	Page 1	
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
2		
3		
	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
4		
		
5		
6	LULULEMON ATHLETICA CANADA INC. and LULULEMON USA INC.	
	Petitioners,	
7		
8	-v-	
9		
	NIKE, INC.	
10	Patent Owner.	
11		
	Case IPR2023-00189	
12		
	Patent 9,278,256	
13		
14		
15	Video-Recorded Deposition of Kevin Lynch, Ph.D.	
16	70 West Madison Street	
17	Suite 4200	
18	Chicago, Illinois	
19	December 14, 2023	
20	9:32 a.m.	
21	lululemon athletica inc. et al. v. Ni IPR2023-00189	ike, In
22	Reported By Beth Radtke, RPR, CRR Patent No. 9,278,256	
23	License No. 084-004561 Ex. 2013	
24	Legal Videographer: Juliana Martin	

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
	By Mr. Forrest McClellen
4	425 Market Street
F	San Francisco, California 94105-2482
5 6	fmcclellen@mofo.com
6	By Mr. Mehran Arjomand 708 Wilshire Boulevard
7	60th Floor
/	Los Angeles, California 90017
8	marjomand@mofo.com
O	Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner;
9	Appeared on behalf of the recitioner,
10	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP
_ •	By Mr. Aaron Bowling
11	70 West Madison Street
	42nd Floor
12	Chicago, Illinois 60603
	aaron.bowling@arnoldporter.com
13	
	By Ms. Lindsey C. Staubach
14	601 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
	Washington, DC 20001-3743
15	lindsey.staubach@arnoldporter.com
	Appeared on behalf of the Patent Owner.
16	
	* * * *
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	D = 2
	Page 3
1	INDEX
2	
3	WITNESS PAGE
4	KEVIN LYNCH, PH.D.
	Examination By Mr. Bowling 5
5	Examination By Mr. McClellen 118
6	
	EXHIBITS
7	
	Exhibit 4 Patent Owner's Notice of 6
8	Deposition of Kevin Lynch
0	Exhibit 5 Reply Declaration of 7
9	Kevin Lynch
1 0	Exhibit 6 Lynch deposition 10
10	transcript, 7-27-2023 Exhibit 7 Declaration of Kevin 13
11	Lynch
	Exhibit 8 U.S. Patent No. 9,278,256 38
12	Exhibit 9 Declaration of Megan 106
	Toney-Bulger
13	Exhibit 10 U.S. Patent No. 8,162,804 107
14	
	* * * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

Page 4

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are going on the record at 9:32 a.m. Central Time on December 14, 2023.

Please note that the microphones are sensitive and may pick up whispering and private conversations. Please mute your phones at this time. Audio and video recording will continue to take place unless all parties agree to go off the record.

This is Media Unit Number 1 of the video-recorded deposition of Dr. Kevin M. Lynch taken by counsel for defendant in the matter of Lululemon Athletica Canada, Inc., and Lululemon USA, Inc., versus Nike, Inc., filed in the United States Patent & Trademark Office, Case Number IPR2023-00189.

The location of the deposition is 70 West Madison Street, Chicago, Illinois.

My name is Juliana Martin representing

Veritext and I am the videographer. The court

reporter today is Beth Radtke from Veritext. I am

not authorized to administer an oath, I am not

related to party in this action, nor am I financially

interested in the outcome.

If there are any objections to proceeding, please state them at the time of your appearance.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

1	Counsel and all present will now state their
2	appearances and affiliations for the record,
3	beginning with the noticing attorney.
4	MR. BOWLING: Aaron Bowling of Arnold &
5	Porter on behalf of Nike, and with me is Lindsey
6	Staubach, also of Arnold & Porter on behalf of Nike.
7	MR. MCCLELLEN: Forest McClellen and Mehran
8	Arjomand, both from Morrison & Foerster, and we
9	represent petitioner, Lululemon, in this action.
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
11	Will the court reporter please swear in the
12	witness.
13	(Witness sworn.)
14	MR. MCCLELLEN: And, Aaron, just so you
15	know, Dr. Lynch has clean copies of his opening and
16	reply declarations in front of him.
17	MR. BOWLING: Okay, great, thanks.
18	KEVIN LYNCH, PH.D.,
19	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
20	testified as follows:
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. BOWLING:
23	Q. Dr. Lynch, thanks for coming and talking to
24	us again.

Α.

No.

	Q.	Okay.	Okay,	Dr.	. Lynch	ı, we're	goin	ıg to	hand
you	what	we've	marked	as	Lynch	Exhibit	5.	This	is
also Petitioner's Exhibit 1017.									

(Exhibit No. 5 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. BOWLING:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. Do you recognize this, Dr. Lynch?
- A. It appears to be my reply declaration.
- Q. Okay. And I understand you have a copy of this with you as well that you brought in today?
 - A. It was handed to me by Forrest.
- Q. You can feel free to use either copy. Maybe it makes the most sense just to use the marked copy, if that's all right.

Okay. I'll have you turn to page 35 of Lynch Exhibit 5.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And today we can use those bigger numbers in the center of the page; there's kind of two page numbers here because of the way it was stamped. So we'll refer to the documents using the center number here for your reply declaration.

Sound good?

A. Yes.

1	Q.	Okay.	On page	35,	there's	a	date,
2	October	29, 202	3, and a	sign	nature.		

Is that your signature?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

- Q. Okay. As you sit here, are you aware of any inaccuracies in your declaration?
- A. Possibly a typo or two, but nothing of consequence.
- Q. Okay. Any typos you are aware of specifically?
 - A. Not sitting here.
- Q. Since October 29, 2023, have any of the opinions expressed in your reply declaration changed?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Let's now go to page 1, paragraph 2 of Lynch Exhibit 5.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And here you list some of the materials you considered, is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. You list Nike's Patent Owner Response, Paper 18; you list the declaration of Dr. Toney-Bulger, Exhibit 2005; and you also list the '256 patent, its prosecution history, and Exhibits 1004 through 1012,

- 1 1016, 1018, and 2005 through 2012. Is that right?
 - A. Yes.

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. And you also note that you observed the deposition of Dr. Toney-Bulger?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. On October 12, 2023?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Have you considered any other materials aside from those listed in paragraph 2 in forming your opinions in Lynch Exhibit 5?
 - A. None that come to mind.
 - Q. If any come to mind today, can you let me know?
 - A. I'm not aware of any other materials.
 - Q. Okay. And since October 29, 2023, when you formed these opinions, have you considered any other references that are relevant to these opinions?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. How did you prepare for your deposition today, Dr. Lynch?
 - A. I reread my declaration, I looked at some of the other exhibits that are listed here in paragraph 2, and I talked with Forrest McClellen and Mehran Arjomand.

on July 27, 2023?

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A. I do.
- Q. And you'll see that this document, Lynch Exhibit 6, is a transcript of that deposition.

Do you see that?

- 5 A. Yes.
 - Q. Have you reviewed this transcript at any time?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. And when was that?
 - A. In the past few days, and possibly earlier, like soon after the transcript was available, but definitely in the past few days.
 - Q. Did you read through the whole thing, or just parts of it?
 - A. I read through the whole thing.
 - Q. When you reviewed the transcript, did you become aware of anything in your testimony that was inaccurate?
 - A. No.

There are several times where -- so my testimony was given from the point of view of a person of ordinary skill in the art who had read the patents and the file history, and I realize I didn't say that every time, so there may be places where

1	that	was	not	understood	to	be	the	case.
---	------	-----	-----	------------	----	----	-----	-------

So for the record today, all of my opinions offered today are from the point of view of a person of ordinary skill in the art who has read the patent and the file history.

But apart from that, I'm not aware of any inaccuracies.

- Q. Okay. What's your understanding of a person of skill in the art?
- A. So we had provided a definition, and I believe the definition is in the record. So I could read it to you.
- Q. As you sit here today, you just don't remember exactly what it was?
- A. I could paraphrase, but since I have my original declaration, it would be better to just read it to you, if you'd like me to.
- Q. Okay, sure. Let's quickly introduce the original declaration, just housekeeping.
- MR. BOWLING: We'll mark this as Lynch Exhibit 7.
- 22 (Exhibit No. 7 was marked for
- identification.)

24

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

BY MR. BOWLING:

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Okay. And I believe what you were referring to, Dr. Lynch, was in paragraph 43?
 - A. That's correct.
 - O. Of your original declaration?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. So you consider yourself a person of ordinary skill in the art, is that right?
 - A. I -- I certainly understand a person of ordinary skill in the art, because I teach them all the time. Perhaps I'd be considered extraordinary skill in the art, but I certainly understand what a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand.
- Q. How would you describe your -- your expertise?
- MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
- 17 BY THE WITNESS:
- 18 A. Can you be a little more specific?
- 19 BY MR. BOWLING:
- 20 Q. Sure.
- 21 Do you consider yourself to be an expert?
- MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
- 23 BY THE WITNESS:
- A. In some things, yes.

1 BY MR. BOWLING:

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- Q. Okay. What are those things?
- A. Without being exhaustive, I'm an expert in robotics, I'm an expert in human robot systems, I'm an expert in, you know, sensorizing humans performing exercises and using that data to inform the design of control systems for interacting with humans. I'm an expert in network-based robotic systems, mechatronics, and related fields.
 - Q. What about computer engineering?
- A. I'm an expert in computer networked robots and computer network devices, so that's part of computer engineering.
- Q. Okay. Any other parts of computer engineering that you would consider yourself an expert in?
 - A. Possibly.
 - O. Can you list them for me?
- A. I don't think I could be exhaustive sitting here, but if you want to ask about particular, maybe I can respond.
- Q. Okay. Which aspects, as you are sitting here today, come to mind as far as your expertise in computer engineering?

1	A. So in the design of network systems for
2	networked swarm robotic systems, for example.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. And other sorts of computing and programing
5	as it relates to programing robots and programing
6	human interaction with robots.
7	Those would be part those would be part
8	of computer engineering.
9	Q. Would you consider yourself an expert in
10	computer science then?
11	MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
12	BY THE WITNESS:
13	A. Again, in certain aspects of computer
14	science.
15	It's a very broad field, but robotics is
16	often practiced within computer science departments,
17	and I'm certainly an expert in networked robotic
18	systems.
19	BY MR. BOWLING:
20	Q. Okay. So is it safe to say that your
21	expertise in the realm of computer science, computer
22	engineering, is with respect only to robotics,

Objection, form.

23

24

robotic systems, and networked robotics?

MR. MCCLELLEN:

	BY	${ m THE}$	WITNESS
--	----	------------	---------

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. No, that would not be fair.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. Okay. Which -- which aspects of your expertise are not captured within robotics, robotic systems, and networked robotics?
- A. Well, to perform robotics research in the fields that I perform, there are -- that I do research in, there are a number of component technologies that you need to be an expert in, and one of them -- there's many, so I don't think I could be exhaustive sitting here right now, but certainly one of them is in getting multiple wireless computers to interface with each other and talk to each other, share information, and perform a -- create a functioning whole system based on the interactions of those wirelessly networked computers.
 - Q. Do you know any software coding languages?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Which ones do you know?
- A. I'm most fluent in C, and others I've used are C++, MATLAB, Mathematica, Python, Lua, and others.
 - Q. Any others you can think of today?

- A. There are a lot variants of those languages,
 so there may be others, but those are the first ones
 I've mentioned.

 Q. Okay. Nothing else you can think of today?
 - A. I think those are the key ones. There are others.
 - Q. Okay. But none other that you can think of today?
 - A. Well, I've done Pascal, I've Fortran. So there's a long list, but -- yeah, there's many.

 Those are the ones that jump to mind.
 - Q. Okay. In which of those languages have you written software code? All of them?
 - A. All of them.
 - Q. Have you ever designed a consumer-facing piece of software?
- MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
- 18 BY THE WITNESS:

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A. What is a consumer in your context?
- 20 BY MR. BOWLING:
- Q. Okay, let's back up.
- When you've designed software, who have been the users of that software?
 - A. Many people. For example, I've written two

books -- well, I've written more than two books, but two books in which we provided software to go along with the teaching in the book, and --

- O. What books were those?
- A. There is a 2017 book published by Cambridge University Press called "Modern Robotics."
 - O. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. And there is a 2015 book published by Elsevier/Newnes, N-E-W-N-E-S, which is an imprint of Elsevier, and I believe the title -- well, it's here somewhere. I don't want to read it wrong.

The title is "Embedded Computer and Mechatronics With the PIC32 Microcontroller."

So these books have been purchased by people and the software is available for free download to anybody, so that has certainly been used by consumers by most definitions.

- Q. Sure.
- A. A lot of the software that we write is used within our laboratory. Some of it is posted on public repositories, like Github, where other people can download and add to the software.
 - Q. Have you ever written code for a video game?
 - A. So the experiments that we do in human/robot

interaction often involve a person looking at a video
screen while they are trying to accomplish a task.
So for example, they may be grabbing on to a robot
end effector and moving the end effector around while
seeing a video display of that on a screen, and
they're playing a game. So in that respect, yes.

Me and my students, so usually it's the students who are doing the writing there.

- Q. So have you written the code for any of those experiments you just described?
- A. Yes. Usually -- early in my career; now I usually supervise students doing it.
 - O. Sure. When would that have been?
 - A. When would what have been?
 - Q. Early in your career that you referenced.
- A. Well, I began graduate school in 1989 as a Ph.D. student, so early in my career could be anything from 1989 until now.
- Q. Aside from those experience -- experiments in your lab that you described, have you written any other code for video games?
- A. Yes. Starting in sixth grade when I got my first computer, what I usually programmed it to do was to play video games. That's how I learned to

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

1	code, by	creating my own video games.
2	Q.	That sounds fun.
3		Anything else beyond that?
4		MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

A. I'm sure.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. Okay.
- A. I'm sure I haven't told you everything I've ever done with -- with programing.
- Q. Okay. What other video games, other than the ones in your laboratory that you described and the ones that you wrote in sixth grade have you programmed?
- A. I -- working with my son, who I hope will learn to do programing, I've worked with him to write video games in Python.
 - O. And when was that?
 - A. Oh, in the last two years.
- Q. Okay. Any other experience of writing programing for video games that you can think of?
- A. We've done demonstrations of our research at the Museum of Science and Industry where we're trying to engage students to think about the physics of

robots and animals, and so we've written video games
for students to play at the museum because it's a
more fun way to engage them than, say, presenting
them with a bunch of equations.

- O. And when was that?
- A. In the 2010s. We've done it multiple times.
- Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

- A. And there's -- I'm sure that's not exhaustive, but those are ones I'm thinking of right now.
 - Q. Anything else that you can think of?
- A. Maybe later, but I think those are -- those are exemplary.
- Q. Would you consider yourself an expert in video game design?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you consider yourself an expert in the software coding of a video game?
- A. I'm an expert in certain aspects of it, but I have not coded a modern consumer video game.
- Q. What certain aspects of software coding for a video game would you say you are an expert in?
- A. Well, for example, to write code of any kind, video game or otherwise, you need to be able to

program, and I've written a chapter in a book on programing in C and distributed code that has been used by a lot of people.

So you have to be able to program, you have to understand, you know, the basic -- basic architecture of the computer, and again, that's part of this 2015 book.

So there are a lot of things that go into writing a piece of modern software for a video game, and I am an expert in some of those, but not all of them. I have not written software for a video game that's been played commercially.

Q. Okay. Other than being able to program, are there any other aspects of writing the software for a video game that you would say you are an expert in?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

A. So a video game needs to have realtime interaction with a human, so that's certainly something that we're doing with our research in realtime operating systems.

So when we are creating robots to operate in realtime, we often use what's called a realtime operating system, and that is not typically used in a

video game, but the issues involved there of, you know, dealing with latency and turnaround times are certainly relevant in both human/robot interaction and video games.

And of course networks, we work on networked computers, and video games are often across networks.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. Anything else?
- A. Yes, but I won't be able to list them for you comprehensively here.
- Q. We might have to do this a couple of times today, but I'm going to ask you to list all the ones you can think of.
- A. This is not something I've prepared for or put in my declaration, so I am not going to be exhaustive.

I've worked for a long time in areas right around this, and so trying to list them all out and then say that's it, I probably will not be able to do today.

- Q. Well --
- A. I think I've given you a lot of examples.
- Q. And that's fine. We're not trying to quiz you or play gotcha, but we do need you to list all

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

888-391-3376

the ones you can think of while you're here today.

- A. It won't be exhaustive is what I'm saying.
- Q. That's okay. We certainly understand that you're not going to remember every single thing you've ever done. That's not why we're asking.

But we are going to ask you to list out the examples that you can think of as you sit here today.

Okay?

- A. Okay. So you want to spend more time on this question, and I'll...
- Q. Yes, please. If you could answer the question.
 - A. What is the question?
- Q. Okay. So we're trying to get an understanding of what aspects of video game software programing you consider yourself to be an expert in, and so far you've told us that there is a coding aspect that you are an expert in, there is a network component that you consider yourself an expert in.

Is there anything else other than that?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

Go ahead.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. There is a realtime aspect to it, which is

_	also	important	for	robotics.
---	------	-----------	-----	-----------

BY MR. BOWLING:

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. And you consider yourself an expert in that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Anything else?
- A. Graphical displays, creation of GUIs, that's something that we do in our work to create engaging video games for people to perform.
- Q. Okay. And you consider yourself an expert in graphical displays and the creation of graphical user interfaces?
- A. I'm not sure where the line is drawn. We're certainly users, but we don't create new technologies for graphical user interfaces, but we use software that has been created. So I'm not exactly sure -- we don't publish papers in that area.

So the areas I'm definitely an expert in are areas where I'm publishing papers, and then I'm not exactly sure where the line is drawn, but we are definitely seasoned users of clock technology in GUIs.

Q. Okay. So you've never designed a graphical display?

- 1 A. I have, as well as my students.
 - Q. Okay.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. Using technology that others have created.

So for example, a modern video game developer is likely to use a platform like Unity for creating their video games. They don't do everything from scratch, they build on top of existing technology, and we also do.

- Q. Okay. So if I understand you right, you would use that same platform, you've just never designed a platform like that yourself; is that right?
- A. So -- yeah, for example, Unity is a very complex piece of software that people pay a lot of money to license. I've never written software for Unity or other large commercially-available packages that people build on top of to create their video games.
 - Q. So what exactly does Unity do?
- A. It does a lot of things, and I won't be able to characterize it here. It provides graphical interfaces, it does physics for you, but -- it does many things.
 - Q. Earlier you said you were an expert in

realtime operating systems, is that right?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. What's a realtime operating system?
- A. A realtime operating system is in contrast to, say, the Mac operating system or an operating system you might have running on your PC in the sense that it is expressly designed to enforce certain frequencies of operations.

So you might use it with a robot system because you want to make sure that your sensor is read every millisecond and that a new command is sent to your actuator every millisecond and those are time critical. So an operating system designed to enforce that timing is different from one that you'd find on, for instance, your Macintosh.

- Q. How is it different, in your view, from the operating system you'd find on a Mac computer?
- A. So when you are interfacing with your Mac, you might be running many applications at the same time, and it might decide to go off and service some other application and put the one that you are working on on hold; you might get the spinny wheel thing, and so you just have to wait.

Whereas if you are controlling a robot, you

can't allow that, because the robot might crash or go unstable in the time that it's gone off to do some other task.

So a realtime operating system's job is to make sure that time critical tasks are attended to on a well-known schedule.

Q. So we talked a little bit about video games.

That seems like it's maybe closer to a computer than
a kind of robotic system you're talking about.

Where would that fall on the spectrum of how it devotes resources to these specific critical tasks you're talking about?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. So a human user probably has an expectation of how the interface should work, and so if, in the middle of their shooting game, they got a spinny wheel, that would be dissatisfying, so a video game cares about providing a good user experience.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. So I guess maybe a better way to ask my question would be, do video games use realtime operating systems?
 - A. No.

ı		

Q. Why not?

A. They -- because they are usually made to operate on your PC's operating system or your Mac's operating system, and those operating systems are not realtime operating systems. So the software has been written so that you get a good experience, but still recognizing that you won't have performance guarantees that a realtime operating system would give you.

- Q. So how do video game designers prevent the situation you were explaining where you're playing a shooting video game and you get the little spinny wheel?
- A. Well, all their software -- so I'm talking about computers now; of course there's other gaming systems that are designed just for gaming, right? So I'm not talking about those. I'm talking about your Mac or your PC playing a computer game.

The software that's written for those computers is subject to the behavior of the operating system, so there's not a way, as a software designer, to guarantee that you won't get the sort of poor behavior that I just mentioned.

Q. And that's different than the kind of

realtime operating system that you're talking about?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. The commonalities are in the need to maintain a good or a regular update rate, either for controlling the robot or for interfacing with the human.

