

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

REVOLAZE LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

**J.C. PENNEY COMPANY, INC., J.C.
PENNEY CORPORATION, INC.,**

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§


CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-CV-00043-JRG

ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff RevoLaze LLC and Defendants J.C. Penney Company, Inc. and J.C. Penney Corporation, Inc.’s (collectively, the “Parties”) Joint Motion for Entry of Mostly Agreed Docket Control Order (the “Motion”). (Dkt. No. 28.) The Parties “agree on most aspects of the proposed [docket control] order[.]” (*Id.* at 1.) However, “the Parties disagree on whether additional deadlines should be included to require reduction of asserted claims and reduction of asserted prior art, as set forth in General order 13-20.” (*Id.*) Given that Plaintiff is currently asserting sixty-seven claims across five patents, the Court finds that, in the interests of judicial economy and to promote a “just, speedy, and inexpensive determination” of this action, as provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1, it is appropriate to include deadlines in the Docket Control Order that require the Parties to reduce the number of asserted claims and prior art references for adjudication. Accordingly, the Court hereby adopts the Defendants’ proposed deadlines for preliminary and final elections of asserted claims and prior art references, as set forth in the concurrently filed Docket Control Order in the above-captioned case.

So Ordered this

Jun 4, 2019



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE