

(12) **United States Patent**
Thompson et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,934,448 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Apr. 3, 2018**

(54) **KEY BLANK IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM WITH GROOVE SCANNING**

(71) Applicant: **Hy-Ko Products Company**, Northfield, OH (US)

(72) Inventors: **Chester O. D. Thompson**, Painesville, OH (US); **William R. Mutch**, North Ridgeville, OH (US)

(73) Assignee: **Hy-Ko Products Company**, Northfield, OH (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **15/351,634**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 15, 2016**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2017/0061240 A1 Mar. 2, 2017

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/162,157, filed on Jan. 23, 2014, now Pat. No. 9,514,385, which is a (Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06K 9/48 (2006.01)
G06K 9/00 (2006.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G06K 9/48** (2013.01); **B23C 3/35** (2013.01); **G06K 9/00208** (2013.01); (Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
None

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

40,480 A 3/1863 Hill
288,300 A 11/1883 Borowsky
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CH 673612 3/1990
DE 2951065 7/1981
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Chinese Office Action, Application No. 200780009675.0, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Dec. 4, 2009.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Michelle M Hausmann

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — McDonald Hopkins LLC

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A key identification system is provided. The key identification system comprises an imaging system to capture an image of a master key, and a logic to analyze the captured image. The imaging system may be capture an image of a groove in the master key from an angle between perpendicular and parallel to the blade of said master key. The logic analyzes the captured image to compare characteristics of the groove with groove characteristics of known key blanks to determine the likelihood of a match between the master key and a known key blank. The key identification system may further compensate for displacement or orientation of the master key with respect to the imaging system when analyzing characteristics of the groove.

24 Claims, 12 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

continuation of application No. 12/772,709, filed on May 3, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,644,619.

- (60) Provisional application No. 61/215,152, filed on May 1, 2009, provisional application No. 61/275,654, filed on Sep. 1, 2009.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

G06K 9/20 (2006.01)

G06K 9/62 (2006.01)

B23C 3/35 (2006.01)

H04N 5/247 (2006.01)

G06T 7/62 (2017.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **G06K 9/209** (2013.01); **G06K 9/2036** (2013.01); **G06K 9/6202** (2013.01); **G06T 7/62** (2017.01); **H04N 5/247** (2013.01); **B23C 2235/12** (2013.01); **B23C 2235/41** (2013.01); **G06T 2207/10141** (2013.01); **Y10T 409/300952** (2015.01); **Y10T 409/301008** (2015.01); **Y10T 409/301064** (2015.01)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

466,044 A 12/1891 Jacobs
943,806 A 12/1909 Billings
1,059,545 A 4/1913 Kunze
1,081,472 A 12/1913 Tucker
1,135,676 A 4/1915 Engelbert
1,218,653 A 3/1917 Heldrich
1,367,280 A 2/1921 Reiges
1,390,958 A 9/1921 Hulbert
1,440,459 A 1/1923 Greff
1,628,637 A 5/1927 Shaw
1,656,295 A 1/1928 Schechter
1,674,291 A 6/1928 Malone
1,702,488 A 2/1929 Baird
1,750,218 A 3/1930 Falk
1,752,668 A 4/1930 Johnson
1,775,921 A 9/1930 Williams
1,848,046 A 3/1932 Caron
1,889,461 A 11/1932 Hansen
1,923,164 A 8/1933 Roos
1,948,260 A 2/1934 Fowler
1,961,516 A 6/1934 Hansen
1,978,628 A 10/1934 Hansen
1,991,151 A 2/1935 Hansen
2,032,820 A 3/1936 Turrell
2,038,949 A 4/1936 Mintz
2,098,728 A 11/1937 McPhee
2,105,099 A 1/1938 Schuyler
2,114,597 A 4/1938 Goddard
2,148,668 A 2/1939 Yoskowitz et al.
2,176,106 A 10/1939 Segal
2,274,012 A 2/1942 Swayze et al.
2,325,541 A 7/1943 Poole
2,329,269 A 9/1943 Jacobi
2,386,816 A 10/1945 Scholz
2,430,924 A 11/1947 Fowle et al.
2,445,041 A 7/1948 Scholz
2,499,124 A 2/1950 Zipp et al.
2,556,577 A 6/1951 Drake
2,582,012 A 1/1952 Currier
2,605,294 A 7/1952 Barnhart
2,622,485 A 12/1952 Martellotti
2,645,978 A 7/1953 Sejarto et al.
2,707,335 A 5/1955 Falk
2,728,251 A 12/1955 Turner
2,860,884 A 11/1958 Sloan et al.
2,929,177 A 3/1960 Sheps
2,940,183 A 6/1960 Fromberg

3,053,149 A 9/1962 Rossetti
3,094,039 A 6/1963 Spain
3,138,999 A 6/1964 Haggstrom
3,172,969 A 3/1965 Haggstrom
3,245,149 A 4/1966 Haggstrom
3,257,908 A 6/1966 Haggstrom
3,259,022 A 7/1966 Vietorisz
3,276,328 A 10/1966 Schreiber et al.
3,286,596 A 11/1966 Lieptz
3,286,597 A 11/1966 Schwartz
3,305,100 A 2/1967 Barbee
3,323,420 A 6/1967 Roxburgh
3,388,619 A 6/1968 Schreiber et al.
3,418,882 A 12/1968 Brand
3,424,056 A 1/1969 Stolove et al.
3,429,207 A 2/1969 John
3,430,535 A 3/1969 Haggstrom
3,440,906 A 4/1969 Allen
3,442,174 A 5/1969 Weiner et al.
3,457,831 A 7/1969 Adler et al.
3,466,747 A 9/1969 Patriquin et al.
3,496,636 A 2/1970 Lieptz
3,499,366 A 3/1970 Spain
RE26,848 E 4/1970 Simon
3,599,147 A 8/1971 Rogers et al.
3,602,092 A 8/1971 Richens
3,625,111 A 12/1971 Carlo et al.
3,633,451 A 1/1972 Lieptz
3,651,573 A 3/1972 Kaplan
3,656,402 A 4/1972 French
3,675,536 A 7/1972 Hungerford, Jr. et al.
3,682,041 A 8/1972 Essig
3,707,999 A 1/1973 Coats
3,722,341 A 3/1973 Hungerford, Jr. et al.
RE27,665 E 6/1973 Spain
3,769,865 A 11/1973 Kleist
3,773,360 A 11/1973 Timbers
3,792,639 A 2/1974 Richens et al.
3,795,174 A 3/1974 Oliver et al.
3,796,130 A 3/1974 Gartner
3,807,276 A 4/1974 Oliver
3,810,416 A 5/1974 Nelms, Jr.
3,826,555 A 7/1974 Matsumoto
3,848,235 A 11/1974 Lewis et al.
3,865,011 A 2/1975 Patriquin
3,870,895 A 3/1975 Lax et al.
3,880,047 A 4/1975 Dosier
3,884,121 A 5/1975 Agius
3,902,382 A 9/1975 Lieptz
3,919,589 A 11/1975 Hanak
3,919,920 A 11/1975 Schlage
3,945,298 A 3/1976 Cockroft
3,955,179 A 5/1976 Planke
3,956,968 A 5/1976 Crasnianski
3,978,764 A 9/1976 Patriquin
3,981,214 A 9/1976 Wich
4,012,991 A 3/1977 Uyeda
4,019,415 A 4/1977 Wich
4,023,464 A 5/1977 Zion
4,051,748 A 10/1977 Sherman
4,062,261 A 12/1977 Stahl
4,088,060 A 5/1978 Johns
4,090,303 A 5/1978 Uyeda
4,092,806 A 6/1978 Wich
4,105,340 A 8/1978 Kempf
4,117,763 A 10/1978 Uyeda
4,121,292 A 10/1978 Galanis et al.
4,132,151 A 1/1979 Weber
D251,365 S 3/1979 Lipinski
4,143,582 A 3/1979 Heimann
4,166,949 A 9/1979 Pold et al.
4,171,161 A 10/1979 Jung
4,172,632 A 10/1979 Holmes, Jr.
4,188,163 A 2/1980 Juskevic
4,223,791 A 9/1980 Taggart
4,233,746 A 11/1980 Troillet
D257,758 S 1/1981 Limacher
4,251,173 A 2/1981 Saucedo
4,256,423 A 3/1981 Juskevic