I should also mention that many robot systems are written without realtime operating systems, so most of our work is actually not using a realtime operating system, it's using, usually, an operating system where we're pretty certain that we won't go away and do the spinny wheel thing, because we don't have other applications running.

So most robots are not using realtime operating systems, but they still try to enforce the regular update rates to ensure their stability and performance.

- Q. What applications use a realtime operating system, aside from the robotics example you've given us?
- A. Anything where it's critical to have the update rate be enforced.
 - Q. Can you think of any examples?

- A. Yeah, so robotics, you can think of just as control of mechanical systems, so any time there is a mechanical system involved with sensors and actuators, those are good candidates for realtime operating systems.
 - Q. Can you give me some examples?
- A. Again, anything that's got a mechanical system involved. So you can think to yourself of any mechanical system.
 - Q. So you can't think of any examples?
 - A. I can think of many mechanical systems.
- Q. Okay. Can you provide me with a few examples?
- A. Robots are a good one. Machine tools, cars, planes. There's many.
 - Q. Anything else?
- A. Sure. I'm not going to list them.

 Mechanical systems that have a computer controlling them, not all of them need a realtime operating system, but many of them benefit from it.
- Q. Okay. So you can't think of any examples of a realtime operating system being used aside from robots, machine tools, cars, and planes?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

1 BY MR. BOWLING:

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Is that right?
- A. That's incorrect. There are many examples, but I'm not going to list anymore. I think I've been responsive.

Any mechanical system that -- where performance is important could benefit from a realtime operating system, but as I mentioned, even robots don't all use realtime operating systems.

- Q. Okay. So I'm asking you to list them. You can refuse to answer the question if you want, but maybe we should take a break and we can talk.
- A. I think I did list them. Mechanical systems that are under computer control.
 - Q. And I'm asking you for examples of those.
 - A. I gave you some.
- Q. And I'm asking you if those are all the examples, and you're refusing to list them.
- A. I just would be sitting here thinking of mechanical systems under computer control, so yeah, I think the list I gave you is pretty representative.

So mechanical systems is very broad, right?

So but that's an example of a system, a mechanical system, that would benefit from a realtime operating

Veritext Legal Solutions

1	system
_	Dy Decin.

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

But again, it's not saying that all machine tools, all planes, all cars, all robots have to use realtime operating systems, but it can be an advantage to guarantee the stability of the system.

- Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, Dr. Lynch, can you think of any examples of modern applications that use realtime operating systems, aside from machine tools, cars, planes, and robots?
- A. Well, my focus has always been on robots, so that's what I know best. So applications in other fields I don't know as well.
- Q. Okay. So you can't think of any as you sit here today, aside from those that I listed?
 - A. I'm sure I could.
 - Q. Okay. Can you list them for me?
 - A. Rocket ships.
 - Q. Okay. Anything else?
- A. Test machinery where, you know, in mechanical devices where you are testing, say, the performance of a metal under -- under stress. You'd be taking readings constantly and benefit from having a fixed update rate.

And there's more, but I'll stop there.

- Q. Nothing else you can think of?

 A. Probably I can, but I've lister
 - A. Probably I can, but I've listed what I can think at the moment.
 - Q. Okay.

3

4

15

- MR. MCCLELLEN: Aaron, is now a good time for a break?
- MR. BOWLING: Sure.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the record at 10:18 a.m.
- 10 (A short break was taken.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:29 a.m.
- Counsel, you may now proceed.
- 14 BY MR. BOWLING:
 - Q. Okay, Dr. Lynch, during the break did you talk with your counsel about your testimony here?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. Earlier, we were talking about your various areas of expertise.
- 20 Do you remember that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you consider yourself an expert in designing the software that video games use to control latency and turnaround times?

1	MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
2	BY THE WITNESS:
3	A. I am not an expert video game designer.
4	BY MR. BOWLING:
5	Q. So is that a no?
6	A. I'm not an expert video game designer. What
7	was the question?
8	Q. Do you consider yourself an expert in
9	designing the software that video games use to
L O	control latency and turnaround times?
11	MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
L2	BY THE WITNESS:
L 3	A. I do not consider myself an expert in video
L 4	game design or this sub-area that you mentioned.
L5	BY MR. BOWLING:
L6	Q. Okay. Okay, Dr. Lynch, I'm going to ask you
L 7	to turn to Lynch Exhibit 6, specifically page 83.
L8	A. Yes.
L9	Q. Okay. I'm going to direct your attention to
20	line 7.
21	Do you see the question that was asked:
22	"Question: So you were not asked to provide
23	an opinion on whether any prior art reference
24	discloses any claim as a whole from the '256 patent?"

1 Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. And at line 15, you answer and say:

"I was not asked to consider an entire claim element and perform an invalidity analysis on a claim as a whole."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. In preparing your reply declaration,
 Exhibit 1017 and Lynch Exhibit 5, did you consider
 any entire claim element of the '256 patent and
 perform an invalidity analysis on that claim element
 as a whole?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So referring to paragraph 2, it explains what I did in my reply declaration, and my focus in the reply declaration was on certain claim terms, and not an entire claim element.

So for example, on -- in paragraph 7, the claim element that -- or limitation that's been referred to as 1G reads: "Continuously generate and simultaneously communicate in realtime to the first user at the first location and the second user at the

second location an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met."

And as an example, I don't believe anywhere in my reply declaration do I explicitly talk about a first user at a first location.

So in that respect, I'm looking at particular claim terms and not the entire element.

Q. So what I asked you is a little bit different.

I asked you what you considered when you arrived at the opinions that are in your reply declaration.

You told me that you don't believe anywhere in your reply declaration do you explicitly talk about a first user at a first location.

When you rendered the opinions in your reply declaration, do you consider any claim element as a whole, or did you only consider the specific claim terms that you just mentioned?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

- A. May I have the '256 patent, please?
- BY MR. BOWLING:
- 24 Q. Sure.

1

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

	1 dgc 30
1	MR. BOWLING: So we will mark as Lynch
2	Exhibit 8 the '256 patent, which is also
3	Exhibit 1001.
4	(Exhibit No. 8 was marked for
5	identification.)
6	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, can you ask the
7	question?
8	MR. BOWLING: Sure.
9	BY MR. BOWLING:
10	Q. When you rendered the opinions in your reply
11	declaration, did you consider any claim elements as a
12	whole in the '256 patent, or did you only consider
13	specific claim terms?
14	MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
15	BY THE WITNESS:
16	A. I may have considered a claim element as a
17	whole, but I'd have to look through to find it.
18	BY MR. BOWLING:
19	Q. Okay. Take your time.
20	A. But I don't believe I ever list out an
21	entire claim anywhere in my reply.

what is listed out in the reply declaration, $\ensuremath{\text{I'm}}$ asking you what you considered when you formed those

And again, Dr. Lynch, I'm not asking you

22

23

-	
Τ	opinions

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

- A. So I considered the entire patent from a person -- from the standpoint of a person of ordinary skill in the art as well as the file history.
- So I certainly considered everything in the patent.
- Q. Okay. So in your last deposition when you said "I was not asked to consider an entire claim element and perform an invalidity analysis on a claim as a whole," what did you mean by that?
- A. I meant that I was not -- so if "consider" to you, or to a lawyer, means did I read it and did I take it into account, certainly I considered the entire patent and its file history.

But what I was trying to express here is that I did not take an entire claim and map element to element to prior art to -- to say that that claim is therefore invalid due to the prior art.

So that was not an analysis in my original declaration.

Q. When you rendered the opinions in your reply declaration, did you take any entire claim element and map that element to the prior art?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

|--|

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

- A. Sorry, could you ask that one more time?
 BY MR. BOWLING:
 - O. Sure.

When you rendered the opinions in your reply declaration, at any point, did you take an entire claim element and map that element to the prior art?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Same objection.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I don't believe, in my reply declaration, do
I ever write out an entire claim element and then,
you know, account for every term in that claim
element in the prior art.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So you didn't write it in your declaration.

Did you perform that analysis when you were rendering the opinions that are in your declaration?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I didn't explicitly perform that analysis, but obviously, there are a lot of things that I -- that went into writing my reply declaration that may not have appeared in it.

_			
7		MR.	BOWLING
_	DI	MIK.	DULLING

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. What do you mean "explicitly performed that analysis"?
- A. I don't think I ever wrote down an entire claim element and then, as I said before, map prior art to each term in that claim element.
- Q. Did you conduct that analysis without writing it down in some way?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

- A. Well, I certainly considered the entire patent when I was writing the -- the -- my declaration, and so I may have done some analysis that does not appear in my declaration, but -- BY MR. BOWLING:
- Q. But nothing you can think of today?

 MR. MCCLELLEN: Hang on. Please let him finish his answer.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. Oh, I'm sorry, were you not finished?
- A. Not quite.
- So I guess some, not everything that I ever thought about in writing my declaration made it into the final words of the declaration. So...

- Q. Okay. Do you have any opinions about the mapping of claim elements of the '256 patent to the prior art that aren't contained in your declaration?
- A. So in my declaration, I was asked to apply Nike's constructions of certain terms in my analysis, and that's what I did.

But I am not offering any opinions as to whether I agree or disagree with Nike's constructions.

O. Do you?

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. I'm not prepared to say anything about that. It's not part of my declaration.
- Q. So you don't have any opinions about it as you sit here today?
- A. Again, my analysis was to apply Nike's constructions.
 - Q. I understand that.

I'm asking you if, as you sit here today, you agree with Nike's proposed constructions, disagree with Nike's proposed constructions, or have no opinion about them?

A. So I neither agree nor disagree with their proposed constructions, but in my initial deposition, I mentioned some -- what a POSITA would understand

some of the terms to mean, which are generally in alignment with some of the things that Nike's proposed.

But my analysis was purely using Nike's constructions.

- Q. Okay. When you say that, in your opinion, your understanding of how a skilled artisan would understand the terms is "generally in alignment with some of the things Nike proposed," can you give me some examples?
- A. Well, for example, in my opening declaration, I mentioned that the word "simultaneously" is -- could be ambiguous in claim element 1G. So "simultaneously" could refer to generating and communicating happening at the same time, or could refer to communication to the first user and to the second user at the same time.

And so in my prior analysis, in the first declaration, in my first deposition, I -- I was -- I acknowledged that possible ambiguity, and then in Nike's construction, they removed that ambiguity.

And so my analysis in my reply declaration is using their construction.

Q. And that's for the word "simultaneously"?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

1			
	L		

2.

- Α. Yes.
- 3 4
- 6
- 8
- 9
- 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 17
- 18
- 2.1
- 2.2 23
- 24

- So when you say you're using Nike's Ο.
- construction in your reply declaration and that it's
- "simultaneously" being ambiguous, which claim element
- are you referring to?
 - MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

- Α. So I'm looking at claim element 1G or 11E,
- which Nike provided construction for that element. 10

consistent with your understanding of

- BY MR. BOWLING:
- Okay. What other constructions proposed by Ο. Nike are generally in alignment with your
- understanding of the term?
 - So again, I'm not offering an opinion on
- whether I agree or disagree with Nike's 16
- constructions, I'm just applying them in my analysis.
- And I understand that's what you did in your
- 19 declaration, but you just told us that some of Nike's
- 2.0 proposed constructions are generally in alignment
 - with how you think a skilled artisan would view them,
 - right?
 - So again, in my opening declaration, I did
- talk about what it means to be continuous, and I did

talk about what it means to be realtime, and so those
were those were what a person of ordinary skill in
the art reading the patent and its file history would
understand those terms to be, and then in my reply
declaration, I applied Nike's constructions.

Q. So my question was a little different.

You told us just a moment ago that some of Nike's proposed constructions are generally in alignment with how you think a skilled artisan would view certain terms.

I'm asking you which proposed constructions you're referring to?

- A. So again, in my opening deposition, I discussed what "continuously generate" would mean to a person of ordinary skill in the art, and what "realtime" would mean, and I also -- I already told you about "simultaneously."
- Q. Okay. So if I understand you right, you're saying that Nike's proposed construction for "continuously generate" and "realtime" are generally in alignment with how you think a skilled artisan would view those terms?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

24

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

BY THE WITNESS:

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. What I said in my opening deposition and what Nike said in their Patent Owner's Response are not exactly the same, and so then I applied their construction in my analysis.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So Nike's proposed construction and your view are not exactly the same, but are they generally in alignment, in your words?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form, asked and answered.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So applying Nike's new constructions of those terms, nothing would have changed from my original declaration.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So would you say that Nike's proposed construction for those terms and your understanding of how a skilled artisan would view those terms are generally in alignment?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. They share features, but I am not opining whether I agree with Nike's constructions or

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

1	disagree

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

Q. Well, earlier you told us that some of Nike's constructions were generally in alignment with your view.

Which terms were you referring to when you said that?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form, asked and answered.

BY THE WITNESS:

- A. So the three terms that I point out that
 Nike has provided a construction for are
 "continuously" and "simultaneously" and "realtime."
 BY MR. BOWLING:
- Q. And those three you think are all generally in alignment, as you said, with how a skilled artisan would view those terms?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Same objection.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. They're not exactly the way that I would view them from looking at my -- from my original declaration, so I'm neither agreeing nor disagreeing with this construction, but it is what I apply consistently in my declaration, my reply declaration.

BY MR. BOWLING:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. Okay. I don't think you're answering my question exactly.

So earlier, you testified just a couple minutes ago, that certain of Nike's constructions were "generally in alignment" with how you think a skilled artisan would view those terms.

I'm asking you to identify which proposed constructions you're referring to.

MR. MCCLELLEN: Same objections.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So Nike has, in its Patent Owner Response construed "continuously" to mean without interruption, "simultaneously" to mean at the same time, and "realtime" to mean without perceived delay.

So those are the terms that I'm referring to and that I applied Nike's constructions for throughout my reply declaration.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. Okay. We're going to go back to your deposition transcript, Lynch Exhibit 6.

Let's turn to page 26, please.

- A. Yes.
- Q. You'll see on line 20, there is a question:

	5
1	"So in forming your opinions in your
2	declaration, you didn't consider the claims in their
3	entirety?
4	"Answer: In my declaration, I was not asked
5	to go element by element through the claims, but
6	rather provide my opinions on certain aspects of the
7	technologies in this, as well as other references."
8	Do you see that?
9	A. I do.
10	Q. In your reply declaration, were you asked to
11	go element by element through the claims?
12	MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, privilege, work
13	product.
14	Dr. Lynch, I'm going to caution you not to
15	discuss conversations you've had between myself and
16	Mehran regarding your reply declaration.
17	You can answer to the extent you discussed
18	the subject matter in your reply declaration.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	BY THE WITNESS:
21	A. So I've forgotten the question.
22	If you can reword it so he doesn't object,
23	that would be great.

Veritext Legal Solutions

DV	MR.	BOWLING
DI	1v1r. •	DOMPTNA

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

Q. Okay. So in your last deposition, when you were talking about your original declaration, you said: "I was not asked to go element by element through the claims but rather provide my opinions on certain aspects of the technologies."

Correct?

- A. Yes, I did say that.
- Q. Is that the same analysis you performed when you rendered your opinions in your reply declaration?
- A. So my reply declaration, the analysis is based on Nike's construction.

What I did not do was write out complete claim elements and map term to term to prior art in my reply declaration.

Q. So you didn't write that in your declaration.

Did you perform that analysis?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. As I mentioned earlier, you know, I spent a lot of time with this material, so I never wrote down an analysis like that.

- Q. So you don't know if you performed that analysis?
- 4 MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

5 BY THE WITNESS:

6

7

8

9

12

13

15

16

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. I was more focused on specific claim terms.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. Okay. So the answer is no, you did not perform that analysis?
- MR. MCCLELLEN: Same objection.

11 BY THE WITNESS:

A. I may have, but it was not -- not an explicit goal of my work.

14 BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So you don't remember if you did or not?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Same objection.

17 BY THE WITNESS:

A. I'll just say I may have, but it was not the explicit goal of my work.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So as you are sitting here today, do you remember, in the course of providing your opinions in your reply declaration, if, at any time, you went element by element through the claims to determine if

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

1	thoge	elements	MAYA	nregent	in	th_	nrior	art?
ᅟᅵ	LIIOSE	erements	were	present	TII	LIIE	br TOT	art:

- A. I -- I don't recall doing that element by element, each term and each element.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to have you now turn to page 77 of the transcript, Lynch Exhibit 6.
 - A. Yes.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. Actually, let's go to the next page, page 78.

Right at the top, you'll see you testified:

"My analysis was not to try to limit or to

understand the full scope of any element or claim in

the '256 patent."

Do you see that?

A. I do see that.

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. Was your analysis in your reply declaration any different?
- A. In both the original declaration and the reply declaration, I considered the full context of the patent from a -- the standpoint of a person of ordinary skill in the art.
- Q. So when you said here "My analysis was not to try to limit or to understand the full scope of

1	any e	element,	11	what	were	you	referring	to?
---	-------	----------	----	------	------	-----	-----------	-----

- A. I believe what I was trying to say there is that I did not perform a term for term invalidity analysis, but certainly I understood all of the -- all of the text of the patent.
- Q. And so was that the case in your reply declaration as well?
- A. In my reply declaration, I never go term by term through claim elements mapping on to prior art.

I focused on certain claim terms, particularly the ones that were construed by Nike, but in the context of the entire patent.

- Q. Dr. Lynch, what's your understanding of the word "continuously" in the context of the '256 patent?
- A. So again, in my reply declaration, I applied Nike's construction, which is without interruption.
- Q. Do you have any understanding of what the word "continuously" means in the context of the '256 patent?
- A. Independent of my analysis? My declaration is all in the context of "continuously" being -- meaning without interruption.
 - Q. Okay. So aside from Nike's proposed

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

construction for the word "continuously," do	you have
any independent opinion about what a skilled	artisan
would think the word "continuously" means in	the '256
patent?	

- A. So in my opening declaration, where I was understanding all of the terms from the standpoint of a person of ordinary skill in the art who had read the patent, as I discussed in my opening deposition, a person of ordinary skill would understand "continuously" to mean recurring, or recurrent.
 - Q. Recurring how often?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, foundation.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. In my reply declaration, I'm using this "without interruption" version.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. Okay. So a moment ago when you said a skilled artisan would understand "continuously" to mean recurring, at what rate does something need to recur in order for it to be continuous, as that word is used in the '256 patent?
- A. So as -- I'm going to read from my reply declaration, paragraph 10.

"I note that an interface generated

continuously, or without interruption, or without perceived interruption, per Nike, may nonetheless remain unchanged for substantial periods of time.

"As an example, if an interface displays the distance run by a runner in 0.1-mile increments, the display would remain unchanged for 36 seconds if the runner runs at a six-minute mile pace. For this example, the appearance of the interface is identical, whether it is generated and communicated at a high constant frequency, or whether it is updated only when the runner has traveled 0.1 miles since the last update.

"In either case, there would be no interruption, and no interruption would be perceived by a user."

So "without interruption" can be implemented by a high frequency constant rate interface, or it could be implemented by an interface that only updates when there's something to change on the interface, and in both cases, there would be no perceived interruption.

And in my opening deposition, I gave an example of a sensor on a door that determines whether it's opened or closed, and an interface that's

Veritext Legal Solutions

2.

2.1

displaying whether the door is open or closed, that interface could change only when the sensor determines the door has closed or opened, or it could be updating, say, every ten milliseconds with the current status of the door.

And both of those, as long as nothing was broken, would be perceived as without interruption. One is a fixed rate, and the generalization of that is called an event-based system where you update based on events. So it's a generalization because the event could be that ten milliseconds has gone past so you send an update even if nothing has changed, or the event could be that something has changed according to a sensor so you send a new interface.

As another example, if the interface is displaying no winner or winner, saying that a competition has ended, that interface can be updated either when a winner occurs, when that event is sensed, and so the interface would be updated upon that event, or it could be updated by sending every, ten milliseconds, a version of the interface saying no winner, no winner, no winner, and then finally at one ten-millisecond sample when it sees there is a

Veritext Legal Solutions

2.

2.1

2.2

1	winner,	sending	а	new	version	οf	the	interface.
---	---------	---------	---	-----	---------	----	-----	------------

So both of those -- all of these examples are examples of interfaces that are generated without interruption.

- Q. So in those two examples that you gave, the door opening and the winner/no winner interface --
- A. And the six-minute mile example, or -- I'm sorry, you're just asking about those two. I apologize.
 - Q. No, that's okay.

Let's just pick one of them. Let's start with the door opening sensor, okay?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. In the door opening example that you just gave, if I'm looking at that interface and I observe the kind of updates that you described, right? The door opens and the interface changes to show that it opened, is there any way for me to know whether that interface is being updated every ten milliseconds or whether it's an event-based update?

