### 5/22/2021 WikipediA

# **Peer-to-peer**

**Peer-to-peer** (P2P) computing or networking is a distributed application architecture that partitions tasks or workloads between peers. Peers are equally privileged, equipotent participants in the application. They are said to form a peer-to-peer network of nodes.

Peers make a portion of their resources, such as processing power, disk storage or network bandwidth, directly available to other network participants, without the need for central coordination by servers or stable hosts.<sup>[1]</sup> Peers are both suppliers and consumers of resources, in contrast to the traditional client-server model in which the consumption and supply of resources is divided.<sup>[2]</sup>

While P2P systems had previously been used in many application domains, [3] the architecture was popularized by the file sharing system Napster, originally released in 1999.<sup>[4]</sup> The concept has inspired new structures and philosophies in many areas of human interaction. In such social contexts, peer-to-peer as a meme refers to the egalitarian social networking that has emerged throughout society, enabled by Internet technologies in general.



A peer-to-peer (P2P) network in which interconnected nodes ("peers") share resources amongst each other without the use of a centralized administrative system

His	torical development
Arc	hitecture
	Routing and resource discovery
	Unstructured networks
	Structured networks
	Hybrid models
	CoopNet content distribution system
	Security and trust
	Routing attacks
	Corrupted data and malware
	Resilient and scalable computer networks
	Distributed storage and search
App	olications
	Content delivery
	File-sharing networks
	Copyright infringements
	Multimedia
	Other P2P applications



A network based on the clientserver model, where individual clients request services and resources from centralized servers

Incentivizing resource sharing and cooperation	
Privacy and anonymity	
Political implications	
Intellectual property law and illegal sharing	
Network neutrality	
Current research	
See also	
References	
External links	

## **Historical development**

While P2P systems had previously been used in many application domains,<sup>[3]</sup> the concept was popularized by <u>file sharing</u> systems such as the music-sharing application <u>Napster</u> (originally released in 1999). The peer-to-peer movement allowed millions of Internet users to connect "directly, forming groups and collaborating to become user-created search engines, virtual supercomputers, and filesystems."<sup>[5]</sup> The basic concept of peer-to-peer computing was envisioned in earlier software systems and networking discussions, reaching back to principles stated in the first <u>Request for Comments</u>, RFC 1.<sup>[6]</sup>



<u>SETI@home</u> was established in 1999

Tim Berners-Lee's vision for the World Wide Web was close to a P2P network in that it assumed each user of the web would be an active

editor and contributor, creating and linking content to form an interlinked "web" of links. The early Internet was more open than present day, where two machines connected to the Internet could send packets to each other without firewalls and other security measures.<sup>[5]</sup> This contrasts to the broadcasting-like structure of the web as it has developed over the years.<sup>[7][8]</sup> As a precursor to the Internet, <u>ARPANET</u> was a successful client–server network where "every participating node could request and serve content." However, <u>ARPANET</u> was not self-organized, and it lacked the ability to "provide any means for context or content-based routing beyond 'simple' address-based routing."<sup>[8]</sup>

Therefore, <u>USENET</u>, a distributed messaging system that is often described as an early peer-to-peer architecture, was established. It was developed in 1979 as a system that enforces a <u>decentralized model</u> of control.<sup>[9]</sup> The basic model is a client–server model from the user or client perspective that offers a self-organizing approach to newsgroup servers. However, <u>news servers</u> communicate with one another as peers to propagate <u>Usenet news</u> articles over the entire group of network servers. The same consideration applies to <u>SMTP</u> email in the sense that the core email-relaying network of <u>mail transfer</u> agents has a peer-to-peer character, while the periphery of <u>e-mail clients</u> and their direct connections is strictly a client–server relationship.

In May 1999, with millions more people on the Internet, <u>Shawn Fanning</u> introduced the music and filesharing application called Napster.<sup>[8]</sup> Napster was the beginning of peer-to-peer networks, as we know them today, where "participating users establish a virtual network, entirely independent from the physical network, without having to obey any administrative authorities or restrictions."<sup>[8]</sup>

# Architecture

A peer-to-peer network is designed around the notion of equal <u>peer</u> nodes simultaneously functioning as both "clients" and "servers" to the other nodes on the network. This model of network arrangement differs from the <u>client-server</u> model where communication is usually to and from a central server. A typical example of a file transfer that uses the client-server model is the <u>File Transfer Protocol</u> (FTP) service in which the client and server programs are distinct: the clients initiate the transfer, and the servers satisfy these requests.

### Routing and resource discovery

Peer-to-peer networks generally implement some form of virtual <u>overlay network</u> on top of the physical network topology, where the nodes in the overlay form a <u>subset</u> of the nodes in the physical network. Data is still exchanged directly over the underlying <u>TCP/IP</u> network, but at the <u>application layer</u> peers are able to communicate with each other directly, via the logical overlay links (each of which corresponds to a path through the underlying physical network). Overlays are used for indexing and peer discovery, and make the P2P system independent from the physical network topology. Based on how the nodes are linked to each other within the overlay network, and how resources are indexed and located, we can classify networks as *unstructured* or *structured* (or as a hybrid between the two).<sup>[10][11][12]</sup>

#### **Unstructured networks**

*Unstructured peer-to-peer networks* do not impose a particular structure on the overlay network by design, but rather are formed by nodes that randomly form connections to each other.<sup>[13]</sup> (Gnutella, Gossip, and Kazaa are examples of unstructured P2P protocols).<sup>[14]</sup>

Because there is no structure globally imposed upon them, unstructured networks are easy to build and allow for localized optimizations to different regions of the overlay.<sup>[15]</sup> Also, because the role of all peers in the network is the same, unstructured networks are highly robust in the face of high rates of "churn"—that is, when large numbers of peers are frequently joining and leaving the network.<sup>[16][17]</sup>

However, the primary limitations of unstructured networks also arise from this lack of structure. In particular, when a peer wants to find a desired piece of



Overlay network diagram for an **unstructured P2P network**, illustrating the ad hoc nature of the connections between nodes

data in the network, the search query must be flooded through the network to find as many peers as possible that share the data. Flooding causes a very high amount of signaling traffic in the network, uses more <u>CPU</u>/memory (by requiring every peer to process all search queries), and does not ensure that search queries will always be resolved. Furthermore, since there is no correlation between a peer and the content managed by it, there is no guarantee that flooding will find a peer that has the desired data. Popular content is likely to be available at several peers and any peer searching for it is likely to find the same thing. But if a peer is looking for rare data shared by only a few other peers, then it is highly unlikely that search will be successful.<sup>[18]</sup>

#### 5/22/2021

#### Structured networks

In *structured peer-to-peer networks* the overlay is organized into a specific topology, and the protocol ensures that any node can efficiently<sup>[19]</sup> search the network for a file/resource, even if the resource is extremely rare.