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,281,379	A	7/1981	Austin	5,056,204	A	10/1991	Bartschi
4,283,859	A	8/1981	Roland	5,058,940	A	10/1991	Hart
4,294,096	A	10/1981	Heimann	5,083,759	A	1/1992	Pollak et al.
4,300,042	A	11/1981	Oldenkamp et al.	5,088,864	A	2/1992	Yanagida
4,300,170	A	11/1981	Sakamoto	5,094,538	A	3/1992	Reedman et al.
4,300,836	A	11/1981	Holmes et al.	5,096,346	A	3/1992	Ueda
4,301,373	A	11/1981	Sjodin	5,111,056	A	5/1992	Yoshimura et al.
4,354,780	A	10/1982	Bougiouris	5,119,190	A	6/1992	Lemelson
4,359,299	A	11/1982	Sagarian	5,122,018	A	6/1992	Zion
4,373,414	A	2/1983	Agius	5,127,532	A	7/1992	Cimino et al.
4,426,179	A	1/1984	Jefferson	5,128,531	A	7/1992	Fadel
4,432,142	A	2/1984	Korsak	5,130,064	A	7/1992	Smalley et al.
4,433,487	A	2/1984	Roland	5,139,246	A	8/1992	Yakou
4,437,150	A	3/1984	Dahlgren, Jr. et al.	5,144,561	A	9/1992	Soper
4,453,432	A	6/1984	Widen	5,146,689	A	9/1992	Roland
4,468,994	A	9/1984	Lieptz	5,165,315	A	11/1992	Terada
4,472,056	A	9/1984	Nakagawa et al.	5,167,171	A	12/1992	Heredia
4,479,673	A	10/1984	Inaba et al.	5,171,112	A	12/1992	Roland
4,521,142	A	6/1985	Juskevic	5,192,469	A	3/1993	Smalley et al.
4,525,908	A	7/1985	Bernstein	5,201,203	A	4/1993	Almblad
4,526,498	A	7/1985	Fieldhouse	5,229,619	A	7/1993	Van Amstel
4,541,760	A	9/1985	Zoueki	5,244,321	A	9/1993	Sopko
4,545,569	A	10/1985	Schroder et al.	5,255,199	A	10/1993	Barkman et al.
4,551,046	A	11/1985	Kinas	5,259,708	A	11/1993	Brice
4,553,844	A	11/1985	Nakagawa et al.	5,271,698	A	12/1993	Heredia et al.
4,557,488	A	12/1985	Litherland	5,293,687	A	3/1994	Willoughby, Jr. et al.
4,558,215	A	12/1985	Kaneko et al.	5,307,151	A	4/1994	Hof et al.
4,562,759	A	1/1986	Schmitt	5,308,360	A	5/1994	Neitzke et al.
4,592,683	A	6/1986	Wu	5,311,286	A	5/1994	Pike
4,601,185	A	7/1986	Sheldon	5,311,756	A	5/1994	Villani
4,614,465	A	9/1986	Wu	5,311,758	A	5/1994	Neitzke et al.
4,625,107	A	11/1986	Planke	5,314,274	A	5/1994	Heredia et al.
4,638,567	A	1/1987	Leversee	D348,393	S	7/1994	Neitzke et al.
4,647,028	A	3/1987	Yang	5,330,168	A	7/1994	Enomoto et al.
4,648,585	A	3/1987	Yang	5,351,409	A	10/1994	Heredia
4,651,604	A	3/1987	Almblad et al.	5,360,299	A	11/1994	Oliana
4,652,738	A	3/1987	Nishihara et al.	5,363,463	A	11/1994	Kleinerman
4,652,765	A	3/1987	Nishihara	5,365,812	A	11/1994	Harnden
4,653,104	A	3/1987	Tamura	5,382,784	A	1/1995	Eberhardt
4,656,590	A	4/1987	Ace	5,393,967	A	2/1995	Rice et al.
4,657,448	A	4/1987	Alexander	5,416,591	A	5/1995	Yoshimura et al.
4,666,351	A	5/1987	Marchal	5,429,202	A	7/1995	Millard et al.
4,671,711	A	6/1987	Steinbach et al.	5,441,369	A	8/1995	Foscan et al.
4,677,835	A	7/1987	Almblad	5,443,339	A	8/1995	Heredia et al.
4,679,331	A	7/1987	Koontz	5,485,399	A	1/1996	Saigo et al.
4,687,389	A	8/1987	Santii et al.	5,496,138	A	3/1996	Drori
4,697,300	A	10/1987	Warlop	5,497,888	A	3/1996	Michaels et al.
4,710,808	A	12/1987	Hoogenboom et al.	5,503,785	A	4/1996	Crump et al.
4,717,294	A	1/1988	Grasser	5,515,903	A	5/1996	Hronas et al.
4,741,652	A	5/1988	Marchal	5,538,374	A	7/1996	Cole et al.
4,767,110	A	8/1988	Yang	5,543,103	A	8/1996	Hogan et al.
4,780,032	A	10/1988	Uyeda et al.	5,545,367	A	8/1996	Bae et al.
4,783,829	A	11/1988	Miyakawa et al.	5,552,992	A	9/1996	Hunter
4,803,371	A	2/1989	Durland	5,555,176	A	9/1996	Menhennett et al.
4,805,224	A	2/1989	Koezuka et al.	5,556,240	A	9/1996	Almblad
4,809,341	A	2/1989	Matsui et al.	5,583,443	A	12/1996	McMurtry et al.
4,821,200	A	4/1989	Oberg	5,592,728	A	1/1997	Susnjara
4,821,393	A	4/1989	Spigarelli	5,594,652	A	1/1997	Penn et al.
4,839,913	A	6/1989	Annis et al.	5,607,267	A	3/1997	Heredia et al.
4,845,764	A	7/1989	Ueda et al.	5,617,323	A	4/1997	Stansberry et al.
4,848,116	A	7/1989	Lizotte	RE35,518	E	5/1997	Sussina
4,853,866	A	8/1989	Andrada Galan et al.	5,630,332	A	5/1997	Aldieri et al.
4,868,559	A	9/1989	Pinnow	5,660,509	A	8/1997	Cole et al.
4,898,504	A	2/1990	Agius et al.	5,671,523	A	9/1997	Juchinewicz
4,899,391	A	2/1990	Cimino et al.	5,676,504	A	10/1997	Mueller et al.
4,909,892	A	3/1990	Quinn et al.	5,677,522	A	10/1997	Rice et al.
4,929,129	A	5/1990	Dickson	5,711,643	A	1/1998	Parr et al.
4,929,843	A	5/1990	Chmielewski, Jr. et al.	5,739,766	A	4/1998	Chaloux
4,941,335	A	7/1990	Allen	5,764,156	A	6/1998	Chaloux
4,969,782	A	11/1990	Castain	5,771,176	A	6/1998	Froehlich et al.
4,971,489	A	11/1990	Womack	5,807,042	A	9/1998	Almblad et al.
4,993,291	A	2/1991	Sopko	5,855,836	A	1/1999	Leyden et al.
4,998,349	A	3/1991	Killeen	5,886,775	A	3/1999	Houser et al.
5,029,459	A	7/1991	Almblad	5,906,365	A	5/1999	Wu
5,043,144	A	8/1991	Gordon et al.	5,908,273	A	6/1999	Titus et al.
				5,926,388	A	7/1999	Kimbrough et al.
				5,940,229	A	8/1999	Baumgarten
				5,964,554	A	10/1999	Drori
				5,966,457	A	10/1999	Lemelson