BY THE WITNESS:

A. To the user, they would appear identical.

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

24

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

1	BY	MR.	BOWLING

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

- Q. How would I figure out which of those two interface updating systems is being used?
- A. I don't know, crack into the hardware of the program.
- Q. So in the examples that you gave us about the door opening, you talked about the interface being updated, either based on events or every ten milliseconds, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Is there a difference between the interface being updated and the interface being generated?
- A. So in the example I gave, I was referring to something that a person would -- would look at, so that would be something that's displayed.

So it would have to be generated and communicated and displayed for a user to experience it. So it implies generation.

- Q. In the door opening interface example that you gave us, is there a difference between that interface being generated and that interface being updated?
 - MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

A. Generated is necessary for visualization, so as I said before, the interface would have to be generated, communicated, and displayed for a user to experience it.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- O. So I'm not sure I understand your answer.
- A. Okay, so I can change my answer -- I can say instead, instead of saying "updated," I can say "generated."

The interface would have to be generated when the door sensor senses the door has closed, or the interface would have to be generated every ten milliseconds in that example.

Q. Okay. So when you used the word "update" when we were talking about those examples, we would plug in "generate" and it would mean the same thing?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I was -- earlier I was talking about the user's experience of it, which implies generation, communication, and display. But we can just focus on the generation part.

1	BY	MR.	BOWLING

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay.
- A. Which has to occur.
- Q. Okay. So let's go back to the door example, just to make sure I understand you.

We're talking about an interface that shows whether the door is either open or closed, right?

- A. Right.
- Q. And if I understand your testimony right, you explained that that interface could be generated in at least two ways: One based on an event, for example when the door is opened or closed, or two, it could be generated every ten milliseconds; is that right?
- A. Which is also an event. So every ten milliseconds is the event of time passing.
- Q. Would a skilled artisan understand both of those methods of generating the interface to be continuous?
- A. A skilled artisan would understand both of those interfaces to be without interruption, which is the construction from Nike that I am applying.
- Q. Okay. Let's use the understanding of "continuously" that you previously had, setting aside

1	Nike's	construction.
ㅗ	MTVC 9	COMBCT GCCTOM.

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

Sound good? You have to say yes or no, just so she --

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Would a skilled artisan understand both of the interfaces we discussed for the door opening interface to be continuous, both the ten-millisecond generation and the event-based generation?
 - A. Yes.

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

Sorry, go ahead, Dr. Lynch.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Yes.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. Okay. So in that hypothetical, if the door was never opened for two years and the interface was programmed to only generate when the door was opened, in your opinion, that would be a continuously generated interface?
- MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

22 BY THE WITNESS:

A. Without interruption, which is the construction that I applied, because there is no

interruption. You're seeing the same -- the right interface at all times.

BY MR. BOWLING:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. And what about applying the understanding of "continuously" that you previously had?

Would a door opening every two years and an interface that was generated once only every two years be continuously generated?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Yes, as I mentioned before, "continuous" means, in some ways, recurring, and when it recurs, it has to be something appropriate for the task.

And so a person of ordinary skill would understand that, instead of wasting bandwidth sending a new set of data that's unchanged from the previous set of data every ten milliseconds, another way to do this is to only send new data when there's something new to be reported.

And neither of those would be without -neither of those would be subject to an interruption,
unless, for the door sensor case, it fails to notice
the door opening or closing, and then that would be
an interruption.

1	Q. Okay. Is there any limit to the amount of
2	time that could pass in that scenario that would make
3	the generation of the interface not continuous?
4	MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
5	BY THE WITNESS:
6	A. I for the door example?
7	BY MR. BOWLING:
8	Q. Yes.
9	A. No, there would be no limit.
10	Q. Okay.
11	MR. BOWLING: Let's take a quick break.
12	Five, ten minutes, whatever you all prefer.
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the
14	record at 11:17 a.m.
15	(A short break was taken.)
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of
17	Media 3.
18	We are going on the record at 11:29 a.m.
19	BY MR. BOWLING:
20	Q. Okay, just before the break, Dr. Lynch, we
21	were talking about an event-based interface
22	generation, right?
23	A. Right.

And the example we were talking about was

Q.

- interface that was generated when a door oscillated between open and closed, right?
 - A. Right, the interface being generated when there's something new to display.
 - Q. In that example, would you view the interface as providing a constant update on the status of the door if --

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection.

BY MR. BOWLING:

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. -- for example, the door was only open once every five years?
 - MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

BY MR. BOWLING:

A. The interface would constantly be showing me or displaying or communicating the state of the door.

Same objection.

Q. But would that be a constant update?

MR. MCCLELLEN:

19 BY THE WITNESS:

A. So again, it would not be -- would not be with interruption, there would be no interruption, right? If the sensor is working and the system is working, there would be no interruption to the interface.

BY MR. BOWLING:

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. And so in your opinion, that interface, with the door being open once every five years, for example, would be providing constant updates about the status of the door?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So to my -- in my initial declaration and the deposition afterwards, I was asked how I interpreted "continuously," and I said it was recurrent on a schedule that's appropriate for the task, and that may be determined by an event, such as a door sensor or an event such as time passing.

In my analysis in the -- my reply declaration, I'm using the construction without interruption, and that does not change my opinions from my initial declaration or deposition, and I would view the -- the interface that changes when there's something new to be reported as without interruption.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. Would you view that system as providing constant updates?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. Constant updates. So that is not a term I spent a lot of time with, but in terms of the user's experience, it would be no different -- it would be -- it would be a constant update.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. What about from the perspective of the -the program, not from the user's perspective? Would
that be giving constant updates?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Again, this is not a term I spent a lot of time with; I spent time with "without interruption" so I haven't provided, nor am I providing now a construction of what "constant" means in the context of the '256 patent.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, you are not able to provide any opinion about whether the event-based interface generation we've been discussing would be providing constant updates?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So the implementation of the -- the

event-based system could be in different ways, and at least some of them would satisfy any definition of "constant."

But again, "constant" is not a term that I spent a lot of time with.

- Q. Okay.
- A. So I also think we have to keep in mind that computer systems are operating in discrete time, so...
 - Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. Just that computer systems have clock cycles.
- Q. Okay. And how is that relevant to the answer you just gave?
- A. Well, one would have to spend time with the term "constant" to see how it makes sense here, but I spent my time with the "without interruption."

So as an example, an event-based system could only be providing a new interface when something has changed, or it could be continuing to provide that until it sees something that has changed. I mean, it could be providing it over and over again, so...

Q. Would providing constant updates require

24

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

	Page 68
1	updates to be provided at some time interval?
2	MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
3	BY THE WITNESS:
4	A. No.
5	BY MR. BOWLING:
6	Q. What's another way that a system could
7	provide constant updates?
8	A. For instance, updating when there's
9	something to be updated.
10	Q. That would be a constant update, in your
11	opinion?
12	A. Yes. There's nothing to update, then don't
13	update until there's something new to say.

- Okay. All right, Dr. Lynch, I'm going to Ο. ask you to turn to paragraph 19 of your declaration, please.
- 17 Reply declaration? Α.
 - Ο. Yes. Thank you.
 - Α. Yes.
 - Okay. And you explain here in paragraph 19 Q. that Tagliabue's athletic data display device, 601, would be viewed by a skilled artisan as providing a continuously generated interface; is that right?
 - Α. That's right.

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- 1
- Ο. And why is that?
- 2. 3
- take a while. If you want me to do that, I can.

And I would read that section, which would

There's a lot here. 4

Would you like me to?

- 6
- 0. Yes, please.
- Α. Okay. Paragraph 19: A POSITA would have

line 60 to 65.

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 2.1
- 22
- 23
- 24

understood Tagliabue's statement that the athletic data display configuration display device, 601, may configure and provide a user interface showing each participant's progress toward the goal of the challenge to refer to a continuously generated interface, Exhibit 1004, Tagliabue, column 22,

A POSITA reading Tagliabue would have understood that such an interface would be generated without interruption, because the alternative would not provide updates regarding the status of a participant relative to other participants as Tagliabue discloses, and I'm going to leave out the exhibit.

Is that okay? Do I need to read that?

Sure. So let's -- let me ask you about that last sentence you just read.

1		
Ц	L	

A. Okay.

3

2.

4

5

6 7

9

1011

12 13

1415

16

18

19

17

20

2122

23

24

Q. Why is it that an interface that's generated with interruption would not provide updates regarding the status of a participant relative to other participants?

- A. Well, the purpose of an interface to show you the status of the participants in the race is to be correct and reflecting current or nearly current status as opposed to something else; otherwise, it would fail in its purpose to show each participant's status relative to each other.
- Q. Okay. So if I understand you right, you're saying that it wouldn't be perfectly accurate in reflecting each participant's relative status if there was some sort of interruption; is that right?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I'm not going to use the word "perfect," but if the interface were not generally accurate as to the current status of the race, then it would fail in its function.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So in order for the interface to accurately provide updates about each participant's relative

L	status,	how	frequently	does	that	interface	need	to	be
2	generate	ed?							

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form, foundation.
BY THE WITNESS:

A. As I mentioned before, it does not need to be at a constant frequency. It could be based on events, for example.

BY MR. BOWLING:

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. So let's say --
- A. So it's not a constant rate necessarily.

 One could try it that way, but one does not need to, and there's nothing in the '256 patent that teaches that.
- Q. So let's say that the interface is generated every five miles. Would that be generated without interruption, in your view?
- A. So I would point to Figure 17 of the '256 patent, which gets to your question, which is cited on page 14 of my reply declaration, and one version of this interface that's illustrated here, interface 1700, is to just display to the user that they are 12 cardio miles behind the leader in your challenge, so a user would expect that to update when they're 13 behind or 11 behind.

So that would be continuously generated for
the task, which is to display the whole number of
miles you are ahead or behind of behind the
leader.

Q. So my question was a little bit different.

Let's say the interface is updated every
five miles instead of every 0.1 mile.

Would that be continuously generated?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

A. So again, the construction is without interruption, and if the display is in minimal units of five miles, then that would be without interruption and continuously generated, per Nike's constructions.

So in Figure 17 on page 14, the units are individual miles. You're proposing a hypothetical where the smallest unit is five miles, and my example earlier proposed an example where the smallest unit was tenths of a mile.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. What if the interface updated at the end of every day and showed the participants' relative status at that point? Would that be continuously

generated?

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

A. It would depend on the interface and what it's task is.

So again, going back to my earlier deposition, "continuously" means recurrent, and in the context of my analysis here, it means without interruption, and in both cases, for either of those, if the goal of the interface is to update once a day, then that could be not interrupted if it happens once a day, or it could be recurring once a day.

So by any definition that's supported by the '256 patent that I'm aware of, but specifically without interruption, which Nike is proposing, it's a possibility that updating once a day would be without interruption.

That would not be an appropriate interface for a short duration race, for example.

Q. So you testified earlier that the way that you know that Tagliabue is generated without interruption is because that's the only way to accurately show the relative status of each participant, right?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. So again, Tagliabue teaches multiple things.

In the context of the discussion here, we're talking about the relative status of a participant relative to another participants. But another interface could be a no winner or winner interface, a binary interface.

Here, the focus is more on the current status relative to other participants, not a binary interface.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. So let's look at the image that's below paragraph 20 in your declaration. That comes from Tagliabue Figure 12, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So how do you know that that figure is continuously generated or generated without interruption?
- A. So this figure in this case is a bar graph indicating a user's progress in some race or competition, and so this bar graph is intended to apprise the user of their current status, and so if it's showing -- if the race is ongoing, for example if it's a one-hour race or a ten-minute race, and the

race is ongoing, and it's showing me where I was, you know, five minutes ago, then it would not be accomplishing its function.

Q. Why not?

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A. Because I would have no interest in -obviously it's trying to, you know, give me some
 sense of my current status in the race, so if it's
 giving me old information in some short time scale
 competition, it would not be useful and I would have
 no interest in it.
- Q. So if I understand you right, your opinion is that if this interface updated every time you crossed a 5 percent threshold, that wouldn't be useful?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. That is not what I'm saying.

If this interface is designed to provide that information to the user at every 5 percent interval, maybe the pixels of this bar chart are 5 percent wide, then I would perceive that as without interruption.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. But how do you know this bar chart just

isn't updated every 20 minutes?

- A. Again, the bar chart's purpose is to show me my current status, so as I testified in my original deposition, if the race were years long and it updated every 20 minutes, that might be acceptable and that might be perceived as without interruption. But if the race is 40 minutes, and it updates every 20 minutes, this would be a useless interface.
 - Q. You're familiar with the Tour de France?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. You know how there's different stages of the race?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. How do you know this bar chart isn't showing the point at which you cross a certain stage of a race, as opposed to updating every minute?
- A. I think both would satisfy the definition of "without interruption."
- Q. So if this is updating at every stage of the race, at any given time, it's not going to accurately depict exactly what percentage of the race you've finished, right?
 - A. I'm sorry, can you ask the question again?
 - Q. Sure.

So let's say I'm in between stages. Let's say stage 4 is at 40 percent and stage 5 is 50 percent, and I'm in between stages 4 and 5.

If the bar chart only updates when I get to stage 5 and I'm at 50 percent, then when I'm halfway in between the stages, I'm at 45 percent, the bar chart is not going to be accurately depicting my relative progress as compared with other competitors, right?

A. So again, interfaces can be designed with different purposes displaying different information.

I gave an example of one showing every tenth of a mile. Figure 17 from the '256 patent gives one where the interface is displaying every mile.

Figure 36 from the '256 patent is showing bar charts of people competing against each other where those bar charts look very similar to the Figure 12 from Tagliabue displaying their current status relative to each other.

So there are different kinds of interfaces. There's the yes/no interface that we talked about earlier, winner/no winner interface. Different kinds of interfaces. If the interface is operating the way it's supposed to, conveying the information that the

2.0

2.1

2.2

user expects to be conveyed, then that would be without interruption, whether it's every tenth of a mile, every five miles, every one mile, when somebody wins the race, and no changes before that, all of those would be out interruption.

- Q. So there are some interfaces that aren't going to accurately depict a user's relative progress at a certain point in time, because those interfaces are designed to only show certain increments of progress, right?
- A. Well, they will be accurate up to the purpose of the interface, if they're working properly, if they are being generated without interruption.

So I can give you a display that's a thousand pixels wide or ten pixels wide, and obviously the ten pixels wide display is going to be more limited as to what it could convey to the user than the thousand pixel wide display. But if the interface is designed to perform a certain function and it's performing that function properly without interruption, they can still satisfy the -- the limitation of being without interruption.

Q. So if I understand you right, your testimony

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

	is that a	any	interfac	ce t	that's	performing	, as it's
	designed	to	perform	is	being	generated	without
interruption?							

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. So whether it's the tenth of a mile or the whole mile or winner/no winner interface, if they are performing the way that they're designed to, providing the information that they are expected to provide accurately, then all of those are continuously generated by the "without interruption" construction.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So in your opinion, any interface that's functioning properly is being generated without interruption; it's being continuously generated, is that right?

A. I --

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I'm not going to say any interface, I'm going to restrict to the examples I gave, which I think are representative of things in the '256 patent.

BY MR. BOWLING:

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. What's an example of an interface that's working properly and is not being continuously generated?
- A. I could imagine an interface that displays buttons that I push, and it never changes its display, but the keystrokes that I apply are recorded.

I haven't thought about this question, so...

Q. So you said that this hypothetical interface would display the buttons you push, but it would never change its display. Help me understand that.

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So again, this is not part of my declaration, but sitting here now, this is possibly one example. A display of a keyboard, you type on the keys, and the information is stored in the computer, and the keyboard display never changes. That would be functioning.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. So how is that interface being continuously generated?
 - A. I thought you asked what's an example of an

interface	that's	not	being	conti	lnu	ously	y generated	but
is functi	oning,	and	that's	what	I	was a	answering.	

- Q. Okay. So in this hypothetical, the interface has no connection to the keyboard?
 - A. I don't understand what that question means.
- Q. So I asked you for an example of an interface that is working properly and is not continuously generated. Right?
- A. So an example -- another example would be if it's broken, if it's -- so -- right?

So if the door opening and closing example, if the sensor stops working or a line is cut, then I would continue to see a display that would no longer be accurate.

Q. Right. So my question was for an example of an interface that's working properly and is not being continuously generated.

Can you give me an example of that?

- A. I just gave you one. You want another one?
- Q. Yeah, I'm not -- I'm sure I followed the keyboard example.
 - A. Have you ever typed on your phone?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Okay. So it can display a keyboard for you

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

and it can register key presses, and that information can be stored in your phone. So that's -- I'm not talking about your phone in particular, but an interface like that, where it's just showing a keyboard and you are typing on it could be an example.

- Q. And so your position is that that iPhone screen is not being continuously generated?
- A. No, I'm not talking about iPhones. I was giving you an example so you could think about what an interface is with keys on it.

But forget about iPhones. I can design a tablet, put a keyboard on there where you press it and it records the keys that you press, but the image on the screen never changes.

So the interfaces that we're talking about here, though, in the '256 patent and Tagliabue are all collecting data from the real world and then displaying that data in a way that's useful for the user.

- Q. Okay. So let's focus on interfaces that are collecting data from the real world and displaying that data in a way that's useful to the user. Okay?
 - A. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. Within that universe of interfaces, can you give me an example of any interface that's working properly and is not being continuously generated?
- A. With the definition meaning without interruption for continuously generated.
 - O. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. Well, if the purpose of the interface is to show, in some form, the sensor data being collected in the real world, and if it's working properly, then I would expect all such interfaces to be without interruption, and if they are interrupted, then there's a problem.

But again, I -- you know, sitting here, I can't think of a counter example, but that doesn't mean that I can confidently say that all of them would have this property.

Q. Okay. So your testimony is that any interface that serves the purpose of -- of showing data to a user, if it's working properly, must be a continuously generated interface?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So first of all I'm not going to say "any interface" because that would be an absolute.

As I sit here, the ones I can think of would
have the property that, if the interface is designed
to provide certain feedback to the user based on data
that's being collected in the world, and the user has
and expectation of how that interface works and the
interface is satisfying that expectation, whether
it's displaying every 0.1 miles or every one mile or
the winner or the no winner, then I would say that
interface is being generated without interruption,
it's providing me accurate if it's working.
BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. Would simultaneously communicated be the same thing?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

A. I don't understand the question.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. So is every interface that is providing data to a user that serves the purpose of showing that data to the user, is it necessarily simultaneously communicated?
- MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

23 BY THE WITNESS:

A. No. I mean, "simultaneously communicated"

	Page 85
1	is a different term.
2	BY MR. BOWLING:
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. Which Nike has construed to mean at the same
5	time, communicated at the same time.
6	So that's a that's a completely different
7	topic.
8	Q. Okay. Why do you think the inventors in the
9	'256 patent included the term "continuously
10	generated" into the claim term if you are correct
11	that every interface that you can think of as you sit
12	here today whose purpose is to display data is
13	necessarily continuously generated?
14	MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
15	BY THE WITNESS:
16	A. I won't speculate on what the inventors were
17	thinking.
18	BY MR. BOWLING:
19	Q. In your view, does "continuously generate"
20	add anything to the type of interface that's being
21	described here?

Well, as I said in my opening deposition, I Α.

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

21

22

23

L	think	it i	mplies	recurrence,	and	as	Nike	says	here
2	it im	plies	withou	ıt interrupti	ion.				

BY MR. BOWLING:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. But if I understand you right, you're saying as far as you can think as you sit here today, every interface that displays data and is working properly is continuously generated.

So what does recurrence have to do with that?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Recurrence means that it's the -- the interface is updated, that there's -- there can be a change to it. It doesn't have to be a change if there's nothing new to report.

So that's why recurrence on a schedule that makes sense for the application is the -- is one way to look at it, as a POSITA would look at it, and then in my rely declaration, I'm using "without interruption."

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So if I said an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met, is that the same as a continuously generated interface indicating whether

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

$1 \mid$ the challenge has been met	the cha	allenge	has	been	met
-------------------------------------	---------	---------	-----	------	-----

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. So looking at element 1G here, it's referring throughout this element and interface indicating whether the challenge has been met.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. That wasn't my question, so I'll try and rephrase it.

What's the difference between an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met and a continuously generated interface indicating whether the challenge has been met?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Same objection.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Independent of 1G, or -- I don't understand.

So 1G is referring to an interface that's being continuously generated indicating whether the challenge has been met.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. Would a skilled artisan understand there to be any difference between an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met and a continuously generated interface indicating whether the challenge

Weritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So the -- sorry, the interface is a binary interface? Is that -- what are we assuming about the interface here, anything?

I mean, I recognize that Nike has said that the interface could be a binary interface, or it could be something else.

