

117TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 2891

To amend title 35, United States Code, to address matters relating to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

SEPTEMBER 29, 2021

Mr. Leahy (for himself and Mr. Cornyn) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

- To amend title 35, United States Code, to address matters relating to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Restoring the America
 - 5 Invents Act".
 - 6 SEC. 2. PATENTS.
 - 7 Title 35, United States Code, is amended—
 - 8 (1) in section 6—

| 1 | (A) in subsection (c)— |
|----|--|
| 2 | (i) in the second sentence, by striking |
| 3 | "Only the" and inserting "The"; and |
| 4 | (ii) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 5 | lowing: "After the constitution of a panel |
| 6 | of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board |
| 7 | under this subsection has been made pub- |
| 8 | lic, any changes to the constitution of that |
| 9 | panel shall be noted in the record."; |
| 10 | (B) by redesignating subsection (d) as sub- |
| 11 | section (e); |
| 12 | (C) by inserting after subsection (c) the |
| 13 | following: |
| 14 | "(d) Review by Director.— |
| 15 | "(1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a final de- |
| 16 | cision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board— |
| 17 | "(A) the Director may, on the initiative of |
| 18 | the Director, review, and modify or set aside, |
| 19 | the decision; and |
| 20 | "(B) if the decision is issued under section |
| 21 | 318 or 328, a party to the applicable inter |
| 22 | partes or post-grant review may request that |
| 23 | the Director review, and modify or set aside, |
| 24 | the decision. |

| 1 | "(2) REQUIREMENT.—Any review by the Direc- |
|----|---|
| 2 | tor under paragraph (1) shall be issued in a sepa- |
| 3 | rate written opinion that— |
| 4 | "(A) is made part of the public record; and |
| 5 | "(B) sets forth the reasons for the review |
| 6 | modification, or setting aside of the final deci- |
| 7 | sion of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. |
| 8 | "(3) Timeline and bases for review.—Not |
| 9 | later than 18 months after the date of enactment of |
| 10 | the Restoring the America Invents Act, the Director |
| 11 | shall promulgate rules addressing the following |
| 12 | issues: |
| 13 | "(A) With respect to review of a decision |
| 14 | on the initiative of the Director under para- |
| 15 | graph (1)(A)— |
| 16 | "(i) the timeline under which the Di- |
| 17 | rector may review the decision, which shall |
| 18 | be consistent with the requirements under |
| 19 | section 318(e) or 328(e), if applicable; and |
| 20 | "(ii) the bases on which the Director |
| 21 | may review the decision. |
| 22 | "(B) With respect to a request by a party |
| 23 | under paragraph (1)(B)— |
| 24 | "(i) the timeline for submitting such a |
| 25 | request; |

| 1 | "(ii) the content that the party is re- |
|----|--|
| 2 | quired to include in such a request; |
| 3 | "(iii) the bases on which the party |
| 4 | may submit such a request; and |
| 5 | "(iv) the timeline for any response or |
| 6 | reply to such a request such that the re- |
| 7 | quest can be decided within the deadline |
| 8 | imposed under section 318(e) or 328(e), as |
| 9 | applicable. |
| 10 | "(4) Rule of construction.—For the pur- |
| 11 | poses of an appeal permitted under section 141, any |
| 12 | decision on review issued by the Director under this |
| 13 | subsection shall be deemed a final decision of the |
| 14 | Patent Trial and Appeal Board."; and |
| 15 | (D) in subsection (e), as so redesignated— |
| 16 | (i) in the first sentence— |
| 17 | (I) by striking "of this sub- |
| 18 | section" and inserting "of the Restor- |
| 19 | ing the America Invents Act'; |
| 20 | (II) by inserting "or the Sec- |
| 21 | retary" after "appointment by the Di- |
| 22 | rector"; and |
| 23 | (III) by inserting "or the Sec- |
| 24 | retary, as applicable," after "on which |
| 25 | the Director'; and |