(56)

References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

5,982,491 A 11/1999 Breyer et al.
 5,984,597 A 11/1999 Chen
 5,997,224 A 12/1999 Beauregard et al.
 5,997,795 A 12/1999 Danforth et al.
 6,053,677 A 4/2000 Juchinewicz
 6,059,495 A 5/2000 Mueller et al.
 6,064,747 A 5/2000 Wills et al.
 6,065,911 A 5/2000 Almblad et al.
 6,082,580 A 7/2000 Mueller et al.
 6,094,953 A 8/2000 Evans
 6,152,662 A 11/2000 Titus et al.
 6,175,638 B1 1/2001 Yanovsky
 6,179,531 B1 1/2001 Jaw
 6,185,311 B1 2/2001 Yanovsky et al.
 6,186,711 B1 2/2001 Mueller
 6,243,960 B1 6/2001 Andrews et al.
 6,321,430 B1 11/2001 Goldman et al.
 D455,104 S 4/2002 Hillman et al.
 6,406,227 B1 6/2002 Titus et al.
 6,415,931 B1 7/2002 Mueller
 6,449,381 B1 9/2002 Yanovsky et al.
 6,478,515 B1 11/2002 Mueller
 6,543,972 B1 4/2003 Cimino
 6,588,995 B2 7/2003 Wills et al.
 6,602,030 B1 8/2003 Markbreit
 6,612,142 B1 9/2003 Capwell
 6,641,339 B2 11/2003 Chies et al.
 6,647,308 B1 11/2003 Prejean
 6,687,565 B2 2/2004 Wetterlin et al.
 6,711,557 B1 3/2004 Palaniappan
 6,801,829 B2 10/2004 Kawai
 6,817,814 B2 11/2004 Mueller
 6,836,553 B2 12/2004 Campbell et al.
 6,839,449 B1 1/2005 Campbell et al.
 6,839,451 B2 1/2005 Campbell et al.
 6,895,100 B1 5/2005 Pacenzia et al.
 6,959,862 B2 11/2005 Neumark
 6,965,911 B1 11/2005 Coffman et al.
 7,010,498 B1 3/2006 Berstis
 7,111,894 B2 9/2006 Kora et al.
 7,114,436 B1 10/2006 Mueller
 7,114,894 B2 10/2006 Mueller et al.
 7,163,364 B2 1/2007 Foscan et al.
 7,214,011 B2 5/2007 Ryai, Sr. et al.
 7,484,446 B1 3/2009 Gula
 7,643,685 B2 1/2010 Miller
 7,890,878 B2 2/2011 Bass et al.
 7,891,919 B2 2/2011 Bass et al.
 7,918,629 B2 4/2011 Belflower et al.
 8,128,322 B2 3/2012 Bass et al.
 8,532,809 B2 9/2013 Freeman
 8,585,029 B2 11/2013 Ryai, Sr.
 8,634,655 B2 1/2014 Thompson et al.
 8,644,619 B2 2/2014 Thompson et al.
 2001/0033781 A1 10/2001 Wills et al.
 2001/0056385 A1 12/2001 Timms et al.
 2002/0031251 A1 3/2002 Campbell et al.
 2002/0168241 A1 11/2002 David et al.
 2002/0191849 A1 12/2002 Campbell et al.
 2003/0145499 A1 8/2003 Tarter et al.
 2003/0154135 A1 8/2003 Covington et al.
 2003/0205070 A1 11/2003 Chaum
 2004/0024486 A1 2/2004 Almblad et al.
 2004/0036595 A1 2/2004 Kenny et al.
 2004/0095380 A1 5/2004 Bass et al.
 2004/0253067 A1 12/2004 Bosch
 2005/0000052 A1 1/2005 Byles
 2005/0216120 A1 9/2005 Rosenberg et al.
 2006/0003676 A1 1/2006 Bernard et al.
 2006/0147289 A1 7/2006 Gimelfarb et al.
 2007/0224008 A1 9/2007 Bass et al.
 2007/0234614 A1 10/2007 Tarter et al.
 2008/0145163 A1 6/2008 Freeman et al.
 2008/0252551 A1 10/2008 Kubo et al.
 2009/0074528 A1 3/2009 Hadad