If I'm incorrect in that -- I think that's what Nike --

- Q. Does that change your answer?
- A. No.

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. Okay, then please answer the question.
- A. Okay, what's the question? Please ask it one more time.
- Q. Would a skilled artisan understand there to be any difference between, on the one hand, an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met, and on the other hand, a continuously generated interface indicating whether the challenge has been met?

1 MR. MCCLELLEN: Same objection.

BY THE WITNESS:

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. So an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met, if it is sent at the -- well, so again, without interruption is the way to read continuously generate.

So without interruption, this interface is

-- is generated as to the claim element, because it
has to be generated without interruption. So I can't
have a broken sensor on my door, otherwise that would
be interrupted.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So in your view, the only difference between continuously generated interface in the context of this claim element and any other interface is that it's not broken?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So again, as far as I know -- well, I do know Nike has defined interface to be either a yes/no interface, or an interface that is showing the status during a realtime event, during a race, for example, and so both of those are being included in this interface.

And so in one case, if it's a binary interface, then, again, "without interruption" means that the interface displaying the winner is provided without interruption, and if it's sort of a bar chart of relative status in a race, then that would be -- that would be updated at a rate that's -- or not on a rate, on a schedule that is relevant for that interface.

BY MR. BOWLING:

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. Okay, you're not answering my question, Dr. Lynch.

If we removed "continuously generated" from claim element 1G, how would a skilled artisan view the interface indicating whether the challenge has been met as being different from a continuously generated interface indicating whether the challenge has been met?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Because the interface might have been generated with interruption, so by adding "without interruption" that the interface has generated, you're taking away that possibility for meeting the claim element.

	BY	MR.	BOWLING:
--	----	-----	----------

1

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. And in practical terms, what would that look like?
- 4 MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

5 BY THE WITNESS:

- A. I'm sorry, I don't understand the question.

 In practical terms what would what look like?
- 8 BY MR. BOWLING:
 - Q. I'm trying to understand what an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met would look like if there was an interruption.
 - All you've been able to tell me so far is that it would be broken, is this right?
- MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

15 BY THE WITNESS:

- A. That's one example.
- 17 BY MR. BOWLING:
 - Q. What are other examples?
 - A. Well, anything that could cause an interruption. So your system may not be broken, but maybe the internet is down, for example.
 - I think there's a number of things that could cause an interruption, and this is saying that the interface has to generate without interruption.

1	Q. So aside from some sort of error in the
2	system or in the internet, does the presence of the
3	term "continuously generate" require anything else ir
4	the context of limitation 1G?
5	MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.
6	BY THE WITNESS:
7	A. So "continuously generate" means without
8	interruption.
9	BY MR. BOWLING:
LO	Q. Right.
L1	A. And then there's other terms in that claim
L2	element to give you more features of the interface.
L3	But "continuously generate" just means
L4	without interruption, the interface is generated.
L5	MR. MCCLELLEN: So, Aaron, we've been going
L6	for a while, and maybe it's near lunchtime.
L7	Do you want to take a break soon?
L8	MR. BOWLING: I think we have lunch coming
L9	in ten minutes. Do you want to just go until then?
20	MR. MCCLELLEN: Okay.
21	BY MR. BOWLING:
22	Q. Okay. So using the interface described in

been met, can you provide me with an example of an

1G, an interface indicating whether the challenge has

23

interface that's not continuously generated, but that
is working properly, meaning that there's no internet
down, there's no problems with the network, et
cetera?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form, asked and answered.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. I did give an answer earlier. There could be others. Maybe the interface tells me about the race that I'm competing in but never changes.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- O. How would it do that?
- A. It says you're competing in a mountain race and you're going up the Alps and the Alps have an elevation of this.

I don't know. That would be an interface because I'm looking at it. It's interfacing with me.

- Q. Would that interface tell you whether the challenge has been met?
 - A. That one would not.
 - Q. Okay. So again --
- A. And also my keyboard one has nothing to do with a challenge being met.
 - Q. Right, exactly.

- A. But those are interfaces that are working that are not -- that are not changing.
 - Q. Okay. I agree with you there.

As you sit here today, are you able to provide us with any examples of an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met, as described in claim limitation 1G, that is not continuously generated but that is working properly?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form, asked and answered.

Aaron, I'm giving you a lot of latitude here, but this line of questioning is getting close to badgering.

It's also outside the scope of his declaration.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. Yeah, so this is not something I opined on in my declaration, so I'm sitting here coming up with examples, perhaps, but I think continuously generate here serves a function in the claim element because it's telling us without interruption.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. You didn't answer my question and you haven't answered my question.

- A. I've tried to answer your question.
- Q. So I'll ask it again.

As you sit here today, Dr. Lynch, are you able to provide us with any example of an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met as described in claim limitation 1G that is not continuously generated but that is working properly?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form, asked and answered, badgering, scope.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. So for each example I've given you, you've added another -- another restriction to my example so that my example no longer works for your question, and you know, sitting here, I'm not sure I have an opinion on that, since it's not something I spent any time thinking about.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So you can't think of any?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Same objections.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Well, I have given you a couple, and then you keep changing the question.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. Right. It's a different question. I agree

with you there.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

And I'm asking you, not what I've asked you before or not for examples that don't fit within my question. I'm asking you a new question, as you pointed out, about the specific interface that's described in claim limitation 1G, okay?

As you sit here today, Dr. Lynch, are you able to provide us with any example of an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met as described in claim limitation 1G that is not continuously generated but that is working properly?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Same objections.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So "continuously generate" is telling us a feature, or in this case "without interruption" is telling us a feature that an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met has to have.

So -- so that -- you know, the interface indicating whether the challenge has been met includes "continuously generate" in its definition.

BY MR. BOWLING:

O. That's not what I asked you.

I'll ask it again: As you sit here today,
Dr. Lynch, are you able to provide us with any

example of an interface indicating whether the
challenge has been met as described in claim
limitation 1G that is not continuously generated but
that is working properly?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form, asked and answered, scope, badgering.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. So one could imagine an interface saying a winner has been declared but that comes sometime later and I'm still running the race and wasting my energy, so it hasn't been -- there is an interruption.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. How is there an interruption in that example?
- A. Well, again, if the interface were designed to tell me when the race is over and somebody has won, if it doesn't tell me that, then that would be interrupted.
- Q. So would that be working properly then, that interface?
- A. It might be working properly, but not in the way that I expected it to, and so...
 - Q. I'm not sure I understand.

- A. Well, one could design an interface that tells me, you know, ten minutes later that the race is over, and that could be working properly.
 - Q. So wouldn't that just be not in realtime?

 MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY MR. BOWLING:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. And if I understood your testimony correct earlier, you were saying that, as long as the interface is doing what it's been designed to do, it's being continuously generated.

Are you changing that testimony?
MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

- A. I am not changing any of my testimony.
 BY MR. BOWLING:
- Q. Okay. So in the example you just gave where someone designs an interface that tells you ten minutes later that the race is over, is that interface being continuously generated?
- A. I think you asked me for an interface that's not being continuously generated, so I was trying to come up with an example of an interface that's working properly but is not without interruption.
 - Q. Right. And if I understand you right,

you're saying that this hypothetical you just gave that was specifically designed to tell you ten minutes later that the race is over, how is that not working -- how is that not being continuously generated?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. So you want an interface that is collecting data from the real world that is displaying it to a user with interruption.

BY MR. BOWLING:

- O. Yes.
- A. So it would not be covered. And it's also not broken.
 - Q. Right. You got it.
- A. Well, I mean, it could be designed to operate on telephone lines and the telephone line is going to be hung up sometimes and then be picked up, and then during those times it can't -- you know, it's still working, everything it's in charge of is working. The internet could be down, that's not the fault of the system I designed. But if I'm relying on those things and they cause an interruption, that's a possibility.

- Q. So let's set aside connectivity problems and things like that.
 - A. So I -- again, you keep moving the goalposts, so I'm coming up with more and more examples.

You're saying an interface that's designed to be interrupted?

Q. No, what I'm asking you for, and we can include internet connectivity issues as part of working properly, right?

So when I say "working properly," let's assume I mean there's no telephone issues, there's no internet connectivity, the computer didn't get radiated somehow. Let's assume that somehow everything is working properly.

- A. But I can design a system that doesn't depend on those things and a system that does depend on those things. So just --
 - Q. Sure.
 - A. -- as a point.
 - Q. I understand you there.

But let's assume when I say "working properly," we mean the internet is working, telephone line's working, all that stuff, okay?

1

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

I need you to answer affirmatively if you understand.

A. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. I want you to give me an example, if you're able to give one as you sit here today, of an interface indicating whether the challenge has been met as described in claim limitation 1G that is not continuously generated but that is working properly?
- MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form, asked and answered.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. So without interruption but working properly and the purpose is to indicate whether the challenge has been met.

MR. MCCLELLEN: Also, objection scope.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Again, you could have an interface where a user suppresses the generation of the interface by pressing a button or, you know, as we were talking about earlier with an operating system, maybe they're using a spreadsheet that's taking up all of the -- the computing cycles, and so nothing is broken, everything's working, but there would be an interruption.

Again, this is not something that I've analyzed, but an example sitting here that your system is designed to allow interruptions because that's not the point, the point is not to have no interruptions, the point is to indicate, eventually, after the interruption, whether the challenge has been met.

And you could -- you could see many such systems, and I'm picking the one that's running on your laptop, for example. You've got a very power-hungry application running, and it decides to take all of your cycles. I wouldn't say that your program that's supposed to tell me that somebody has won is not working, it's still working, but there was an interruption because it allowed the other program to occupy the computing cycles.

So again, that's one example sitting here, but it's not part of my analysis.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So in your opinion, an interface may be continuously generated at some times but not continuously generated at other times based on other applications being run by the computer?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

BY THE WITNESS:

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

A. So "continuously generated" means without interruption, and there may be other externalities that cause interruptions that you can't control for, but that doesn't mean that your system is broken.

BY MR. BOWLING:

O. In that example --

MR. MCCLELLEN: Aaron, before you start, I'm sorry, are you about ready to take a lunch break?

MR. BOWLING: Sure.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. In that example that you just gave would the interface be -- or I'm sorry, would the system be configured to continuously generate that interface?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I can't give you all the details of an example I made up in the last minute.

I spent hours thinking about the material in my declaration, so I'm prepared to testify on that.

This example that I just pulled out of the air in response to your continually moving goalposts, I have not put a lot of thought into.

BY MR. BOWLING:

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. So when you were rendering your opinions in your reply declaration, you didn't consider whether there were any interfaces indicating whether a challenge has been met that are not continuously generated but that are working properly?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. In my declaration, I was applying Nike's definition of "continuously generate," which is without interruption, generated without interruption, and so that is the lens through which I analyzed the prior art, as well as the '256 patent.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. And you never considered whether your opinion on that point would capture every possible interface indicating whether a challenge has been met?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. I think I pretty clearly laid out what my opinions are in the declaration, and I'm not sure which one you're asking about.

So my opinions are in my declaration, and I

L	think you're	asking	me	about	something	not	in	my
2	declaration.							

BY MR. BOWLING:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. When you rendered the opinions in your reply declaration, did you ever consider whether your opinion on "continuously generate" would capture every possible interface that you are aware of that indicates whether a challenge has been met?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Same objection.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. My analysis is not based on every possible interface that I could think of, it was based on the interfaces disclosed in the prior art and the '256 patent.

MR. BOWLING: Okay, we can take a break.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the record at 12:31 p.m.

(A lunch break was taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of Media 4.

We are going on the record at 1:05 p.m.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. Okay, Dr. Lynch, before the break, we were looking at your declaration, paragraph 20. In your

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

And you reviewed this declaration when you

23

24

were preparing your reply declaration?

- 1
- A. Yes.
- 2
- Q. Okay. Now I'm going to flip to paragraph 25 of your reply declaration.
- 4

3

- A. Yes.
- 5

6

- Q. Okay. And then let's also flip to paragraph 42 of Ms. Toney-Bulger's declaration.
- 7
- A. Yes.
- 8
- Q. Okay. So looking at your reply declaration,
- 9
- paragraph 25, let's look at the last sentence that

"Thus, because Tagliabue describes an

- 10 11
- interface like interface 1700, Dr. Toney-Bulger's
- 12
- testimony that interface 1700 is an example of a
- 13
- continuously generated interface supports my opinion
- 14

15

Do you see that?

that Tagliabue discloses the same."

- 16
- A. Yes.
- 17
- Q. Okay. One third exhibit in front of you.
- 18
- We'll get out Tagliabue itself.
- 19

We'll mark this as Lynch Exhibit 10.

20

(Exhibit No. 10 was marked for

21

identification.)

- 22
- BY MR. BOWLING:
- 23
- Q. Okay. Let's look at page -- paragraph 42 of
- 24
- Ms. Toney-Bulger's declaration.

1	In the first sentence you see she says:
2	"The '256 patent further discloses that user
3	interface, 1700, includes a message portion, 1730,
4	which can communicate progress related to a user's
5	performance compared to that of the competition
6	leader."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now let's also get out the '256 patent, if you may.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. I want you to look at Figure 17, please.
- A. Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

- Q. And Figure 17, you see that it's identifying interface 1700?
 - Do you see that in the top left?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you see down at the bottom, Figure 17 identifies message portion 1730 that Ms. Toney-Bulger was referring to?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now if we look at the interface 1700 in Tagliabue, which is at Figure 17.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you see any message portion 1730 in interface 1700 there?
 - A. In this figure, no, just the description in the text of Tagliabue.
 - Q. Where is that disclosure that you are referring to of the message portion?
 - A. So Tagliabue describes providing an interface where it can show period of time or other numerical data, and it's illustrated in different figures. Figure 9.
 - Q. Does Tagliabue disclose the type of message portion 1730 that Ms. Toney-Bulger is pointing to in interface 1700 of the '256 patent?
 - A. Sorry, I was looking for something, can you ask the question again?
 - O. Sure.

Does Tagliabue disclose the type of message portion 1730 that Ms. Toney-Bulger is pointing to interface 1700 of the '256 patent?

A. Well, Tagliabue discloses messages throughout, and in the figures also.

So Tagliabue does not disclose exactly the -- the box as it's rendered in Figure 1700 in the '256 patent. It doesn't have that picture, but it

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

discloses similar text messages throughout the disclosure in Tagliabue.

Q. So your opinion is that Tagliabue discloses an interface that displays messages in the same way that message portion 1730 does in the '256 patent?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. So I understand that the text description in the '256 patent of Figure 17 in interface 1700 is saying that the interface may contain one or more of these portions that you see here, 1710, 1720, and 1730, and then within 1730, for example, that message box, it may display one or more of those messages.

So I understand that to mean that interface in Figure 17 could be just one message of the sort that I highlighted on page 14 of my declaration.

And there are similar -- similar disclosures in Tagliabue. For example, Figure 26, a message that tells me how far I've run and what my average pace is. So that's just an example of a text message that might be available through Tagliabue. It's just the -- Figure 17 of the '256 patent also discloses.

Q. Where is the message portion that you're referring to in Figure 26?

- A. Well, that's just one example. But at the top of the interface in Figure 26 of Tagliabue, there is a message saying "I have completed 60 runs for a total of 473.37 kilometers. My average pace is 6 minutes 11 seconds per kilometer."
 - Q. What makes you say that that's a message?
 - A. It's text that is communicating to the user, so I would call that a message to the user.
 - Q. Okay, let's look at your declaration, paragraph 38, please.
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. In the second sentence, you say that the word "leader board" itself refers to a continuously generated interface.

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- Q. What makes you say that?
- A. Well, because a leader board displays the current leaders in a competition.
 - Q. And why is that continuously generated?
 - A. Again, to perform its function, if it's not showing me who the current leaders are, then it would not be a useful view of the -- it wouldn't be a useful interface.

- Q. Do you ever watch golf, Dr. Lynch?
- A. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. Are you familiar with what the leader board at the Masters looks like, for example?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You know how it has the numbers that somebody kind of standing behind the leader board puts up there, and it will change the golfer's score throughout the event as they complete a hole?
- A. So there's often a graphical leader board projected on the screen, and then there's one that's a physical leader board at the -- at the event itself.

I think the one on the screen is probably more familiar to me, but I think they serve the same purpose.

- Q. What about the one at the event? Are you familiar with that?
 - A. Generally.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. But I've watched golf in person at events much less than I've seen it on TV.
 - Q. Sure.
- So that leader board in a golf event, is

that physical leader board, is that continuously generated?

- A. It is -- yeah, it's recurrently updated by -- when there is a change to the leaders. So yes.
- Q. Okay. So even though it's not an electronic leader board, it's -- numbers are changed by somebody who's walking up there with a number 2 or a minus 1 or whatever it might be; they're going to go up, put the number up once they get word that a score has changed. That's all continuously generated in your view?
- A. Again, continuously generated or without interruption depends on the task and the application, and if I'm in person at golf and I know a person has to climb up there and change the leader board, I would -- I would be willing to allow it to take longer to update than I would if it were on my TV screen, because I know somebody -- so I would say that that interface is operating the way it's supposed to.
 - Q. And therefore, it's continuously generated?
 - A. Because --
 - MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

24

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

BY THE WITNESS:

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

A. Because it's recurrently updated using -- without interruption.

BY MR. BOWLING:

Q. So let's say you're standing on the 16th hole near the leader board; you see Justin Thomas hit a putt, birdies the hole, they need to go up and change his score.

How much time are you allowing for the scorekeeper to change the leader board in order for it to be within your parameters of a continuously generated leader board?

A. Well, I don't want to mix up "realtime" with "without interruption."

So focusing on the "without interruption" construction, as long as that leader board is being updated within the reasonable capabilities of the people who are maintaining it, I would say it was without interruption.

- Q. Okay. What if the scorekeeper stops to get a hotdog for 15 minutes? Is that still continuously generated?
 - A. I would say that had been interrupted.
 - Q. Okay.

A. So it's not operating the way I would expect it to.

I would expect the Masters to have people to sub in if somebody else has gone off to eat.

- Q. So are all leader board -- are all leader boards continuously generated interfaces, in your view, both physical and electronic?
- A. So a leader board is something that you look at during a competition to see who's leading, and for it to be useful, it should be updated when there is a change in the leads, a change in what needs to be displayed up there.

So when that event happens, I would expect the board to be updated, and then again, if everything were on a computer, I would expect it to happen pretty quickly, and if I have people involved, then I wouldn't expect to happen so quickly. But I would still say it's without interruption.

Q. Okay. So yes, all leader boards, both electronic and physical, are continuously generated interfaces, in your view?

MR. MCCLELLEN: Objection, form.

BY THE WITNESS:

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

A. Leader boards that I'm familiar with should

L	be	ref	lecti	ng the	current	statı	us of	the	competiti	on,
2	and	d if	that	status	changes	s, it	shoul	ld be	updated.	

I can't speak to all leader boards, because
I don't know leader boards in every possible context.
BY MR. BOWLING:

- Q. Okay. But all leader boards you are aware of are continuously generated interfaces, even if they are physical leader boards?
 - A. The ones that I can think of are.
 - Q. Okay.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

- A. Are updated without interruption, if they are working properly.
- Q. Okay, let's look at paragraph 40 of your declaration.

Down kind of in the second block paragraph, it starts with "In the context."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you are talking about video games in 2007 where "People around the world are playing in realtime shooting at each other, and those things have to be done with low latency."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. What shooting video game are you referring to there?
 - A. I'm not referring to any single shooting game. There are several.
 - Q. Okay. Can you name them for me, the ones that you are aware of?
 - A. I can't give you an exhaustive list, but Call of Duty would be one.
 - Q. Okay. Anything else?
 - A. There are others, but...
 - Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to list all the ones that you are aware of that you were referring to or that you had in mind when you gave that testimony.
 - A. I guess we can stay with Call of Duty.

There are others, but I wouldn't be able to give you a full list of ones that I have been aware of and am currently aware of.

- Q. And Call of Duty, is that played on a computer?
 - A. Yes.
- MR. BOWLING: All right, let's take a couple-minute break. I think we might be done, and then we can wrap up.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the

1

2.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

other questions, this concludes today's testimony

24

	Page 119
1	given by Dr. Kevin Lynch.
2	We are going off the record at 1:39 p.m.
3	(Deposition concluded at 1:39 p.m.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	
Т	
_	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

CERTIFICATE OF

2 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER

I, Beth Radtke, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, CSR License No. 084-004561, do hereby certify:

That previous to the commencement of the examination of the aforesaid witness, the witness was duly sworn by me to testify the whole truth concerning the matters herein;

That the foregoing deposition transcript was stenographically reported by me and was thereafter reduced to typewriting under my personal direction and constitutes a true and accurate record of the testimony given and the proceedings had at the aforesaid deposition;

That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel for any of the parties herein, nor am I interested directly or indirectly in the outcome of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my hand at Chicago, Illinois, this 15th day of December, 2023.