The most common type of structured P2P networks implement a distributed hash table (DHT),  $^{[20][21]}$  in which a variant of consistent hashing is used to assign ownership of each file to a particular peer.  $^{[22][23]}$  This enables peers to search for resources on the network using a hash table: that is, (*key*, *value*) pairs are stored in the DHT, and any participating node can efficiently retrieve the value associated with a given key.  $^{[24][25]}$ 





**network**, using a <u>distributed hash table</u> (DHT) to identify and locate nodes/resources

However, in order to route traffic efficiently through the network, nodes in a structured overlay must maintain lists of neighbors<sup>[26]</sup> that satisfy specific criteria. This makes them less robust in networks with a high rate of *churn* (i.e. with large numbers of nodes frequently joining and leaving the network).<sup>[17][27]</sup> More recent evaluation of P2P resource discovery solutions under real workloads have pointed out several issues in DHT-based solutions such as high cost of

advertising/discovering resources and static and dynamic load imbalance.<sup>[28]</sup>

Notable distributed networks that use DHTs include <u>Tixati</u>, an alternative to <u>BitTorrent's</u> distributed tracker, the <u>Kad network</u>, the <u>Storm botnet</u>, <u>YaCy</u>, and the <u>Coral Content Distribution Network</u>. Some prominent research projects include the <u>Chord project</u>, <u>Kademlia</u>, <u>PAST storage utility</u>, P-Grid, a self-organized and emerging overlay network, and <u>CoopNet content distribution system</u>.<sup>[29]</sup> DHT-based networks have also been widely utilized for accomplishing efficient resource discovery<sup>[30][31]</sup> for grid computing systems, as it aids in resource management and scheduling of applications.

#### Hybrid models

Hybrid models are a combination of peer-to-peer and client–server models.<sup>[32]</sup> A common hybrid model is to have a central server that helps peers find each other. Spotify was an example of a hybrid model [until 2014]. There are a variety of hybrid models, all of which make trade-offs between the centralized functionality provided by a structured server/client network and the node equality afforded by the pure peer-to-peer unstructured networks. Currently, hybrid models have better performance than either pure unstructured networks or pure structured networks because certain functions, such as searching, do require a centralized functionality but benefit from the decentralized aggregation of nodes provided by unstructured networks.<sup>[33]</sup>

#### CoopNet content distribution system

#### 5/22/2021

#### Peer-to-peer - Wikipedia

**CoopNet (Cooperative Networking)** was a proposed system for off-loading serving to peers who have recently downloaded content, proposed by computer scientists Venkata N. Padmanabhan and Kunwadee Sripanidkulchai, working at Microsoft Research and Carnegie Mellon University.<sup>[34][35]</sup> When a server experiences an increase in load it redirects incoming peers to other peers who have agreed to mirror the content, thus off-loading balance from the server. All of the information is retained at the server. This system makes use of the fact that the bottle-neck is most likely in the outgoing bandwidth than the CPU, hence its server-centric design. It assigns peers to other peers who are 'close in IP' to its neighbors [same prefix range] in an attempt to use locality. If multiple peers are found with the same file it designates that the node choose the fastest of its neighbors. Streaming media is transmitted by having clients cache the previous stream, and then transmit it piece-wise to new nodes.

### Security and trust

Peer-to-peer systems pose unique challenges from a computer security perspective.

Like any other form of software, P2P applications can contain <u>vulnerabilities</u>. What makes this particularly dangerous for P2P software, however, is that peer-to-peer applications act as servers as well as clients, meaning that they can be more vulnerable to remote exploits. [36]

#### **Routing attacks**

Since each node plays a role in routing traffic through the network, malicious users can perform a variety of "routing attacks", or <u>denial of service</u> attacks. Examples of common routing attacks include "incorrect lookup routing" whereby malicious nodes deliberately forward requests incorrectly or return false results, "incorrect routing updates" where malicious nodes corrupt the routing tables of neighboring nodes by sending them false information, and "incorrect routing network partition" where when new nodes are joining they bootstrap via a malicious node, which places the new node in a partition of the network that is populated by other malicious nodes.<sup>[37]</sup>

#### Corrupted data and malware

The prevalence of <u>malware</u> varies between different peer-to-peer protocols. Studies analyzing the spread of malware on P2P networks found, for example, that 63% of the answered download requests on the <u>gnutella</u> network contained some form of malware, whereas only 3% of the content on <u>OpenFT</u> contained malware. In both cases, the top three most common types of malware accounted for the large majority of cases (99% in gnutella, and 65% in OpenFT). Another study analyzing traffic on the Kazaa network found that 15% of the 500,000 file sample taken were infected by one or more of the 365 different computer viruses that were tested for.<sup>[38]</sup>

Corrupted data can also be distributed on P2P networks by modifying files that are already being shared on the network. For example, on the FastTrack network, the RIAA managed to introduce faked chunks into downloads and downloaded files (mostly MP3 files). Files infected with the RIAA virus were unusable afterwards and contained malicious code. The RIAA is also known to have uploaded fake music and movies to P2P networks in order to deter illegal file sharing.<sup>[39]</sup> Consequently, the P2P networks of today have seen an enormous increase of their security and file verification mechanisms. Modern hashing, chunk verification and different encryption methods have made most networks resistant to almost any type of attack, even when major parts of the respective network have been replaced by faked or nonfunctional hosts.<sup>[40]</sup>

### Resilient and scalable computer networks

The decentralized nature of P2P networks increases robustness because it removes the single point of failure that can be inherent in a client-server based system.<sup>[41]</sup> As nodes arrive and demand on the system increases, the total capacity of the system also increases, and the likelihood of failure decreases. If one peer on the network fails to function properly, the whole network is not compromised or damaged. In contrast, in a typical client-server architecture, clients share only their demands with the system, but not their resources. In this case, as more clients join the system, fewer resources are available to serve each client, and if the central server fails, the entire network is taken down.