| 1 | (ii) in the second sentence— |
|----|---|
| 2 | (I) by inserting ", or, before the |
| 3 | date of enactment of the Restoring |
| 4 | the America Invents Act, having per- |
| 5 | formed duties no longer performed by |
| 6 | administrative patent judges," after |
| 7 | "by the Director"; and |
| 8 | (II) by striking "that the admin- |
| 9 | istrative patent judge so appointed" |
| 10 | and inserting "that the applicable ad- |
| 11 | ministrative patent judge"; |
| 12 | (2) in section 302, in the first sentence, by in- |
| 13 | serting ", including a governmental entity," after "A |
| 14 | person"; |
| 15 | (3) in chapter 31— |
| 16 | (A) in section 311— |
| 17 | (i) in subsection (a), in the first sen- |
| 18 | tence, by inserting ", including a govern- |
| 19 | mental entity," after "a person"; and |
| 20 | (ii) in subsection (b), by striking |
| 21 | "under section 102" and all that follows |
| 22 | through the period at the end and insert- |
| 23 | ing the following: "under— |
| 24 | "(1) section 102 or 103 and only on the basis |
| 25 | of— |

| 1 | "(A) prior art consisting of patents or |
|----|--|
| 2 | printed publications; or |
| 3 | "(B) admissions in the patent specifica- |
| 4 | tion, drawings, or claims; or |
| 5 | "(2) statutory or obviousness-type double pat- |
| 6 | enting on the basis of— |
| 7 | "(A) patents or printed publications; or |
| 8 | "(B) admissions in the patent specifica- |
| 9 | tion, drawings, or claims."; |
| 10 | (B) in section 314— |
| 11 | (i) in subsection (a), by striking "The |
| 12 | Director may not authorize an inter partes |
| 13 | review to be instituted unless" and insert- |
| 14 | ing the following: "Subject only to the dis- |
| 15 | cretion of the Director under section |
| 16 | 325(d)(4), a petition that meets the re- |
| 17 | quirements of this chapter shall be insti- |
| 18 | tuted if"; and |
| 19 | (ii) in subsection (d)— |
| 20 | (I) by inserting "or maintain" |
| 21 | after "to institute"; and |
| 22 | (II) by striking "section" and in- |
| 23 | serting "chapter"; |
| 24 | (C) in section 315— |
| 25 | (i) in subsection (a)(1)— |

| 1 | (I) by striking "An inter partes" |
|----|--|
| 2 | and inserting the following: |
| 3 | "(A) IN GENERAL.—An inter partes"; and |
| 4 | (II) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 5 | lowing: |
| 6 | "(B) Rule of Construction.—Subpara- |
| 7 | graph (A) may not be construed to prevent an |
| 8 | inter partes review from being instituted if a |
| 9 | complaint in a civil action described in that |
| 10 | subparagraph has been dismissed without prej- |
| 11 | udice."; |
| 12 | (ii) by striking subsection (b) and in- |
| 13 | serting the following: |
| 14 | "(b) Patent Owner's Action.— |
| 15 | "(1) In general.—An inter partes review may |
| 16 | not be instituted if the petition requesting the pro- |
| 17 | ceeding is filed more than 1 year after the date on |
| 18 | which the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy |
| 19 | of the petitioner is served with a complaint alleging |
| 20 | infringement of the patent. The time limitation set |
| 21 | forth in the preceding sentence shall be subject to |
| 22 | the following limitations: |
| 23 | "(A) The time limitation shall not apply— |
| 24 | "(i) to a request for joinder under |
| 25 | subsection (c); or |

| 1 | "(ii) if the complaint is dismissed |
|----|---|
| 2 | without prejudice. |
| 3 | "(B) If new or amended claims issue from |
| 4 | reexamination after the petitioner, real party in |
| 5 | interest, or privy of the petitioner is served with |
| 6 | the complaint, an inter partes review of those |
| 7 | claims may be instituted if the petition request- |
| 8 | ing the review is filed not later than 1 year |
| 9 | after the date on which the challenged claims |
| 10 | are asserted in the action. |
| 11 | "(2) Request for stay.— |
| 12 | "(A) IN GENERAL.—If a party seeks a stay |
| 13 | of a civil action brought under section 281 al- |
| 14 | leging infringement of a patent that is also sub- |
| 15 | ject to an inter partes review, the court shall |
| 16 | decide whether to stay the civil action based on |
| 17 | whether— |
| 18 | "(i) the outcome of the inter partes |
| 19 | review will likely simplify the issues in |
| 20 | question in the civil action and streamline |
| 21 | the proceedings in the civil action; |
| 22 | "(ii) as of the date on which the stay |
| 23 | is requested, discovery in the civil action is |
| 24 | complete; |