2.2

23

Beth Radtke, RPR, CRR License No. 084-004561

2.4

	Page 121
1	Veritext Legal Solutions
	1100 Superior Ave
2	Suite 1820
	Cleveland, Ohio 44114
3	Phone: 216-523-1313
4	
	December 15, 2023
5	
	To: Forrest McClellen, Esq.
6	
	Case Name: Lululemon Athletica Canada Inc. v. Nike Inc.
7	
	Veritext Reference Number: 6328731
8	
	Witness: Kevin Lynch , Ph.D. Deposition Date: 12/14/2023
9	
10	Dear Sir/Madam:
11	
	Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness
12	
	review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the
13	
	included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and
14	
	the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and
15	
	forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address
16	shown
17	above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com.
18	
	If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of
19	
	this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.
20	
21	Sincerely,
22	Production Department
23	
24	NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA

Page 123 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 6328731 CASE NAME: Lululemon Athletica Canada Inc. v. Nike Inc. 3 DATE OF DEPOSITION: 12/14/2023 WITNESS' NAME: Kevin Lynch , Ph.D. 4 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). 8 I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. 10 I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize 11 that both be appended to the transcript of my 12 testimony and be incorporated therein. 13 Date Kevin Lynch , Ph.D. 14 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 15 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 16 They have read the transcript; 17 They have listed all of their corrections in the appended Errata Sheet; 18 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 19 Their execution of this Statement is of 20 their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal 21 this _____, day of_____, 20____, 22 23 Notary Public 24 25 Commission Expiration Date

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

			Page 1
		ERRATA SHEET	
V	ERITEXT	LEGAL SOLUTIO	NS MIDWEST
	ASSIG:	NMENT NO: 632	8731
PAGE/LINE(CHANGE	/REASON
11102, 21112 (2	01111102	, man on
Date		Kevin Ly	nch , Ph.D.
SUBSCRIBED	AND SWO	RN TO BEFORE 1	ME THIS
DAY OF			, 20
	Notarv	Public	
	1.0001	_ 3 3	

[& - 473.37] Page 1

&	12/14/2023	1:39 119:2,3	52:12 53:14,19
& 2:3,10 4:14	121:8 122:3	1g 36:22 43:14	54:3,21 66:16
5:4,6,8	123:3	44:9 87:4,16	71:12,17 73:12
	12:31 105:17	87:17 90:13	77:13,15 79:23
0	13 3:10 71:23	92:4,23 94:7	82:17 85:9
0.1 55:5,11	14 1:19 4:3	95:6 96:6,10	104:13 105:13
72:7 84:7	71:19 72:16	97:3 101:7	106:11 108:2,9
084-004561	110:16	2	109:13,19,24
1:23 120:5,24	15 36:3 114:21	2 8:15 9:9,23	110:5,9,22
1	121:4	36:16 113:7	26 48:22
1 4:9 8:15	15th 120:21	20 48:24 74:13	110:18,24
113:7	16th 114:5	76:1,5,8	111:2
10 3:9,13 54:23	17 71:17 72:16	105:24 122:16	27 10:24
107:19,20	77:13 108:12	123:22 124:22	29 8:2,12 9:15
1001 38:3	108:14,18,23	20001-3743	3
1004 8:24	110:9,15,22	2:14	3 63:17
69:13	1700 71:21	2005 8:23 9:1	35 7:15 8:1
1012 8:24	107:11,12	2006 10:18	36 55:6 77:15
1016 9:1	108:3,15,22	2007 116:20	38 3:11 111:10
1017 7:3 36:10	109:2,13,19,23	2010s 21:6	4
1018 9:1	110:9	2012 9:1	
106 3:12	1710 110:11	2015 18:8 22:7	4 3:7 6:2,6,7,11
107 3:13	1720 110:11	2017 18:5	77:2,3 105:20
10:18 34:9	1730 108:3,19	2023 1:19 4:3	40 76:7 77:2
10:29 34:12	109:1,12,18	8:2,12 9:6,15	116:13
11 71:24 111:5	110:5,12,12	10:24 120:21	42 107:6,23
1100 121:1	18 8:22	121:4	4200 1:17
118 3:5	1820 121:2	2112 120:22	425 2:4 42nd 2:11
11:17 63:14	19 68:15,20	216-523-1313	
11:29 63:18	69:7	121:3	43 13:3 44114 121:2
11e 44:9	1989 19:16,18	22 69:13	
12 9:6 71:21	1:05 105:21	25 107:2,9	45 77:6
74:14 77:17	1:31 118:1	256 8:23 35:24	473.37 111:4
106:4	1:38 118:5	36:11 37:22	
		38:2,12 42:2	
		,	

[5 - amount] Page 2

5 5 3:4,8 7:2,4,16 8:16 9:10 36:10 75:13,19 75:21 77:2,3,5 118:4 50 77:3,5	8 8 3:11 38:2,4 8,162,804 3:13 83 35:17 9 9 3:12 106:13 106:14 109:10	accomplishing 75:3 accordance 122:5 123:5 account 39:13 40:12 accurate 70:13 70:19 78:11	affiliations 5:2 affirmatively 101:1 affixed 122:15 123:21 aforesaid 120:7 120:15
6 6 3:7,9 10:18 10:20 11:3 35:17 48:21 52:5 111:5 60 69:14 111:3	9,278,256 1:12 3:11 90017 2:7 94105-2482 2:4 9:32 1:20 4:2	81:14 84:10 120:13 accurately 70:23 73:21 76:20 77:7	ago 45:7 48:5 54:17 75:2 agree 4:8 42:8 42:19,22 44:16 46:24 94:3 95:24
601 2:14 68:21 69:9 60603 2:12 60th 2:7 6328731 121:7 122:2 123:2 124:2 65 69:14	a.m. 1:20 4:2 34:9,12 63:14 63:18 aaron 2:10 5:4 5:14 34:5 92:15 94:11 103:8 aaron.bowling	78:7 79:10 acknowledge 122:11 123:16 acknowledged 43:20 act 122:14 123:20 action 4:21 5:9 120:19 actually 30:10	agreeing 47:21 ahead 24:22 61:12 72:3 air 103:22 alignment 43:2 43:8 44:13,20 45:9,21 46:9 46:20 47:3,15 48:6 allow 28:1
7 3:8,10 12:21 12:22 35:20 36:20 7-27-2023 3:10 70 1:16 2:11 4:15 708 2:6 77 52:5 78 52:8	2:12 able 21:24 22:4 22:13 23:9,19 26:20 66:19 91:12 94:4 95:4 96:8,24 101:5 117:15 above 121:17 absolute 83:24 acceptable 76:5	actually 30:10 52:7 actuator 27:12 actuators 31:4 add 18:22 85:20 added 95:12 adding 90:21 address 121:15 administer	allow 28:1 102:3 113:16 allowed 102:15 allowing 114:9 alps 93:14,14 alternative 69:17 ambiguity 43:20,21 ambiguous
	accomplish 19:2	4:20 advantage 33:5	43:13 44:5 amount 63:1

		I	
analysis 36:5	appeal 1:3	areas 23:17	65:9 80:24
36:12 39:9,19	appear 41:14	25:18,19 34:19	81:6 93:5 94:9
40:16,20 41:3	57:23 122:11	arjomand 2:6	95:8 96:2,22
41:7,13 42:5	123:15	5:8 9:24	97:5 98:20
42:15 43:4,18	appearance	arnold 2:10 5:4	101:9
43:22 44:17	4:24 55:8	5:6	asking 24:5
46:5 50:9,11	appearances	arnoldporter	32:10,15,17
50:18,23 51:3	2:1 5:2	2:12,15	38:22,24 42:18
51:9 52:10,17	appeared 2:8	arrived 37:11	45:11 48:8
52:23 53:4,21	2:15 40:23	art 11:22 12:4	57:8 96:2,4
65:14 73:6	appears 7:8	12:9 13:8,10	100:8 104:23
102:18 105:11	appended	13:12,13 35:23	105:1
analyzed 102:2	123:11,18	39:4,17,18,23	aspect 24:18,24
104:12	application	40:7,13 41:6	aspects 14:22
angeles 2:7	27:21 86:17	42:3 45:3,15	15:13 16:4
animals 21:1	102:11 113:13	50:14 52:1,22	21:19,21 22:14
answer 24:11	applications	53:9 54:7	24:15 49:6
32:11 36:3	27:19 30:14,19	104:13 105:13	50:6
41:18 49:4,17	33:7,11 102:23	artisan 43:7	assignment
51:8 59:7,8	applied 45:5	44:21 45:9,21	122:2 123:2
67:14 88:12,16	46:4 48:17	46:19 47:15	124:2
93:8 94:23	53:16 61:24	48:7 54:2,18	assume 100:12
95:1 101:1	apply 42:4,15	60:17,20 61:5	100:14,22
answered	47:22 80:7	68:22 87:21	assuming 88:5
46:11 47:8	applying 44:17	88:19 90:13	athletic 68:21
93:6 94:10,24	46:13 60:22	aside 9:9 19:19	69:8
95:9 97:6	62:4 104:9	30:20 31:22	athletica 1:6
101:10	apprise 74:22	33:8,14 53:24	4:12 121:6
answering 48:2	appropriate	60:24 92:1	122:3 123:3
81:2 90:10	62:13 65:11	100:1	attached 123:7
anybody 18:16	73:16	asked 35:21,22	attended 28:5
anymore 32:4	architecture	36:4 37:8,10	attention 35:19
apart 12:6	22:6	39:8 42:4	attorney 5:3
apologize 57:9	area 25:17	46:10 47:7	120:16
	35:14	49:4,10 50:4	

	I	I	
attorneys 10:8	based 14:8	bit 28:7 37:8	52:16 54:16
audio 4:7	16:16 50:12	72:5	58:1 59:6 60:1
authorize	56:9,10 57:20	block 116:15	61:15 62:3
123:11	58:8 60:11	board 1:3	63:7,11,19
authorized	61:8 63:21	111:13,18	64:9,16 65:1
4:20	66:20 67:1,18	112:3,7,10,12	65:21 66:6,17
available 11:11	71:6 84:3	112:24 113:1,6	68:5 70:22
18:15 26:16	102:22 105:11	113:15 114:6	71:8 72:21
110:21	105:12	114:10,12,16	74:11 75:23
ave 121:1	basic 22:5,5	115:5,8,14	79:13 80:1,21
avenue 2:14	began 19:16	boards 115:6	84:11,17 85:2
average 110:19	beginning 5:3	115:19,24	85:18 86:3,21
111:4	63:16 105:19	116:3,4,6,8	87:7,20 88:15
aware 8:5,9	118:3	book 18:3,5,8	89:12 90:9
9:14 11:17	behalf 2:8,15	22:1,7	91:1,8,17 92:9
12:6 73:12	5:5,6 6:19	books 18:1,1,2	92:18,21 93:11
105:7 106:7,10	behavior 29:20	18:4,14	94:22 95:17,23
116:6 117:6,12	29:23	bottom 108:18	96:21 97:13
117:16,17	believe 12:11	boulevard 2:6	98:6,15 99:11
b	13:2 18:10	bowling 2:10	102:19 103:6
back 17:21	37:3,13 38:20	3:4 5:4,4,17,22	103:10,11
34:11 48:20	40:10 53:2	6:3,9 7:6 10:22	104:1,14 105:3
60:4 73:4	benefit 31:20	12:20 13:1,19	105:15,22
121:15	32:7,24 33:22	14:1 15:19	106:16 107:22
badgering	best 33:11	16:3 17:20	114:4 116:5
94:13 95:9	beth 1:22 4:19	20:7 23:7 25:2	117:21 118:6
97:6	120:3,23	28:20 32:1	118:22
bandwidth	better 12:16	34:7,14 35:4	box 109:23
62:15	28:21	35:15 37:23	110:13
bar 74:19,21	beyond 20:3	38:1,8,9,18	break 32:12
75:20,24 76:2	bigger 7:18	40:3,14 41:1	34:6,10,15
76:14 77:4,6	binary 74:7,9	41:15,19 44:11	63:11,15,20
77:15,17 90:4	88:4,8 90:1	46:6,16 47:13	92:17 103:9
106:3	birdies 114:7	48:1,19 50:1	105:15,18,23
100.5		51:1,7,14,20	117:22 118:2

[broad - claim] Page 5

h J 15.15	104.16	52.4	alaan aaa 57.17
broad 15:15	capture 104:16	53:4	changes 57:17
32:22	105:6	certificate	65:18 78:4
broken 56:7	captured 16:5	120:1 123:11	80:6,19 82:15
81:10 89:10,16	cardio 71:22	certification	93:10 116:2
91:13,20 99:14	career 19:11,15	122:1 123:1	121:12 122:7
101:22 103:5	19:17	certified 120:2	123:7,9
brought 7:10	cares 28:19	120:3	changing 94:2
build 26:7,17	cars 31:14,23	certify 120:5	95:22 98:11,14
bulger 3:12	33:3,9	cetera 93:4	chapter 22:1
8:22 9:4	case 1:11 4:14	challenge 37:2	characterize
106:20 108:19	6:16 12:1 53:6	69:12 71:22	26:21
109:12,18	55:13 62:22	86:23 87:1,6	charge 99:20
bulger's 107:6	74:19 90:1	87:11,13,19,23	chart 75:20,24
107:11,24	96:15 121:6	87:24 88:21,23	76:14 77:4,7
bunch 21:4	122:3 123:3	89:4 90:14,16	90:4
button 101:19	cases 55:20	91:10 92:23	chart's 76:2
buttons 80:6,11	73:7	93:19,23 94:6	charts 77:15,17
c	cause 91:19,23	95:5 96:9,17	chicago 1:18
c 2:13 16:21,22	99:23 103:4	96:19 97:2	2:12 4:16
22:2	caution 49:14	101:6,13 102:6	120:21
ca 121:24	center 7:19,21	104:5,17 105:8	cited 71:18
california 2:4,7	central 4:2	change 55:19	civil 122:5
call 111:8	certain 15:13	56:2 59:8	123:5
117:8,14,18	21:19,21 27:7	65:16 80:12	claim 35:24
called 18:6	30:12 36:18	86:14,14 88:12	36:4,5,11,12,18
22:23 56:9	42:5 45:10	112:8 113:4,15	36:19,21 37:7
	48:5 49:6 50:6	114:8,10	37:17,18 38:11
cambridge 18:5 canada 1:6	53:10 76:15	115:11,11	38:13,16,21
4:12 121:6	78:8,9,20 84:3	121:13,14	39:8,9,16,17,22
	certainly 13:9	123:8 124:3	40:7,11,12
122:3 123:3	13:12 15:17	changed 8:13	41:5,6 42:2
candidates	16:12 18:16	46:14 56:13,14	43:13 44:5,9
31:4	22:19 23:3	67:20,22 113:6	50:14 51:6
capabilities	24:3 25:14	113:10	52:11 53:9,10
114:17	39:5,13 41:11		85:10 89:8,15

		1	
90:13,24 92:11	comes 74:13	111:19 115:9	concerning
94:7,20 95:6	97:9	116:1	120:9
96:6,10 97:2	coming 5:23	competitors	concluded
101:7	92:18 94:18	77:8	119:3
claims 49:2,5	100:4	complete 50:13	concludes
49:11 50:5	command	112:9	118:24
51:24	27:11	completed	conduct 41:7
clean 5:15	commencem	111:3 121:15	confidently
clearly 104:21	120:6	completely	83:15
cleveland 121:2	commercially	85:6	configuration
climb 113:15	22:12 26:16	complex 26:14	69:9
clock 25:21	commission	component	configure
67:11	122:19 123:25	16:9 24:19	69:10
close 94:12	124:25	comprehensi	configured
closed 55:24	commonalities	23:10	103:14
56:1,3 59:12	30:4	computer	connection
60:7,12 64:2	communicate	14:10,11,12,13	81:4
closer 28:8	10:12 36:23	14:14,24 15:8	connectivity
closing 62:23	108:4	15:10,13,16,21	100:1,9,13
81:11	communicated	15:21 18:12	consequence
code 17:13	55:9 58:17	19:23 22:6	8:8
18:23 19:9,21	59:4 84:12,21	27:17 28:8	consider 13:7
20:1 21:23	84:24 85:5	29:18 31:18	13:21 14:15
22:2	communicating	32:14,20 67:8	15:9 21:14,17
coded 21:20	43:15 64:15	67:11 80:19	24:16,19 25:3
coding 16:18	111:7	100:13 102:23	25:10 34:22
21:18,21 24:17	communication	115:15 117:19	35:8,13 36:4
collected 83:8	43:16 59:22	118:15,19	36:10 37:17,18
84:4	compared 77:8	computers	38:11,12 39:8
collecting	108:5	16:13,17 23:6	39:11 49:2
82:18,22 99:8	competing	29:15,20	104:3 105:5
column 69:13	77:16 93:10,13	computing	considered
come 9:11,12	competition	15:4 101:22	8:19 9:8,16
14:23 98:22	56:18 74:21	102:16	13:11 37:10
	75:9 108:5		38:16,24 39:2

	_		
39:5,13 41:11	construed	74:17 79:11,16	convey 78:18
52:20 104:15	48:13 53:11	80:3,22 81:1,8	conveyed 78:1
consistent 44:4	85:4	81:17 82:8	conveying
consistently	consumer	83:3,5,20 85:9	77:24
47:23	17:15,19 21:20	85:13,19 86:7	copies 5:15
constant 55:10	consumers	86:24 87:12,18	copy 7:9,12,13
55:17 64:6,17	18:16	87:23 88:22	correct 8:20
65:4,23 66:2,5	contain 110:10	89:6,14 90:12	13:4,6 50:7
66:9,15,21	contained 42:3	90:15 92:3,7	70:8 85:10
67:3,4,16,24	context 17:19	92:13 93:1	98:7
68:7,10 71:6	52:20 53:12,14	94:8,19 95:7	corrections
71:10	53:19,22 66:15	96:11,14,20	121:12 123:17
constantly	73:6 74:3	97:3 98:10,19	counsel 4:11
33:22 64:14	89:14 92:4	98:21 99:4	5:1 34:13,16
constitutes	116:4,16	101:8 102:21	120:17
120:13	continually	102:22 103:2	counter 83:14
construction	103:22	103:14 104:5	county 122:10
43:21,23 44:3	continue 4:7	104:10 105:6	123:15
44:10 45:19	81:13	107:13 111:13	couple 23:11
46:5,7,18	continuing	111:20 113:1	48:4 95:21
47:11,22 50:12	67:20	113:10,12,21	117:22 118:9
53:17 54:1	continuous	114:11,21	course 10:8
60:22 61:1,24	44:24 54:20	115:6,20 116:7	23:5 29:15
65:15 66:15	60:19 61:7	contrast 27:4	51:22
72:11 79:12	62:11 63:3	control 14:7	court 4:18 5:11
114:16	continuously	31:2 32:14,20	122:7
constructions	36:22 45:14,20	34:24 35:10	covered 99:13
42:5,9,16,19,20	47:12 48:13	103:4	crack 58:4
42:23 43:5	53:14,19,22	controlling	crash 28:1
44:12,17,20	54:1,3,10,18	27:24 30:6	create 16:15
45:5,8,11	55:1 60:24	31:18	25:8,14 26:17
46:13,24 47:3	61:19 62:5,8	conversations	created 25:16
48:5,9,17	65:10 68:23	4:6 10:3,9	26:3
72:15	69:12 72:1,8	49:15	creating 20:1
	72:14,24 73:5		22:22 26:6

