

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

MOJO MOBILITY INC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00398-JRG-RSP

ORDER

Before the Court is the Joint Motion for Entry of Order Focusing Claims and Prior Art filed by Plaintiff Mojo Mobility Inc. and Defendants Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. **Dkt. No. 45**. After due consideration the Court **GRANTS** the motion. The Court **ORDERS** as follows:

1. This Order supplements all other discovery rules and orders. It streamlines the issues in this case to promote a “just, speedy, and inexpensive determination” of this action, as provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1.

Phased Limits on Asserted Claims and Prior Art References

2. By November 6, 2023, the patent claimant shall serve a Preliminary Election of Asserted Claims, which shall assert no more than ten claims from each patent and not more than a total of 32 claims. Not later than 14 days after service of the Preliminary Election of Asserted Claims, the patent defendant shall serve a Preliminary Election of Asserted Prior Art, which shall

assert no more than sixteen prior art references against each patent and not more than a total of 50 references.¹

3. No later than February 12, 2024, the patent claimant shall serve a Final Election of Asserted Claims, which shall identify no more than five asserted claims per patent from among the ten previously identified claims and no more than a total of 16 claims. By March 1, 2024, the patent defendant shall serve a Final Election of Asserted Prior Art, which shall identify no more than ten asserted prior art references per patent from among the sixteen prior art references previously identified for that particular patent and no more than a total of 25 references. For purposes of this Final Election of Asserted Prior Art, each obviousness combination counts as a separate prior art reference.

4. If the patent claimant asserts infringement of only one patent, all per-patent limits in this order are increased by 50%, rounding up.

Modification of this Order

5. Subject to Court approval, the parties may modify this Order by agreement, but should endeavor to limit the asserted claims and prior art references to the greatest extent possible. Absent agreement, post-entry motions to modify this Order's numerical limits on asserted claims and prior art references must demonstrate good cause warranting the modification. Motions to modify other portions of this Order are committed to the sound discretion of the Court.²

¹ For purposes of this Order, a prior art instrumentality (such as a device or process) and associated references that describe that instrumentality shall count as one reference, as shall the closely related work of a single prior artist.

² This Order contemplates that the parties and the Court may further narrow the issues during pretrial proceedings in order to present a manageable case at trial.

Case 2:22-cv-00398-JRG-RSP Document 46 Filed 10/24/23 Page 3 of 3 PageID #: 5071