| 1 | "(iii) a stay, or the denial thereof, |
|----|--|
| 2 | would— |
| 3 | "(I) unduly prejudice the non- |
| 4 | moving party; or |
| 5 | "(II) present a clear tactical ad- |
| 6 | vantage for the moving party; and |
| 7 | "(iv) a stay, or the denial thereof, will |
| 8 | reduce the burden of litigation on the par- |
| 9 | ties to the civil action and the court. |
| 10 | "(B) Review.—A party may take an im- |
| 11 | mediate interlocutory appeal from the decision |
| 12 | of a district court of the United States under |
| 13 | subparagraph (A). The United States Court of |
| 14 | Appeals for the Federal Circuit shall review the |
| 15 | district court's decision to ensure consistent ap- |
| 16 | plication of established precedent, and such re- |
| 17 | view shall be de novo."; |
| 18 | (iii) in subsection (c)— |
| 19 | (I) by striking "If the Director" |
| 20 | and inserting the following: |
| 21 | "(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Director"; and |
| 22 | (II) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 23 | lowing: |
| 24 | "(2) Estoppel.—Any person joined as a party |
| 25 | to an inter partes review, and any real party in in- |

| 1 | terest or privy of such person, shall be estopped |
|----|---|
| 2 | under subsection (e) to the same extent as if that |
| 3 | person, real party in interest, or privy had been the |
| 4 | first petitioner in that inter partes review."; |
| 5 | (iv) by striking subsection (d) and in- |
| 6 | serting the following: |
| 7 | "(d) Multiple Proceedings.— |
| 8 | "(1) In General.—Notwithstanding sections |
| 9 | 135(a), 251, and 252, and chapter 30, during the |
| 10 | pendency of an inter partes review, if another pro- |
| 11 | ceeding or matter involving the patent is before the |
| 12 | Office, or if there is a pending application claiming |
| 13 | the benefit of a common filing date to the patent |
| 14 | under section 120 or 121— |
| 15 | "(A) the parties shall notify the Director |
| 16 | and |
| 17 | "(B) the Director shall issue a decision de- |
| 18 | termining the manner in which the other pro- |
| 19 | ceeding or matter may proceed, including pro- |
| 20 | viding for stay, transfer, consolidation, or ter- |
| 21 | mination of any such proceeding or matter. |
| 22 | "(2) NO EXTENSION.—A decision of the Direc- |
| 23 | tor under paragraph (1)(B) may not— |
| 24 | "(A) extend any statutory deadline under |
| 25 | this chapter; or |

| 1 | "(B) terminate an inter partes proceeding |
|----|---|
| 2 | in favor of an ex parte proceeding. |
| 3 | "(3) Presumption.—For the purposes of this |
| 4 | subsection, if the multiple proceedings described in |
| 5 | paragraph (1) are of like type and are filed reason- |
| 6 | ably close in time, there shall be a rebuttable pre- |
| 7 | sumption that the Director shall consolidate the pro- |
| 8 | ceedings under that paragraph."; and |
| 9 | (v) in subsection (e)— |
| 10 | (I) in paragraph (1)— |
| 11 | (aa) by striking "The peti- |
| 12 | tioner in" and inserting the fol- |
| 13 | lowing: |
| 14 | "(A) ESTOPPEL AGAINST PETITIONER.— |
| 15 | The petitioner in"; |
| 16 | (bb) in subparagraph (A), as |
| 17 | so designated, by inserting ", |
| 18 | after the time for appeal of the |
| 19 | decision has expired or any such |
| 20 | appeal has terminated," after |
| 21 | "may not"; and |
| 22 | (cc) by adding at the end |
| 23 | the following: |
| 24 | "(B) ESTOPPEL AGAINST PATENT |
| 25 | OWNER.—The Office may not issue to a patent |

