UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
16/597,773	10/09/2019	Scott MacDonald WARD	5061-0041CON	8373

39083 7590 12/28/2020

KENEALY VAIDYA LLP
3050 K Street, N.W.
Suite 302
Washington, DC 20007

EXAMINER
HENNING, MATTHEW T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2491	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/28/2020	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

avaidya@kviplaw.com
uspto@kviplaw.com

Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary	**Application No.** 16/597,773	**Applicant(s)** WARD et al.		
	Examiner MATTHEW T HENNING	**Art Unit** 2491	**AIA (First Inventor to File) Status** No	**Page** 1 of 1

All Participants (applicant, applicants representative, PTO personnel)	**Title**	**Type**
MATTHEW T HENNING	Primary Examiner	Telephonic
Erik Lund (Reg. No. 77,473)	Agent of Record	

Date of Interview: 22 December 2020

Issues Discussed:

Proposed Amendment(s)

See attached. The amendments were discussed. The examiner agreed that the amendments would overcome the currently relied upon prior art. The examiner indicated that the amendments will need to be filed with an RCE. This is due to the scope of the proposed language being a broader version of previous claim 16, that does not include the allowable subject matter from claim 11. The addition of clarifying that the authentication data is a private key, is an important distinction over the currently relied upon prior art, which does not teach or suggest encrypting the private signature key for storage by another inaccessible key.

	/MATTHEW T HENNING/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2491

Applicant is reminded that a complete written statement as to the substance of the interview must be made of record in the application file. It is the applicants responsibility to provide the written statement, unless the interview was initiated by the Examiner and the Examiner has indicated that a written summary will be provided. See MPEP 713.04
Please further see:
MPEP 713.04
Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews, paragraph (b)
37 CFR § 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing

Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.