#### Distributed storage and search

There are both advantages and disadvantages in P2P networks related to the topic of data backup, recovery, and availability. In a centralized network, the system administrators are the only forces controlling the availability of files being shared. If the administrators decide to no longer distribute a file, they simply have to remove it from their servers, and it will no longer be available to users. Along with leaving the users powerless deciding what is distributed throughout in the community, this makes the entire system vulnerable to threats and requests from the government and other large forces. For example, YouTube has been pressured by the RIAA, MPAA, and entertainment industry to filter out copyrighted content. Although server-client networks are able to monitor and manage content availability, they can have more stability in the availability of the content they choose to host. A client should not have trouble accessing obscure content that is being shared on a stable centralized network. P2P networks, however, are more unreliable in sharing unpopular files because sharing



Search results for the query "<u>software libre</u>", using YaCy a free <u>distributed search engine</u> that runs on a peer-to-peer network instead making requests to centralized index servers (like <u>Google</u>, Yahoo, and other corporate search engines)

files in a P2P network requires that at least one node in the network has the requested data, and that node must be able to connect to the node requesting the data. This requirement is occasionally hard to meet because users may delete or stop sharing data at any point. [42]

In this sense, the community of users in a P2P network is completely responsible for deciding what content is available. Unpopular files will eventually disappear and become unavailable as more people stop sharing them. Popular files, however, will be highly and easily distributed. Popular files on a P2P network actually have more stability and availability than files on central networks. In a centralized network, a simple loss of connection between the server and clients is enough to cause a failure, but in P2P networks, the connections between every node must be lost in order to cause a data sharing failure. In a centralized system, the administrators are responsible for all data recovery and backups, while in P2P systems, each node requires its own backup system. Because of the lack of central authority in P2P networks, forces such as the recording industry, RIAA, MPAA, and the government are unable to delete or stop the sharing of content on P2P systems. [43]

## Applications

### **Content delivery**

In P2P networks, clients both provide and use resources. This means that unlike client–server systems, the content-serving capacity of peer-to-peer networks can actually *increase* as more users begin to access the content (especially with protocols such as <u>Bittorrent</u> that require users to share, refer a performance measurement study<sup>[44]</sup>). This property is one of the major advantages of using P2P networks because it makes the setup and running costs very small for the original content distributor.<sup>[45][46]</sup>

### **File-sharing networks**

Many file <u>peer-to-peer file sharing</u> networks, such as <u>Gnutella</u>, <u>G2</u>, and the <u>eDonkey network</u> popularized peer-to-peer technologies.

- Peer-to-peer content delivery networks.
- Peer-to-peer content services, e.g. caches for improved performance such as Correli Caches<sup>[47]</sup>
- Software publication and distribution (Linux distribution, several games); via file sharing networks.

#### **Copyright infringements**

Peer-to-peer networking involves data transfer from one user to another without using an intermediate server. Companies developing P2P applications have been involved in numerous legal cases, primarily in the United States, over conflicts with copyright law.<sup>[48]</sup> Two major cases are *Grokster vs RIAA* and *MGM Studios, Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd.*.<sup>[49]</sup> In the last case, the Court unanimously held that defendant peer-to-peer file sharing companies Grokster and Streamcast could be sued for inducing copyright infringement.

### Multimedia

- The P2PTV and PDTP protocols.
- Some proprietary multimedia applications use a peer-to-peer network along with streaming servers to stream audio and video to their clients.
- Peercasting for multicasting streams.
- Pennsylvania State University, MIT and Simon Fraser University are carrying on a project called LionShare designed for facilitating file sharing among educational institutions globally.
- Osiris is a program that allows its users to create anonymous and autonomous web portals distributed via P2P network.

### **Other P2P applications**

- <u>Bitcoin</u> and alternatives such as <u>Ether</u>, <u>Nxt</u> and <u>Peercoin</u> are peer-to-peer-based digital cryptocurrencies.
- <u>Dalesa</u>, a peer-to-peer <u>web cache</u> for <u>LANs</u> (based on IP multicasting).
- Dat, a distributed version-controlled publishing platform.
- <u>Filecoin</u> is an <u>open source</u>, public, <u>cryptocurrency</u> and digital payment system intended to be a <u>blockchain</u>-based <u>cooperative</u> digital storage and data retrieval method.



Torrent file connect peers

- <u>I2P</u>, an <u>overlay network</u> used to browse the Internet <u>anonymously</u>.
- The InterPlanetary File System (IPFS) is a protocol and network designed to create a contentaddressable, peer-to-peer method of storing and sharing <u>hypermedia</u> distribution protocol. Nodes in the IPFS network form a <u>distributed file system</u>.
- Jami, a peer-to-peer chat and <u>SIP</u> app.
- <u>JXTA</u>, a peer-to-peer protocol designed for the <u>Java platform</u>.
- Netsukuku, a Wireless community network designed to be independent from the Internet.
- Open Garden, connection sharing application that shares Internet access with other devices using Wi-Fi or Bluetooth.
- <u>Resilio Sync</u>, a directory-syncing app.
- Research like the <u>Chord project</u>, the <u>PAST storage utility</u>, the <u>P-Grid</u>, and the <u>CoopNet content</u> distribution system.
- Syncthing, a directory-syncing app.
- Tradepal and M-commerce applications that power real-time marketplaces.
- The <u>U.S. Department of Defense</u> is conducting research on P2P networks as part of its modern network warfare strategy.<sup>[50]</sup> In May, 2003, <u>Anthony Tether</u>, then director of <u>DARPA</u>, testified that the United States military uses P2P networks.
- WebTorrent is a P2P streaming torrent client in JavaScript for use in web browsers, as well as in the WebTorrent Desktop stand alone version that bridges WebTorrent and BitTorrent serverless networks.
- Tor (anonymity network)
- <u>Microsoft</u> in <u>Windows 10</u> uses a proprietary peer to peer technology called "Delivery Optimization" to deploy operating system updates using end-users PCs either on the local network or other PCs. According to Microsoft's Channel 9 it led to a 30%-50% reduction in Internet bandwidth usage.<sup>[51]</sup>
- Artisoft's <u>LANtastic</u> was built as a peer-to-peer operating system. Machines can be servers and workstations at the same time.