| 1 | owner any claim that is not patentably distinct |
|----|---|
| 2 | from a claim that was issued and was subse- |
| 3 | quently— |
| 4 | "(i) found to be unpatentable; or |
| 5 | "(ii) canceled in any proceeding before |
| 6 | the Office, including under section 135, |
| 7 | 251, 253, 301, 311, or 321."; and |
| 8 | (II) in paragraph (2)— |
| 9 | (aa) by inserting "that the |
| 10 | claim is not unpatentable" after |
| 11 | "section 318(a)"; |
| 12 | (bb) by inserting ", after the |
| 13 | time for appeal of the decision |
| 14 | has expired or any such appeal |
| 15 | has terminated," after "may |
| 16 | not''; and |
| 17 | (cc) by inserting "or 1498" |
| 18 | after "section 1338"; |
| 19 | (D) in section 316— |
| 20 | (i) in subsection (a)(11), by inserting |
| 21 | "or consolidation under section 315(d)" |
| 22 | after "under section 315(c)"; |
| 23 | (ii) in subsection (c)— |
| 24 | (I) by striking "The Patent" and |
| 25 | inserting the following: |

| 1 | "(1) IN GENERAL.—The Patent"; and |
|----|---|
| 2 | (II) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 3 | lowing: |
| 4 | "(2) EX PARTE COMMUNICATION.—An officer |
| 5 | who has review authority, supervisory authority, or |
| 6 | disciplinary authority with respect to an administra- |
| 7 | tive patent judge of the Patent Trial and Appeal |
| 8 | Board (or a delegate of such an officer), and who is |
| 9 | not a member of a panel described in section 6(c), |
| 10 | shall refrain from ex parte communication with such |
| 11 | a judge who is a member of that panel concerning |
| 12 | any pending matter before that panel, except as al- |
| 13 | lowed under the Code of Conduct for United States |
| 14 | Judges."; and |
| 15 | (iii) in subsection (e)— |
| 16 | (I) by striking "In an" and in- |
| 17 | serting the following: |
| 18 | "(1) IN GENERAL.—In an"; |
| 19 | (II) in paragraph (1), as so des- |
| 20 | ignated, by inserting "of challenged |
| 21 | patent claims" after |
| 22 | "unpatentability"; and |
| 23 | (III) by adding at the end the |
| 24 | following: |

| 1 | "(2) Claim amendment.—For any substitute |
|----|---|
| 2 | claim proposed under subsection (d)— |
| 3 | "(A) the patent owner shall have the bur- |
| 4 | den of proving patentability, including under |
| 5 | sections 101, 102, 103, and 112, by a prepon- |
| 6 | derance of the evidence; |
| 7 | "(B) the Patent Trial and Appeal Board |
| 8 | shall— |
| 9 | "(i) examine the substitute claim; or |
| 10 | "(ii) notwithstanding subsection |
| 11 | (c)(2), refer the substitute claim to the Di- |
| 12 | rector, who shall cause an examination of |
| 13 | the substitute claim to be made within the |
| 14 | time limits for the applicable inter partes |
| 15 | review; and |
| 16 | "(C) the Director may establish, by regula- |
| 17 | tion, fees for examination of the substitute |
| 18 | claim in such amounts as the Director deter- |
| 19 | mines to be reasonable, taking into consider- |
| 20 | ation the aggregate costs of examination."; |
| 21 | (E) in section 318— |
| 22 | (i) in subsection (b), by inserting ", |
| 23 | not later than 60 days after the date on |
| 24 | which the parties to the inter partes review |
| 25 | have informed the Director that the time |

| 1 | for appeal has expired or any appeal has |
|----|---|
| 2 | terminated," after "the Director shall"; |
| 3 | and |
| 4 | (ii) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 5 | lowing: |
| 6 | "(e) Rehearing.—Not later than 120 days after the |
| 7 | date on which the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issues |
| 8 | a final written decision under subsection (a), the Board |
| 9 | or the Director shall finally decide any request for recon- |
| 10 | sideration, rehearing, or review that is submitted with re- |
| 11 | spect to the decision, except that the Director may, for |
| 12 | good cause shown, extend that 120-day period by not more |
| 13 | than 60 days."; and |
| 14 | (F) in section 319— |
| 15 | (i) in the first sentence, by striking |
| 16 | "A party" and inserting the following: |
| 17 | "(a) IN GENERAL.—A party"; and |
| 18 | (ii) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 19 | lowing: |
| 20 | "(b) Standing.— |
| 21 | "(1) Injury in fact.—For the purposes of an |
| 22 | appeal described in subsection (a), injury in fact |
| 23 | shall be presumed if the party appealing the deci- |
| 24 | sion— |

