

Account

News, cases, companies _

Try our **Advanced Search** for more refined results

- Logged in as: bryon@radip.com
 - My Account
 - Emails & Alerts
 - Newsletter Signup
 - Log Out

News, cases, companies

Search Toggle Dropdown

- Search Law360
- Search News Only
- Search Cases Only
- Search PTAB Only
- Search TTAB Only

Advanced Search

Close

- Account
- My Account
- My Feeds and Alerts
- My Briefcase
- Newsletter Signup
- <u>Help</u>
- Log Out
- Law360
- Law360 UK
- Law360 Pulse
- <u>Law360 Employment Authority</u>
- Law360 Tax Authority
- <u>Law360 Insurance Authority</u>
- Law360 Real Estate Authority
- Products
- Lexis®
- Law360 In-Depth

- Law360 Updates
- Law360 Podcasts
- Rankings
- Regional Powerhouses
- Law360's MVPs
- Glass Ceiling Report
- Law360 400
- <u>Diversity Snapshot</u>
- Practice Groups of the Year
- Rising Stars
- Titans of the Plaintiffs Bar
- Sections
- Adv. Search & Platform Tools
- Browse all sections
- Banking
- Bankruptcy
- Class Action
- Competition
- Employment
- Energy
- Expert Analysis
- Insurance
- Intellectual Property
- Product Liability
- Securities
- Beta Tools
- Track docs
- Track attorneys
- Track judges
- Site Menu
- Join the Law360 team
- Search legal jobs
- Learn more about Law360
- Read testimonials
- Contact Law360
- Sign up for our newsletters
- Site Map
- <u>Help</u>

Albright Says He'll Very Rarely Put Cases On Hold For PTAB

By Ryan Davis

Law360 (May 11, 2021, 6:50 PM EDT) -- Western District of Texas Judge Alan Albright on Tuesday detailed the unusual situations in which he'd stay a patent case to await a Patent Trial and Appeal Board decision, but said that even amid the pandemic, he believes he can finish cases faster than the board.

Speaking at the <u>American Intellectual Property Law Association</u>'s virtual spring meeting, Judge Albright, the nation's busiest patent judge, said that during his two and a half years on the bench, he has only put "one or two" cases on hold so the PTAB can review the patent.

While some other judges routinely stay patent cases until the board determines whether the patent at issue is invalid, Judge Albright said he sees no reason to do so in most instances, since he schedules trials so quickly that they'll likely be over before the board rules.

"We look at every request carefully," he said, and litigation will be put on hold "if there's a situation where it would make really good sense to stay a case."

However, Judge Albright said to date he has only done that when the plaintiff has first sued other companies over a patent in a different district, and the defendant in that case has initiated a PTAB review that is well underway by the time another company is sued in the Western District of Texas.

In that scenario, where "the PTAB process is already down the road and it looks like that would be the most prudent way to do it, that's fine and I would defer" to the board, Judge Albright said in response to questions from moderator Sharon Israel of Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP, a former AIPLA president.

In just about every other case, though, Judge Albright said he has scheduled cases to go to trial within 24 months of when the complaint is filed. As a result, he said he doesn't see the need to wait for the PTAB to rule on a defendant's challenge to the patent, since the board typically makes decisions in 18 months.

He noted that while "COVID has certainly interrupted our trial process," he's still scheduling trial dates for two years out, as he said in <u>prepandemic times</u> was his goal.

Noting that his docket currently has 1,250 civil cases, about 60% of which are patent cases, he added that "my belief is that if someone files a patent case, they're entitled to have a jury trial," but that the quick trial dates also encourage parties to reach settlements.

Judge Albright said his impression is that the PTAB was created by the America Invents Act in

2011 due to concerns that patent cases in district court were taking too long and that there needed to be a faster forum. He said that is what he's trying to provide in his court.

