UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CROWDSTRIKE, INC.
Petitioner

v.

OPEN TEXT INC.
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2023-00289 Patent No. 8,418,250

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING

UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit 1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,418,250 to Morris et al. ("'250 Patent")
Exhibit 1002	File History of U.S. Patent No. 8,418,250 ("'250 File History")
Exhibit 1003	Declaration of Dr. Wenke Lee ("Decl.")
Exhibit 1004	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0210035 A1 to Kester et al. ("Kester")
Exhibit 1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,225,343 to Honig et al. ("Honig")
Exhibit 1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,594,272 to Kennedy et al. ("Kennedy")
Exhibit 1007	Plaintiff's Opposition Markman Brief, 22-cv-00243 WDTX, No. 98
Exhibit 1008	Intentionally left blank
Exhibit 1009	Intentionally left blank
Exhibit 1010	Defendants' Opening Markman Brief, 22-cv-00243 WDTX, No. 86
Exhibit 1011	Intrusion Detection with Unlabeled Data Using Clustering by Leonid Portnoy, et al. ("Portnoy")
Exhibit 1012	U.S. Patent No. 6,944,772 to Dozortsev ("Dozortsev")
Exhibit 1013	U.S. Patent No. 6,772,363 to Chess et al. ("Chess")
Exhibit 1014	WO 2002/033525 to Shyne-Song Chuang
Exhibit 1015	EP 1,549,012 to Kristof De Spiegeleer
Exhibit 1016	EP 1,280,040 to Alexander James Hinchliffe, et al.
Exhibit 1017	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0153644 to McCorkendale ("McCorkendale")

Exhibit 1018	U.S. Patent No. 7,516,476 to Kraemer ("Kraemer") U.S. Patent
	No. 7,516476 to Kraemer ("Kraemer")
Exhibit 1019	Harold S. Javitz et al., The NIDES Statistical Component:
	Description and Justification" ("The NIDES Statistical
	Component: Description and Justification")
Exhibit 1020	U.S. Patent No. 7,448,084 to Apap et al.
Exhibit 1021	Order Granting Third Amended Scheduling Order, 22-cv-00243
	WDTX, No. 142 ("Third Amended Scheduling Order")
Exhibit 1022	Declaration of Hunter Horton in Support of Motion for Pro Hac
	Vice
Exhibit 1023	Agreement

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, and the Board's email of November 13, 2023, Petitioner CrowdStrike, Inc. ("CrowdStrike" or "Petitioner") and Patent Owner Open Text Inc. ("Open Text" or "Patent Owner") (collectively, the "Parties") have reached a resolution and jointly move to terminate the above-captioned proceeding.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Parties have reached an agreement (the "Agreement") to resolve their disputes.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the Agreement is in writing, and a true and correct copy is being filed as Confidential Exhibit 1023. The Agreement is being filed electronically with access to "Board and Parties Only." A "Joint Request to File Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74" is being filed concurrently with this Joint Motion to Terminate, to treat the Agreement as business confidential information and to keep it separate from the files of the involved patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

II. RELIEF REQUESTED

Termination of this *inter partes* review is requested, and the Parties respectfully submit that such termination is justified. "There are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding." Consolidated Trial

Practice Guide 86 (Nov. 2019). "The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding." *Id.* (citing 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a)).

The Board should terminate this proceeding, as the Parties jointly request, for the following reasons.

First, CrowdStrike and Open Text have met the statutory requirement that they file a "joint request" to terminate before the Office "has decided the merits of the proceeding." 35 U.S.C. § 317(a). Under section 317(a), an *inter partes* review shall be terminated upon such joint request "unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed." There are no other preconditions recited in 35 U.S.C. § 317(a).

Second, CrowdStrike and Open Text have reached a resolution as to all the disputes in this proceeding and as to the '250 patent. A true copy of the Agreement is being filed concurrently herewith. See Confidential Exhibit 1023. CrowdStrike and Open Text request that the Agreement be treated as business confidential information and be kept separate from the files of this proceeding in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). No other such agreements, written or oral, exist between or among the Parties.

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2023-00289

U.S. Patent No. 8,418,250

Third, termination would save significant further expenditure of resources by the Parties. Termination upon settlement, as requested, would also further the purpose of *inter partes* review proceedings, which seek to provide an efficient and less costly alternative forum for patent disputes. Further, maintaining the proceeding would discourage further resolutions, as patent owners in similar situations would have a strong disincentive to resolve the dispute if they perceived that an *inter partes* review would continue regardless of a resolution.

III. STATUS OF LITIGATION AND PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING THE CHALLENGED PATENT

When authorizing this Motion, the Board asked the Parties to "update the Board concerning the status of any litigation or proceeding, including, but not limited to, proceedings in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, involving the subject patent, and advise the Board whether any litigation or proceeding involving the subject patent is contemplated in the foreseeable future."

U.S. Patent No. 8,418,250 ("the Challenged Patent") has been involved in the following proceedings:

- Webroot, Inc. v. AO Kaspersky Lab, 6-22-cv-00243 (WDTX), active.
- Webroot, Inc. v. CrowdStrike Holdings, 6-22-cv-00241 (WDTX), terminated.
- Webroot, Inc. v. Sophos Limited, 6-22-cv-00240 (WDTX), active.

- Webroot, Inc. v. Trend Micro, Inc., 6-22-cv-00239 (WDTX), active.
- Trend Micro, Inc. v. Webroot, Inc., IPR2023-00655, institution denied.
- Sophos, Inc. v. Open Text, Inc., IPR2023-00699, instituted and active.
- AO Kaspersky Lab v. Webroot, Inc., IPR2023-01334, preinstitution and pending motion to join instant proceeding— IPR2023-00289.
- Trend Micro, Inc. v. Open Text, Inc., IPR2024-00106, preinstitution and pending motion to join instituted IPR2023-00699.

The Parties confirm that the Agreement resolves all disputes between the Parties concerning the Challenged Patent. Accordingly, no litigation or proceedings involving the Challenged Patent and the Parties is contemplated in the foreseeable future. Other than the proceedings listed above, and without waiving any applicable privilege, Open Text is not aware of any imminent litigation involving the Challenged Patent.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, CrowdStrike and Open Text respectfully request termination of this *inter partes* review.

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2023-00289 U.S. Patent No. 8,418,250

Date: November 20, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

ERISE IP, P.A.

BY: /s/ Adam P. Seitz
Adam P. Seitz, Reg. No. 52,206
Paul R. Hart, Reg. No. 59,646
Callie A. Pendergrass, Reg. No. 63,949
Hunter A. Horton, *pro hac vice*COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

KING & SPALDING LLP

BY: <u>/Brian Eutermoser/</u>
Brian Eutermoser, Reg. No. 64,058
Russell E. Blythe, Reg. No. 58,440
Mikaela Stone, Reg. No. 70,404
COUNSEL FOR PATENT OWNER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.6(e)(4), the undersigned certifies that on November 20, 2023, a complete and entire copy of this Joint Motion to Terminate and Confidential Exhibit 1023 were provided by email, to the Patent Owner by serving the email correspondence addresses of record as follows:

Brian Eutermoser (beutermoser@kslaw.com) Russell E. Blythe (rblythe@kslaw.com) Mikaela Stone (mikaela.stone@kslaw.com) opentext-ipr-pgr@kslaw.com

/s/ Adam P. Seitz

Adam P. Seitz, Reg. No. 52,206

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER