

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VIZIO, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MAXELL, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-01459
Patent 7,730,507 B2

Before KEVIN C. TROCK, JOHN A. HUDALLA, and
JASON W. MELVIN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MELVIN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conditionally Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Tariq Javed
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On June 15, 2023, Patent Owner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Tariq Javed. Paper 10. The Motion is supported by a Declaration of Mr. Javed. Ex. 2004. Patent Owner indicates Petitioner does not oppose the Motion. Paper 10, 1.

Upon review of the record before us, we determine that there is good cause to admit Mr. Javed *pro hac vice*. Our rules provide, however, that “[a] power of attorney must be filed with the designation of counsel.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Accordingly, Mr. Javed’s admission will not be effective until Patent Owner files a Power of Attorney designating Mr. Javed. In addition, Patent Owner must file updated Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Javed as back-up counsel. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Tariq Javed is conditionally granted, effective upon the filing of a Power of Attorney for Mr. Javed; Mr. Javed will be authorized to act only as back-up counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file updated Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Javed as back-up counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Javed is to comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide¹ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of

¹ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2022-01459
Patent 7,730,507 B2

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Javed is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

IPR2022-01459
Patent 7,730,507 B2

PETITIONER:

Cono Carrano
Brock Wilson
Clark Gordon
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
ccarrano@akingump.com
bfwilson@skingump.com
cgordon@akingump.com

PATENT OWNER:

Amanda Bonner
Robert Pluta
Luiz Miranda
Saqib Siddiqui
Seth Bruneel
MAYER BROWN LLP
astreff@mayerbrown.com
rpluta@mayerbrown.com
lmiranda@mayerbrown.com
ssiddiqui@mayerbrown.com
sbruneel@mayerbrown.com