	1		
creation 25:7	120:21 122:16	54:5,14,23	departments
25:11	123:22 124:22	65:8,15,17	15:16
critical 27:13	days 10:2,5	68:15,17 71:19	depend 73:2
28:5,11 30:22	11:10,12	74:13 80:16	100:17,17
cross 76:15	121:18	86:19 94:15,18	depends 113:13
crossed 75:13	dc 2:14	103:20 104:3,9	depict 76:21
crr 1:22 120:23	de 76:9	104:22,24	78:7
csr 120:4	dealing 23:2	105:2,5,24	depicting 77:7
current 56:5	dear 121:10	106:1,20,23,24	deposed 6:15
70:8,8,20 74:8	december 1:19	107:3,6,8,24	deposition 1:15
74:22 75:7	4:3 120:21	110:16 111:9	3:8,9 4:10,15
76:3 77:18	121:4	116:14	6:4 9:4,20
111:19,22	decide 27:20	declarations	10:23 11:3
116:1	decides 102:11	5:16	39:7 42:23
currently	declaration 3:8	declared 97:9	43:19 45:13
117:17	3:10,12 7:8,22	deed 122:14	46:2 48:21
cut 81:12	8:6,13,22 9:21	123:20	50:2 54:8
cycles 67:12	12:16,19 13:5	deemed 121:19	55:22 65:9,17
101:22 102:12	23:15 36:9,17	defendant 4:11	73:5 76:4
102:16	36:18 37:4,12	defined 89:20	85:24 118:13
d	37:14,17 38:11	definitely 11:12	119:3 120:10
data 14:6 62:16	38:23 39:20,22	25:18,21	120:15 121:8
62:17,18 68:21	40:6,10,15,17	definition	121:11 122:1,3
69:9 82:18,19	40:22 41:13,14	12:10,11 67:2	123:1,3
82:22,23 83:8	41:23,24 42:3	73:11 76:17	describe 13:14
83:19 84:3,18	42:4,12 43:12	83:4 96:20	described
84:20 85:12	43:19,22 44:3	104:10	19:10,20 20:12
86:6 99:9	44:19,23 45:5	definitions	57:16 85:21
109:9	46:15 47:21,23	18:17	92:22 94:7
date 8:1 121:8	47:23 48:18	delay 48:15	95:6 96:6,10
122:3,9,19	49:2,4,10,16,18	demonstrations	97:2 101:7
123:3,13,25	50:3,10,11,15	20:22	describes
123.3,13,23	50:17 51:23	department	107:10 109:7
day 72:23 73:8	52:17,19,20	121:22	description
73:10,10,14	53:7,8,16,21		109:3 110:8
73.10,10,14			

[design - due] Page 9

design 14:6	89:13	discussed 45:14	documents
15:1 21:15	different 27:14	49:17 54:8	7:21
35:14 82:12	27:16 29:24	61:6	doing 19:8,12
98:1 100:16	37:9 45:6	discusses 106:8	22:20 52:2
designed 17:15	52:18 66:4	discussing	98:9
17:22 25:23	67:1 72:5	66:21	door 55:23
26:11 27:7,13	76:11 77:11,11	discussion 74:3	56:1,3,5 57:6
29:16 75:18	77:20,22 85:1	display 19:5	57:12,14,17
77:10 78:9,20	85:6 90:15	25:24 55:6	58:7,19 59:12
79:2,8 84:2	95:24 109:9	59:22 64:4	59:12 60:4,7
97:16 98:9	direct 35:19	68:21 69:9,9	60:12 61:6,16
99:2,16,22	direction	71:21 72:2,12	61:18 62:6,22
100:6 102:3	120:12	78:15,17,19	62:23 63:6
designer 29:21	directly 120:18	80:7,11,12,17	64:1,7,10,15
35:3,6	disagree 42:8	80:19 81:13,24	65:3,5,13
designers 29:10	42:20,22 44:16	85:12 110:13	81:11 89:10
designing	47:1	displayed	download
34:23 35:9	disagreeing	58:15,17 59:4	18:15,22
designs 98:17	47:21	115:12	dr 4:10 5:15,23
details 103:17	disclose 109:11	displaying 56:1	6:10 7:1,7 8:22
determine	109:17,22	56:17 64:15	9:4,20 10:17
51:24	disclosed	77:11,14,18	10:23 13:3
determined	105:13	82:19,22 84:7	33:6 34:15
65:12	discloses 35:24	90:3 99:9	35:16 38:22
determines	69:20 107:14	displays 25:7	49:14 53:13
55:23 56:3	108:2 109:20	25:11 55:4	61:12 63:20
developer 26:5	110:1,3,22	80:5 86:6	68:14 90:11
device 68:21	disclosure	110:4 111:18	95:3 96:7,24
69:9	106:7 109:5	dissatisfying	105:23 106:12
devices 14:12	110:2	28:18	107:11 112:1
33:20 118:18	disclosures	distance 55:5	118:8,15 119:1
devotes 28:11	110:17	distributed	drawn 25:13
difference	discrete 67:8	22:2	25:20
58:11,20 87:10	discuss 49:15	document 6:10	due 39:18
87:22 88:20		11:2 106:17	

[duly - examples] Page 10

JJ 5.10.100.0	FO.11 FO.1	100.5.100.5	
duly 5:19 120:8	52:11 53:1	122:5 123:5	examined 5:19
duration 73:17	87:4,5 89:8,15	entirety 49:3	example 15:2
duty 117:8,14	90:13,24 92:12	equations 21:4	17:24 19:3
117:18	94:20	errata 121:13	21:23 26:4,13
e	elements 38:11	121:18 123:7	30:20 32:23
e 10:16 18:9,9	42:2 50:14	123:10,18	36:20 37:3
earlier 11:10	52:1 53:9	124:1	43:11 55:4,8
26:24 34:18	elevation 93:15	error 92:1	55:23 56:16
47:2 48:4	elsevier 18:9,10	esq 121:5	57:7,14 58:13
50:21 59:20	email 121:17	et 93:3	58:19 59:14
72:19 73:4,18	embedded	event 56:9,11	60:4,12 63:6
77:22 93:8	18:12	56:13,19,21	63:24 64:5,10
98:8 101:20	employee	57:20 60:11,15	65:4 67:18
118:13	120:16	60:16 61:8	71:7 72:18,19
early 19:11,15	enclosed	63:21 65:12,13	73:17 74:23
19:17	121:11	66:20 67:1,18	77:12 80:2,17
eat 115:4	ended 56:18	89:22 112:9,12	80:24 81:6,9,9
effector 19:4,4	energy 97:11	112:17,24	81:11,15,18,21
either 7:12 30:5	enforce 27:7,13	115:13	82:6,10 83:2
55:13 56:19	30:16	events 56:10	83:14 89:22
58:8 60:7 73:7	enforced 30:23	58:8 71:7	91:16,21 92:24
89:20	engage 20:24	112:21	95:4,11,12,13
electronic	21:3	eventually	96:8 97:1,15
113:5 115:7,20	engaging 25:8	102:5	98:16,22 101:4
element 36:5	engineering	everything's	102:2,10,17
36:11,12,19,21	14:10,13,15,24	101:23	103:7,12,18,21
37:7,17 38:16	15:8,22	exactly 12:14	107:12 110:12
39:9,16,17,22	ensure 30:17	25:16,20 26:19	110:18,20
39:23 40:7,7	entered 123:9	46:4,8 47:19	111:1 112:4
,	entire 36:4,11	48:3 76:21	examples 23:22
40:11,13 41:5 41:6 43:14	36:19 37:7	93:24 109:22	24:7 30:24
44:5,9,10 49:5	38:21 39:2,8	examination	31:6,10,13,21
49:5,11,11	39:14,16,22	3:4,5 5:21	32:3,15,18
, ,	40:6,11 41:4	118:10 120:7	33:7 43:10
50:4,4 51:24 51:24 52:2,3,3	41:11 53:12		57:2,3,5 58:6

[examples - five] Page 11

59:16 79:22	expected 79:9	explicitly 37:4	figure 58:2
91:18 94:5,19	97:23	37:14 40:20	71:17 72:16
96:3 100:5	expects 78:1	41:2	74:14,16,19
executed	experience	express 39:15	77:13,15,17
123:10	19:19 20:20	expressed 8:13	106:4 108:12
execution	28:19 29:6	expressly 27:7	108:14,18,23
122:14 123:19	58:17 59:5,21	extent 49:17	109:3,10,23
exemplary	66:4	externalities	110:9,15,18,22
21:13	experiments	103:3	110:24 111:2
exercises 14:6	18:24 19:10,19	extraordinary	figures 109:10
exhaustive 14:3	expert 6:19	13:11	109:21
14:19 16:12	13:21 14:3,4,5	f	file 11:23 12:5
21:9 23:16	14:8,11,16	facing 17:15	39:4,14 45:3
24:2 117:7	15:9,17 16:10	fail 70:10,20	filed 4:13
exhibit 3:7,8,9	21:14,17,19,22	fails 62:22	final 41:24
3:10,11,12,13	22:10,15 24:16	fair 16:2	finally 56:23
6:2,6,7,11 7:2,3	24:18,19 25:3	fall 28:10	financially 4:21
7:4,16 8:16,23	25:10,18 26:24	familiar 76:9	find 27:14,17
9:10 10:18,18	34:22 35:3,6,8	112:3,15,18	38:17 121:11
10:20 11:3	35:13 118:15	115:24	fine 23:23
12:21,22 35:17	expertise 13:15	far 14:23 24:17	finish 41:18
36:10,10 38:2	14:23 15:21	86:5 89:19	finished 41:20
38:3,4 48:21	16:5 34:19	91:12 110:19	76:22
52:5 69:13,21	118:13,18	fault 99:22	first 5:19 17:2
106:13,14	expiration	feature 96:15	19:23 36:23,24
107:17,19,20	122:19 123:25	96:16	37:5,5,15,15
exhibits 3:6 6:3	124:25	features 46:23	43:16,18,19
8:24 9:22	explain 68:20	92:12	83:23 108:1
existing 26:7	explained	feedback 84:3	fit 96:3
expect 71:23	60:10	118:19	five 10:11
83:10 115:1,3	explaining	feel 7:12	63:12 64:11
115:13,15,17	29:11	field 15:15	65:3 71:15
expectation	explains 36:16	fields 14:9 16:8	72:7,13,18
28:15 84:5,6	explicit 51:13	33:12	75:2 78:3
	51:19	33.12	

OH 1 00 50	2040 34 4 5 5		• • •
fixed 33:23	59:18 61:11,21	frequencies	gaming 29:15
56:8	62:9 63:4	27:8 106:10	29:16
flip 107:2,5	64:12 65:6,24	frequency	generalization
floor 2:7,11	66:10,22 68:2	55:10,17 71:6	56:8,10
fluent 16:21	70:16 71:3	106:8	generally 43:1
fmcclellen 2:5	72:9 73:23	frequently 71:1	43:8 44:13,20
focus 33:10	75:15 79:4,19	front 5:16	45:8,20 46:8
36:17 59:22	80:13 83:8,21	107:17	46:20 47:3,14
74:8 82:21	84:14,22 85:14	full 52:11,20,24	48:6 70:19
focused 51:6	85:22 86:10	117:16	112:19
53:10	87:2 88:2,14	fun 20:2 21:3	generate 36:22
focusing	89:17 90:18	function 70:21	45:14,20 59:17
114:15	91:4,14 92:5	75:3 78:20,21	61:18 85:19
foerster 2:3 5:8	93:5 94:9 95:8	94:20 111:21	89:6 91:24
followed 81:20	97:5 98:5,12	functioning	92:3,7,13
follows 5:20	99:6 101:9	16:16 79:15	94:19 96:14,20
foregoing	102:24 103:15	80:20 81:2	103:14 104:10
120:10 122:13	104:7,19 110:6	further 108:2	105:6
123:18	113:23 115:22	118:6,22	generated
forest 5:7	formed 9:16	g	54:24 55:9
forget 82:12	38:24	game 18:23	57:3 58:12,16
forgotten 49:21	forming 9:10	19:6 21:15,18	58:21 59:2,4
form 13:16,22	49:1	21:20,22,24	59:10,11,13
15:11,24 17:17	forrest 2:3 7:11	22:9,11,15,18	60:10,13 61:20
20:4 22:16	9:23 121:5	23:1 24:15	62:7,8 64:1,3
24:21 28:13	fortran 17:9	26:4 28:17,18	68:23 69:12,16
30:2 31:24	forward 121:15	29:10,12,18	70:2 71:2,14
35:1,11 36:14	foundation	35:3,6,14	71:15 72:1,8
37:20 38:14	54:12 71:3	117:1,4	72:14 73:1,19
39:24 40:18	four 10:4,9	games 19:21,24	74:17,17 78:13
41:9 44:7	france 76:9	20:1,11,17,21	79:2,11,15,16
45:23 46:10,21	francisco 2:4	21:1 23:4,6	80:4,23 81:1,8
47:7 50:19	free 7:12 18:15	25:9 26:6,18	81:17 82:8
51:4 52:15	122:14 123:20	28:7,22 34:23	83:3,5,20 84:9
57:21 58:23		35:9 116:19	85:10,13 86:7

[generated - human]

	I	T		
86:24 87:12,18	119:1 120:14	119:2	handing 10:17	
87:24 88:22	gives 77:13	golf 112:1,21	106:12	
89:8,9,14	giving 66:9	112:24 113:14	hang 41:17	
90:12,16,21,22	75:8 82:10	golfer's 112:8	happen 115:16	
92:14 93:1	94:11	good 4:1 7:23	115:17	
94:8 95:7	go 4:8 8:15	28:19 29:6	happening	
96:11 97:3	18:2 22:8	30:5 31:4,14	43:15	
98:10,19,21	24:22 27:20	34:5 61:2	happens 73:9	
99:5 101:8	28:1 30:13	gotcha 10:15	115:13	
102:21,22	48:20 49:5,11	23:24	hardware 58:4	
103:2 104:6,11	50:4 52:7 53:8	grabbing 19:3	help 80:12	
106:9 107:13	60:4 61:12	grade 19:22	hereunto	
111:14,20	92:19 113:8	20:13	120:20	
113:2,10,12,21	114:7	graduate 19:16	high 55:10,17	
114:12,22	goal 51:13,19	graph 74:19,21	highlighted	
115:6,20 116:7	69:11 73:8	106:3	110:16	
generating	goalposts 100:4	graphical 25:7	history 8:24	
43:15 60:18	103:22	25:11,11,15,23	11:23 12:5	
generation	going 4:2 6:1,4	26:21 112:10	39:4,14 45:3	
58:18 59:21,23	7:1 23:12,15	great 5:17 6:17	hit 114:6	
61:8,9 63:3,22	24:4,6 31:17	49:23	hold 27:22	
66:20 101:18	32:4 34:8	guarantee	hole 112:9	
getting 16:13	35:16,19 48:20	29:22 33:5	114:6,7	
94:12	49:14 52:4	guarantees	hope 20:15	
github 18:21	54:22 63:13,18	29:8	hotdog 114:21	
give 29:9 31:6	68:14 69:20	guess 28:21	hour 74:24	
43:9 75:6	70:18 73:4	41:22 117:14	hours 10:11	
78:15 81:18	76:20 77:7	guis 25:7,22	103:19	
83:2 92:12	78:7,17 79:21	h	housekeeping	
93:8 101:4,5	79:22 83:23	halfway 77:5	12:19	
103:17 117:7	92:15 93:14	hand 6:1 7:1	human 14:4	
117:16	99:18 105:16		15:6 18:24	
given 11:21	105:21 107:2	88:20,22 120:20	22:19 23:3	
23:22 30:20	113:8 117:11		28:15 30:7	
76:20 95:11,21	117:24 118:5	handed 7:11		
Varitant Lagal Colutions				

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

humans 14:5,7	important 25:1	101:6 104:4,17	58:21,21 59:3
hung 99:18	32:7	121:13	59:11,13 60:6
hungry 102:11	imprint 18:9	indirectly	60:10,18 61:7
hypothetical	inaccuracies	120:18	61:17,20 62:2
61:16 72:17	8:6 12:7	individual	62:7 63:3,21
80:10 81:3	inaccurate	72:17	64:1,3,6,14,24
99:1	11:18	industry 20:23	65:2,18 66:20
i	inc's 106:21	inform 14:6	67:19 68:23
identical 55:9	include 100:9	information	69:10,13,16
57:23	included 85:9	16:15 75:8,19	70:2,6,19,23
identification	89:23 121:13	77:11,24 79:9	71:1,14,20,20
6:8 7:5 10:21	includes 96:20	80:18 82:1	72:6,22 73:2,8
12:23 38:5	108:3	initial 42:23	73:16 74:6,6,7
106:15 107:21	incorporated	65:8,17	74:10 75:12,18
identifies	123:12	instance 27:15	76:8 77:14,21
108:19	incorrect 32:3	68:8	77:22,23 78:12
identify 48:8	88:10	intended 74:21	78:20 79:1,7
identifying	increments	interacting	79:14,21 80:2
108:14	55:5 78:9	14:7	80:5,10,22
illinois 1:18	independent	interaction	81:1,4,7,16
2:12 4:16	53:21 54:2	15:6 19:1	82:4,11 83:2,7
120:4,21	87:16	22:19 23:3	83:18,20,24
illustrated	index 3:1	interactions	84:2,5,6,9,18
71:20 109:9	indicate 101:13	16:16	85:11,20 86:6
image 74:12	102:5	interest 75:5,10	86:13,22,24
82:14	indicates 105:8	interested 4:22	87:5,10,12,17
imagine 80:5	indicating 37:1	120:18	87:22,24 88:4
97:8	74:20 86:22,24	interface 16:14	88:5,6,8,8,21
implementati	87:6,11,12,18	28:16 37:1	88:23 89:3,7
66:24	87:22,24 88:21	54:24 55:4,8	89:14,15,20,21
implemented	88:23 89:3	55:17,18,20,24	89:21,24 90:2
55:16,18	90:14,16 91:10	56:2,15,16,18	90:3,8,14,16,20
implies 58:18	92:23 94:6	56:20,22 57:1	90:22 91:9,24
59:21 86:1,2	95:5 96:9,16	57:6,15,17,19	92:12,14,22,23
27.21 00.1,2	96:19 97:1	58:3,7,11,12,19	93:1,9,16,18

[interface - know] Page 15

94:5 95:4 96:5	interrupted	interruptions	kevin 1:15 3:4
96:8,16,18	73:9 83:11	102:3,5 103:4	3:8,9,10 4:10
97:1,8,16,21	89:11 97:19	interval 68:1	5:18 119:1
98:1,9,17,19,20	100:7 114:23	75:20	121:8 122:4,9
98:22 99:8	interruption	introduce	123:4,13
100:6 101:6,17	48:14 53:17,23	12:18	124:20
101:18 102:20	54:15 55:1,2	invalid 39:18	key 17:5 82:1
103:13,14	55:14,14,16,21	invalidity 36:5	keyboard
104:17 105:7	56:7 57:4	36:12 39:9	80:17,19 81:4
105:12 106:9	60:21 61:23	53:3	81:21,24 82:5
107:11,11,12	62:1,21,24	inventors 85:8	82:13 93:22
107:13 108:3	64:21,21,23	85:16	keys 80:18
108:15,22	65:16,20 66:13	involve 19:1	82:11,14
109:2,8,13,19	67:17 69:17	involved 23:1	keystrokes 80:7
110:4,9,10,14	70:3,15 71:16	31:3,8 115:16	kilometer
111:2,14,24	72:12,14 73:7	iphone 82:7	111:5
113:19	73:13,15,20	iphones 82:9	kilometers
interfaces	74:18 75:22	82:12	111:4
25:12,15 26:22	76:6,18 78:2,5	ipr2023-00189	kind 7:19 21:24
57:3 60:21	78:14,22,23	1:11 4:14 6:16	28:9 29:24
61:6 77:10,20	79:3,11,16	issues 23:1	57:16 112:7
77:23 78:6,8	83:5,11 84:9	100:9,12	116:15
82:16,21 83:1	86:2,20 89:5,7	i	kinds 77:20,22
83:10 94:1	89:9 90:2,4,21	job 28:4	know 5:15 9:13
104:4 105:13	90:22 91:11,20	juliana 1:24	14:5 16:18,20
115:6,21 116:7	91:23,24 92:8	4:17	22:5 23:2
interfacing	92:14 94:21	july 10:24	33:11,12,19
27:18 30:6	96:15 97:12,14	jump 17:11	40:12 50:21
93:17	98:23 99:10,23	justin 114:6	51:2 57:18
internet 91:21	101:12,24		58:4 73:19
92:2 93:2	102:6,15 103:3	k	74:16 75:2,6
99:21 100:9,13	104:11,11	kaye 2:10	75:24 76:11,14
100:23	113:13 114:3	keep 6:4 67:7	83:13 89:19,20
interpreted	114:14,15,19	95:22 100:3	93:16 95:14
65:10	115:18 116:11		96:18 98:2

