UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VMware, Inc.

Petitioner,

v.

Cirba IP Inc. Patent Owner.

Patent No. 10,951,459

Post-Grant Review No. PGR2021-00098

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED WITH PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

VMware, Inc., ("VMware" or "Petitioner") hereby objects to the following evidence that was submitted by Cirba IP Inc. ("Cirba" or "Patent Owner") with the Patent Owner's Response ("POR," Paper 14) in the above-captioned proceeding. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), these objections are being timely filed and served within five (5) business days of service of evidence to which these objections are directed.

Exhibit #	Patent Owner's Description	Petitioner's Objections
2001	Declaration of Dr. Vijay Madisetti in Support of Patent Owner's Response	VMware objects to Exhibit 2001 as irrelevant, lacking foundation, assuming facts not in evidence, calling for legal conclusion, and mischaracterizing evidence. VMware further objects to Exhibit 2001 to the extent that it includes expert opinions for which the factual bases have not been disclosed, for which Dr. Madisetti does not have personal knowledge (FRE 602), and to the extent that Dr. Madisetti's opinions constitute unreliable expert testimony under FRE 702 and/or 703. VMware objects to the portions of Exhibit 2001 not discussed or cited in the Patent Owner's Response as not being relevant to any issue on which trial has been instituted. In particular, at

		least paragraphs 1-47, 49-74, 91-99, 107-108 of
		Exhibit 2001 were not cited or discussed in the
		Patent Owner's Response. VMware has had no
		fair opportunity to respond to Patent Owner's
		unstated contentions. Their consideration would
		be unfairly prejudicial to VMware.
	List of Materials	VMware objects to Exhibit 2002 as
	Considered by	irrelevant to the extent that it includes materials
	Dr. Vijay Madisetti	that do not form the expressly-stated basis for any
		of Dr. Madisetti's opinions, as disclosed in Exhibit
		2001. VMware further objects to Exhibit 2002 to
		the extent that it includes materials that form the
		basis for expert opinions for which the full factual
		bases have not been disclosed, for which Dr.
		Madisetti does not have personal knowledge (FRE
2002		602), and to the extent that Dr. Madisetti's
2002		opinions constitute unreliable expert testimony
		under FRE 702 and/or 703. VMware also objects
		to the extent any materials listed in Exhibit 2002
		are not clearly and uniquely identifiable from the
		information provided.
		VMware objects to Exhibit 2002 for
		violating at least 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2). Dr.
		Madisetti fails to declare under penalty of perjury
		that his statements in Exhibit 2002 were true and
		correct. Accordingly, VMware also objects to

		Exhibit 2002 as irrelevant and lacking foundation on this basis.
2003	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Vijay Madisetti	VMware objects to Exhibit 2003 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. <i>See</i> FRE 401-403. VMware objects to Exhibit 2003 as containing hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described therein under FRE 801 and FRE 802. VMware objects to Exhibit 2003 for violating at least 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2). Dr. Madisetti fails to declare under penalty of perjury that his statements in Exhibit 2003 were true and correct. Accordingly, VMware also objects to Exhibit 2003 as irrelevant and lacking foundation on this basis.
2007	Dictionary by Merriam- Webster	VMware objects to Exhibit 2007 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. <i>See</i> FRE 401-403. VMware also objects to Exhibit 2007 as lacking foundation and lacking authentication under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example,

		Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that
		Exhibit 2007 was publicly accessible in its
		presented form as of the alleged publication date.
		Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the
		exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be.
		VMware objects to this exhibit as containing
		hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to
		rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described
		therein under FRE 801-803.
	The Merriam-	VMware objects to Exhibit 2008 to the
	Webster	extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the
	Dictionary (2004)	present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. See
		FRE 401-403.
		VMware also objects to Exhibit 2008 as
		lacking foundation and lacking authentication
		under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example,
2008		Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that
2000		Exhibit 2008 was publicly accessible in its
		presented form as of the alleged publication date.
		Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the
		exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be.
		VMware objects to this exhibit as containing
		hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to
		rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described
		therein under FRE 801-803.
	1	

2009	Roget's II The New Thesaurus (3 rd ed. 2003)	VMware objects to Exhibit 2009 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. See FRE 401-403. VMware also objects to Exhibit 2009 as lacking foundation and lacking authentication under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example, Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that Exhibit 2009 was publicly accessible in its presented form as of the alleged publication date. Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be. VMware objects to this exhibit as containing hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described therein under FRE 801-803.
2010	Newman, Morris et al., IBM, Server Consolidation with VMware ESX Server (January 2005)	VMware objects to Exhibit 2010 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. <i>See</i> FRE 401-403.
2011	Brodkin, Jon, Virtual Server Sprawl Highlights	VMware objects to Exhibit 2011 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the

	Security	present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. See
	Concerns, April 30, 2008	FRE 401-403.
		VMware also objects to Exhibit 2011 as
		lacking foundation and lacking authentication
		under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example,
		Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that
		Exhibit 2011 was publicly accessible in its
		presented form as of the alleged publication date.
		Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the
		exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be.
		VMware objects to this exhibit as containing
		hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to
		rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described
		therein under FRE 801-803.
	Provazza,	VMware objects to Exhibit 2012 to the
	Alyssa, FAQ:	extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the
	Devising a Server	present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. See
	Consolidation	FRE 401-403.
	Plan, November	VMware also objects to Exhibit 2012 as
2012	24, 2010	lacking foundation and lacking authentication
2012	2012	under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example,
		Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that
		Exhibit 2012 was publicly accessible in its
		presented form as of the alleged publication date.
		Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the
		exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be.

