

**From:** [Sangeeta G. Shah](#)  
**To:** [Director PTABDecision Review](#)  
**Cc:** [mspecht-ptab@sternekessler.com](mailto:mspecht-ptab@sternekessler.com); [jfitzsimmons-ptab@sternekessler.com](mailto:jfitzsimmons-ptab@sternekessler.com); [isoule-ptab@sternekessler.com](mailto:isoule-ptab@sternekessler.com); [dyonan-ptab@sternekessler.com](mailto:dyonan-ptab@sternekessler.com); [PTAB@sternekessler.com](mailto:PTAB@sternekessler.com); [ehaug@haugpartners.com](mailto:ehaug@haugpartners.com); [rcolletti@haugpartners.com](mailto:rcolletti@haugpartners.com); [ptab-porsche@haugpartners.com](mailto:ptab-porsche@haugpartners.com); [TRCH0110IPR@brookskushman.com](mailto:TRCH0110IPR@brookskushman.com); [TRCH0110IPR1@brookskushman.com](mailto:TRCH0110IPR1@brookskushman.com)  
**Subject:** Patent Owners Request for Director Review IPR2022-01586  
**Date:** Friday, June 21, 2024 6:51:19 PM  
**Attachments:** [Patent Owners Request for Director Review 2022-01586.pdf](#)

---

**CAUTION:** This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Director Vidal,

Patent Owner in the above-captioned proceedings respectfully requests Director Review of the final written decision on the grounds that 1) the request presents important issues of law and policy as to whether partial final written decisions, those that fail to address all grounds, run afoul of the AIA statutory framework and the Supreme Court's guidance in *SAS Inst., v. Iancu*, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018) and 2) the decision constitutes legal error and abuse of discretion as it fails to address material intrinsic evidence of patentability that contradicts the Board's rationale.

Patent Owner further provides the following description and priority-ranked list of issues for which it seeks Director Review:

1. **Important Issue of Law or Policy as to Whether Partial Final Written Decisions Are Permitted Under Governing Law**
2. **Legal Error Involving the Failure to Address Material Intrinsic Evidence of Patentability**

All counsel of record have been copied on this email, and a copy of the Request for Director Review has been filed in the PTACTS system as Paper 34, a copy of which is attached hereto. Should the Director require or wish to request supplemental briefing on any issues presented in the Request for Director Review, Patent Owner would be happy to provide it.

Respectfully submitted,  
Sangeeta G. Shah  
Counsel for Patent Owner