5		BROADCOM CORPORATION and AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES
6		INTERNATIONAL SALES PTE. LIMITED
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	PLAINTIFFS' PATENT LOCAL RULE 3-1 AND	

1	Proof of Service		
2	I, Richard L. Wynne, Jr., declare:		
3	I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Dallas County, Texas. I am over th		
4	age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 1722		
5	Routh Street, Suite 1500, Dallas, Texas 75201.		
6	On January 5, 2021, I served a copy of the within document:		
7	DISCLOSURES	AL RULE 3-1 AND 3-2	
9	by placing the document listed a fully prepaid, the United States and draward as set forth below.	above in a sealed envelope with postage thereor mail at [CITY NAME], [STATE NAME]	
10	by transmitting via my electroni	c service address (richard.wynne@tklaw.com) persons at the e-mail addresses set forth below	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thomas Edward Gorman Emily A. Hasselberg Sharif E Jacob Matthias Kamber Keker Van Nest and Peters LLP 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Email: ebayley@keker.com tgorman@keker.com ehasselberg@keker.com sjacob@keker.com mkamber@keker.com	Christina Lee Paven Malhotra David J Rosen Robert A Van Nest, Jr. Keker Van Nest and Peters LLP 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Email: clee@keker.com pmalhotra@keker.com drosen@keker.com rvannest@keker.com netflix-kvp@keker.com	
21 22 23 23 24 25 26 27	true and correct. Executed on January 5, 2021 at Dallas,	the laws of the State of Texas that the above is Texas. /s/Richard L. Wynne, Jr. Richard L. Wynne, Jr.	