2009/0180664 A1 7/2009 Efstathiades et al.
 2010/0059484 A1 3/2010 Inoue et al.
 2010/0110380 A1 5/2010 Allred et al.
 2011/0262240 A1 10/2011 Mulch et al.
 2014/0260809 A1 9/2014 Miller et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0053730 10/1981
 EP 0133091 2/1985
 EP 0835720 4/1998
 EP 1976656 10/2014
 ES 2017240 1/1991
 FR 2499435 8/1982
 GB 2276106 9/1994
 JP S59201708 11/1984
 JP S63-311108 12/1988
 JP S63-311109 12/1988
 JP H04-250911 9/1992
 MX 291113 1/2007
 WO WO1990/03867 4/1990
 WO WO1991/13535 9/1991
 WO WO1996/19309 6/1996
 WO WO2001/057472 8/2001
 WO WO200201480 1/2002
 WO WO2005042196 5/2005
 WO WO2007087389 8/2007
 WO WO2012096685 7/2012

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Chinese Office Action, Application No. 201080024166.7, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Dec. 6, 2013.
 Chinese Office Action, Application No. 201080024166.7, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Sep. 30, 2014.
 Chinese Office Action, Application No. 201080024163.3, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Aug. 1, 2013.
 Supplementary European Search Report, Application No. 07709849.9-1702/ 1976656, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated May 15, 2013.
 Extended European Search Report, Application No. 07709849.9-1702/ 1976656, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Apr. 26, 2013.
 European Communication pursuant to Article 94(3) EPC, Application No. 10 770 489.2-1901, Hy-Ko Products, dated Jun. 27, 2014.
 Supplementary European Search Report, Application No. 10770489.2-1901/ 2424690, Hy-Ko Products, dated Nov. 12, 2013.
 Extended European Search Report, 10770489.2-1901 / 2424690, Hy-Ko Products, dated Oct. 25, 2013.
 European Communication pursuant to Article 94(3) EPC, Application No. 12 003 030.9-1702, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Mar. 20, 2014.
 European Communication pursuant to Article 94(3) EPC, Application No. 12 003 030.9-1702, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Jul. 30, 2013.
 Extended European Search Report, Application No. 12003030.9-2302, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Sep. 4, 2012.
 European Communication pursuant to Article 94(3) EPC, Application No. 03 767 220.1-2201, Hy-Ko Products, dated Aug. 18, 2010.
 European Supplementary Search Report, Application No. 03767220.1-2201, Hy-Ko Products, dated Jul. 18, 2007.
 Mexican Office Action, Application No. MX/A/2011/011630, Hy-Ko Products, dated Nov. 18, 2014.
 Mexican Office Action, Application No. MX/A/2011/011630, Hy-Ko Products, dated Apr. 28, 2014.
 International Preliminary Report on Patentability, PCT/US2011/034564, Mutch, William R. et al., dated Jul. 16, 2013.
 International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, PCT/US2011/034564, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Jul. 15, 2011.
 International Preliminary Report on Patentability, PCT/US2010/033414, Hy-Ko Products et al., dated Nov. 1, 2011.
 International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, PCT/US2010/033414, Hy-Ko Products, dated Aug. 18, 2010.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, PCT/US2007/002015, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Jul. 29, 2008.
 International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, PCT/US2007/02015, Hy-Ko Products Company, dated Sep. 28, 2007.
 International Preliminary Report on Patentability, PCT/US2010/033421, Hy-Ko Products et al., dated Nov. 1, 2011.
 International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, PCT/US2010/033421, Hy-Ko Products, dated Sep. 17, 2010.
 Giuliani Productivity Through Flexibility, Multicode CCE-1, 2 pages.
 Giuliani Productivity Through Flexibility, Multicode CCE-2, 3 pages.
 Farzin Mokhtarian and Hiroshi Murase, Silhouette-Based Object Recognition through Curvature Scale Space, IEEE, Proceedings from Fourth International Conference on Computer Vision, 1993, pp. 269-274.

Ihtisham Kabir, A Computer Vision System Using Fast, One Pass Algorithms, (Thesis), Graduate Division of the University of California, Davis, 1986 pp. 1-164.
 Roger D. Quinn et al., Design of an Agile Manufacturing Workcell for Light Mechanical Applications, Apr. 1996, pp. 858-863, Proceedings from the 1996 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
 Anil K. Jain, Fundamentals of Digital Image Processing, Ch. 9, Image Analysis and Computer Vision, University of California, Davis, 1989, pp. 342-430, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
 Amir Novini, Fundamentals of Machine Vision Lighting, IEEE, Proceedings of Northcon 93 Electrical and Electronics Convention, 1993, pp. 38-46.
 Wesley E. Snyder, Industrial Robots Computer Interfacing and Control, Ch., 13 Computer Vision, North Carolina State University, 1985, pp. 248-283, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood, NJ.
 European Search Report for European Patent Application No. 12 00 3030, dated Aug. 27, 2012.
 International Preliminary Report on Patentability for International Patent Application No. PCT/US2011/034564, dated Jul. 25, 2013.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2a




FIG. 2b






FIG. 3






FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14

1

KEY BLANK IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM WITH GROOVE SCANNING

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 14/162,157 filed on Jan. 23, 2014 entitled "KEY BLANK IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM WITH GROOVE SCANNING," which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 12/772,709 filed on May 3, 2010 entitled "KEY BLANK IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM WITH GROOVE SCANNING," which claims the benefit of priority of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/215,152 filed on May 1, 2009, and U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/275,654 filed on Sep. 1, 2009, each of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

FIELD OF ART

This invention relates generally to the field of systems for identifying objects and, more particularly to systems for utilizing electronic means for identifying key blanks that are compatible with an unknown key.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The art of key replication is well known. Commonly, a key intended for duplication (the master key) is copied on to an appropriately identified key blank utilizing any number of different systems known in the art. The process of identifying an appropriate key blank to use when making copies of a key can be a difficult, tedious and time consuming affair. It is important that each master key be copied onto the proper key blank so as to prevent numerous adverse consequences caused by reproducing a master key onto an inappropriate key blank. However, choosing the correct key blank can be difficult even for experts in the field.

There are hundreds, if not thousands, of key blanks, and many blanks are not readily distinguished from others. Identifying the correct key blank for use in duplication involves selecting a blank from hundreds or even thousands of possibilities, where differences between key blanks may be very subtle. These hard-to-notice subtleties significantly increase the level of difficulty for all operators of such key replication systems, both inexperienced trainees and experts alike.

