## **Andrew B. Turner**

**From:** Jelenchick, Kadie M. <KJelenchick@foley.com>

**Sent:** Monday, April 15, 2024 3:12 PM

**To:** John M. Halan

Cc: Koley, Ashley M.; Maass, Steph; LCF0110L@brookskushman.com; Thomas A. Lewry; Andrew B. Turner

Subject: RE: Woodway v. LifeCORE - Improper Document Doctoring and Submission

John,

We looked into this issue, and we can only conclude that we inadvertently printed a native copy of the document for use in Ms. Bates' deposition, which did not include the confidentiality designation and production numbers. I assure you that no one on our end intentionally "doctored" the document.

In any event, Ms. Bates testified that Exhibit 39 is not confidential, (see T. Bates Depo. Tr. at 76-80), which means that Assault's confidentiality designation was improper in the first instance. Woodway requests that Assault withdraw the confidentiality designation.

In view of the foregoing, at this time, Woodway is not considering the removal of Exhibit 39 from Woodway's IPR responses. However, if Assault is unwilling to de-designate the document, Woodway is amenable to submitting a request to seal the document in connection with the IPR. As the proponent, Assault will need to provide a justification to the USPTO as to why the document is confidential, which will be difficult in view of Ms. Bates' testimony.

Please let us know how you would like to proceed.

Kadie M. Jelenchick Partner & Co-Chair IP Litigation

Foley & Lardner LLP
777 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, WI 53202-5306
Phone 414.319.7324 | Cell 414.324.1868
View My Bio | Visit Foley.com | kjelenchick@foley.com



From: John M. Halan < jhalan@brookskushman.com>

Sent: Friday, April 12, 2024 8:48 AM

To: Jelenchick, Kadie M. <KJelenchick@foley.com>

Cc: Koley, Ashley M. <AKoley@foley.com>; Maass, Steph <SMaass@foley.com>; LCF0110L@brookskushman.com;

Thomas A. Lewry <tlewry@brookskushman.com>; Andrew B. Turner <aturner@brookskushman.com>

Subject: Woodway v. LifeCORE - Improper Document Doctoring and Submission

## \*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL MESSAGE \*\*

Kadie,

It has come to our attention that Woodway doctored the document introduced as Exhibit 39 during the deposition of Traci Bates. This included, among other things, deleting both the "CONFIDENTIAL – FOR COUNSEL ONLY" designations and the Bates Nos. NPD009058-9078.

Even more egregiously, Woodway then submitted the doctored document, and referred to the doctored document and its text, in conjunction with its IPR responses in IPRs 2023-00836, -00843, and -00849. This was done by Woodway in violation of paragraph 7 of the Protective Order in the underlying lawsuit that provides in pertinent part: "All confidential information designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL FOR COUNSEL ONLY" ... must not be used for any purpose other than in connection with this litigation."

We request that you <u>immediately</u> take all steps necessary to remove Exhibit 39, and all references to Exhibit 39, from your IPR responses.

If you refuse to do so, we will bring this to the attention of the District Court and PTAB and seek appropriate sanctions.

Thank you,

John M. Halan

Shareholder
D: 248.798.3100

jhalan@brookskushman.com
LinkedIn | Bio



Brooks Kushman P.C. 150 W 2<sup>nd</sup> St., Suite 400N Royal Oak, MI 48067

brookskushman.com

## **FUELED BY RELATIONSHIPS**

IMPORTANT/CONFIDENTIAL: This message may be privileged, confidential, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail.

The information contained in this message, including but not limited to any attachments, may be confidential or protected by the attorney-client or work-product privileges. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this message in error, please (i) do not read it, (ii) reply to the sender that you received the message in error, and (iii) erase or destroy the message and any attachments or copies. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance on the contents of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited, and may be unlawful. Unintended transmission does not constitute waiver of the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege. Legal advice contained in the preceding message is solely for the benefit of the Foley & Lardner LLP client(s) represented by the Firm in the particular matter that is the subject of this message, and may not be relied upon by any other party. Unless expressly stated otherwise, nothing contained in this message should be construed as a digital or electronic signature, nor is it intended to reflect an intention to make an agreement by electronic means.