| 1 | "(A) reasonably expects that another per- |
|----|--|
| 2 | son will assert estoppel against the party under |
| 3 | section 315(e) as a result of the final written |
| 4 | decision that is the subject of the appeal; or |
| 5 | "(B) suffers any other concrete and par- |
| 6 | ticularized injury that— |
| 7 | "(i) is fairly traceable to the final |
| 8 | written decision that is the subject of the |
| 9 | appeal; and |
| 10 | "(ii) could be redressed through ap- |
| 11 | pellate review. |
| 12 | "(2) ESTOPPEL.—If a court finds that a party |
| 13 | lacks standing to bring an appeal described in sub- |
| 14 | section (a) under article III of the Constitution of |
| 15 | the United States, that party shall not be estopped |
| 16 | under section 315(e) with respect to the underlying |
| 17 | inter partes review."; and |
| 18 | (4) in chapter 32— |
| 19 | (A) in section 321(a), by inserting ", in- |
| 20 | cluding a governmental entity," after "a per- |
| 21 | son''; |
| 22 | (B) in section 324— |
| 23 | (i) in subsection (a), by striking "The |
| 24 | Director may not authorize a post-grant |
| 25 | review to be instituted unless" and insert- |

| 1 | ing the following: "Subject only to the dis- |
|----|---|
| 2 | cretion of the Director under section |
| 3 | 325(d)(4), a petition filed under section |
| 4 | 321 that meets the requirements of this |
| 5 | chapter shall be instituted if"; and |
| 6 | (ii) in subsection (e)— |
| 7 | (I) by inserting "or maintain" |
| 8 | after "to institute"; and |
| 9 | (II) by striking "section" and in- |
| 10 | serting "chapter"; |
| 11 | (C) in section 325— |
| 12 | (i) in subsection (a)— |
| 13 | (I) in the subsection heading, by |
| 14 | striking "Infringer's Civil Ac- |
| 15 | TION" and inserting "CIVIL ACTION"; |
| 16 | and |
| 17 | (II) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 18 | lowing: |
| 19 | "(4) Request for stay.— |
| 20 | "(A) In general.—If a party seeks a stay |
| 21 | of a civil action brought under section 281 al- |
| 22 | leging infringement of a patent that is also sub- |
| 23 | ject to a post-grant review, the court shall de- |
| 24 | cide whether to stay the civil action based on |
| 25 | whether— |

| 1 | "(i) the outcome of the post-grant re- |
|----|--|
| 2 | view will likely simplify the issues in ques- |
| 3 | tion in the civil action and streamline the |
| 4 | proceedings in the civil action; |
| 5 | "(ii) as of the date on which the stay |
| 6 | is requested, discovery in the civil action is |
| 7 | complete; |
| 8 | "(iii) a stay, or the denial thereof, |
| 9 | would— |
| 10 | "(I) unduly prejudice the non- |
| 11 | moving party; or |
| 12 | "(II) present a clear tactical ad- |
| 13 | vantage for the moving party; and |
| 14 | "(iv) a stay, or the denial thereof, will |
| 15 | reduce the burden of litigation on the par- |
| 16 | ties to the civil action and the court. |
| 17 | "(B) Review.—A party may take an im- |
| 18 | mediate interlocutory appeal from the decision |
| 19 | of a district court of the United States under |
| 20 | subparagraph (A). The United States Court of |
| 21 | Appeals for the Federal Circuit shall review the |
| 22 | district court's decision to ensure consistent ap- |
| 23 | plication of established precedent, and such re- |
| 24 | view shall be de novo."; |
| 25 | (ii) in subsection (c)— |