Once a key blank is chosen, it goes through a cutting process. The typical cutting process simply traces the profile of the master key onto the key blank, such that the key blank will exactly match (within the error limits and accuracy of the tracing machine) the original master key. Normally, a mechanically linked cutting wheel actually cuts into the key blank while it mimics the movement of the tracer as the tracer moves longitudinally along the profile of the master key. If the incorrect key blank is provided during this process, the key blank being formed into the duplicate key may not possess the correct longitudinal length, thereby causing a failure. When this type of failure occurs, the entire process of selecting a key blank for replication and then mechanically cutting the key must begin again. Worse still, if the blank has the proper length but does not possess the appropriate thickness, contour, groove or other traits, the failure may not be discovered until the key is actually inserted into the lock.

Businesses that offer key cutting services are often times not staffed by experienced locksmiths. Instead, employees

2

are usually trained to "eyeball" what is thought to be the correct blank and then cut a duplicate key. Such informal and imprecise key blank identification invariably increases the rate of failures for the duplication process. These failures often occur at the expense of the industry and to the extreme dismay of the key holder. Therefore, the industry would welcome an easy-to-use key blank identification system that increases the accuracy and efficiency of key replication.

Not surprisingly, numerous attempts have been made to improve identification systems and/or key replication systems. Many of these improvements include imaging systems designed to determine the proper key blank based on physical parameters of the key to be copied, such as length, shape, and groove characteristics.

While many of these systems provide useful improvements for determining a proper key blank, they still suffer from various deficiencies. Specifically, many of these systems compare scanned data from a master key to be copied with data from known key blanks in order to determine if the master key matches the key blank. However, in some instances the scanned key parameters and the key blank data are insufficient to determine a matching key blank. In such cases, additional information about the master key and the key blank would be useful in narrowing the field of prospective key blanks. Accordingly, an improved system and method for determining a key blank is needed.

SUMMARY

A key identification system is provided. The key identification system comprises an imaging system to capture an image of a master key, and a logic to analyze the image. The imaging system may be configured to capture an image of a groove in the key from an angle between perpendicular and parallel to the blade of said master key. The logic analyzes the captured image to compare characteristics of the groove with groove characteristics of known key blanks.

The key identification system may account for the orientation or positioning of the master key with respect to the imaging system or key holder to determine groove characteristics of the master key. For example, the logic may analyze a contour of the groove and determine groove characteristics of the master key based on the contour and the orientation and positioning of the master key.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Objects and advantages together with the operation of the invention may be better understood by reference to the following detailed description taken in connection with the following illustrations, wherein:

FIG. 1 illustrates a master key;

FIG. 2a illustrates a first example configuration of a key ID system;

FIG. 2b illustrates a second example configuration of a key ID system;

FIG. 3 illustrates an example silhouette of a key tip;

FIG. 4 illustrates an example configuration of a key ID system with reflectors;

FIG. 5 illustrates an example scanned image of a master key blade silhouette;

FIG. 6 illustrates an example projection of a tip outline onto the image of a tip;

FIG. 7 illustrates an example calculated data set of tip groove contours;

3

FIG. 8 illustrates an example matching comparison between master key tip contour data and stored key blank data; and

FIG. 9 illustrates an example unmatched comparison between master key tip contour data and stored key blank data.

FIG. 10 illustrates the coordinate references for the outline camera image.

FIG. 11 illustrates the coordinate references for the outline camera image including the outline vanishing point.

FIG. 12 illustrates the coordinate references for the groove camera image including the groove vanishing point relating to the y-axis projection.

FIG. 13 illustrates the coordinate references for the groove camera image including the groove vanishing point relating to the x-axis projection.

FIG. 14 illustrates an angular coordinate system for a master key.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Reference will now be made in detail to exemplary embodiments of the present invention, examples of which are illustrated in the accompanying drawings. It is to be understood that other embodiments may be utilized and structural and functional changes may be made without departing from the respective scope of the present invention.

A system and method for identifying a key blank are provided. The system ("key ID system") analyzes a master key to be duplicated, such as a house key, car key or other key, and determines the appropriate key blank to be used in duplicating the master key. The system and method described herein may be used independently to determine a proper key blank, or may be used in conjunction with other systems to narrow the field of prospective key blanks. Moreover, it will be understood that existing key identification systems may be modified or retrofitted to implement some or all features described herein.

The key ID system may scan a master key to determine traits and characteristics of the master key. Based on these characteristics, the key ID system may then determine the proper key blank to be used to duplicate the master key. The key ID system may include any means known in the art for determining the traits and characteristics of the master key geometry. For example, the key ID system may include an imaging system, such as a camera, laser, or any other imaging system known in the art. The imaging system may scan the master key to determine characteristics of the master key, specifically characteristics that may be unique to a specific key blank. While the key ID system is described herein as including an imaging system, it will be appreciated that other known methods or devices, such as electrical or mechanical sensors, may be used in place of or in conjunction with the imaging system to determine the traits and characteristics of the master key geometry.

With reference to FIG. 1, a master key 10 is depicted. The master key 10 may include standard key features such as a head 16 connected to a blade 18 and a groove 11. The groove 11 may comprise a channel, opening, geometric shape, or other indentation formed in the blade 18. A master key 10 may include a single groove 11 on one side of the blade 18, a single groove 11 on each side of the blade 18, multiple grooves 11 on either side of the blade 18, or any other configuration of grooves 11 with respect to the blade 18.

4

Further, it will be appreciated, that the master key 10 may be any key and is not limited to keys having a head 16, blade 18 or groove 11.

The key ID system may include a key holder to hold the master key. The key holder may be any device capable of holding or supporting a master key. For example, as shown in FIGS. 2a and 2b, the key holder 13 may comprise a lower support 15 and an upper door 12 to close onto the key. The key holder may alternatively comprise a clamp, gripper, platform, suspension, or any other device configured to hold the master key 10.

In an embodiment, the key ID system includes a camera system. The camera system includes one or more cameras configured to record images, such as digital images, of the master key. The camera system may further include other components to assist in recording images of the master key, such as lights and mirrors. The lights may be positioned to enhance the clarity and quality of the image recorded by the camera. The mirrors may be positioned to allow a camera in a first position to record an image of the key from a second position. The mirrors may further allow a single camera to record multiple images of the master key from different angles, thereby increasing the amount of information related to the master key recorded by a single camera.

The key ID system may include a logic to analyze images captured by the imaging system. As used herein, the term "logic" includes but is not limited to a software, a firmware, an executable program, a hardware or hard-wired circuit, or combinations thereof. For example, based on a desired application or needs, a logic may include a software controlled microprocessor, discrete logic like an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC), an analog circuit, a digital circuit, a programmed logic device, a memory device containing instructions, or the like. Logic may include one or more gates, combinations of gates, or other circuit components. Logic may also be fully embodied as software. Where multiple logical logics are described, it may be possible to incorporate the multiple logical logics into one physical logic. Similarly, where a single logical logic is described, it may be possible to distribute that single logical logic between multiple physical logics.