[know - lynch] Page 16

		T.	T.
99:19 101:19	left 108:16	117:7,11,16	los 2:7
112:6 113:14	legal 1:24	listed 9:9,22	lot 17:1 18:19
113:18 116:4	121:1 124:1	33:14 34:2	22:3,8 23:22
known 28:6	lens 104:12	38:23 123:7,17	26:14,20 40:21
l	letter 121:19	listing 123:7	50:22 66:3,12
lab 19:20	license 1:23	little 13:18 28:7	67:5 69:4
laboratory	26:15 120:4,24	29:12 37:8	94:11 103:23
18:20 20:12	likely 26:5	45:6 72:5	low 116:22
laid 104:21	limit 52:10,24	llp 2:3,10	lua 16:22
	63:1,9	location 4:15	lululemon 1:6,6
languages	limitation	36:24 37:1,5	4:11,12 5:9
16:18 17:1,12	36:21 78:23	37:15	6:19 121:6
laptop 102:10	92:4 94:7 95:6	long 17:10	122:3 123:3
large 26:16	96:6,10 97:3	23:17 56:6	lunch 92:18
latency 23:2	101:7	76:4 98:8	103:9 105:18
34:24 35:10	limited 78:18	114:16	lunchtime
116:22	lindsey 2:13	longer 81:13	92:16
latitude 94:11	5:5	95:13 113:17	lynch 1:15 3:4
lawyer 39:12	lindsey.staub	look 38:17	3:8,9,9,11 4:10
leader 71:22	2:15	58:14 74:12	5:15,18,23 6:2
72:4 108:6	line 25:13,20	77:17 86:18,18	6:6,10,11 7:1,2
111:13,18	35:20 36:3	91:3,7,11	7:7,16 8:16
112:3,7,10,12	48:24 69:14	107:9,23	9:10,20 10:17
112:24 113:1,6	81:12 94:12	108:12,22	10:18,23 11:2
113:15 114:6	99:17 121:13	111:9 115:8	12:20 13:3
114:10,12,16	123:7 124:3	116:13	33:6 34:15
115:5,5,8,19,24	line's 100:24	looked 9:21	35:16,17 36:10
116:3,4,6,8	lines 99:17	looking 19:1	38:1,22 48:21
leaders 111:19	list 8:18,21,22	37:6 44:9	49:14 52:5
111:22 113:4	8:23 14:18	47:20 57:15	53:13 61:12
leading 115:9	17:10 23:9,12	87:4 93:17	63:20 68:14
leads 115:11	23:18,24 24:6	105:24 106:3	90:11 95:3
learn 20:16	31:17 32:4,10	107:8 109:14	96:7,24 105:23
learned 19:24	32:13,18,21	looks 112:4	106:12,13
leave 69:20	33:16 38:20		107:19 112:1
	1	1	1

118:8,15 119:1	marjomand 2:8	40:8,18 41:9	45:14,16 48:13
121:8 122:4,9	mark 12:20	41:17 44:7	48:14,15 54:10
123:4,13	38:1 107:19	45:23 46:10,21	54:19 59:17
124:20	marked 6:1,7	47:7,17 48:10	67:10,22 83:15
m	7:2,4,13 10:18	49:12 50:19	84:24 85:4
m 4:10	10:20 12:22	51:4,10,16	88:7 99:16
mac 27:5,17,18	38:4 106:13,14	52:15 54:12	100:12,23
29:18	107:20	57:21 58:23	103:5 110:14
mac's 29:3	market 2:4	59:18 61:11,21	meaning 53:23
machine 31:14	martin 1:24	62:9 63:4 64:8	83:4 93:2
31:23 33:2,9	4:17	64:12,18 65:6	means 39:12
machinery	massachusetts	65:24 66:10,22	44:24 45:1
33:19	2:14	68:2 70:16	53:19 54:3
macintosh	masters 112:4	71:3 72:9	62:12 66:15
27:15	115:3	73:23 75:15	73:5,6 81:5
madam 121:10	material 50:22	79:4,19 80:13	86:12 90:2
made 29:2	103:19	83:21 84:14,22	92:7,13 103:2
41:23 103:18	materials 8:19	85:14,22 86:10	meant 39:11
122:7	9:9,14	87:2,14 88:2	mechanical
madison 1:16	mathematica	88:14 89:1,17	31:2,3,7,9,11
2:11 4:16	16:22	90:18 91:4,14	31:18 32:6,13
mail 10:16	matlab 16:22	92:5,15,20	32:20,22,23
maintain 30:5	matter 4:11	93:5 94:9 95:8	33:20
maintaining	49:18	95:19 96:12	mechatronics
114:18	matters 120:9	97:5 98:5,12	14:9 18:13
make 27:10	mcclellen 2:3	99:6 101:9,15	media 4:9
28:5 60:5 63:2	3:5 5:7,7,14	102:24 103:8	63:17 105:20
makes 7:13	9:23 13:16,22	103:15 104:7	118:4
67:16 86:17	15:11,24 17:17	104:19 105:9	meeting 90:23
111:6,17	20:4 22:16	110:6 113:23	megan 3:12
map 39:16,23	24:21 28:13	115:22 118:8	106:20
40:7 41:5	30:2 31:24	118:11,21	mehran 2:6 5:7
50:14	34:5 35:1,11	121:5	9:24 49:16
mapping 42:2	36:14 37:20	mean 39:10	mention 30:8
53:9	38:14 39:24	41:2 43:1	

mentioned 17:3	mile 55:5,7	26:4 33:7	54:19 69:22
29:23 32:8	57:7 72:7,20	mofo.com 2:5,8	71:1,5,11
35:14 37:19	77:13,14 78:3	moment 34:3	101:1 114:7
42:24 43:12	78:3 79:6,7	45:7 54:17	needs 22:18
50:21 62:11	84:7	money 26:15	115:11
71:5 106:10	miles 55:11	monitor 118:19	neither 42:22
message 10:14	71:15,22 72:3	morning 4:1	47:21 62:20,21
108:3,19 109:1	72:7,13,17,18	10:14	network 14:8
109:6,11,17	78:3 84:7	morrison 2:3	14:12 15:1
110:5,12,15,18	millisecond	5:8	24:18 93:3
110:20,23	27:11,12 56:24	mountain	networked
111:3,6,8	61:8	93:13	14:11 15:2,17
messages	milliseconds	moving 19:4	15:23 16:6,17
109:20 110:1,4	56:4,11,22	100:3 103:22	23:5
110:13	57:20 58:9	multiple 16:13	networks 23:5
met 37:2 86:23	59:14 60:13,16	21:6 74:2	23:6 118:16,19
87:1,6,11,13,19	62:17	museum 20:23	never 25:23
87:23 88:1,22	mind 9:11,12	21:2	26:10,15 50:22
88:24 89:4	14:23 17:11	mute 4:6	53:8 61:17
90:15,17 91:10	67:7 117:13	n	80:6,12,19
92:24 93:19,23	minimal 72:12	n 18:9,9	82:15 93:10
94:6 95:5 96:9	minus 113:7	n 18.9,9 name 4:17	104:15
96:17,19 97:2	minute 55:7	117:5 121:6	new 25:14
101:7,14 102:7	57:7 74:24	122:3,4,15	27:11 46:13
104:5,18 105:8	76:16 103:18	123:3,4,21	56:14 57:1
metal 33:21	117:22	near 92:16	62:16,18,19
methods 60:18	minutes 48:5	114:6	64:4 65:19
microcontroll	63:12 75:2	nearly 70:8	67:19 68:13
18:13	76:1,5,7,8	_	86:15 96:4
microphones	92:19 98:2,18	necessarily 71:10 84:20	newnes 18:9
4:4	99:3 111:5	85:13	nike 1:9 4:13
middle 28:17	114:21		5:5,6 43:9
midwest	mix 114:13	necessary 59:2 need 16:10	44:10,13 46:3
121:17 124:1	modern 18:6	21:24 23:24	47:11 48:12
	21:20 22:9	30:4 31:19	53:11 55:2

[nike - okay] Page 19

60:22 73:13	nw 2:14	98:12 99:6	17:4,7,12,21
85:4 86:1 88:7	o	101:9,15	18:7 20:8,11
88:11 89:20	oath 4:20 6:20	102:24 103:15	20:20 21:7
106:21 121:6	object 49:22	104:7,19 105:9	22:13 24:3,8,9
122:3 123:3	objection 13:16	110:6 113:23	24:14 25:3,6
nike's 8:21 42:5	13:22 15:11,24	115:22	25:10,23 26:2
42:8,15,19,20	17:17 20:4	objections 4:23	26:9 31:12,21
43:2,4,21 44:2	22:16 24:21	48:10 95:19	32:10 33:6,13
44:16,19 45:5	28:13 30:2	96:12	33:16,18 34:4
45:8,19 46:7	31:24 35:1,11	observe 57:16	34:15 35:16,16
46:13,17,24	36:14 37:20	observed 9:3	35:19 38:19
47:3 48:5,17	38:14 39:24	obviously	39:7 42:1 43:6
50:12 53:17,24	40:8,18 41:9	40:21 75:6	44:12 45:18
61:1 72:14	44:7 45:23	78:17	48:2,20 49:19
104:9	46:10,21 47:7	occupy 102:16	50:2 51:8 52:4
notarized	47:17 49:12	occur 60:3	53:24 54:17
121:14	50:19 51:4,10	occurs 56:19	57:10,12,14
notary 121:24	51:16 52:15	october 8:2,12	59:8,15 60:2,4
122:10,18	54:12 57:21	9:6,15	60:23 61:5,16
123:15,23	58:23 59:18	offered 12:3	63:1,10,20
124:23	61:11,21 62:9	offering 42:7	66:18 67:6,13
note 4:4 9:3	63:4 64:8,12	44:15	68:14,20 69:7
54:24 121:12	64:18 65:6,24	office 1:1 4:14	69:22 70:1,12
notice 3:7 6:15	66:10,22 68:2	official 122:15	81:3,24 82:21
62:22	70:16 71:3	123:21	82:23,24 83:17
noticing 5:3	72:9 73:23	oh 20:19 41:20	85:3,8 88:16
number 4:9,14	75:15 79:4,19	ohio 121:2	88:17 90:10
7:21 16:9 72:2	80:13 83:21	okay 5:17 6:14	91:2 92:20,22
91:22 113:7,9	84:14,22 85:14	6:17,22 7:1,1,9	93:21 94:3
121:7,13	85:22 86:10	7:15 8:1,5,9,15	96:6 98:16
numbers 7:18	87:2,14 88:2	8:18 9:8,15,19	100:24 101:3
7:20 112:6	88:14 89:1,17	10:3,7,12 12:8	105:15,23
113:6 123:7	90:18 91:4,14	12:18 13:2,7	107:2,5,8,17,23
numerical	92:5 93:5 94:9	14:2,14,22	108:11,13
109:9	95:8 97:5 98:5	15:3,20 16:4	111:9 112:20
	73.0 71.3 70.3		

113:5 114:20	operating	order 54:20	121:15 123:7
114:24 115:19	22:21,24 27:1	70:23 114:10	124:3
116:6,10,13	27:3,4,5,5,13	ordinary 11:22	paper 8:21
117:5,9,11	27:17 28:4,23	12:4 13:8,10	papers 25:17
118:21,23	29:3,4,4,5,8,20	13:13 39:3	25:19
old 75:8	30:1,9,11,12,16	45:2,15 52:22	paragraph
once 62:7 64:10	30:19 31:5,19	54:7,9 62:14	8:15 9:9,23
65:3 73:8,9,10	31:22 32:8,9	original 12:16	13:3 36:16,20
73:14 113:9	32:24 33:4,8	12:19 13:5	54:23 68:15,20
ones 16:20 17:2	67:8 77:23	39:19 46:15	69:7 74:13
17:5,11 20:12	101:20 113:19	47:20 50:3	105:24 107:2,6
20:13 21:9	115:1	52:19 76:3	107:9,23
23:12 24:1	operations 27:8	oscillated 64:1	111:10 116:13
53:11 84:1	opined 94:17	outcome 4:22	116:15
116:9 117:5,12	opining 46:23	120:18	parameters
117:16	opinion 35:23	outside 94:14	114:11
ongoing 74:23	42:21 43:6	own 20:1	paraphrase
75:1	44:15 54:2	owner 1:10	12:15
open 56:1 60:7	61:19 65:2	2:15 8:21	part 14:12 15:7
64:2,10 65:3	66:19 68:11	48:12 106:21	15:7 22:6
opened 55:24	75:11 79:14	owner's 3:7	42:12 59:23
56:3 57:18	95:15 102:20	46:3	80:15 100:9
60:12 61:17,18	104:16 105:6	p	102:18 123:9
opening 5:15	107:13 110:3	p.m. 105:17,21	participant
43:11 44:23	opinions 8:13	118:1,5 119:2	69:19 70:4
45:13 46:2	9:10,16,17	119:3	73:22 74:4
54:5,8 55:22	12:2 37:11,16	pace 55:7	participant's
57:6,12,14	38:10 39:1,21	110:19 111:4	69:11 70:10,14
58:7,19 61:7	40:5,17 42:1,7	packages 26:16	70:24
62:6,23 81:11	42:13 49:1,6	page 3:3 7:15	participants
85:24	50:5,10 51:22	7:19,19 8:1,15	69:19 70:5,7
opens 57:17	65:16 104:2,22	35:17 48:22	72:23 74:5,9
operate 22:22	104:24 105:4	52:5,7,8 71:19	particular
29:3 99:17	opposed 70:9	72:16 107:23	14:20 37:7
	76:16	110:16 121:13	82:3

4:ll	4411-02	-	
particularly	patents 11:23	performing	physics 20:24
53:11	pay 26:14	14:5 78:21	26:22
parties 4:8	pc 27:6 29:18	79:1,8	pic32 18:13
120:17	pc's 29:3	period 109:8	pick 4:5 57:11
parts 11:14	people 17:24	periods 55:3	picked 99:18
14:14	18:14,21 22:3	person 11:22	picking 102:9
party 4:21	25:9 26:14,17	12:3,8 13:7,9	picture 109:24
pascal 17:9	77:16 114:18	13:13 19:1	piece 17:16
pass 63:2	115:3,16	39:3,3 45:2,15	22:9 26:14
118:21	116:20	52:21 54:7,9	pixel 78:19
passing 60:16	perceive 75:21	58:14 62:14	pixels 75:20
65:13	perceived	112:21 113:14	78:16,16,17
past 10:2,5	48:15 55:2,14	113:14	place 4:7
11:10,12 56:12	55:21 56:7	personal	places 11:24
patent 1:1,3,10	76:6	120:12	planes 31:15,23
1:12 2:15 3:7	percent 75:13	personally	33:3,9
3:11,13 4:13	75:19,21 77:2	122:11 123:15	platform 26:5
8:21,23 12:4	77:3,5,6	perspective	26:10,11
35:24 36:11	percentage	66:7,8	play 19:24 21:2
37:22 38:2,12	76:21	petitioner 2:8	23:24
39:2,6,14	perfect 70:18	5:9	played 22:12
41:12 42:2	perfectly 70:13	petitioner's 7:3	117:18
45:3 46:3	perform 16:7,8	petitioners 1:6	playing 19:6
48:12 52:12,21	16:15 25:9	ph.d. 1:15 3:4	29:11,18
53:5,12,15,20	36:5,12 39:9	5:18 19:17	116:20
54:4,8,21	40:16,20 50:18	106:21 121:8	please 4:4,6,24
66:16 71:12,18	51:9 53:3	122:4,9 123:4	5:11 24:11
73:12 77:13,15	78:20 79:2	123:13 124:20	37:22 41:17
79:24 82:17	111:21	phone 81:22	48:22 68:16
85:9 104:13	performance	82:2,3 121:3	69:6 88:16,17
105:14 106:11	29:7 30:18	phones 4:6	108:12 111:10
106:21 108:2,9	32:7 33:21	physical 112:12	121:11,11
109:13,19,24	108:5	113:1 115:7,20	plug 59:17
110:5,9,22	performed 41:2	116:8	point 11:21
	50:9 51:2		12:3 40:6

47:10 71:17	prepare 9:19	problem 83:12	100:10,11,15
72:24 76:15	prepared 23:14	problems 93:3	100:23 101:8
78:8 100:20	42:11 103:20	100:1	101:12 104:6
102:4,4,5	preparing 36:9	procedure	116:12
104:16	106:24	122:5 123:5	property 83:16
pointed 96:5	presence 92:2	proceed 34:13	84:2
pointing	present 5:1	proceeding	proposed 42:19
109:12,18	52:1	4:23 10:19	42:20,23 43:3
poor 29:22	presenting 21:3	proceedings	43:9 44:12,20
porter 2:10 5:5	press 18:6	120:14	45:8,11,19
5:6	82:13,14	product 49:13	46:7,17 48:8
portion 108:3	presses 82:1	production	53:24 72:19
108:19 109:1,6	pressing	121:15,17,22	proposing
109:12,18	101:19	program 22:1,4	72:17 73:13
110:5,23	pretty 30:12	22:13 58:5	prosecution
portions	32:21 104:21	66:8 102:13,15	8:24
110:11	115:16	programing	provide 31:12
posita 42:24	prevent 29:10	15:4,5,5 20:10	35:22 49:6
69:7,15 86:18	previous 62:16	20:16,21 22:2	50:5 66:19
position 82:7	120:6	24:16	67:21 68:7
possibility	previously	programmed	69:10,18 70:3
73:14 90:23	60:24 62:5	19:23 20:14	70:24 75:18
99:24	prior 35:23	61:18	79:10 84:3
possible 43:20	39:17,18,23	progress 69:11	92:24 94:5
104:16 105:7	40:7,13 41:5	74:20 77:8	95:4 96:8,24
105:11 116:4	42:3 43:18	78:7,10 108:4	118:19
possibly 8:7	50:14 52:1	projected	provided 12:10
11:10 14:17	53:9 104:13	112:11	18:2 44:10
80:16	105:13	properly 78:13	47:11 66:14
posted 18:20	private 4:5	78:21 79:15	68:1 90:3
power 102:11	privilege 49:12	80:3 81:7,16	provides 26:21
practical 91:2,7	probably 10:10	83:3,9,19 86:6	providing
practiced 15:16	23:19 28:15	93:2 94:8 95:7	28:19 51:22
prefer 63:12	34:2 112:14	96:11 97:4,20	64:6 65:4,22
		97:22 98:3,23	66:14,21 67:19

67:22,24 68:22	45:6 48:3,24	55:17 56:8	reason 6:22
79:9 84:10,18	49:21 71:18	71:10 90:6,7	121:14 123:8
109:7	72:5 76:23	rates 30:17	124:3
public 18:21	80:9 81:5,15	rather 49:6	reasonable
122:10,18	84:16 87:8	50:5	114:17
123:15,23	88:16,17 90:10	read 11:13,15	recall 52:2
124:23	91:6 94:23,24	11:22 12:4,12	118:12
publish 25:17	95:1,13,22,24	12:16 18:11	receipt 121:18
published 18:5	96:4,4 109:15	27:11 39:12	recognize 6:10
18:8	questioning	54:7,22 69:2	6:12 7:7 88:7
publishing	94:12	69:22,24 89:5	106:17
25:19	questions 118:7	122:5,6,12	recognizing
pulled 103:21	118:9,24	123:5,6,17	29:7
purchased	quick 63:11	reading 45:3	record 4:2,8
18:14	quickly 12:18	69:15 121:19	5:2 12:2,11
purely 43:4	115:16,17	readings 33:22	34:9,11 63:14
purpose 70:6	118:9	reads 36:22	63:18 105:17
70:10 76:2	quite 41:21	ready 103:9	105:21 118:1,5
78:12 83:7,18	quiz 23:23	real 82:18,22	119:2 120:13
84:19 85:12	r	83:9 99:9	123:9
101:13 112:16	race 70:7,20	realize 11:23	recorded 1:15
purposes 77:11	73:17 74:20,23	realm 15:21	4:10 80:8
push 80:6,11	74:24,24 75:1	realtime 22:18	recording 4:7
put 23:15 27:21	75:7 76:4,7,12	22:21,23,23	records 82:14
82:13 103:23	76:16,20,21	24:24 27:1,3,4	recur 54:20
113:8	78:4 89:22	28:4,22 29:5,8	recurrence
puts 112:8	90:5 93:10,13	30:1,9,11,15,19	86:1,8,12,16
putt 114:7	97:10,17 98:2	31:4,19,22	recurrent
python 16:22	98:18 99:3	32:8,9,24 33:4	54:10 65:11
20:17	radiated	33:8 36:23	73:5
q	100:14	45:1,16,20	recurrently
question 24:10	radtke 1:22	47:12 48:15	113:3 114:2
24:12,13 28:22	4:19 120:3,23	89:22 98:4	recurring
32:11 35:7,21	rate 30:5,23	114:13 116:21	54:10,11,19
35:22 38:7	33:23 54:19		62:12 73:10