		VMware objects to this exhibit as containing
		hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to
		rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described
		therein under FRE 801-803.
2013	VMware, Inc., Virtualization	VMware objects to Exhibit 2013 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. <i>See</i> FRE 401-403.
2014	The Computer Language Company Inc., Virtual Environment	VMware objects to Exhibit 2014 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. <i>See</i> FRE 401-403. VMware also objects to Exhibit 2014 as lacking foundation and lacking authentication under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example, Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that Exhibit 2014 was publicly accessible in its presented form as of the alleged publication date. Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be. VMware objects to this exhibit as containing hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described therein under FRE 801-803

2015	Hammersley, Eric, Professional VMware Server 1-9 (2007)	VMware objects to Exhibit 2015 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. <i>See</i> FRE 401-403. VMware also objects to Exhibit 2015 as lacking foundation and lacking authentication under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example, Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that Exhibit 2015 was publicly accessible in its presented form as of the alleged publication date. Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be. VMware objects to this exhibit as containing hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described therein under FRE 801-803.
2016	VMware, Inc., Introduction to VMware Infrastructure, Update 2 and later for ESX Server 3.5, ESX Server 3i version 3.5, VirtualCenter 2.5 (2008, 2009)	VMware objects to Exhibit 2016 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. <i>See</i> FRE 401-403.

2017	Defense Industry Daily, The Virtual Armed Forces: US Military Turns to Virtualization, August 15, 2011	VMware objects to Exhibit 2017 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. See FRE 401-403. VMware also objects to Exhibit 2017 as lacking foundation and lacking authentication under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example, Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that Exhibit 2017 was publicly accessible in its presented form as of the alleged publication date. Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be. VMware objects to this exhibit as containing hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described therein under FRE 801-803.
2018	Steele, Colin, Face-Off: VMware vs. Microsoft in the Hypervisor Wars, May 1, 2009	VMware objects to Exhibit 2018 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. <i>See</i> FRE 401-403. VMware also objects to Exhibit 2018 as lacking foundation and lacking authentication under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example, Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that Exhibit 2018 was publicly accessible in its

		presented form as of the alleged publication date. Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be. VMware objects to this exhibit as containing hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described therein under FRE 801-803.
2019	Kerner, Sean Michael, KVM vs. Xen vs. VMware. Is it a Hypervisor War?, September 6, 2008	VMware objects to Exhibit 2019 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. <i>See</i> FRE 401-403. VMware also objects to Exhibit 2019 as lacking foundation and lacking authentication under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example, Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that Exhibit 2019 was publicly accessible in its presented form as of the alleged publication date. Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be. VMware objects to this exhibit as containing hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described therein under FRE 801-803.
2020	Venezia, Paul, Ramming Microsoft Down	VMware objects to Exhibit 2020 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the

	IT's Throat, September 21, 2009	present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. <i>See</i> FRE 401-403.
		VMware also objects to Exhibit 2020 as
		lacking foundation and lacking authentication
		under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example,
		Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that
		Exhibit 2020 was publicly accessible in its
		presented form as of the alleged publication date.
		Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the
		exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be.
		VMware objects to this exhibit as containing
		hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to
		rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described
		therein under FRE 801-803.
	Dubie, Denise,	VMware objects to Exhibit 2021 to the
	VMware, MS Battle Over	extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the
	Virtualization	present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. See
	Management,	FRE 401-403.
	June 27, 2008	VMware also objects to Exhibit 2021 as
2021		lacking foundation and lacking authentication
		under FRE 602 and FRE 901-903. For example,
		Patent Owner fails to offer sufficient evidence that
		Exhibit 2021 was publicly accessible in its
		presented form as of the alleged publication date.
		Patent Owner also fails to offer evidence that the
		exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be.

		VMware objects to this exhibit as containing hearsay to the extent that Patent Owner attempts to rely on it to prove the truth of any matter described therein under FRE 801-803.
2022	Rosenblum, Mendel & Garfinkel, Tal, Virtual Machine Monitors: Current Technology and Future Trends, Computer, Vol. 38, No. 5, 39-47	VMware objects to Exhibit 2022 to the extent that it is not relevant to any issue in the present proceeding and/or unduly prejudicial. See FRE 401-403.

Dated: March 11, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

By: /Diek O. Van Nort/ Diek O. Van Nort dvannort@mofo.com Registration No.: 60,777

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

425 Market Street

San Francisco, CA 94105-2482

Tel.: (415) 268-6971 Fax: (415) 268-7522

Certificate of Service (37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4))

I hereby certify that the attached Petitioner's Objections to Evidence Submitted with Patent Owner's Response was served as of the below date via email on the Patent Owner at the following correspondence address:

Khue V. Hoang REICHMAN JORGENSEN LLP 750 Third Avenue, Suite 2402 New York, NY 10017 khoang@reichmanjorgensen.com rj_densify@reichmanjorgensen.com

Philip Eklem REICHMAN JORGENSEN LLP 1710 Rhode Island Avenue, NW 12th Floor Washington, DC 20036 peklem@reichmanjorgensen.com

Christine E. Lehman REICHMAN JORGENSEN LLP 818 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 clehman@reichmanjorgensen.com

Michael G. Flanigan REICHMAN JORGENSEN LLP 100 Marine Parkway, Suite 300 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 mflanigan@reichmanjorgensen.com

PGR2021-00098

Dated: March 11, 2022 /Diek O. Van Nort/

Diek O. Van Nort
dvannort@mofo.com

Registration No.: 60,777

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

425 Market Street

San Francisco, CA 94105-2482

Tel.: (415) 268-6971 Fax: (415) 268-7522