The logic may be configured to determine a correlation or likelihood of a match between a known key blank and the master key. For example, the logic may include a database to store key related information ("key data"). The key data may include characteristics of known key blanks, such as length, shape, biting information, size, shape and location of key grooves, and other geometric and physical characteristics of known key blanks. The database may be integral with the logic, in communication with the logic, or remotely accessible to the logic. The database may associate key data with specific key blanks or with types or groups of key blanks. For example, the database may associate key data with specific key manufacturers or different types of keys such as house keys or car keys. The key ID system may access the database to compare scanned characteristics of the master key with the stored key data in the database. Key blanks that do not have characteristics consistent with those of the master key may be then ruled out as possible matches for the key blank. The key ID system may scan the master key 10 one or more angles and compare the scanned data with stored key data.

The key ID system may scan a master key 10 to determine information related to the groove 11. Keys and key blanks commonly include a groove 11 or grooves 11 in the blade 18 of the key. Characteristics of the grooves 11 may be unique to a given key blank or group of key blanks. For example,

5

the size, location, angle, and other geometric parameters of a given groove may be unique to a key blank or blanks. Further, the location of a groove 11 on a first side of the key blade ("top groove") may be unique with respect to the location of a groove 11 on the second side of a key blade ("bottom groove").

The key ID system may analyze various aspects of the groove 11 of a master key 10. For example, the imaging system may capture an image of the groove 11 from a position perpendicular to the key blade 18, as illustrated by the overhead camera 20 in FIG. 2a. This camera angle provides a top view of the blade 18 and allows the overhead camera to scan the length of the groove 11. The imaging system may also capture an image of the groove 11 from the end of the tip 14 at an angle parallel to the blade 18. This angle provides a view of the groove 11 cross-section. However, neither overhead scanning of the groove 11 nor parallel scanning of the groove 11 provide sufficient contrast between the groove 11 and the rest of the key 10 to effectively determine certain parameters of the groove 11.

In many keys, a groove 11 extends from the shoulder 16 through the tip 14 of the key 10. Therefore, the shape and contours of the tip geometry reflect characteristics of the groove 11. Accordingly, unique characteristics of a master key may be determined by scanning the tip 14 from an angle designed to capture the top groove or bottom groove characteristics. This may be best accomplished by scanning the tip 14 at an angle other than perpendicular or parallel to the blade 18. For example, as shown in FIG. 2a, the key ID system may include a camera 22 facing the tip 14, and positioned at an angle slightly above parallel to the blade. In an embodiment, the camera is positioned facing the tip of the key 10, between 5 and 15 degrees from parallel to the blade of the key 10. This positioning allows the camera 22 to capture the contours of the tip 14 formed by the bottom groove 11. Alternatively, it will be appreciated that the camera may be positioned similarly underneath the key to capture the contours of the tip 14 formed by the top groove 11. As illustrated in FIG. 3, the camera 22 may capture a silhouette of the bottom groove. This silhouette may be unique to a key blank or group of key blanks, thereby narrowing the field of prospective key blanks.

It will be appreciated that configurations other than the camera configuration shown in FIG. 2a may be used to capture the tip-groove geometry. For example, the key ID system may include only a groove camera 22 without any overhead camera 20. Further, the key ID system may include a plurality of mirrors to allow a single camera at a remote position to capture multiple angles of the master key, including angles of the tip 14. In an embodiment, as shown in FIG. 4, a first mirror 30 may be positioned to provide a top view of the tip 14 from behind the key 10. A mirror may be similarly positioned below and behind the key 10 to capture an image of the bottom groove 11. This view allows the camera to capture the contours of the tip 14 formed by the top groove 11. A second mirror 32 may also be positioned to provide a top view of the tip 14 from in front of the key 10. This view allows the camera to capture the contours of the tip 14 formed by the bottom groove 11. It will be appreciated that the key ID system may include any number of cameras, mirrors, and other imaging devices to capture the desired views of the contours of the tip 14.

In an embodiment, the key ID system scans the master key 10 to capture the silhouette of the blade 18, as shown in FIG. 5. This silhouette is used to determine the outline shape of the tip 14 in order to isolate groove geometry from the tip

6

geometry. The key ID system may also scan the tip 14 from an angled position to capture the contours of the tip 14 formed by the groove 11.

As shown in FIG. 6, a trigonometric projection of the tip 14 may be used to identify the portion of the tip contour that is due to the groove shape. Specifically, contour data related to contours of the groove 11 may be determined by calculating a set of scalar variables based on image information and machine constants. As described herein, points in the image taken by the overhead camera 20 are mapped to their corresponding points from the image taken from the groove camera 22.

The contour image may be compiled from data obtained by the overhead or outline camera 20. Once the outline or contour data has been generated, the outline image is rescanned to generate a set of data points, as shown in FIGS. 7-9. For example, data points may be generated every 0.005 inches along the tip of the key. The data point interval of the rescanned or resampled image may be adjusted based on the required accuracy for groove identification and the optical characteristics of the outline and groove cameras. For example, the data point interval may be 0.002 in order to obtain a better defined sample.

The groove contour may be compared to contours of known key blanks stored in the database to determine a matching key blank. Tolerance and/or correlation values may be set to determine if the comparison yields a match. FIG. 8 illustrates a comparison between a scanned key tip contour and stored key data of a known key blank. The statistical correlation value between the data sets is approximately 0.985, indicating a match between the master key and compared key blank. FIG. 9 illustrates another comparison between a scanned key tip contour and stored key data of a known key blank. The statistical correlation value between the data sets here is approximately 0.879, indicating that the master key and compared key blank do not match.

Referring to FIG. 2a, the plane of the overhead camera 20 image intersects the groove camera 22 image at the lower edge of the door opening 12. The overhead camera 20 observes the profile of the key 10 while the groove camera 22 is focused on the tip of the key 10. With reference to FIGS. 10-13, the line at which these two images intersect, referred to as the door axis 52, is a primary axis for purposes of determining contour data. The primary reference or origin point of the door axis is located at the center of the door 12. The plane of the door also passes through this intersection and is by definition perpendicular to the overhead camera 20 image plane.

A silhouette image of the key 10 may be captured by the groove camera 22. To capture the silhouette image, the key ID system may utilize elements such as a light source 24 and a diffuse reflector element 26, as shown in FIGS. 2a and 2b. The light source 24 may be shielded with a light shield 28 to illuminate the reflector element 26 while avoiding the tip of the key 10. In an embodiment, the directional light from the light source 24 is angled to direct the light substantially on the diffuse reflector element 26, but not on the tip of the key 10.