[recurs - right] Page 24

	1	1	1
recurs 62:12	relative 69:19	50:10,11,15	respond 14:21
reduced 120:12	70:4,11,14,24	51:23 52:17,20	response 8:21
refer 7:21	72:23 73:21	53:6,8,16	46:3 48:12
43:14,16 69:12	74:4,5,9 77:8	54:14,22 65:14	103:22 106:22
reference 35:23	77:18 78:7	68:17 71:19	responsive 32:5
121:7 122:2	90:5 120:16	104:3 105:4	restrict 79:22
123:2	relevant 9:17	106:1,24 107:3	restriction
referenced	23:3 67:13	107:8	95:12
19:15 122:11	90:7	report 86:15	returned
123:15	rely 86:19	reported 1:22	121:18
references 9:17	relying 99:22	62:19 65:19	review 121:12
49:7	remain 55:3,6	120:11	122:1 123:1
referred 36:22	remember	reporter 4:19	reviewed 11:6
referring 13:2	10:23 12:14	5:11 120:2,4	11:16 106:23
36:16 44:6	24:4 34:20	122:7	reword 49:22
45:12 47:5	51:15,22 106:5	repositories	right 7:14 8:19
48:9,16 53:1	removed 43:21	18:21	9:1 13:8 16:12
58:13 87:5,17	90:12	represent 5:9	21:9 23:17
108:20 109:6	rendered 37:16	representative	26:9,12 27:1
110:24 117:1,3	38:10 39:21	32:21 79:23	29:16 32:2,22
117:12	40:5 50:10	representing	44:22 45:18
refers 111:13	105:4 109:23	4:17	52:9 57:17
reflecting 70:8	rendering	request 123:9	58:9,10 60:7,8
70:14 116:1	40:17 104:2	123:11	60:9,14 62:1
refuse 32:11	rephrase 87:9	require 67:24	63:22,23 64:2
refusing 32:18	reply 3:8 5:16	92:3	64:3,22 68:14
regarding	7:8,22 8:13	required	68:23,24 70:12
49:16 69:18	36:9,17,18	121:24	70:15 73:22
70:3 118:12	37:4,11,14,16	reread 9:21	74:14 75:11
register 82:1	38:10,21,23	research 16:7,9	76:22 77:9
regular 30:5,17	39:21 40:5,10	20:22 22:20	78:10,24 79:17
related 4:21	40:22 43:22	resources	81:8,10,15
14:9 108:4	44:3 45:4	28:11	86:4 91:13
relates 15:5	47:23 48:18	respect 15:22	92:10 93:24
	49:10,16,18	19:6 37:6	95:24 98:24,24

00.45.400.40	0 47.00	1005	0.6.4.
99:15 100:10	safe 15:20	screen 19:2,5	86:17
117:21	sample 56:24	82:8,15 112:11	sensed 56:20
robot 14:4	san 2:4	112:14 113:18	senses 59:12
18:24 19:3	satisfy 67:2	seal 122:15	sensitive 4:5
23:3 27:9,24	76:17 78:22	123:21	sensor 27:10
28:1 30:6,8	satisfying 84:6	seasoned 25:21	55:23 56:2,14
robotic 14:8	saying 24:2	second 36:24	57:12 59:12
15:2,17,23	33:2 45:19	37:1 43:17	62:22 64:22
16:5 28:9	56:17,22 59:9	111:12 116:15	65:13 81:12
robotics 14:4	70:13 75:17	seconds 55:6	83:8 89:10
15:15,22,23	86:4 91:23	111:5	sensorizing
16:5,6,7 18:6	97:8 98:8 99:1	section 69:2	14:5
25:1 30:20	100:6 110:10	see 11:2,4	sensors 31:3
31:1	111:3	35:21 36:1,7	sent 27:11 89:4
robots 14:11	says 86:1 93:13	48:24 49:8	sentence 69:24
15:5,6 21:1	107:10 108:1	52:9,13,14	107:9 108:1
22:22 30:15	scale 75:8	67:16 81:13	111:12
31:14,23 32:9	scenario 63:2	102:8 107:15	serve 112:15
33:3,9,10	schedule 28:6	108:1,7,14,16	serves 83:18
rocket 33:17	65:11 86:16	108:18 109:1	84:19 94:20
rpr 1:22 120:23	90:7	110:11 111:15	service 27:20
rules 122:5	scholer 2:10	114:6 115:9	set 62:16,17
123:5	school 19:16	116:17,23	100:1 120:20
run 55:5	science 15:10	seeing 19:5	setting 60:24
102:23 110:19	15:14,16,21	62:1	several 10:2,5
runner 55:5,7	20:23	seems 28:8	11:20 117:4
55:11	scope 52:11,24	seen 112:22	share 16:15
running 27:6	94:14 95:9	sees 56:24	46:23
27:19 30:14	97:6 101:15	67:21	sheet 121:13
97:10 102:9,11	score 112:8	send 56:12,14	123:7,10,18
runs 55:7 111:3	113:9 114:8	62:18	124:1
S	scorekeeper	sending 56:21	ships 33:17
s 18:9 121:15	114:10,20	57:1 62:15	shooting 28:17
123:8,8 124:3	scratch 26:7	sense 7:13 27:6	29:12 116:21
123.0,0 124.3		67:16 75:7	117:1,3

	T	I	
short 34:10	sir 121:10	22:11,14 24:15	spectrum 28:10
63:15 73:17	sit 8:5 12:13	25:15 26:14,15	speculate 85:16
75:8 118:2	24:7 33:6,13	29:5,14,19,21	spend 24:9
shorthand	42:14,18 66:18	34:23 35:9	67:15
120:2,3	84:1 85:11	solutions 121:1	spent 50:21
show 57:18	86:5 94:4 95:3	124:1	66:3,12,13
70:6,10 73:21	96:7,23 101:5	somebody 78:3	67:5,17 95:15
76:2 78:9 83:8	sitting 8:11	97:17 102:13	103:19
109:8	14:19,22 16:12	112:7 113:6,18	spinny 27:22
showed 72:23	32:19 51:21	115:4	28:17 29:12
showing 64:14	80:16 83:13	son 20:15	30:13
69:10 74:23	94:18 95:14	soon 11:11	spoke 10:8,10
75:1 76:14	102:2,17	92:17	spreadsheet
77:12,15 82:4	situation 29:11	sorry 38:6 40:2	101:21
83:18 84:19	six 55:7 57:7	41:20 57:8	stability 30:17
89:21 111:22	sixth 19:22	61:12 76:23	33:5
shown 121:16	20:13	88:4 91:6	stage 76:15,19
shows 60:6	skill 11:22 12:4	103:9,13	77:2,2,5
signature 8:2,3	12:9 13:8,10	109:14	stages 76:11
120:22 121:14	13:12,13 39:4	sort 29:22	77:1,3,6
signed 122:13	45:2,15 52:22	70:15 90:4	stamped 7:20
123:18	54:7,9 62:14	92:1 110:15	standing 112:7
signing 121:19	skilled 43:7	sorts 15:4	114:5
similar 77:17	44:21 45:9,21	sound 7:23	standpoint
110:1,17,17	46:19 47:15	61:2	39:3 52:21
simultaneously	48:7 54:2,18	sounds 20:2	54:6
36:23 43:13,14	60:17,20 61:5	speak 116:3	start 57:11
43:24 44:5	68:22 87:21	specific 13:18	103:8
45:17 47:12	88:19 90:13	28:11 37:18	starting 19:22
48:14 84:12,20	smallest 72:18	38:13 51:6	starts 116:16
84:24	72:19	96:5	state 4:24 5:1
sincerely	software 16:18	specifically	64:15 120:4
121:21	17:13,16,22,23	8:10 35:17	122:10 123:15
single 24:4	18:2,15,19,22	73:12 99:2	statement 69:8
117:3	21:18,21 22:9		122:13,14

			I
123:19,19	subscribed	sworn 5:13,19	74:2,14 77:18
states 1:1 4:13	122:10 123:14	120:8 122:10	82:17 106:7,11
status 56:5	124:21	122:13 123:14	107:10,14,18
64:7 65:5	substantial	123:18 124:21	108:23 109:4,7
69:18 70:4,7,9	55:3	system 16:16	109:11,17,20
70:11,14,20	suite 1:17	22:24 27:3,4,5	109:22 110:2,3
71:1 72:24	121:2	27:6,9,13,17	110:18,21
73:21 74:4,9	superior 121:1	28:9 29:3,4,8	111:2
74:22 75:7	supervise 19:12	29:21 30:1,11	tagliabue's
76:3 77:18	support 106:21	30:12,20 31:3	68:21 69:8
89:21 90:5	supported	31:8,9,20,22	take 4:7 32:12
116:1,2	73:11	32:6,8,23,24	38:19 39:13,16
staubach 2:13	supports	33:1,5 56:9	39:22 40:6
5:6	107:13	64:22 65:22	63:11 69:3
stay 117:14	supposed 77:24	67:1,18 68:6	92:17 102:12
stenographic	102:13 113:20	91:20 92:2	103:9 105:15
120:11	suppresses	99:22 100:16	113:16 117:21
stop 33:24	101:18	100:17 101:20	taken 4:10
stops 81:12	sure 6:12 12:18	102:3 103:5,13	34:10 63:15
114:20	13:20 18:18	system's 28:4	105:18 118:2
stored 80:18	19:13 20:6,9	systems 14:4,7	talk 10:1 16:14
82:2	21:8 25:13,16	14:8 15:1,2,18	32:12 34:16
street 1:16 2:4	25:20 27:10	15:23 16:6	37:4,14 44:24
2:11 4:16	28:5 31:17	22:21 27:1	45:1
stress 33:21	33:15 34:7	28:23 29:4,5	talked 9:23
student 19:17	37:24 38:8	29:16 30:9,10	28:7 58:7
students 19:7,8	40:4 59:7 60:5	30:16 31:2,5	77:21
19:12 20:24	69:23 76:24	31:11,18 32:9	talking 5:23
21:2 26:1	81:20 95:14	32:13,20,22	28:9,12 29:14
stuff 100:24	97:24 100:19	33:4,8 58:3	29:17,17 30:1
sub 35:14	103:10 104:22	67:8,11 102:9	34:18 50:3
115:4	109:16 112:23	t	59:16,20 60:6
subject 29:20	swarm 15:2	tablet 82:13	63:21,24 74:4
49:18 62:21	swear 5:11	tagliabue 69:13	82:3,9,16
		69:15,20 73:19	101:19 116:19

[task - time] Page 28

task 19:2 28:3	tenths 72:20	110:20 111:7	105:12 112:14
62:13 65:12	term 40:12	thank 5:10	112:15 116:9
72:2 73:3	41:6 44:14	68:18	117:22
113:13	50:14,14 52:3	thanks 5:17,23	thinking 21:9
tasks 28:5,11	53:3,3,8,9 66:2	thing 11:13,15	32:19 85:17
teach 13:10	66:12 67:4,16	24:4 27:23	95:16 103:19
teaches 71:12	85:1,9,10 92:3	30:13 59:17	third 107:17
74:2	terms 36:18	84:13	thirty 121:18
teaching 18:3	37:7,19 38:13	things 13:24	thomas 114:6
technologies	42:5 43:1,8	14:2 22:8	thought 41:23
16:10 25:14	45:4,10,22	26:20,23 40:21	80:9,24 103:23
49:7 50:6	46:14,18,19	43:2,9 74:2	thousand 78:16
technology	47:5,10,16	79:23 91:22	78:19
25:21 26:3,8	48:7,16 51:6	99:23 100:2,17	three 6:3 47:10
telephone	53:10 54:6	100:18 116:21	47:14
99:17,17	66:3 91:2,7	think 6:13	threshold
100:12,23	92:11	14:19 16:11,24	75:13
tell 91:12 93:18	test 33:19	17:4,5,7 20:21	time 4:2,6,24
97:17,18 99:2	testified 5:20	20:24 21:11,12	10:7,13 11:7
102:13	48:4 52:9	23:13,22 24:1	11:24 13:11
telling 94:21	73:18 76:3	24:7 30:24	23:17 24:9
96:14,16	118:12	31:1,8,10,11,21	27:12,20 28:2
tells 93:9 98:2	testify 6:19,22	32:4,13,21	28:5 31:2 34:5
98:17 110:19	103:20 120:8	33:7,13 34:1,3	38:19 40:2
ten 10:10,11	testimony	41:4,16 44:21	43:16,17 48:15
56:4,11,22,24	11:17,21 34:16	45:9,21 47:14	50:22 51:23
57:19 58:8	60:9 78:24	48:2,6 54:3	55:3 60:16
59:13 60:13,15	83:17 98:7,11	67:7 76:17	63:2 65:13
61:8 62:17	98:14 107:12	79:23 82:10	66:3,13,13
63:12 74:24	117:13 118:24	83:14 84:1	67:5,8,15,17
78:16,17 92:19	120:14 122:6,7	85:8,11 86:1,5	68:1 75:8,12
98:2,17 99:2	123:6,9,12	88:10 91:22	76:20 78:8
tenth 77:12	testing 33:20	92:18 94:19	85:5,5 88:18
78:2 79:6	text 10:14 53:5	95:18 98:20	95:16 109:8
	109:4 110:1,8	104:21 105:1	114:9

[times - updated] Page 29

	T	I	1
times 11:20	tour 76:9	two 7:19 8:7	78:24 80:12
21:6 23:2,11	toward 69:11	10:16 17:24	81:5 84:16
34:24 35:10	trademark 1:1	18:1,2 20:19	86:4 87:16,21
62:2 99:19	4:14	57:5,8 58:2	88:19 91:6,9
102:21,22	transcribed	60:11,12 61:17	97:24 98:24
timing 27:14	122:7	62:6,7	100:21 101:2
title 18:10,12	transcript 3:10	type 80:17	110:8,14
today 4:19 6:23	11:3,6,11,16	85:20 109:11	understanding
7:10,18 9:12	48:21 52:5	109:17	12:8 24:15
9:20 12:2,3,13	120:10 121:11	typed 81:22	43:7 44:4,14
14:23 16:24	121:12 122:5	typewriting	46:18 53:13,18
17:4,8 23:12	122:12 123:5	120:12	54:6 60:23
23:20 24:1,7	123:11,17	typically 22:24	62:4
33:6,14 41:16	traveled 55:11	typing 82:5	understood
42:14,18 51:21	trial 1:3	typo 8:7	12:1 53:4 69:8
66:18 85:12	tried 95:1	typos 8:9	69:16 98:7
86:5 94:4 95:3	true 120:13	u	unit 4:9 72:18
96:7,23 101:5	truth 120:8	u.s. 3:11,13	72:19
today's 118:24	truthfully 6:23	unchanged	united 1:1 4:13
told 20:9 24:17	try 30:16 52:10	55:3,6 62:16	units 72:12,16
37:13 44:19	52:24 71:11	under 6:20	unity 26:5,13
45:7,16 47:2	87:8	10:10 32:14,20	26:16,19
toney 3:12 8:22	trying 19:2	33:21,21	universe 83:1
9:4 106:20	20:23 23:18,23	120:12	university 18:6
107:6,11,24	24:14 39:15	understand	unstable 28:2
108:19 109:12	53:2 75:6 91:9	6:13,18 7:9	update 30:5,17
109:18	98:21	13:9,12,13	30:23 33:23
tools 31:14,23	turn 7:15 35:17	22:5 24:3 26:9	55:12 56:9,12
33:3,9	48:22 52:4	42:17,24 43:8	57:20 59:15
top 26:7,17	68:15	44:18 45:4,18	64:6,17 66:5
52:9 108:16	turnaround	52:11,24 54:9	68:10,12,13
111:2	23:2 34:24	54:18 59:7	71:23 73:8
topic 85:7	35:10	60:5,9,17,20	113:17
total 10:7 111:4	tv 112:22	61:5 62:15	updated 55:11
	113:17	70:12 75:11	56:18,20,21

[updated - way] Page 30

57:19 58:8,12 58:22 59:9 68:9 72:6,22 75:12 76:1,5 86:13 90:6 113:3 114:2,17 115:10,14 116:2,11 updates 55:19 57:16 65:4,23 66:2,9,21 67:24 68:1,7 69:18 70:3,24 76:7 77:4 updating 56:4 58:3 68:8	useless 76:8 user 25:12,15 28:15,19 36:24 36:24 37:5,15 43:17,17 55:15 57:23 58:17 59:4 69:10 71:21,23 74:22 75:19 78:1,18 82:20,23 83:19 84:3,4,19,20 99:10 101:18 108:2 111:7,8 user's 59:21 66:3,8 74:20 78:7 108:4	veritext.com. 121:17 version 54:15 56:22 57:1 71:19 versus 4:13 video 1:15 4:7 4:10 18:23 19:1,5,21,24 20:1,11,17,21 21:1,15,18,20 21:22,24 22:9 22:11,15,18 23:1,4,6 24:15 25:9 26:4,6,17 28:7,18,22	115:7,21 viewed 68:22 visualization 59:2 w w 18:9 wait 27:23 waived 121:19 walking 113:7 want 14:20 18:11 24:9 27:10 32:11 69:3 81:19 92:17,19 99:8 101:4 108:12 114:13
73:14 76:16,19 usa 1:6 4:12 use 7:12,13,18 22:23 25:15 26:5,10 27:9 28:22 30:19 32:9 33:3,8 34:23 35:9 60:23 70:18 used 16:21 18:16,19 22:3 22:24 31:22 54:21 58:3 59:15 useful 75:9,14 82:19,23 111:23,24 115:10	users 17:23 25:14,21 using 7:21 14:6 26:3 30:10,11 30:15 43:4,23 44:2 54:14 65:15 86:19 92:22 101:21 114:2 usually 19:7,11 19:12,23 29:2 30:11 v v 1:8 121:6 122:3 123:3 variants 17:1 various 34:18 veritext 4:18,19 121:1,7 124:1	29:10,12 34:23 35:3,6,9,13 116:19 117:1 videographer 1:24 4:1,18 5:10 34:8,11 63:13,16 105:16,19 117:24 118:3 118:23 view 11:21 12:3 27:16 44:21 45:10,22 46:8 46:19 47:4,16 47:20 48:7 64:5 65:18,22 71:16 85:19 89:13 90:13 111:23 113:11	washington 2:14 wasting 62:15 97:10 watch 112:1 watched 112:21 way 7:20 10:13 21:3 28:21 29:21 41:8 47:19 57:18 62:17 68:6 71:11 73:18,20 77:23 79:8 82:19,23 86:17 89:5 97:23 110:4 113:19 115:1

[ways - years] Page 31

			,
ways 60:11	24:23 28:14	122:11 123:1,4	world 82:18,22
62:12 67:1	30:3 35:2,12	123:15	83:9 84:4 99:9
we've 7:2 10:17	36:15 37:21	witness' 121:14	116:20
20:22 21:1,6	38:6,15 40:1,9	won 97:18	wrap 117:23
66:20 92:15	40:19 41:10	102:14	write 18:19
106:12	44:8 46:1,12	word 43:12,24	20:16 21:23
went 40:22	46:22 47:9,18	53:14,19 54:1	40:11,15 50:13
51:23	48:11 49:19,20	54:3,20 59:15	50:16
west 1:16 2:11	50:20 51:5,11	70:18 111:13	writing 19:8
4:15	51:17 54:13	113:9	20:20 22:9,14
wheel 27:22	57:22 59:1,19	words 41:24	40:22 41:8,12
28:18 29:13	61:13,22 62:10	46:9	41:23
30:13	63:5 64:13,19	work 23:5 25:8	written 17:13
whereof 120:20	65:7 66:1,11	28:16 30:10	17:24 18:1,23
whispering 4:5	66:23 68:3	49:12 51:13,19	19:9,20 21:1
wide 75:21	70:17 71:4	worked 20:16	22:1,11 26:15
78:16,16,17,19	72:10 74:1	23:17	29:6,19 30:9
willing 113:16	75:16 79:5,20	working 20:15	wrong 18:11
wilshire 2:6	80:14 83:22	27:22 64:22,23	wrote 20:13
winner 56:17	84:15,23 85:15	78:12 80:3	41:4 50:22
56:17,19,23,23	85:23 86:11	81:7,12,16	y
56:23 57:1,6,6	87:3,15 88:3	83:2,9,19	yeah 17:10
74:6,6 77:22	89:2,18 90:19	84:10 86:6	26:13 31:1
77:22 79:7,7	91:5,15 92:6	93:2 94:1,8	32:20 81:20
84:8,8 90:3	93:7 94:16	95:7 96:11	94:17 113:3
97:9	95:10,20 96:13	97:4,20,22	years 20:19
wins 78:4	97:7 98:13	98:3,23 99:4	61:17 62:6,8
wireless 16:13	99:7 101:11,16	99:20,21	64:11 65:3
wirelessly	103:1,16 104:8	100:10,11,15	76:4
16:17	104:20 105:10	100:22,23,24	/ 0. ¬
witness 3:3	110:7 114:1	101:8,12,23	
5:12,13 13:17	115:23 118:7	102:14,14	
13:23 15:12	118:21 120:7,7	104:6 116:12	
16:1 17:18	120:20 121:8	works 84:5	
20:5 22:17	121:11 122:1,4	95:13	