"It seems to me that it's beneficial to all parties to have a docket where the case gets resolved in the same manner it would in the PTAB, meaning in a relatively sane period of time," he said. "I've never heard anyone who's said in any civil case, 'Gosh, I wish this judge would spend another three years working on this case.'"

Judge Albright alluded to the possibility that his current practice could be challenged at the Federal Circuit.

"I may get guidance from the people who grade my papers at some point that that's not the right approach, but as of right now, I haven't gotten that guidance," he said.

He also noted that he has no reservations about the PTAB system itself, saying that "everyone I know who sits as a PTAB judge is a thousand times smarter than me. They're great lawyers and I think it's a very good system."

One of those judges, PTAB Chief Judge Scott Boalick, was on the panel with Judge Albright and fielded questions about the board's current practice of exercising its discretion not to review patents when a trial is looming in district court, often in Judge Albright's courtroom.

Judge Boalick said he was constrained about what he can say about the policy, which is the subject of <u>pending litigation</u> by <u>Cisco</u>, <u>Apple</u> and other technology giants who say it unfairly limits the ability of accused infringers to challenge patents at the board. He said the board is currently reviewing the practice and the more than <u>800 public comments</u> that were submitted about it last year.

"We've read through all of them and we're currently pondering our next steps," Judge Boalick said. "I don't have definitive next steps for you right now, but we are looking at a whole range of options."

-- Editing by Alanna Weissman.

For a reprint of this article, please contact reprints@law360.com

0 Comments

Enter First and Last Name

Your name will appear next to your comment. If you do not disclose your full name, your comment will be deleted. Your email address will not be visible to the public.

Terms of Service

Tell us what you think (1,500 characters max)

Comment

Useful Tools & Links

- Add to Briefcase
- Save to PDF & Print
- Rights/Reprints
- Editorial Contacts

Related Sections

- <u>Intellectual Property</u>
- Texas

Law Firms

Shook Hardy

Companies

- American Intellectual Property Law Association
- Apple Inc.
- Cisco Systems Inc.

The 2022 Regional Powerhouses

The law firms on Law360's list of 2022 Regional Powerhouses are handling some of the biggest deals and most high-profile courtroom battles across nine states, offering clients regional expertise and making a lasting impact on the law at the state and local level.

Top 10 trending in Intellectual Property

- 1Patent Eligibility Bill May Clarify Law But Spur New Fights
- 2<u>Cisco Owes \$371M For Tech Patent Theft, Boston Jury Hears</u>
- 3<u>Apple AirPods Infringe Pinn Patents, Jury Told As Trial Opens</u>
- 4<u>Del. Judge Calls VLSI's Funding Disclosure 'Inadequate'</u>
- 5Delaying Eligibility At USPTO Seems To Have Limited Appeal
- 6Albright Boosts Patent Verdict To \$21M, But Won't Triple It
- 7<u>New Senate Bill Calls For Study On Improving Patent Quality</u>
- 8Polsinelli Adds 6 Attys To Health Care Litigation, IP Groups



- 9<u>Canon Gets ITC To Ban Imports On Infringing Toner Cartridges</u>
- 10Alsup Grants Google Partial Win In Sonos Audio Patent Row

Hello! I'm Law360's automated support bot.

How can I help you today?

For example, you can type:

- I forgot my password
- I took a free trial but didn't get a verification email
- How do I sign up for a newsletter?

Ask a question!

Ask a question!

© 2022, Portfolio Media, Inc. | About | Contact Us | Legal Jobs | Advertise with Law360 | Careers at Law360 | Terms | Privacy Policy | Cookie Settings | Help | Site Map



Albright Says He'll Very Rarely Put Cases On Hold For PTAB



Sign up for our Intellectual Property newsletter

You must correct or enter the following before you can sign up:

Please provide a professional email:	bryon@radip.com	
Select more newsletters to receive for free		
Law360 takes your privacy seriously. Please see our <u>Privacy Policy</u> .		
	No Thanks	Sign up now

Thank You!