## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_\_

TREND MICRO INC.
Petitioner

v.

KAJEET, INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2023-00178 Patent 7,899,438

\_\_\_\_\_

## JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING

Petitioner Trend Micro Inc. and Patent Owner Kajeet, Inc. (collectively "Parties") hereby jointly move for an order terminating the *inter partes* review filed November 10, 2022, directed to Patent No. 7,899,438 ("the '438 patent") and assigned case number IPR2023-00178. The Board authorized the instant motion by e-mail on August 21, 2023.

Patent Owner filed a preliminary response. The PTAB has granted institution, but Patent Owner has not yet filed the Patent Owner Response.

The Parties have settled their dispute, and have reached agreement to terminate this proceeding. The Parties' Settlement Agreement has been made in writing. The Parties executed the Settlement Agreement in counter-signed copies, true copies of which are attached as Exhibits 2002 and 2003, respectively.

In addition, the Parties desire the Settlement Agreement be maintained as business confidential under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), and a separate joint request to that effect is being filed concurrently herewith.

## 1. Reasons Why Termination Is Appropriate

Termination is proper because the Parties are jointly requesting termination, the review is still in its early stages, and the Office has not yet "decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed." As noted in the Patent Office Trial Practice Guidelines, "there are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding. . . . The Board expects that a

proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding." Accordingly, termination is appropriate here.

The Parties understand that if the Board terminates this petition for inter partes review, no estoppel under 35 U.S.C. § 315(e) or 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(d)(1) will attach to Petitioners. The Parties understand that if the Board terminates this petition for inter partes review before a final written decision on patentability, no preclusion will attach to Patent Owner under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(d)(3).

As authorized by email on August 21, 2023, a true copy of the agreement made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this petition for inter partes review is being contemporaneously filed herewith as Exhibits 2002 and 2003.

## 2. Status of Related District Court Litigation

The '438 patent is the subject of the following pending litigation:

• Kajeet, Inc. v. Trend Micro Inc., No. 6:21-cv-00389 (W.D. Tex.).

On August 18, 2023, Patent Owner and Petitioner filed a joint motion to dismiss their respective claims and counterclaims with prejudice in the *Kajeet, Inc.* v. *Trend Micro Inc.*, No. 6:21-cv-00389, proceeding, and the Court ordered

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Federal Register Vol. 77, No. 157 at 48768.

dismissal with prejudice on August 18, 2023. There are no other pending proceedings between the Petitioners and Patent Owner relating to the '438 patent.

For the foregoing reasons, the Parties jointly request termination of IPR2023-00178.

## Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 23, 2023

/Charanjit Brahma/

Charanjit Brahma Reg. No. 46,574

Benesch Friedlander Coplan &

**Aronoff LLP** 

100 Pine Street, Suite 3100 San Francisco, CA 94111

Email: cbrahma@beneschlaw.com

Tel: (628) 600-2241 Fax: (628) 221-5828

Manish K. Mehta

Reg. No. 64,570

Benesch Friedlander Coplan & Aronoff LLP

71 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 1600

Chicago, IL 60606

Email: mmehta@beneschlaw.com

Tel: (312) 212-4953 Fax: (312) 767-9192

Samuel J. Ruggio

Reg. No. 74,543

Benesch Friedlander Coplan & Aronoff LLP

71 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 1600

Chicago, IL 60606

Email: sruggio@beneschlaw.com

Tel: (312) 624-6379

Fax: (312) 767-9192

Cristina Almendarez, admitted *pro hac* vice

# Benesch Friedlander Coplan & Aronoff LLP

71 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 1600

Chicago, IL 60606

Email: calmendarez@beneschlaw.com

Tel: (312) 624-6382 Fax: (312) 767-9192

#### Counsel for Petitioners

Date: August 23, 2023

## /Robert L. Hails/

Robert L. Hails Reg. No. 39,702

#### **BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP**

Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5403 rhails@bakerlaw.com

Telephone: 202.861.1500 Facsimile: 202.861.1783

Timothy D. Casey Reg. No. 33,124

## **BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP**

999 Third Ave., Suite 3900

Seattle, WA 98104

tcasey@bakerlaw.com

Telephone: 206.332.1107 Facsimile: 206.624.7317

Jason F. Hoffman, admitted *pro hac vice* 

## **BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP**

Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5403 jhoffman@bakerlaw.com

Telephone: 202.861.1500 Facsimile: 202.861.1783

Counsel for Patent Owner

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 42.6(e)(1), the undersigned certifies that, on August 23, 2023, a copy of Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding, Joint Request to File Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), and accompanying exhibits were provided via email, to Petitioner by serving the email correspondence addresses of record as follows:

Charanjit Brahma – cbrahma@beneschlaw.com

Manish K. Mehta – mmehta@beneschlaw.com

Samuel J. Ruggio – sruggio@beneschlaw.com

Cristina Almendarez – calmendarez @beneschlaw.com

/Robert L. Hails/ Robert L. Hails