The light source 24 may be any light source known in the art. For example, the light source 24 may be a series of discrete LED lights substantially oriented in a row. It will be appreciated, however, that the light source 24 may be any lights arranged in any configuration. The diffuse reflector element 26 may be affixed to the interior surface of the door, such that when the light from the light source 24 strikes the diffuse reflector element 26, the diffuse reflector element 26 provides a backlit area. In other words, this arrangement

7

provides a backlit area behind the key 10 with respect to the groove camera 22 while minimizing the amount of light that is directed toward the key 10. Thus, the groove camera 22 may view the key 10 tip as a substantially dark or black feature, similar to that shown in FIG. 3. It will be appreciated, however, that the key ID system may include any additional lights, such as backlights, positioned throughout the system.

With reference to FIG. 2b, an alternative configuration for the key ID system is shown. The groove camera 22 may be mounted in a vertical camera box 23. The vertical camera box 23 mounts the groove camera 22 at the bottom directed upward toward a mirror 25 mounted at the other end of the vertical camera box 23. The mirror 25 is directed toward the key 10 tip, such that the angle of incidence is nominally about 15 degrees. However, it will be appreciated that the angle of incidence upon the key 10 of the image may be any angle, preferably between approximately 5 degrees and approximately 45 degrees.

The key 10 is not constrained to a particular orientation or position when held within the key holder 13. With reference to FIG. 14, the key may be tilted or yawed in a plane perpendicular to the orientation of the outline camera 20; tipped up or down relative to the plane defined perpendicular to the door opening 12; and rotated around the axis of the key blank itself (rolled) or combinations of any of the preceding as well as variations caused by the overall length of the key blade 10 that would position the tip of the key at various focus positions relative to the groove camera 22. Thus it is necessary to correct for the optical distortions and transform the images obtained from the two different cameras to obtain an effective image of the tip 14.

The concept of a vanishing point is used to handle the foreshortening of the key 10 when viewed by the groove camera 22. The effects of this foreshortening vary greatly as the end of the key 10 is tipped up or down slightly by the clamping action of the door 12. The distance from the door to the vanishing point may be substantially affected by this tipping action.

To compensate for the angle between the groove camera 22 and the key 10, the distance from the tip of the key to the door axis is readily determined for both the overhead camera 20 image and groove camera 22 image. The ratio of these distances (hereinafter "GrooveLR") is dependent both on the angle between the groove camera 22 angle and the key tipping angle. The actual values of these angles may be ignored because only the ratio is required for the calculations to compensate for the key location.

In an embodiment, a groove image vanishing point may be determined by multiplying the distance to the outline image vanishing point by the ratio GrooveLR. The outline image vanishing point may first be empirically determined. For example, previous test indicate a sample outline image vanishing point to be 20 inches from the origin of the door axis. The outline image vanishing point depends on the configuration of the key ID system and the characteristics of the cameras. Vanishing points may be assumed to be on the door centerline axis. However, in other embodiments vanishing points may be offset from the door centerline axis with additional compensation.

FIGS. 10-13 illustrate a crop box 50 used for limiting the image of the key 10. An example of an outline crop box 50 is shown in FIG. 5, where the outline crop box 50 defines the outer periphery of the image. The outline crop box functions to limit the image that is actually processed by the system, even though the actual image captured by the camera is larger than the outline crop box 50. The outline vanishing

8

point is far to the left of the outline crop box 50 along its X axis shown as point 52. The groove vanishing point is above the groove crop box 60, shown in FIG. 6, along its Y axis at point 62. The groove crop box 60, serves a similar function to the outline crop box 50, namely it reduces the overall image processed by the system to a subset of the actual image captured, in this case by the groove camera 22. This outline image vanishing point distance is combined with vOutX to calculate the x axis distance from the vanishing point to the starting point. While a specific method determining the vanishing points and associated distances is described, it will be appreciated that other methods may be used.

FIG. 10 shows the position of the starting location relative to the door axis and the Outline Camera image. vOutX and vOutY are the x and y coordinates of a point at the tip of a key image that is to be mapped to the groove image. These points correspond to the set of data points that define the tip of the key. The units of the outline camera 20 are in pixels. Thus a series of vOutX and vOutY points are created to define the tip of the key.

FIG. 11 shows the starting point translation to distances from the outline view vanishing point. This distance is multiplied by GrooveLR to yield the outline VPy shown on FIG. 11 and referred to as GVPY (groove image vanishing point distance).

FIG. 12 shows the construction of the projected tip point y axis coordinate. This projection is made on the Groove Camera image plane. The y axis projection is simply the difference between GVPY and GrooveVP.

The x axis projection calculation uses the GVPY to GrooveVP ratio as shown in FIG. 13. The Groove Door X distance may be determined from the outline image. The Groove Door X distance may be scaled by the GVPY to GrooveVP ratio and added to the Groove Crop Box Center distance to find gsx which is the x axis coordinate. A trim value may be added to enable small physical offsets to be adjusted during machine calibration.

Once each point on the outline camera 20 image has been mapped to the groove camera 22 image, the groove contour data may be determined. FIG. 6 shows a typical groove image with two rows on points drawn at its tip. The row that is touching the tip may be calculated using the method described here. The lower row may be extrapolated from the same data points.

The groove contour is found by measuring the distance from a point that is eight pixels below the mapped point to the actual white to black edge in the groove image. Giving the edge detection software the extra pixels allows it to readily find a transition and allows for small errors if the mapped points are slightly above the actual transition. The set of these distances forms the groove contour.

Various tip shapes and bittings near the tip of the key may affect the image of the grooves as seen by the groove camera 22. Therefore, it may be helpful to manipulate the groove data in an attempt to compute how the grooves would appear if the key blade was cut off square. To accommodate this manipulation, a scan of the outline data (from the outline camera 20 image) along the tip of the key may be performed with respect to a series lines that are parallel with the axis of the key. This yields a series of measurements that determine the distance from the edges of the tip of the key to some arbitrary squarely cut edge. These measurements may then be translated to the groove camera 22 image using a process described below. These translated key tip points 62 in the groove image 60 represent the tip of the key as if there were no grooves in the key. Differences between these points and

the observed edge of the tip of the key in the groove image 60 represent the actual shape of the grooves as if the key blade was cut off square.

