

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORTHOACCEL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
PROPEL ORTHODONTICS, LLC, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [17-cv-03801-RS](#)


ORDER GRANTING STAY

Adobe Inc. v. Express Mobile, Inc.,
IPR2021-XXXXX
U.S. Pat. 9,063,755
Exhibit 1031

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), defendants' motion to stay pending *inter partes* review ("IPR") is suitable for disposition without oral argument, and the hearing set for August 16, 2018 is vacated. The parties are in general dispute that a stay should be granted; the disputes lie only as to its scope and length. Good cause appearing, this action is hereby stayed pending resolution of the IPR and any appeals taken therefrom. If plaintiff believes that the stay should be lifted prior to disposition of any appeals, given the particular circumstances that exist at that time, it may so move. Finally, if plaintiff has a good faith basis to seek leave to amend the complaint during the pendency of the stay, it may do so without separately moving for relief from the stay.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 10, 2018


RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge