IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

TQ DELTA, LLC,		
	Plaintiff,	
٧.		C.A. No. 13-cv-1835-RGA
2WIRE, INC.,		
	Defendant.	

Jury Verdict

I. INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,836,381 (THE '381 PATENT)

1. Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed corrected claim 5 of the '381 patent by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. 5031NV, 5168NV, 5168N, and 5268AC (BCM6368/BCM63168 chipsets)?

Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

	-	
es	V	No

II. INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,844,882 (THE '882 PATENT)

2. Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed corrected claim 13 of the '882 patent by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. 5031NV, 5168NV, 5168N, and 5268AC (BCM6368/BCM63168 chipsets)?

Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

3. Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed corrected claim 13 of the '882 patent by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. i3812V and 3801HGV (BCM6091 chipset)?

Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

Yes	V	No

III. INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,276,048 (THE '048 PATENT)

4. Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed claim 1 of the '048 patent by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. 5031NV, 5168NV, 5168N, and 5268AC (BCM6368/BCM63168 chipsets)?

Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

Yes _ _ _ No ____

5. Has TQ Delta proven by a preponderance of the evidence that 2Wire infringed claim 1 of the '048 patent by making, selling, and/or offering to sell its product model nos. i3812V and 3801HGV (BCM6091 chipset)?

Answer this question by checking either "Yes" or "No."

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for 2Wire.

Yes _ _ _ No ____

IV. OBVIOUSNESS

- 6. Has 2Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 5 of the '381 patent is invalid as obvious in view of:
 - a. The disclosure of LB-031 as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art?

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.



No 🗸

		Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.
		Yes No _ <u> </u>
7.	Has 2Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 13 of the patent is invalid as obvious in view of:	
	a.	The disclosure of LB-031 as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art?
		Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.
		Yes No
	b. '	The disclosure of LB-031 in combination with the disclosure of Mazzoni
		Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.
		Yes No
8.	Has 2Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 1 of the '04 patent is invalid as obvious in view of?	
	a.	The disclosure of LB-031 as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art?
		Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.
		Yes No
		A

The disclosure of LB-031 in combination with the disclosure of Mazzoni?

b.

	b.	The disclosure of LB-031 in combination with the disclosure of Mazzoni?	
		Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.	
		Yes No	
VAL	IDITY	OF THE CERTIFICATES OF CORRECTION	
9.	the '3 that th	Has 2Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that the error in claim 5 of the '381 patent was not clearly evident to a person of ordinary skill in the art, or that the correction of that error would not have been clearly evident to a person of ordinary skill in the art?	
	Chec	king "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. king "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta. s No	
10.	the '8 that tl	Wire proven by clear and convincing evidence that the error in claim 13 of 882 patent was not clearly evident to a person of ordinary skill in the art, or the correction of that error would not have been clearly evident to a person of ary skill in the art?	
		king "Yes" below indicates a finding for 2Wire. king "No" below indicates a finding for TQ Delta.	
	Yes	s No <u> </u>	

V.