The final task of blank identification is to match the measured contour with the stored characteristics. The type of key 10 may be used to help match the contour with the appropriate data set. For symmetrical double sided keys the direction of the key insertion into the key identification system is irrelevant because the grooves and other features are symmetrical so a single characteristic reference image is stored for those symmetric keys. For most single sided keys, both sides of the key (referred to as left and right) are distinctive. In those cases, the characteristic reference images for each key includes a left and right view. When performing comparisons, right cuts are only matched to data for single cut blanks and only right cut data for those blanks. The data is also aligned so the key reference sides (e.g. in the case of a single sided key, the flat of the blank) are near each other. Often cut key data has many fewer data points so it is assumed data points opposite the reference side is where the metal (and data) have been removed. Double cut keys are matched based on the centerlines.

A series of comparisons may performed between the characteristic reference image that is stored in the database and the actual captured image to compensate for small registration errors. The comparison may be performed via a 2-D correlation analysis described in greater detail below. Specifically, the data may be moved a few data points in each direction. These points may then be compared and analyzed with the best result being chosen as the correct data point.

Small errors in image registration and performing the transpositions between the images can cause the contour data to be sloped up or down. This is called "roll" and is similar to an airplane roll where the wings are not level. Some level of roll is presumed to be dependent on unique machine set up details. To compensate for this roll, each key ID system may have a roll constant that is used to adjust its measured values. This insures that biases are not a factor when compared to stored data sets that were generated on other machines.

There also may be roll based on individual key scans. A second roll test may be used to insure the best possible match after the machine roll has been accounted for, and the best horizontal sliding position has been determined. Each comparison is done for as many as 40 different roll values to determine the best match. These calculations assure the comparison is done as accurately as possible. In other embodiments, larger numbers of roll values may be used to handle greater variation in the image captured by the groove camera 22.

Mathematical correlation may also be as the basis of contour comparison. The correlation may be done based on a standard formula. However, if a data point in the newly collected data or stored data is potentially in error it may be excluded from the correlation calculation. The actual data may have values larger than one as we begin the scan for groove edge data 8 pixels below the first expected transition point. Alternatively, different pixel offsets may be used based on the likelihood of erroneous data. If this data is set to -1 that point is excluded from the calculation. Some stored data is manually set to -1 to compensate for scan errors and to de-emphasize some features of the contours. Errors in edge detection can cause -1 values in the newly scanned data.

Other methods may be employed to compare the tip image to the reference contours. One method is to fit the

contour inside an envelope based on the stored contours. Other techniques taking advantage of various basis functions are also suitable for performing the comparisons between the characteristic reference images and the captured image in order to obtain a match as well.

A secondary test may be added to the standard correlation calculation. Some key blanks have the same overall pattern of grooves, but the groove depths are exaggerated on some blanks more than others. Since correlation may be insensitive to scale, these blanks may be found to match even where there are large differences in actual groove depths. A second factor based on the standard deviation of the two contour data sets is used to aid in this differentiation. The factor is calculated by taking the difference between the two standard deviations and dividing it by the sum of the two values. This value is then subtracted from the correlation coefficient and a secondary test is performed. The resulting correlation results are used to provide an estimate as to which type of key is inserted into the key ID system. In an embodiment, the correlation results are used in conjunction with the key outline characterization to further narrow and reduce the type of key identified.

Both the standard correlation value and the adjusted correlation value are unitless and non-scaled numbers between 0 and 1. In order to provide more meaning to the values, each is rescaled to a scoring system of 0 to 100% where 0 is considered to be an impossible match and 100 is a perfect match. In an embodiment, standard correlations of 0.60 to 1.0 are rescaled to a score of 0 to 100% and adjusted correlations of 0.50 to 1.0 are rescaled to a score of 0 to 100%. Any correlations of less than the 0.60 and/or 0.50, respectively, are considered to be impossible matches. The total groove scanning score or correlation score may be a weighted average of these two individual scores.

The key ID system as described may be used in conjunction with other known key identification systems. For example, the key ID system may be used in conjunction with user interface identification systems, such as the object identification system disclosed in U.S. Publication No. 2004/0095380 and the key duplication system disclosed in U.S. Publication No. 2007/0224008, each of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

The invention has been described above and, obviously, modifications and alternations will occur to others upon a reading and understanding of this specification. The claims as follows are intended to include all modifications and alterations insofar as they come within the scope of the claims or the equivalent thereof.

What is claimed is:

1. A key identification system comprising:

a) an imaging system including a camera configured to capture an image of the tip of a master key, wherein said image includes a contour;

b) a logic configured to analyze said captured image to determine characteristics of said contour and compare said characteristics with characteristics of known key blanks to determine the likelihood of a match between said master key and a known key blank; and wherein said logic accounts for positional variations of said master key with respect to said imaging system to determine characteristics of said contour.

2. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein said logic includes a database containing data related to characteristics of grooves of known key blanks.

3. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein said imaging system includes a reflecting device.

11

4. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein said imaging system includes a light.

5. The key identification system of claim 4, wherein said light is directed toward said reflecting device.

6. The key identification system of claim 5, wherein said light includes a shield to direct light away from said master key.

7. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein said captured image is a digital image.

8. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein said captured image comprises a silhouette of said tip of said master key.

9. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein said positional variations include rolling of said master key with respect to said imaging system to determine characteristics of said contour.

10. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein said positional variations include tilting of said master key with respect to said imaging system to determine characteristics of said contour.

11. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein said positional variations include tipping of said master key with respect to said imaging system to determine characteristics of said contour.

12. The key identification system of claim 1 wherein said captured image comprises a silhouette of the blade of said master key.

13. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein said characteristics of said contour are determined based on image data from at least said two or more views of said master key.

14. The key identification system of claim 13, wherein said logic calculates the geometry of the contour as seen

12

from a view of the tip parallel to the blade based on data from at least said two or more views of said master key.

15. The key identification system of claim 13, wherein said logic calculates the geometry of the contour as though the tip were squarely cut based on data from at least said two or more views of said master key.

16. The key identification system of claim 1 further comprising a key holder configured to hold said master key.

17. The key identification system of claim 16, wherein said key holder includes a lower support.

18. The key identification system of claim 16, wherein said key holder includes an upper door.

19. The key identification system of claim 16, wherein said key holder includes a clamp.

20. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein one of said views of said master key includes a view from above the master key blade of a groove in the bottom of said blade.

21. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein one of said views of said master key includes a view from an angle between perpendicular and parallel to the blade of said master key and not including perpendicular or parallel to the blade of said master key.

22. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein the captured image of said tip includes a contour of a groove in said master key.

23. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein the logic is configured to shift data related to said characteristics of said contour to compare with said known key blank characteristics.

24. The key identification system of claim 1, wherein said logic includes a tolerance range for each characteristic of said master key to determine whether a master key passes or fails to match a known key blank.

* * * * *