# Social implications

### Incentivizing resource sharing and cooperation

Cooperation among a community of participants is key to the continued success of P2P systems aimed at casual human users; these reach their full potential only when large numbers of nodes contribute resources. But in current practice, P2P networks often contain large numbers of users who utilize resources shared by other nodes, but who do not share anything themselves (often referred to as the "freeloader problem"). Freeloading can have a profound impact on the network and in some cases can cause the community to collapse.<sup>[52]</sup> In these types of networks "users have natural disincentives to cooperate because cooperation consumes their own resources and may degrade their own performance."<sup>[53]</sup> Studying the social attributes of P2P networks is challenging due to large populations of turnover, asymmetry of interest and zero-cost identity.<sup>[53]</sup> A variety of incentive mechanisms have been implemented to encourage or even force nodes to contribute resources.<sup>[54]</sup>

Some researchers have explored the benefits of enabling virtual communities to self-organize and introduce incentives for resource sharing and cooperation, arguing that the social aspect missing from today's P2P systems should be seen both as a goal and a means for self-organized virtual communities to be built and fostered.<sup>[55]</sup> Ongoing research efforts for designing effective incentive mechanisms in P2P systems, based on principles from game theory, are beginning to take on a more psychological and

information-processing direction.

#### **Privacy and anonymity**

Some peer-to-peer networks (e.g. Freenet) place a heavy emphasis on privacy and <u>anonymity</u>—that is, ensuring that the contents of communications are hidden from eavesdroppers, and that the identities/locations of the participants are concealed. <u>Public key cryptography</u> can be used to provide <u>encryption</u>, <u>data validation</u>, authorization, and authentication for <u>data/messages</u>. <u>Onion routing</u> and other <u>mix network protocols</u> (e.g. Tarzan) can be used to provide anonymity.<sup>[56]</sup>

Perpetrators of live streaming sexual abuse and other cybercrimes have used peer-to-peer platforms to carry out activities with anonymity.<sup>[57]</sup>

## **Political implications**

### Intellectual property law and illegal sharing

Although peer-to-peer networks can be used for legitimate purposes, rights holders have targeted peer-topeer over the involvement with sharing copyrighted



The <u>BitTorrent</u> protocol: In this animation, the colored bars beneath all of the 7 clients in the upper region above represent the file being shared, with each color representing an individual piece of the file. After the initial pieces transfer from the <u>seed</u> (large system at the bottom), the pieces are individually transferred from client to client. The original seeder only needs to send out one copy of the file for all the clients to receive a copy.

material. Peer-to-peer networking involves data transfer from one user to another without using an intermediate server. Companies developing P2P applications have been involved in numerous legal cases, primarily in the United States, primarily over issues surrounding copyright law.<sup>[48]</sup> Two major cases are *Grokster vs RIAA* and *MGM Studios, Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd.*<sup>[49]</sup> In both of the cases the file sharing technology was ruled to be legal as long as the developers had no ability to prevent the sharing of the copyrighted material. To establish criminal liability for the copyright willingly for the purpose of personal financial gain or commercial advantage.<sup>[58]</sup> Fair use exceptions allow limited use of copyrighted material to be downloaded without acquiring permission from the rights holders. These documents are usually news reporting or under the lines of research and scholarly work. Controversies have developed over the concern of illegitimate use of peer-to-peer networks regarding public safety and national security. When a file is downloaded through a peer-to-peer network, it is impossible to know who created the file or what users are connected to the network at a given time. Trustworthiness of sources is a potential security threat that can be seen with peer-to-peer systems.<sup>[59]</sup>

A study ordered by the <u>European Union</u> found that illegal downloading *may* lead to an increase in overall video game sales because newer games charge for extra features or levels. The paper concluded that piracy had a negative financial impact on movies, music, and literature. The study relied on self-reported data about game purchases and use of illegal download sites. Pains were taken to remove effects of false and misremembered responses. [60][61][62]

### **Network neutrality**

#### Peer-to-peer - Wikipedia

Peer-to-peer applications present one of the core issues in the network neutrality controversy. Internet service providers (ISPs) have been known to throttle P2P file-sharing traffic due to its high-bandwidth usage.<sup>[63]</sup> Compared to Web browsing, e-mail or many other uses of the internet, where data is only transferred in short intervals and relative small quantities, P2P file-sharing often consists of relatively heavy bandwidth usage due to ongoing file transfers and swarm/network coordination packets. In October 2007, Comcast, one of the largest broadband Internet providers in the United States, started blocking P2P applications such as BitTorrent. Their rationale was that P2P is mostly used to share illegal content, and their infrastructure is not designed for continuous, high-bandwidth traffic. Critics point out that P2P networking has legitimate legal uses, and that this is another way that large providers are trying to control use and content on the Internet, and direct people towards a client-server-based application architecture. The client-server model provides financial barriers-to-entry to small publishers and individuals, and can be less efficient for sharing large files. As a reaction to this bandwidth throttling, several P2P applications started implementing protocol obfuscation, such as the BitTorrent protocol encryption. Techniques for achieving "protocol obfuscation" involves removing otherwise easily identifiable properties of protocols, such as deterministic byte sequences and packet sizes, by making the data look as if it were random.<sup>[64]</sup> The ISP's solution to the high bandwidth is P2P caching, where an ISP stores the part of files most accessed by P2P clients in order to save access to the Internet.

## **Current research**

Researchers have used computer simulations to aid in understanding and evaluating the complex behaviors of individuals within the network. "Networking research often relies on simulation in order to test and evaluate new ideas. An important requirement of this process is that results must be reproducible so that other researchers can replicate, validate, and extend existing work."<sup>[65]</sup> If the research cannot be reproduced, then the opportunity for further research is hindered. "Even though new simulators continue to be released, the research community tends towards only a handful of open-source simulators. The demand for features in simulators, as shown by our criteria and survey, is high. Therefore, the community should work together to get these features in open-source software. This would reduce the need for custom simulators, and hence increase repeatability and reputability of experiments."<sup>[65]</sup>

Besides all the above stated facts, there have been work done on ns-2 open source network simulator. One research issue related to free rider detection and punishment has been explored using ns-2 simulator here.<sup>[66]</sup>

## See also

- Client-queue-client
- Cultural-Historical Activity Theory (CHAT)
- End-to-end principle
- Distributed Data Management Architecture
- List of P2P protocols
- Friend-to-friend
- Peer-to-peer energy trading
- Segmented downloading
- Semantic P2P networks
- Sharing economy
- Wireless ad hoc network

