From: TXW USDC Notice@txwd.uscourts.gov
To: cmecf_notices@txwd.uscourts.gov

Subject: Activity in Case 6:20-cv-00296-ADA Bell Semiconductor, LLC v. Microchip Technology, Inc. et al Markman

Hearing

Date: Thursday, April 1, 2021 2:11:22 PM

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court [LIVE]

Western District of Texas

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 4/1/2021 at 2:10 PM CDT and filed on 4/1/2021

Case Name: Bell Semiconductor, LLC v. Microchip Technology, Inc. et al

Case Number: <u>6:20-cv-00296-ADA</u>

Filer:

Document Number: 56

Docket Text:

Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Alan D Albright. Markman Hearing held on 4/1/2021. Case called for Markman Hearing by Zoom. Parties announce ready. Defts begin with the term A method, comprising steps of: forming a first [layer/electrically conductive layer]. Plaintiff presents counter argument. Court rules that they will keep their preliminary instruction as presented on record. Defts move on to the term making a partial cut in the leadframe arguing that the courts preliminary instruction does not go far enough. Plaintiff argues the current instruction is sufficient. The court finds that the added terminology of in the depth of the cut is smaller than the lead frame is redundant and unnecessary and will maintain the original courts preliminary instruction stands. Court announced that Discovery opens as of today; Parties notify the court that they have already agreed that the parties would open as of the second Markman and the judge defers to their agreement. Parties inquire regarding pending motion (dkt 34) in the Waco case to transfer the case to Austin. Court will not make a determination at this point. Defts also bring up the unopposed sealed motion (dkt 45) in Waco case Court will grant the sealed motion. No other pending matters. Hearing concluded. (Minute entry documents are not available electronically.) (Court Reporter Lily Reznik.)(bw)

6:20-cy-00296-ADA Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Microchip et al. Ex. 1018-1

Brian Christopher Banner bbanner@sgbfirm.com, litigation@sgbfirm.com

Charles C. Koole ckoole@skiermontderby.com

Darryl Adams dadams@sgbfirm.com, litigation@sgbfirm.com

Jaime K. Olin jolin@skiermontderby.com

Joseph Daniel Gray jgray@sgbfirm.com, litigation@sgbfirm.com

Joseph M. Ramirez jramirez@skiermontderby.com

Paul Joseph Skiermont@skiermontderby.com, cbridwell@skiermontderby.com, djackson@skiermontderby.com, sharbour@skiermontderby.com

R. William Beard, Jr wbeard@sgbfirm.com, litigation@sgbfirm.com

Ryan A. Hargrave rhargrave@skiermontderby.com

Sheetal S. Patel spatel@skiermontderby.com

Steven W. Hartsell @skiermontderby.com, djackson@skiermontderby.com

Tara M. Williams@skiermontderby.com, cbridwell@skiermontderby.com, djackson@skiermontderby.com, sharbour@skiermontderby.com

Tecuan Flores (@sgbfirm.com, litigation(@sgbfirm.com

Truman H Fenton tfenton@sgbfirm.com, litigation@sgbfirm.com

6:20-cv-00296-ADA Notice has been delivered by other means to:

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description: Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1080075687 [Date=4/1/2021] [FileNumber=24754752-0] [b0426ba43d220768d34f4337ac4f12f98ca2b9f010732f955ba3a0da11a567d5769 43da4a69e5a2f8df94d55c3846287f1bc2e2d2e656cda1943c967c1008622]]

From: Charles Koole
To: Brian Banner

Cc: Darryl Adams; Tecuan Flores; Joseph D. Gray; Truman Fenton; William Beard; Steven Hartsell; Joseph Ramirez;

BellSemic SDTeam

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Bell Semic v. Microchip/Microsemi

Date: Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:29:17 PM

Brian – we are fine with your proposal below.

Thanks, Charles

From: Brian Banner

sgbfirm.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:40 PM

To: Charles Koole < CKoole@skiermontderby.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Bell Semic v. Microchip/Microsemi

EXTERNAL

Charles,

Under the current scheduling order, discovery opens on April 12. As you likely know, under Judge Albright's procedures, discovery is supposed to open after Markman. In light of yesterday's order moving a Markman hearing to April 16, and in light of the parties' continuing settlement discussions, Microchip and Microsemi request the parties stipulate to move the opening of discovery (and deadline for serving initial disclosures) to April 19.

Please let us know Bell's position on Defendants' request. Feel free to give me a call if you'd like to discuss.

Best regards,

Brian C. Banner

SGD | SLAYDEN GRUBERT BEARD PLLC

401 Congress Ave, Ste 1650 | Austin, TX 78701 | USA [O] 512.402.3569 | [C] 512.468.6297 | sgbfirm.com

This message was sent by an attorney at law and may contain privileged and/or confidential matter. Please let the sender know if this message was received in error.