| 1 | (I) by striking "If more" and in- |
|----|--|
| 2 | serting the following: |
| 3 | "(1) IN GENERAL.—If more"; and |
| 4 | (II) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 5 | lowing: |
| 6 | "(2) Estoppel.—Any person joined as a party |
| 7 | to a post-grant review, and any real party in interest |
| 8 | or privy of such person, shall be estopped under sub- |
| 9 | section (e) to the same extent as if that person, real |
| 10 | party in interest, or privy had been the first peti- |
| 11 | tioner in that post-grant review."; |
| 12 | (iii) by striking subsection (d) and in- |
| 13 | serting the following: |
| 14 | "(d) Multiple Proceedings.— |
| 15 | "(1) In General.—Notwithstanding sections |
| 16 | 135(a), 251, and 252, and chapter 30, during the |
| 17 | pendency of any post-grant review under this chap- |
| 18 | ter, if another proceeding or matter involving the |
| 19 | patent is before the Office, or if there is a pending |
| 20 | application claiming the benefit of a common filing |
| 21 | date to the patent under section 120 or 121— |
| 22 | "(A) the parties shall notify the Director |
| 23 | and |
| 24 | "(B) the Director shall issue a decision de- |
| 25 | termining the manner in which the other pro- |

| 1 | ceeding or matter may proceed, including pro- |
|----|--|
| 2 | viding for stay, transfer, consolidation, or ter- |
| 3 | mination of any such proceeding or matter. |
| 4 | "(2) No extension.—A decision of the Direc- |
| 5 | tor under paragraph (1)(B) may not— |
| 6 | "(A) extend any statutory deadline under |
| 7 | this chapter; or |
| 8 | "(B) terminate an inter partes proceeding |
| 9 | in favor of an ex parte proceeding. |
| 10 | "(3) Presumption.—For the purposes of this |
| 11 | subsection, if the multiple proceedings described in |
| 12 | paragraph (1) are of like type and are filed reason- |
| 13 | ably close in time, there shall be a rebuttable pre- |
| 14 | sumption that the Director shall consolidate the pro- |
| 15 | ceedings under that paragraph. |
| 16 | "(4) Considerations.—In determining wheth- |
| 17 | er to institute or order a proceeding under this chap- |
| 18 | ter, chapter 30, or chapter 31, the Director may |
| 19 | take into account whether, and reject the petition or |
| 20 | request because, the same or substantially the same |
| 21 | prior art or arguments previously were presented to |
| 22 | the Office."; and |
| 23 | (iv) in subsection (e)— |
| 24 | (I) in paragraph (1)— |

| 1 | (aa) by striking "The peti- |
|----|---|
| 2 | tioner in" and inserting the fol- |
| 3 | lowing: |
| 4 | "(A) ESTOPPEL AGAINST PETITIONER.— |
| 5 | The petitioner in"; |
| 6 | (bb) in subparagraph (A), as |
| 7 | so designated, by inserting ", |
| 8 | after the time for appeal of the |
| 9 | decision has expired or any such |
| 10 | appeal has terminated," after |
| 11 | "may not"; and |
| 12 | (ce) by adding at the end |
| 13 | the following: |
| 14 | "(B) ESTOPPEL AGAINST PATENT |
| 15 | OWNER.—The Office may not issue to a patent |
| 16 | owner any claim that is not patentably distinct |
| 17 | from a claim that was issued and was subse- |
| 18 | quently— |
| 19 | "(i) found to be unpatentable; or |
| 20 | "(ii) canceled in any proceeding before |
| 21 | the Office, including under section 135, |
| 22 | 251, 253, 301, 311, or 321."; and |
| 23 | (II) in paragraph (2)— |

| 1 | (aa) by inserting "that the |
|----|---|
| 2 | claim is not unpatentable" after |
| 3 | "section 328(a)"; |
| 4 | (bb) by inserting ", after the |
| 5 | time for appeal of the decision |
| 6 | has expired or any such appeal |
| 7 | has terminated," after "may |
| 8 | not''; and |
| 9 | (cc) by inserting "or 1498" |
| 10 | after "section 1338"; |
| 11 | (D) in section 326— |
| 12 | (i) in subsection (a)(11), by inserting |
| 13 | "or consolidation under section 325(d)" |
| 14 | after "under section 325(c)"; |
| 15 | (ii) in subsection (c)— |
| 16 | (I) by striking "The Patent" and |
| 17 | inserting the following: |
| 18 | "(1) In General.—The Patent"; and |
| 19 | (II) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 20 | lowing: |
| 21 | "(2) EX PARTE COMMUNICATION.—An officer |
| 22 | who has review authority, supervisory authority, or |
| 23 | disciplinary authority with respect to an administra- |
| 24 | tive patent judge of the Patent Trial and Appeal |
| 25 | Board (or a delegate of such an officer), and who is |