USB dead drop

## References

- 1. Rüdiger Schollmeier, A Definition of Peer-to-Peer Networking for the Classification of Peer-to-Peer Architectures and Applications, Proceedings of the First International Conference on Peer-to-Peer Computing, IEEE (2002).
- Bandara, H. M. N. D; A. P. Jayasumana (2012). "Collaborative Applications over Peer-to-Peer Systems – Challenges and Solutions". *Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications*. 6 (3): 257–276. arXiv:1207.0790 (https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.0790). Bibcode:2012arXiv1207.0790D (https://ui.adsabs. harvard.edu/abs/2012arXiv1207.0790D). doi:10.1007/s12083-012-0157-3 (https://doi.org/10.1007%2 Fs12083-012-0157-3). S2CID 14008541 (https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:14008541).
- 3. Barkai, David (2001). <u>Peer-to-peer computing : technologies for sharing and collaborating on the net</u> (https://archive.org/details/ixp1200programmi00john). Hillsboro, OR: Intel Press. <u>ISBN 978-</u> 0970284679. OCLC 49354877 (https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/49354877).
- Saroiu, Stefan; Gummadi, Krishna P.; Gribble, Steven D. (2003-08-01). "Measuring and analyzing the characteristics of Napster and Gnutella hosts" (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00530-003-0088-1). *Multimedia Systems*. 9 (2): 170–184. doi:10.1007/s00530-003-0088-1 (https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fs0 0530-003-0088-1). ISSN 1432-1882 (https://www.worldcat.org/issn/1432-1882). S2CID 15963045 (https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:15963045).
- Oram, Andrew, ed. (2001). <u>Peer-to-peer: harnessing the benefits of a disruptive technologies (https://archive.org/details/peertopeerharnes00oram\_0)</u>. <u>Sebastopol, California</u>: O'Reilly. ISBN 9780596001100. OCLC 123103147 (https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/123103147).
- 6. RFC 1, Host Software, S. Crocker, IETF Working Group (April 7, 1969)
- 7. Berners-Lee, Tim (August 1996). <u>"The World Wide Web: Past, Present and Future" (http://www.w3.or</u> g/People/Berners-Lee/1996/ppf.html). Retrieved 5 November 2011.
- Steinmetz, Ralf; Wehrle, Klaus (2005). "2. What Is This "Peer-to-Peer" About?". *Peer-to-Peer Systems and Applications*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. pp. 9–16. doi:10.1007/11530657\_2 (https://doi.org/10.1007%2F11530657\_2). ISBN 9783540291923.
- 9. Horton, Mark, and Rick Adams. "Standard for interchange of USENET messages." (1987): 1. https://www.hjp.at/doc/rfc/1036.html
- Ahson, Syed A.; Ilyas, Mohammad, eds. (2008). <u>SIP Handbook: Services, Technologies, and</u> <u>Security of Session Initiation Protocol</u> (https://books.google.com/books?id=CKzPq3-wVdcC&pg=PA2 04). Taylor & Francis. p. 204. ISBN 9781420066043.
- 11. Zhu, Ce; et al., eds. (2010). <u>Streaming Media Architectures: Techniques and Applications: Recent</u> <u>Advances (https://books.google.com/books?id=Cb4dWYVJ\_8AC&pg=PA265)</u>. IGI Global. p. 265. ISBN 9781616928339.
- Kamel, Mina; et al. (2007). "Optimal Topology Design for Overlay Networks" (https://books.google.co m/books?id=r4V2G7yPLIAC&pg=PA714). In Akyildiz, Ian F. (ed.). Networking 2007: Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks, Wireless Networks, Next Generation Internet: 6th International IFIP-TC6 Networking Conference, Atlanta, GA, USA, May 14-18, 2007 Proceedings. Springer. p. 714. ISBN 9783540726050.
- Filali, Imen; et al. (2011). <u>"A Survey of Structured P2P Systems for RDF Data Storage and Retrieval"</u> (https://books.google.com/books?id=pjQr7BHtbCoC&pg=PA21). In Hameurlain, Abdelkader; et al. (eds.). *Transactions on Large-Scale Data- and Knowledge-Centered Systems III: Special Issue on Data and Knowledge Management in Grid and PSP Systems*. Springer. p. 21. ISBN 9783642230738.

- Zulhasnine, Mohammed; et al. (2013). "P2P Streaming Over Cellular Networks: Issues, Challenges, and Opportunities" (https://books.google.com/books?id=tr5PGJk-swIC&pg=PA99). In Pathan; et al. (eds.). Building Next-Generation Converged Networks: Theory and Practice. CRC Press. p. 99. ISBN 9781466507616.
- Chervenak, Ann; Bharathi, Shishir (2008). "Peer-to-peer Approaches to Grid Resource Discovery" (h ttps://books.google.com/books?id=adN0pm\_BBuYC&pg=PA67). In Danelutto, Marco; et al. (eds.). Making Grids Work: Proceedings of the CoreGRID Workshop on Programming Models Grid and P2P System Architecture Grid Systems, Tools and Environments 12-13 June 2007, Heraklion, Crete, Greece. Springer. p. 67. ISBN 9780387784489.
- Jin, Xing; Chan, S.-H. Gary (2010). "Unstructured Peer-to-Peer Network Architectures". In Shen; et al. (eds.). *Handbook of Peer-to-Peer Networking*. Springer. p. 119. <u>ISBN</u> <u>978-0-387-09750-3</u>.
- Lv, Qin; et al. (2002). "Can Heterogeneity Make Gnutella Stable?" (https://books.google.com/books?i d=f57AwpUlctcC&pg=PA94). In Druschel, Peter; et al. (eds.). Peer-to-Peer Systems: First International Workshop, IPTPS 2002, Cambridge, MA, USA, March 7-8, 2002, Revised Papers (http s://archive.org/details/peertopeersystem0000iptp/page/94). Springer. p. 94 (https://archive.org/details/ s/peertopeersystem0000iptp/page/94). ISBN 9783540441793.
- Shen, Xuemin; Yu, Heather; Buford, John; Akon, Mursalin (2009). Handbook of Peer-to-Peer Networking (1st ed.). New York: Springer. p. 118. ISBN 978-0-387-09750-3.
- 19. Typically approximating O(log N), where N is the number of nodes in the P2P system
- Other design choices include overlay rings and d-Torus. See for example Bandara, H. M. N. D.; Jayasumana, A. P. (2012). "Collaborative Applications over Peer-to-Peer Systems – Challenges and Solutions". *Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications*. 6 (3): 257. arXiv:1207.0790 (https://arxiv.org/a bs/1207.0790). Bibcode:2012arXiv1207.0790D (https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012arXiv1207.07 90D). doi:10.1007/s12083-012-0157-3 (https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fs12083-012-0157-3). S2CID 14008541 (https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:14008541).
- R. Ranjan, A. Harwood, and R. Buyya, "Peer-to-peer based resource discovery in global grids: a tutorial," *IEEE Commun. Surv.*, vol. 10, no. 2. and P. Trunfio, "Peer-to-Peer resource discovery in Grids: Models and systems," *Future Generation Computer Systems* archive, vol. 23, no. 7, Aug. 2007.
- Kelaskar, M.; Matossian, V.; Mehra, P.; Paul, D.; Parashar, M. (2002). <u>A Study of Discovery</u> <u>Mechanisms for Peer-to-Peer Application (http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=873218)</u>. pp. 444–. <u>ISBN 9780769515823</u>{{inconsistent citations}}
- Dabek, Frank; Zhao, Ben; Druschel, Peter; Kubiatowicz, John; Stoica, Ion (2003). Towards a Common API for Structured Peer-to-Peer Overlays. Peer-to-Peer Systems II. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. 2735. pp. 33–44. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.12.5548 (https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdo c/summary?doi=10.1.1.12.5548). doi:10.1007/978-3-540-45172-3\_3 (https://doi.org/10.1007%2F978 -3-540-45172-3\_3). ISBN 978-3-540-40724-9.
- 24. Moni Naor and Udi Wieder. <u>Novel Architectures for P2P Applications: the Continuous-Discrete</u> Approach (http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~naor/PAPERS/dh.pdf). Proc. SPAA, 2003.
- 25. Gurmeet Singh Manku. Dipsea: A Modular Distributed Hash Table (http://www-db.stanford.edu/~manku/phd/index.html) Archived (https://web.archive.org/web/20040910154927/http://www-db.stanford.edu/~manku/phd/index.html) 2004-09-10 at the Wayback Machine. Ph. D. Thesis (Stanford University), August 2004.
- Byung-Gon Chun, Ben Y. Zhao, John D. Kubiatowicz (2005-02-24). "Impact of Neighbor Selection on Performance and Resilience of Structured P2P Networks" (https://sites.cs.ucsb.edu/~ravenben/publi cations/pdf/impact-iptps.pdf) (PDF). Retrieved 2019-08-24.
- Li, Deng; et al. (2009). Vasilakos, A.V.; et al. (eds.). <u>An Efficient, Scalable, and Robust P2P Overlay</u> for Autonomic Communication (https://books.google.com/books?id=c02mTcXW\_U4C&pg=PA329). Springer. p. 329. <u>ISBN 978-0-387-09752-7</u>.

