

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE HILLMAN GROUP, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

HY-KO PRODUCTS COMPANY, LLC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2022-01201 (Patent 8,644,619 B2)
IPR2022-01251 (Patent 9,963,908 B2)
IPR2022-01265 (Patent 9,818,041 B2)
IPR2023-00075 (Patent 9,514,385 B2)
IPR2023-00076 (Patent 11,227,455 B2)¹

Before, GREGG I. ANDERSON, FREDERICK C. LANEY and
MICHAEL T. CYGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ANDERSON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Settlement Prior to Institution of Trial
37 C.F.R. § 42.74

¹ This Decision addresses an issue that is identical in all listed cases. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Decision to be filed in each case.

IPR2022-01201 (Patent 8,644,619 B2)
IPR2022-01251 (Patent 9,963,908 B2)
IPR2022-01265 (Patent 9,818,041 B2)
IPR2023-00075 (Patent 9,514,385 B2)
IPR2023-00076 (Patent 11,227,455 B2)

On January 11, 2023, with our authorization, Petitioner, The Hillman Group, Inc. (“Hillman”) and Patent Owner, Hy-Ko Products Company LLC (“Hy-Ko”), jointly filed the following in each of the proceedings identified above: (1) a Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding (Paper 3, “Mot.”)²; (2) a true copy of the parties’ settlement agreement (Ex. 1012); and (3) a Joint Request to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) (Paper 6). For the following reasons, we *grant* the parties’ Joint Motions to Terminate Proceeding and their joint requests to keep the settlement agreement as business confidential information.

These proceedings are still in their preliminary stages. On June 27, 2022, Hillman filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of claims 1–8, 10–14, and 19–22 of U.S. Patent No. 8,644,619 B2. IPR2022-01201, Paper 1. On July 8, 2022, Hillman filed a Petition requesting an IPR of claims 1, 4–7, and 9–17 of U.S. Patent No. 9,963,908 B2. IPR2022-01251, Paper 1. On July 15, 2022, Hillman filed a Petition requesting an IPR of claims 1–27 of U.S. Patent No. 9,818,041 B2. IPR2022-01265, Paper 1. On October 27, 2022, Hillman filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of claims 1–7, 9–11, 13–18, and 20–26 of U.S. Patent No. 9,514,385 B2. IPR2023-00075, Paper 1. On November 2, 2022, Hillman filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of claims

² Unless otherwise noted, all citations are to the papers and exhibits filed in IPR2022-01201. Similar papers and exhibits were filed in IPR2022-01251, IPR2022-1265, IPR2022-01285, IPR2023-00075, and IPR2023-00076.

IPR2022-01201 (Patent 8,644,619 B2)
IPR2022-01251 (Patent 9,963,908 B2)
IPR2022-01265 (Patent 9,818,041 B2)
IPR2023-00075 (Patent 9,514,385 B2)
IPR2023-00076 (Patent 11,227,455 B2)

1–6 of U.S. Patent No. 11,227,455 B2. IPR2023-00076, Paper 1. In each proceeding, Hy-Ko has not filed a Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response and we have not entered an institution decision.

In the Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding, the parties indicate that they “have entered into a settlement agreement that resolves all underlying disputes between the parties,” including these three IPR proceedings. Mot. 2.³ The parties represent that “a true copy of the settlement agreement between the parties is being submitted concurrently herewith.” *Id.* The parties also represent that “[t]here are no other agreements, oral or written, between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of [these] proceeding[s].” *Id.* Lastly, the parties represent that “the [settlement] agreement ends all patent disputes between the parties.” *Id.*

Under these particular circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to dismiss both Petitions without rendering any further decisions, thereby terminating these proceedings. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(a). We also have reviewed the true copy of the parties’ settlement agreement, and we determine that good cause exists to treat this settlement agreement as business confidential information, and keep it separate from the file of the involved patents, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

II. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

³ The Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding does not include page numbers. We consider the title page as page 1 and then proceed from there in numerical order.

IPR2022-01201 (Patent 8,644,619 B2)
IPR2022-01251 (Patent 9,963,908 B2)
IPR2022-01265 (Patent 9,818,041 B2)
IPR2023-00075 (Patent 9,514,385 B2)
IPR2023-00076 (Patent 11,227,455 B2)

ORDERED that the parties' joint requests to treat the true copy of their settlement agreement filed in each proceeding as business confidential information, and to keep separate from the file of the involved patent, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the following documents shall remain business confidential information, and shall be kept separate from the file of the involved patent: (1) IPR2022-01201, Exhibit 1012; (2) IPR2022-1251, Ex. 1019; (3) IPR2022-01265, Exhibit 1016; (4) IPR2022-01285, Exhibit 1013; (5) IPR2023-00075, Exhibit 1015; and (6) IPR2023-00076, Exhibit 1008.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding filed in each case is *granted*, and the Petitions in IPR2022-01201, IPR2022-01251, IPR2022-01265, IPR2022-01285, IPR2023-00075, and IPR2023-00076 are dismissed resulting in termination of these proceedings.

IPR2022-01201 (Patent 8,644,619 B2)
IPR2022-01251 (Patent 9,963,908 B2)
IPR2022-01265 (Patent 9,818,041 B2)
IPR2023-00075 (Patent 9,514,385 B2)
IPR2023-00076 (Patent 11,227,455 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Joshua L. Goldberg
Ryan P. O'Quinn
A. Grace Mills
Nate S. Sunwoo
Sydney Kestle
Daniel Klodowski
Abhay Watwe
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner LLP
joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com
oquinnr@finnegan.com
gracie.mills@finnegan.com
nate.sunwoo@finnegan.com
sydney.kestle@finnegan.com
daniel.klodowski@finnegan.com
abhay.watwe@finnegan.com

For PATENT OWNER:

David B. Cupar
Matthew J. Cavanagh
McDONALD HOPKINS LLC
dcupar@mcdonaldhopkins.com
mcavanagh@mcdonaldhopkins.com