Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 10
Entered: September 8, 2022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LITE-ON TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,

Petitioner,

v.

SENSOR ELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00780 (Patent 9,923,117 B2)
IPR2022-00781 (Patent 8,552,562 B2)[1]

Before DONNA M. PRAISS, KRISTINA M. KALAN, and ELIZABETH M. ROESEL, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

PER CURIAM.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motions for Admission
Pro Hac Vice of Etai Lahav
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

---

[1] This Order addresses issues that are the same in all identified cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading in subsequent papers.

On August 23, 2022, Patent Owner filed motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Etai Lahav in each of the above-identified proceedings. Paper 9 (collectively "Motions").[2] The Motion in each case is supported by a Declaration of Mr. Lahav. Ex. 2017 (collectively "Declarations"). Petitioner has not opposed the Motions.

Upon review of the record before us, we determine that all requirements for admission *pro hac vice* have been met and there is good cause to admit Mr. Lahav *pro hac vice*.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* admission of Etai Lahav are granted; Mr. Lahav is authorized to act only as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lahav shall comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide[3] (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lahav shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq*.

---

[2] For expediency, we refer to Paper and Exhibit numbers filed in IPR2022-00780. Similar Papers and Exhibits were filed in IPR2022-00781.

[3] Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

For PETITIONER:

Matthew Hindman
Jack Ko
matthew.hindman@pillsburylaw.com
jack.ko@pillsburylaw.com

For PATENT OWNER:

David Radulescu
Kevin Kudlac
Bryon Wasserman
Jonathan Auerbach
david@radip.com
kevin@radip.com
bryon@radip.com
jonathan@radip.com