- 28. Bandara, H. M. N. Dilum; Jayasumana, Anura P. (January 2012). "Evaluation of P2P Resource Discovery Architectures Using Real-Life Multi-Attribute Resource and Query Characteristics". *IEEE Consumer Communications and Networking Conf. (CCNC '12)*.
- Korzun, Dmitry; Gurtov, Andrei (November 2012). <u>Structured P2P Systems: Fundamentals of</u> <u>Hierarchical Organization, Routing, Scaling, and Security (https://www.springer.com/gp/book/978146</u> 1454823). Springer. ISBN 978-1-4614-5482-3.
- 30. Ranjan, Rajiv; Harwood, Aaron; Buyya, Rajkumar (1 December 2006). <u>"A Study on Peer-to-Peer Based Discovery of Grid Resource Information" (http://www.cs.mu.oz.au/%7Erranjan/pgrid.pdf)</u> (PDF){{inconsistent citations}}
- Ranjan, Rajiv; Chan, Lipo; Harwood, Aaron; Karunasekera, Shanika; Buyya, Rajkumar. "Decentralised Resource Discovery Service for Large Scale Federated Grids" (https://web.archive.or g/web/20080910170417/http://gridbus.org/papers/DecentralisedDiscoveryGridFed-eScience2007.pd f) (PDF). Archived from the original (http://gridbus.org/papers/DecentralisedDiscoveryGridFed-eScie nce2007.pdf) (PDF) on 2008-09-10.
- Darlagiannis, Vasilios (2005). "Hybrid Peer-to-Peer Systems" (https://books.google.com/books?id=A <u>8CLZ1FB4qoC&pg=PA353</u>). In Steinmetz, Ralf; Wehrle, Klaus (eds.). Peer-to-Peer Systems and Applications. Springer. <u>ISBN</u> <u>9783540291923</u>.
- Yang, Beverly; Garcia-Molina, Hector (2001). <u>"Comparing Hybrid Peer-to-Peer Systems" (http://infola b.stanford.edu/~byang/pubs/hybridp2p\_long.pdf)</u> (PDF). *Very Large Data Bases*. Retrieved 8 October 2013.
- 34. Padmanabhan, Venkata N.[1] (http://research.microsoft.com/~padmanab/); Sripanidkulchai, Kunwadee [2] (http://www.andrew.cmu.edu/~kunwadee/) (2002). *The Case for Cooperative Networking (PostScript with addendum)* (https://archive.org/details/peertopeersystem0000iptp/page/ <u>178)</u> (- Scholar search (https://scholar.google.co.uk/scholar?hl=en&lr=&q=author%3APadmanabhan

+intitle%3AThe+Case+for+Cooperative+Networking+%28PostScript+with+addendum%29&as\_public

ation=&as\_ylo=2002&as\_yhi=2002&btnG=Search). Lecture Notes in Computer Science.

Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Peer-to-Peer Systems. Cambridge, MA: Springer (published March 2002). pp. <u>178</u> (https://archive.org/details/peertopeersystem0000iptp/page/178). doi:10.1007/3-540-45748-8\_17 (https://doi.org/10.1007%2F3-540-45748-8\_17). ISBN 978-3-540-44179-3. PDF (Microsoft, with addendum) (http://research.microsoft.com/projects/CoopNet/papers/ip tps02-with-addendum.pdf) PDF (Springer, original, fee may be required) (https://doi.org/10.1007%2F 3-540-45748-8\_17)

- 35. <u>"CoopNet: Cooperative Networking" (http://research.microsoft.com/projects/CoopNet/)</u>. Microsoft Research. Project home page.
- 36. Vu, Quang H.; et al. (2010). *Peer-to-Peer Computing: Principles and Applications*. Springer. p. 8. <u>ISBN</u> 978-3-642-03513-5.
- Vu, Quang H.; et al. (2010). Peer-to-Peer Computing: Principles and Applications. Springer. pp. 157– 159. <u>ISBN</u> 978-3-642-03513-5.
- Goebel, Jan; et al. (2007). <u>"Measurement and Analysis of Autonomous Spreading Malware in a University Environment" (https://books.google.com/books?id=M0PfEaVa9QIC&pg=PA112)</u>. In Hämmerli, Bernhard Markus; Sommer, Robin (eds.). *Detection of Intrusions and Malware, and Vulnerability Assessment: 4th International Conference, DIMVA 2007 Lucerne, Switzerland, July 12-13, 2007 Proceedings*. Springer. p. 112. ISBN 9783540736134.
- 39. Sorkin, Andrew Ross (4 May 2003). "Software Bullet Is Sought to Kill Musical Piracy" (https://www.ny times.com/2003/05/04/business/04MUSI.html). New York Times. Retrieved 5 November 2011.
- 40. Singh, Vivek; Gupta, Himani (2012). *Anonymous File Sharing in Peer to Peer System by Random Walks* (Technical report). SRM University. 123456789/9306.

- 41. Lua, Eng Keong; Crowcroft, Jon; Pias, Marcelo; Sharma, Ravi; Lim, Steven (2005). <u>"A survey and comparison of peer-to-peer overlay network schemes"</u> (https://web.archive.org/web/2012072422223 4/http://academic.research.microsoft.com/Publication/2633870/a-survey-and-comparison-of-peer-to-peer-overlay-network-schemes). Archived from the original (http://academic.research.microsoft.com/ Publication/2633870/a-survey-and-comparison-of-peer-to-peer-overlay-network-schemes) on 2012-07-24.
- Balakrishnan, Hari; Kaashoek, M. Frans; Karger, David; Morris, Robert; Stoica, Ion (2003). "Looking up data in P2P systems" (http://www.nms.lcs.mit.edu/papers/p43-balakrishnan.pdf) (PDF). Communications of the ACM. 46 (2): 43–48. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.5.3597 (https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.5.3597). doi:10.1145/606272.606299 (https://doi.org/10.1145%2F606272.606299). S2CID 2731647 (https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:2731647). Retrieved 8 October 2013.
- 43. "Art thou a Peer?" (https://web.archive.org/web/20131006022409/http://www.p2pnews.net/2012/06/1 4/art-thou-a-peer/). www.p2pnews.net. 14 June 2012. Archived from the original (http://www.p2pnew s.net/2012/06/14/art-thou-a-peer/) on 6 October 2013. Retrieved 10 October 2013.
- 44. Sharma P., Bhakuni A. & Kaushal R. Performance Analysis of BitTorrent Protocol (http://ieeexplore.ie ee.org/xpl/login.jsp?tp=&arnumber=6488040&url=http%3A%2F%2Fieeexplore.ieee.org%2Fxpls%2F abs\_all.jsp%3Farnumber%3D6488040). National Conference on Communications, 2013 doi:10.1109/NCC.2013.6488040 (https://doi.org/10.1109%2FNCC.2013.6488040)
- 45. Li, Jin (2008). "On peer-to-peer (P2P) content delivery" (http://www.land.ufrj.br/~classes/coppe-redes <u>-2008/biblio/P2P-content-delivery.pdf</u>) (PDF). *Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications*. 1 (1): 45– 63 ≤≥. doi:10.1007/s12083-007-0003-1 (https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fs12083-007-0003-1). <u>S2CID</u> 16438304 (https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:16438304).
- 46. Stutzbach, Daniel; et al. (2005). <u>"The scalability of swarming peer-to-peer content delivery" (http://ix.cs.uoregon.edu/~reza/PUB/networking05.pdf)</u> (PDF). In Boutaba, Raouf; et al. (eds.). NETWORKING 2005 -- Networking Technologies, Services, and Protocols; Performance of Computer and Communication Networks; Mobile and Wireless Communications Systems. Springer. pp. 15–26. <u>ISBN 978-3-540-25809-4</u>.
- 47. Gareth Tyson, Andreas Mauthe, Sebastian Kaune, Mu Mu and Thomas Plagemann. Corelli: A Dynamic Replication Service for Supporting Latency-Dependent Content in Community Networks. In Proc. 16th ACM/SPIE Multimedia Computing and Networking Conference (MMCN), San Jose, CA (2009)."Archived copy" (https://web.archive.org/web/20110429181811/http://www.dcs.kcl.ac.uk/staff/t ysong/files/MMCN09.pdf) (PDF). Archived from the original (http://www.dcs.kcl.ac.uk/staff/tysong/file s/MMCN09.pdf) (PDF) on 2011-04-29. Retrieved 2011-03-12.
- Glorioso, Andrea; et al. (2010). "The Social Impact of P2P Systems". In Shen; et al. (eds.). Handbook of Peer-to-Peer Networking. Springer. p. 48. ISBN <u>978-0-387-09750-3</u>.
- 49. John Borland (April 25, 2003). "Judge: File-Swapping Tools are Legal" (https://web.archive.org/web/2 0120310165410/http://news.cnet.com/Judge-File-swapping-tools-are-legal/2100-1027\_3-998363.htm ]). news.cnet.com. Archived from the original (http://news.cnet.com/Judge-File-swapping-tools-are-legal/2100-1027\_3-998363.html/) on 2012-03-10.
- 50. Walker, Leslie (2001-11-08). <u>"Uncle Sam Wants Napster!" (https://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/wp-dyn?pagename=article&node=washtech/techthursday/columns/dotcom&contentId=A59099-2001Nov 7)</u>. *The Washington Post*. Retrieved 2010-05-22.
- Hammerksjold Andreas; Engler, Narkis, <u>"Delivery Optimization a deep dive" (https://channel9.msdn.</u> <u>com/Events/Ignite/Microsoft-Ignite-Orlando-2017/BRK2048)</u>, <u>Channel 9</u>, 11 October 2017, Retrieved on 4 February 2019.
- 52. Krishnan, R., Smith, M. D., Tang, Z., & Telang, R. (2004, January). The impact of free-riding on peerto-peer networks. In System Sciences, 2004. Proceedings of the 37th Annual Hawaii International Conference on (pp. 10-pp). IEEE.

