Unit	ED STATES PATENT .	United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
15/208,537	07/12/2016	Gregory I. OSTROW	46682-701.301	1009
21971 WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1050			EXAMINER	
			FAY, ZOHREH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2016	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@wsgr.com

Office Action Summary         Examiner         Int Unit         Marking treasment to File)           - The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondences -         Ferded for Reply           A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHS FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.         Shorten the analysis of the correspondences and the correspondence with the correspondence with the mark of the correspondence with the correspondence with the mark of the correspondence with the mark of the correspondence with the correspondence with the mark of the correspondence with the correspondence with the mark of the correspondence with the corespondence w		Application No. 15/208,537	Applicant(s) OSTROW ET AL.				
Period for Reply         A SHORTENE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE g MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF         THS COMMUNICATION.         In the State of the term is using the produce of #7.2FP 1185(2). In the event however, may a rady to since y lied         In the State of the state of the communication.         In the produce of the communication.         In the produce of the state of the communication.         In the state of the state of the state of the communication.         In the state of the state of the state of the state of the communication.         In the state of	Office Action Summary			Status			
A SUCRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SUCRTENED STATUTORY DERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A DATE of the base under the providence of 20 CPR 1.13(b), is no event, however, may a reply be timely file of the communication.  A Decision of the providence of an operating that of the communication of the split and the split and the split and the split of the communication.  A Decision of the providence of an operating that of the communication, were fitting that an explicit of the split of the communication of the split of the communication.  A Decision of the split of the split of the communication of the split of the communication, were fitting that may add a key earned patient term adjustment. See S7 CPR 1.130(b) was/were fitted on							
1)       Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>						
A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed on	Status						
2a        This action is FINAL.       2b)       This action is non-final.         3        An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on							
<ul> <li>3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on</li></ul>		· · · <u> </u>					
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims*         5) Claim(s) <i>31-50</i> is/are pending in the application.         5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         6) Claim(s) <i>31-50</i> is/are rejected.         8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9) Claim(s) claim are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.         * If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see that/www.uspto.aov/batents/init events/aph/index.isg or send an inquiry to PHriedback@uspto.gov.         Application Papers         10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Application Papers         10) The specification is objected to by the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12) Certified copies:         a) Carified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				ig the interview on			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.         Disposition of Claims*         5)∑ Claim(s) <u>31-50</u> is/are pending in the application.         5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.         6] Claim(s) <u>31-50</u> is/are rejected.         8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.         9) Claim(s) is/are objected to pendot explanation. For more information, please see inttp://www.uspto.gov/patentis/init_events/ppti/index.isp or send an inquiry to PPHteedback@usoto.gov.         Application Papers         10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         11) Che drawing(s) Is objected to by the foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         Certified copies         a)		-		o the merits is			
Disposition of Claims*         5)       Claim(s) 31-50 is/are pending in the application.         5a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdrawn from consideration.         6)       Claim(s)is/are allowed.         7)       Claim(s)is/are objected to.         9)       Claim(s)is/are objected to requirement.         * If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/ppi/index.jsp">http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/ppi/index.jsp</a> or send an inquiry to PPH/ieedback@uspto.gov.         Application Papers       10)       The specification is objected to by the Examiner.         11)       The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12)       Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         Certified copies:       a)       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2)       Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).         Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119         12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         Certified copies:         a) ☐ All       b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the:         1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         2) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/SB/08b)	<ul> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>31-50</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>31-50</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> <li>* If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp">http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</a> or send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@uspto.gov</u>.</li> </ul>						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         Certified copies:         a) ☐ All       b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the:         1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.         Attachment(s)         1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)         2) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/SB/08b)       3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)       3) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413)         2) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/SB/08b)       3) □ Other:	<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>Certified copies: <ul> <li>a) All</li> <li>b) Some** c) None of the:</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
	<ol> <li>1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/</li> </ol>	SB/08b) Paper No(s)/Mail D					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on nonstatutory double patenting provided the reference application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with the examined application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement. See MPEP § 717.02 for applications subject to examination under the first inventor to file provisions of the AIA as explained in MPEP § 2159. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) - 706.02(l)(3) for applications not subject to examination under the first inventor to file

Application/Control Number: 15/208,537 Art Unit: 1621

provisions of the AIA. A terminal disclaimer must be signed in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b).

The USPTO Internet website contains terminal disclaimer forms which may be used. Please visit www.uspto.gov/patent/patents-forms. The filing date of the application in which the form is filed determines what form (e.g., PTO/SB/25, PTO/SB/26, PTO/AIA/25, or PTO/AIA/26) should be used. A web-based eTerminal Disclaimer may be filled out completely online using web-screens. An eTerminal Disclaimer that meets all requirements is auto-processed and approved immediately upon submission. For more information about eTerminal Disclaimers, refer to www.uspto.gov/patents/process/file/efs/guidance/eTD-info-I.jsp.

Claims 31-50 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 9,421,199. Although the claims at issue are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they overlap. The claims of the instant application are drawn to a composition and method of arresting myopia progression using an ophthalmic composition consisting essentially of from about 0.001 wt% to about 0.03% of a muscarinic antagonist, such as atropine and atropine sulfate and deuterated water. The claims of the U.S. patent are drawn to the same composition and amounts as the claims of the instant application with the exception of using the term "comprising". The claims of the instant application are within the scope of the claims of the U.S. patent. Application/Control Number: 15/208,537 Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZOHREH FAY whose telephone number is (571)272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Winston Shen can be reached on (571) 272-3157. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZF /ZOHREH FAY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621

Eyenovia Exhibit 1091, Page 5 of 5