

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CHINA CHENGDA ENGINEERING CO., LTD.  
Petitioner,

v.

DORIAMO LTD  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2023-00365  
Patent 8,591,852

---

Mailed: January 11, 2023

Before Paula Conn, *Trial Paralegal*

NOTICE OF FILING DATE ACCORDED TO PETITION  
AND  
TIME FOR FILING PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

The petition for *inter partes* review, filed in the above proceeding has been accorded the filing date of December 16, 2022.

Patent Owner may file a preliminary response to the petition no later than three months from the date of this notice. The preliminary response is limited to setting forth the reasons why the requested review should not be instituted. Patent Owner may also file an election to waive the preliminary response to expedite the proceeding. For more information, please consult

the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), which is available on the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>.

Patent Owner is advised of the requirement to submit mandatory notice information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2) within 21 days of service of the petition.

The parties are encouraged to use the heading on the first page of this Notice for all future filings in the proceeding.

The parties are advised that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), recognition of counsel pro hac vice requires a showing of good cause. The parties are authorized to file motions for pro hac vice admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Such motions shall be filed in accordance with the “Order -- Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7, a copy of which is available on the Board Web site under “Representative Orders, Decisions, and Notices.” **The parties are reminded that, in order for any motion for *pro hac vice* admission to be considered by the Board, the requisite fees must first be paid.** The current fee schedule is available at <https://www.uspto.gov/learning-and-resources/fees-and-payment/uspto-fee-schedule>.

The parties are reminded that unless otherwise permitted by 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2), all filings in this proceeding must be made electronically in Patent Trial and Appeal Board End to End (PTAB E2E), accessible from the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>. To file documents, users must register with PTAB E2E. Information regarding how to register with and use PTAB E2E is available at the Board Web site.

IPR2023-00365  
Patent No. 8,591,852

If there are any questions pertaining to this notice, please contact Paula Conn at 571-272-4589 or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board at 571-272-7822.

IPR2023-00365  
Patent No. 8,591,852

PETITIONER:

Eliot Williams  
Jennifer Tempesta  
Zhengmao Zhu  
Boyang Zhang  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
Eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com  
Jennifer.tempesta@bakerbotts.com  
Frank.zhu@bakerbotts.com  
Boyang.zhang@bakerbotts.com

PATENT OWNER:

Richard C. Litman  
NATH, GOLBERG & MEYER  
112 South West Street  
Alexander, VA 22314-2891

**NOTICE CONCERNING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**  
**(ADR)**

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) strongly encourages parties who are considering settlement to consider alternative dispute resolution as a means of settling the issues that may be raised in an AIA trial proceeding. Many AIA trials are settled prior to a Final Written Decision. Those considering settlement may wish to consider alternative dispute resolution techniques early in a proceeding to produce a quicker, mutually agreeable resolution of a dispute or to at least narrow the scope of matters in dispute. Alternative dispute resolution has the potential to save parties time and money.

Many non-profit organizations, both inside and outside the intellectual property field, offer alternative dispute resolution services. Listed below are the names and addresses of several such organizations. The listings are provided for the convenience of parties involved in cases before the PTAB; the PTAB does not sponsor or endorse any particular organization's alternative dispute resolution services. In addition, consideration may be given to utilizing independent alternative dispute resolution firms. Such firms may be located through a standard keyword Internet search.

| <b>CPR<br/>INSTITUTE<br/>FOR DISPUTE<br/>RESOLUTION</b> | <b>AMERICAN<br/>INTELLECTUAL<br/>PROPERTY<br/>LAW<br/>ASSOCIATION<br/>(AIPPLA)</b> | <b>AMERICAN<br/>ARBITRATION<br/>ASSOCIATION (AAA)</b> | <b>WORLD<br/>INTELLECTUAL<br/>PROPERTY<br/>ORGANIZATION (WIPO)</b> | <b>AMERICAN<br/>BAR<br/>ASSOCIATION<br/>(ABA)</b>            |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Telephone:<br>(212) 949-6490                            | Telephone:<br>(703) 415-0780                                                       | Telephone:<br>(212) 484-3266                          | Telephone:<br>41 22 338 9111                                       | Telephone :<br>(202) 662-1000                                |
| Fax: (212) 949-8859                                     | Fax: (703) 415-0786                                                                | Fax: (212) 307-4387                                   | Fax: 41 22 733 5428                                                | N/A                                                          |
| 575 Lexington Ave                                       | 241 18th Street, South,<br>Suite 700                                               | 140 West 51st<br>Street                               | 34, chemin des<br>Colombettes                                      | 1050 Connecticut Ave,<br>NW                                  |
| New York, NY 10022                                      | Arlington, VA 22202                                                                | New York, NY<br>10020                                 | CH-1211 Geneva 20,<br>Switzerland                                  | Washington D.C. 20036                                        |
| <a href="http://www.cpradr.org">www.cpradr.org</a>      | <a href="http://www.aippla.org">www.aippla.org</a>                                 | <a href="http://www.adr.org">www.adr.org</a>          | <a href="http://www.wipo.int">www.wipo.int</a>                     | <a href="http://www.americanbar.org">www.americanbar.org</a> |

If parties to an AIA trial proceeding consider using alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would like to know whether the parties ultimately decided to engage in alternative dispute resolution and the reasons why or why not. If the parties actually engage in alternative dispute resolution, the

IPR2023-00365

Patent No. 8,591,852

PTAB would be interested to learn what mechanism (e.g., arbitration, mediation, etc.) was used and the general result. Such a statement from the parties is not required but would be helpful to the PTAB in assessing the value of alternative dispute resolution to parties involved in AIA trial proceedings. To report an experience with ADR, please forward a summary of the particulars to the following email address: PTAB\_ADR\_Comments@uspto.gov