

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

IMPERATIVE CARE, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

INARI MEDICAL, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2025-00989

Patent No. 11,865,291

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner submits the following objections to the exhibit filed by Petitioner, Imperative Care, Inc., with Petitioner's Updated Exhibit List, on July 6, 2026. Patent Owner's objections apply equally to Petitioner's reliance on this exhibit in its Reply or any subsequently-filed documents. These objections are timely, having been served within five (5) business days of service of the evidence to which each objection is directed.

Exhibit 1030

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1030 (Supplemental Declaration of Troy L. Thornton) as not being timely filed or served. Petitioner first filed the supplemental declaration on July 6, 2026, less than three weeks before oral argument depriving Patent Owner of a full and fair opportunity to address Exhibit 1030 in this IPR. Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1030 under FRE 702 because the testimony is not based upon sufficient facts or data and is not the result of application of reliable principles and methods. Patent Owner further objects under FRE 703 that the facts or data are not of a type reasonably relied upon by experts. Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1030 as creating unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, or as a waste of time pursuant to FRE 403 and as not being relevant and therefore being inadmissible under FRE 401 and 402. The supplemental declaration fails to consider the claims or issues in this IPR and is thus not relevant.

Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1030 to the extent that any paragraph relies upon an exhibit that is objected to herein for the reasons set forth in those

objections. Any paragraph in Exhibit 1030 that relies upon any exhibit not relied upon by the PTAB to institute this proceeding is further objected to as not being relevant and therefore being inadmissible under FRE 401 and 402. Patent Owner further objects to any testimony or argument purporting to rely on Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1030.

Dated: July 13, 2025

/ Joseph P. Hamilton /
Joseph P. Hamilton, Reg. No. 51,770
hamilton-ptab@perkinscoie.com

PERKINS COIE LLP
1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721
Phone: (310) 788-3271

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that true and correct copy of **PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE** was served electronically on July 13, 2026, in its entirety on the following counsel of record for Petitioner:

Joshua J. Stowell
Joshua.Stowell@knobbe.com
Joseph R. Re
Joe.Re@knobbe.com
Brian C. Barnes
Brian.Barnes@knobbe.com
BoxImperative291@knobbe.com

Dated: July 13, 2026

/ Kate Rose /
Kate Rose
Senior Paralegal