#### 5/22/2021

#### Peer-to-peer - Wikipedia

- Feldman, M., Lai, K., Stoica, I., & Chuang, J. (2004, May). Robust incentive techniques for peer-topeer networks. In Proceedings of the 5th ACM conference on Electronic commerce (pp. 102-111). ACM.
- 54. Vu, Quang H.; et al. (2010). *Peer-to-Peer Computing: Principles and Applications*. Springer. p. 172. ISBN 978-3-642-03513-5.
- 55. P. Antoniadis and B. Le Grand, "Incentives for resource sharing in self-organized communities: From economics to social psychology," Digital Information Management (ICDIM '07), 2007
- Vu, Quang H.; et al. (2010). Peer-to-Peer Computing: Principles and Applications. Springer. pp. 179– 181. ISBN 978-3-642-03513-5.
- 57. "No country is free from child sexual abuse, exploitation, UN's top rights forum hears" (https://news.u n.org/en/story/2020/03/1058501). UN News. March 3, 2020.
- Majoras, D. B. (2005). Peer-to-peer file-sharing technology consumer protection and competition issues. Federal Trade Commission, Retrieved from
- http://www.ftc.gov/reports/p2p05/050623p2prpt.pdf
- 59. The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, (2008). Peer-to-peer network. Retrieved from website: <u>http://www.infosec.gov.hk/english/technical/files/peer.pdf</u>
- 60. Sanders, Linley (2017-09-22). "Illegal downloads may not actually harm sales, but the European Union doesn't want you to know that" (http://www.newsweek.com/secret-piracy-study-european-unio n-669436). Newsweek. Retrieved 2018-03-29.
- 61. Polgar, David Ryan (October 15, 2017). <u>"Does Video Game Piracy Actually Result in More Sales?"</u> (http://bigthink.com/david-ryan-polgar/video-game-piracy-may-actually-result-in-more-sales). *Big Think*. Retrieved 2018-03-29.
- 62. Orland, Kyle (September 26, 2017). <u>"EU study finds piracy doesn't hurt game sales, may actually help" (https://arstechnica.com/gaming/2017/09/eu-study-finds-piracy-doesnt-hurt-game-sales-may-a ctually-help/). Ars Technica. Retrieved 2018-03-29.</u>
- 63. Janko Roettgers, 5 Ways to Test Whether your ISP throttles P2P, http://newteevee.com/2008/04/02/5-ways-to-test-if-your-isp-throttles-p2p/
- 64. Hjelmvik, Erik; John, Wolfgang (2010-07-27). "Breaking and Improving Protocol Obfuscation" (http:// www.iis.se/docs/hjelmvik\_breaking.pdf) (PDF). *Technical Report*. ISSN 1652-926X (https://www.worl dcat.org/issn/1652-926X).
- 65. Basu, A., Fleming, S., Stanier, J., Naicken, S., Wakeman, I., & Gurbani, V. K. (2013). The state of peer-to-peer network simulators. ACM Computing Surveys, 45(4), 46.
- 66. A Bhakuni, P Sharma, R Kaushal <u>"Free-rider detection and punishment in BitTorrent based P2P networks" (http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs\_all.jsp?arnumber=6779311&tag=1)</u>, International Advanced Computing Conference, 2014. doi:10.1109/IAdCC.2014.6779311 (https://doi.org/10.110 9%2FIAdCC.2014.6779311)

# **External links**

- Ghosh Debjani, Rajan Payas, Pandey Mayank P2P-VoD Streaming: Design Issues & User Experience Challenges (https://link.springer.co m/chapter/10.1007%2F978-3-319-07350-7\_19) Springer Proceedings, June 2014
- Glossary (https://web.archive.org/web/2009092 2214835/http://www.p2pna.com/glossary.html) of P2P terminology
- Foundation of Peer-to-Peer Computing (https://web.archive.org/web/20090217141525/http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/issue/5624-2008
  -999689997-678759), Special Issue, Elsevier Journal of Computer Communication, (Ed) Javed I. Khan and Adam Wierzbicki, Volume 31, Issue 2, February 2008
- Anderson, Ross J. <u>"The eternity service" (http://</u>www.cl.cam.ac.uk/users/rja14/eternity/eternity. html). *Pragocrypt.* 1996.

- Marling Engle & J. I. Khan. <u>Vulnerabilities of</u> P2P systems and a critical look at their solutions (http://www.medianet.kent.edu/techre ports/TR2006-11-01-p2pvuln-EK.pdf), May 2006
- Stephanos Androutsellis-Theotokis and Diomidis Spinellis. <u>A survey of peer-to-peer</u> content distribution technologies (http://www.sp inellis.gr/pubs/jrnl/2004-ACMCS-p2p/html/AS0 <u>4.html</u>). ACM Computing Surveys, 36(4):335– 371, December 2004.
- Biddle, Peter, Paul England, Marcus Peinado, and Bryan Willman, <u>The Darknet and the</u> <u>Future of Content Distribution (http://crypto.sta</u> <u>nford.edu/DRM2002/darknet5.doc)</u>. In 2002 <u>ACM Workshop on Digital Rights Management</u>, November 2002.
- John F. Buford, Heather Yu, Eng Keong Lua P2P Networking and Applications (https://web. archive.org/web/20090211135759/http://p2pna. com/). ISBN 0123742145, Morgan Kaufmann, December 2008
- Djamal-Eddine Meddour, Mubashar Mushtaq, and Toufik Ahmed, "<u>Open Issues in P2P</u> <u>Multimedia Streaming (http://multicomm.polito.i</u> <u>t/proc\_multicomm06\_8.pdf</u>)", in the proceedings of the 1st Multimedia Communications Workshop MULTICOMM 2006 held in conjunction with IEEE ICC 2006 pp 43–48, June 2006, Istanbul, Turkey.

- Detlef Schoder and Kai Fischbach, "Core Concepts in Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Networking (ht tp://www.econbiz.de/archiv1/2008/42151\_conc epts\_peer-to-peer\_networking.pdf)". In: Subramanian, R.; Goodman, B. (eds.): P2P Computing: The Evolution of a Disruptive Technology, Idea Group Inc, Hershey. 2005
- Ramesh Subramanian and Brian Goodman (eds), <u>Peer-to-Peer Computing: Evolution of a</u> <u>Disruptive Technology (https://web.archive.org/web/20070928224509/http://www.igi-pub.com/books/details.asp?ID=4635)</u>, ISBN 1-59140-429-0, Idea Group Inc., Hershey, PA, United States, 2005.
- Shuman Ghosemajumder. Advanced Peer-Based Technology Business Models (http://dsp ace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/8438). MIT Sloan School of Management, 2002.
- Silverthorne, Sean. <u>Music Downloads: Pirates-or Customers? (http://hbswk.hbs.edu/item.jhtm</u> <u>I?id=4206&t=innovation)</u>. Harvard Business School Working Knowledge, 2004.
- Glasnost (https://broadband.mpi-sws.org/trans parency/bttest.php) test P2P traffic shaping (Max Planck Institute for Software Systems)

#### Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Peer-to-peer&oldid=1022293098"

This page was last edited on 9 May 2021, at 17:46 (UTC).

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.