| 1 | not a member of a panel described in section 6(c) |
|----|---|
| 2 | shall refrain from ex parte communication with such |
| 3 | a judge who is a member of that panel concerning |
| 4 | any pending matter before that panel, except as al- |
| 5 | lowed under the Code of Conduct for United States |
| 6 | Judges."; and |
| 7 | (iii) in subsection (e)— |
| 8 | (I) by striking "In a" and insert- |
| 9 | ing the following: |
| 10 | "(1) In general.—In a"; |
| 11 | (II) in paragraph (1), as so des- |
| 12 | ignated, by inserting "of challenged |
| 13 | patent claims" after |
| 14 | "unpatentability"; and |
| 15 | (III) by adding at the end the |
| 16 | following: |
| 17 | "(2) Claim amendment.—For any substitute |
| 18 | claim proposed under subsection (d)— |
| 19 | "(A) the patent owner shall have the bur- |
| 20 | den of proving patentability, including under |
| 21 | sections 101, 102, 103, and 112, by a prepon- |
| 22 | derance of the evidence; |
| 23 | "(B) the Patent Trial and Appeal Board |
| 24 | shall— |
| 25 | "(i) examine the substitute claim; or |

| 1 | "(ii) notwithstanding subsection |
|----|---|
| 2 | (c)(2), refer the substitute claim to the Di- |
| 3 | rector, who shall cause an examination of |
| 4 | the substitute claim to be made within the |
| 5 | time limits for the applicable inter partes |
| 6 | review; and |
| 7 | "(C) the Director may establish, by regula- |
| 8 | tion, fees for examination of the substitute |
| 9 | claim in such amounts as the Director deter- |
| 10 | mines to be reasonable, taking into consider- |
| 11 | ation the aggregate costs of examination."; |
| 12 | (E) in section 328— |
| 13 | (i) in subsection (b), by inserting "not |
| 14 | later than 60 days after the date on which |
| 15 | the parties to the post-grant review have |
| 16 | informed the Director that the time for ap- |
| 17 | peal has expired or any appeal has termi- |
| 18 | nated," after "the Director shall"; and |
| 19 | (ii) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 20 | lowing: |
| 21 | "(e) Rehearing.—Not later than 120 days after the |
| 22 | date on which the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issues |
| 23 | a final written decision under subsection (a), the Board |
| 24 | or the Director shall finally decide any request for recon- |
| 25 | sideration, rehearing, or review that is submitted with re- |

| 1 | spect to the decision, except that the Director may, for |
|----|--|
| 2 | good cause shown, extend that 120-day period by not more |
| 3 | than 60 days."; and |
| 4 | (F) in section 329— |
| 5 | (i) in the first sentence, by striking |
| 6 | "A party" and inserting the following: |
| 7 | "(a) In General.—A party"; and |
| 8 | (ii) by adding at the end the fol- |
| 9 | lowing: |
| 10 | "(b) Standing.— |
| 11 | "(1) Injury in fact.—For the purposes of an |
| 12 | appeal described in subsection (a), injury in fact |
| 13 | shall be presumed if the party appealing the deci- |
| 14 | sion— |
| 15 | "(A) reasonably expects that another per- |
| 16 | son will assert estoppel against the party under |
| 17 | section 325(e) as a result of the final written |
| 18 | decision that is the subject of the appeal; or |
| 19 | "(B) suffers any other concrete and par- |
| 20 | ticularized injury that— |
| 21 | "(i) is fairly traceable to the final |
| 22 | written decision that is the subject of the |
| 23 | appeal; and |
| 24 | "(ii) could be redressed through ap- |
| 25 | pellate review. |

"(2) ESTOPPEL.—If a court finds that a party lacks standing to bring an appeal described in subsection (a) under article III of the Constitution of the United States, that party shall not be estopped under section 325(e) with respect to the underlying post-grant review."

 \bigcirc