

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LITE-ON TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

SENSOR ELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY, INC.

Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2022-00780  
U.S. Patent No. 9,923,117

---

**PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR BROCK S. WEBER  
TO APPEAR *PRO HAC VICE***

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) and the Board’s authorization in the subject proceeding (Paper 3 at 2), Petitioner Lite-On Technology Corporation (“Petitioner”) moves the Board to recognize Brock S. Weber *pro hac vice* in connection with the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,923,117 in IPR2022-00780.

Petitioner provides the following information in support of this Motion, as required by the Board’s “Order, Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission, 37 C.F.R. § 42.10” in Case No. IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (“*Pro Hac* Order”).

Pursuant to the *Pro Hac* Order, Part 2(a), good cause exists for Brock S. Weber to appear *pro hac vice* in connection with the subject *Inter Partes* Review because: (1) Mr. Weber is a counsel at the law firm Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP and a member of good standing of the Bar of the State of California; (2) Mr. Weber is an experienced patent litigator with over 14 years of experience in litigating patent suits; (3) Mr. Weber has extensive experience litigating patent validity issues in federal court; (4) Mr. Weber is especially familiar with the subject matter at issue because he represents Petitioner in the related proceeding *Sensor Electronic Technology, Inc. v. Lite-On Technology, et al.*, Case No. 6:21-cv-00322-ADA, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas; (5) Petitioner has a need to have its counsel in the co-pending litigation involved

in this proceeding; (6) Mr. Weber has previously been granted *pro hac vice* status in at least Case IPR2015-01057, Paper 8; Case IPR2016-00541, Paper 10; Case IPR2016-00542, Paper 10; Case IPR2017-01404, Paper 19; Case IPR2017-01492, Paper 19; Case IPR2017-01657, Paper 16; Case IPR2018-01062, Paper 24; Case IPR2018-01784, Paper 21; Case IPR2018-01794, Paper 24; Case IPR2019-00102, Paper 20; Case IPR2019-00104, Paper 20, Case IPR2019-00932, Paper 40; Case CBM2020-00015, Paper 11; Case IPR2020-01035, Paper 18; Case IPR2020-01041, Paper 12; IPR2022-00164, Paper 8; IPR2022-00165, Paper 8; IPR2022-00176, Paper 9; and IPR2022-00177, Paper 9; and (7) Matthew W. Hindman, lead counsel for Petitioner with respect to the subject *Inter Partes* Review, is an attorney at Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP and a registered practitioner before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (No. 57,396).

The information required by the *Pro Hac* Order, Part 2 (b)(i)-(viii), can be found in the accompanying Declaration of Brock S. Weber in support of this Motion.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board grant this Motion and admit Brock S. Weber to appear *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Dated: November 15, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Matthew W. Hindman/  
**Matthew W. Hindman** (Reg. No. 57,396)  
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
2550 Hanover Street  
Palo Alto, CA 94304  
Telephone: 650.233.4087  
Facsimile: 650.233.4545  
matthew.hindman@pillsburylaw.com

***Counsel for Petitioner***

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on November 15, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **PETITIONER’S MOTION FOR BROCK S. WEBER TO APPEAR *PRO HAC VICE*** is being served via electronic mail as agreed by the parties on the following attorneys of record:

<b>Lead Counsel</b>	David C. Radulescu, Ph.D.	david@radip.com
<b>Back Up Counsel</b>	Jonathan Auerbach	jonathan@radip.com
<b>Back Up Counsel</b>	Etai Lahav	etai@radip.com
<b>Back Up Counsel</b>	Kevin S. Kudlac	kevin@radip.com
<b>Back Up Counsel</b>	Bryon Wasserman	bryon@radip.com

By: /Matthew W. Hindman/  
**Matthew W. Hindman**  
(Reg. No. 57,396)  
Lead Counsel  
  
*Counsel for Petitioner*